
**Soil quality — Sampling of soil
invertebrates —**

Part 2:
**Sampling and extraction of
micro-arthropods (Collembola
and Acarina)**

Qualité du sol — Prélèvement des invertébrés du sol —

*Partie 2: Prélèvement et extraction des micro-arthropodes (Collembola
et Acarina)*



Reference number
ISO 23611-2:2006(E)

© ISO 2006

PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

© ISO 2006

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Terms and definitions	1
3 Principle	1
4 Test materials	2
4.1 Biological material	2
4.2 Reagents	2
5 Apparatus	3
6 Procedure	4
6.1 Collecting the soil samples	4
6.2 Extracting Collembola and Acarina from soil samples	4
6.3 Sorting, preserving and identifying Collembola and Acarina	5
7 Assessment of results	6
8 Study report	6
Annex A (informative) Species determination in collembolans and mites	7
Annex B (informative) Alternative methods for sampling of micro-arthropods	9
Bibliography	10

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 23611-2 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 190, *Soil quality*, Subcommittee SC 4, *Biological methods*.

ISO 23611 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Soil quality — Sampling of soil invertebrates*:

- *Part 1: Hand-sorting and formalin extraction of earthworms*
- *Part 2: Sampling and extraction of micro-arthropods (Collembola and Acarina)*
- *Part 3: Sampling and soil extraction of enchytraeids*
- *Part 4: Sampling, extraction and identification of free-living stages of terrestrial nematodes*

Introduction

This part of ISO 23611 has been drawn up since there is a growing need for the standardization of sampling and extraction methods of soil micro-arthropods. These methods are needed for the following purposes:

- biological classification of soils including soil quality assessment (e.g. References [31], [32], [35], [41], [45], [46]);
- terrestrial bioindication and long-term monitoring (e.g. References [1], [7], [17], [40], [42]).

Data collected by standardized methods can be more accurately evaluated allowing more reliable comparisons between sites (e.g. polluted versus non-polluted sites, changes in land-use practices).

From the several micro-arthropod groups, Collembola and Acarina are the most studied in soil ecology. Their relevance for the soil system comes from their high abundance and diversity, and also from their role in key biological processes. Collembola and Oribatid mites act mainly as catalysts in organic matter decomposition [4], [20], whereas predacious mites may act as webmasters in soil food webs [9]. These characteristics, allied to a widespread taxonomic knowledge, allowed their use as study organisms in several research programmes dealing with the impacts of forest practices (e.g. References [12], [13], [14], [15], [18], [19], [21], [22], [23], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [31], [33], [34], [37], [38], [39]) or crop management practices (e.g. [6], [11], [16], [24]). These features make them suitable organisms to be used as bio-indicators of changes in soil quality, especially due to land-use practices and pollution [43].

Soil quality — Sampling of soil invertebrates —

Part 2:

Sampling and extraction of micro-arthropods (Collembola and Acarina)

1 Scope

This part of ISO 23611 specifies a method for sampling, extracting and preserving collembolans and mites from field soils as a prerequisite for using these animals as bio-indicators (e.g. to assess the quality of a soil as a habitat for organisms).

Basic information on the ecology of micro-arthropods and their use can be found in the references listed in the Bibliography.

The sampling and extraction methods of this part of ISO 23611 are applicable to almost all types of soils. Exceptions may be soils from extreme climatic conditions (hard, frozen or flooded soils) and other matrices than soil, e.g. tree trunks, plants or lichens. For the sampling design of field studies in general, see ISO 10381-1.

Methods for some other soil organism groups such as earthworms are covered in other parts of ISO 23611.

This part of ISO 23611 does not cover the pedological characterization of the site which is highly recommendable when sampling soil invertebrates. ISO 10390, ISO 10694, ISO 11272, ISO 11274, ISO 11277, ISO 11461 and ISO 11465 are more suitable for measuring pH, particle size distribution, C/N ratio, organic carbon content and water-holding capacity.

2 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

2.1

micro-arthropods

group which is defined by its small size (range size from 100 µm to a few millimetres) making up a significant part of the below-ground food web in many terrestrial ecosystems

NOTE This group is mainly composed by mites (Acarina), springtails (Collembola), Protura, Diplura, garden centipedes (Symphyla), Pauropoda, small centipedes and millipedes, and insects and their larvae from several orders (Diptera, Coleoptera, etc.).

3 Principle

Soil samples are collected in the field using a split corer. Soil cores are placed in plastic tubes (or plastic bags) and transported to the laboratory. Afterwards, Collembola and Acarida are rapidly (within a few days) extracted by behavioural methods, using a MacFadyen apparatus, and preserved for future identifications^{[7], [40]}. In addition, preparation techniques are also described. Finally, abundance values can be recalculated related to area (usually 1 m²), volume or weight (usually 1 kg).

NOTE Alternative methods for extraction can be used under special circumstances. Flotation methods (e.g. the heptane flotation method) can be used in clay or loamy soils and a Kempson extractor is advisable in the case litter is sampled [40].

4 Test materials

4.1 Biological material

Collembola (springtails) are small wingless hexapods (from 150 µm up to 9 mm length), having a distinctive head with a pair of antennae, without true compound eyes, with six abdominal segments and three pre-genital appendages in the abdomen. In the first segment, there is the ventral tube (or colophore) that is used for adhering to smooth surfaces. The name Collembola comes from this structure (from Greek *colla* = glue and *embolon* = bar). In the third segment, there is the *tenaculum*, that holds the jumping apparatus on its normal position. This jumping appendage, the *furcula* (or spring), when it exists, is located in the fourth segment. Springtails live in litter and soil, and have very distinctive life forms. They belong to the class Collembola, and can be separated into 18 families [17].

Soil mites are small chelicerate arthropods related to spiders (length from 150 µm up to < 5 mm), living in soil and litter, and also presenting very distinctive life forms. They belong to the class Arachnida, subclass Acarida, and can be separated into four groups: Cryptostigmata (Oribatida), Mesostigmata (Gamasida), Prostigmata (Trombidiformes) and Astigmata.

NOTE Some hints for the taxonomy of springtails and mites are given in Annex A.

4.2 Reagents

Unless otherwise specified, use only reagents of good quality and distilled water.

4.2.1 Propan-2-ol, 80 % (volume fraction).

4.2.2 Formalin [formaldehyde solution 40 % (volume fraction)].

4.2.3 Acetic acid.

4.2.4 Phenol, C₆H₅OH, crystalline (carbolic acid).

4.2.5 Hydrogen chloride, *c*(HCl) from 8 mol/l to 10 mol/l.

4.2.6 2,2,2-Trichloro-1,1-ethanediol (chloral hydrate).

4.2.7 1,2,3-Trihydroxypropane (glycerine).

4.2.8 von Törne fixative, used to preserve the extracted animals and composed by Propan-2-ol (80 %), formalin (40 %) and glacial acetic acid (a volume fraction 10:0,3:0,03).

4.2.9 Nesbitt clearing medium, used to clear mite specimens composed of chloral hydrate (80 g), distilled water (50 ml) and concentrated hydrogen chloride (5 ml).

4.2.10 Lactophenol solution, used to clear mite specimens composed of lactic acid (10 ml), crystals of phenol (3,6 g) and distilled water (5 ml).

4.2.11 2-Hydroxypropanoic acid (lactic acid), to clear and observe micro-arthropod specimens, especially oribatid mites under the microscope.

4.2.12 Ethanol, 70 % to 75% (volume fraction), used for fixation and preservation (in this case, also in combination with glycerine, 10:1).

4.2.13 Hoyer's medium, used to mount Collembola specimens composed of distilled water (50 ml), gum-arabic (30 g), chloral hydrate (200 g) and glycerine (20 ml).

5 Apparatus

Use standard laboratory equipment and the following.

- 5.1 Measuring tape.**
- 5.2 Collecting flasks.**
- 5.3 Wash bottle.**
- 5.4 Forceps, pipette, fine painting brush, fine needles.**
- 5.5 Petri dishes.**
- 5.6 Stereomicroscope.**
- 5.7 Microscope**, with phase or interference contrast is preferable.
- 5.8 Microscopic slides**, with excavated area in the centre, and **lamellae**.
- 5.9 Electrical heating plate.**
- 5.10 Plastic vials.**
- 5.11 Ceramic heating elements.**
- 5.12 Pencil, notebook, water resistant marker, labels.**
- 5.13 Split corer**

Sampling device made of stainless steel or aluminium (40 cm long and e.g. 5,6 cm diameter may be used; the size and diameter should not differ considerably from these numbers in order to maintain comparable conditions), used to collect soil cores (samples). It can be composed of two independent parts that fit together along the corer main axis or it can consist of one tube. On the top, it has a handle and on the bottom, a cutting edge.

5.14 MacFadyen apparatus

High-gradient (multiple) device used to extract micro-arthropods from soil samples. The principle is to create an artificial temperature gradient between the canister where the sample is placed (hot) and the collecting device below (cold), inducing a negative thermotactic (at the same time a positive hygrotactic, negative phototactic and positive skototactic) behaviour on the animals that, by this way, leave the soil sample.

5.15 Plastic tubes, with caps (5 cm diameter, 5 cm long), or **plastic bags**, for storing the soil samples.

5.16 Kempson extractor, in the case litter is sampled.

5.17 Sample frame, 25 cm × 25 cm × 15 cm, made of stainless steel and with sharpened edges, to sample animals from the litter layer.

NOTE For details concerning the equipment in 5.13 to 5.17, see References [7] and [40].

6 Procedure

6.1 Collecting the soil samples

At each sampling point (previously defined according to sampling design rules), a soil sample is collected using a split corer (5.13); for flooded soils the same corer may be employed, but an auger tip should be present to retain the soil after extraction.

NOTE In addition to the general characterization of the site (see Clause 1), it is useful to determine the actual moisture of the soil to be sampled.

After the sample is taken, the corer is opened and the soil core is separated into litter layer (including the humus horizon) and the upper 10 cm of the mineral soil. Generally 5 cm layers are used for the upper part of the mineral horizon, but if a finer analysis is required, thinner layers can be defined. The depth of the litter layer should be registered. After this procedure, each layer is conditioned in plastic tubes; these are sealed with caps, labelled, and stored for transportation to the laboratory. Plastic bags can be used as substitutes of the plastic tubes (5.15), but special care shall be taken during conditioning to avoid disturbing the core structure and compaction of the soil material, that may lead to the death of animals. The time lapse between sampling and extraction should not exceed a few days, in order to avoid undesirable side effects due to confinement and shifts in micro populations.

If sampling of animals is restricted to the litter layer, a sample frame (5.17) is used instead. The frame is pressed into the litter by hand. Directly afterwards, the litter inside the frame is collected and the litter samples are placed in plastic bags (5.15), labelled and stored.

6.2 Extracting Collembola and Acarina from soil samples

In the laboratory, animals are extracted by behavioural methods, e.g. using a MacFadyen high-gradient extractor (5.14). Each sample core is placed inverted into the canister having a plastic or metal net (2 mm mesh size) on the bottom. This is connected to a funnel attached to a collecting flask (5.2) with 25 ml of "von Törne-fixative" (4.2.8).

Alternatively, a saturated solution of picric acid, a 50 % ethylene glycol solution (plus some drops of a detergent) or even 75 % ethanol (4.2.12) may be used as fixative.

A temperature gradient is created between the upper part (where the samples are) and the lower part of the system (where the collecting flasks are placed). Heat can be provided by ceramic heating elements (5.11), giving approximately 10 W per sample. The collecting flasks are immersed in a cooling water bath. In some commercial apparatus, the temperature gradient is obtained by circulating heated air in the canister area and cooled air on the collecting area.

The temperature difference between the upper and lower parts should be around 30 °C to 35 °C, with the upper part being heated at 45 °C to 50 °C and the lower part being cooled usually at 10 °C (maximum field temperature). Special care shall be taken in order to avoid a fast increase in temperature in the upper part, which may cause the rapid desiccation of the sample and the death of the animals. Therefore, it is recommended to have a gradual increase of the temperature of the upper part, starting with approximately 5 °C above field temperature during the first three days, and intensifying the gradient for the next six to seven days.

The extraction procedure takes nine to ten days. Afterwards, animal samples are labelled and ready to be stored until processing (sorting and identification). Extraction should preferably start as soon as possible (i.e. the day of sampling). In case storage is necessary, the soil samples should be kept at 4 °C.

NOTE 1 The method described here is only efficient for active live stages, with an average extraction efficiency of 75 % to 80 % [3], [41]. Eggs, other quiescent stages and animals enclosed in plant debris are not extracted by this method; alternatively, the heptane flotation method [44] can be used (see Annex B).

NOTE 2 The size of canisters can vary according to the type of apparatus. Commonly, plastic or metal canisters of 200 cm³ (2,5 cm radius and 10 cm high) are used. Some commercial MacFadyen apparatus however use larger canisters of about 800 cm³.

NOTE 3 Other types of apparatus using the same principle (e.g. Berlese-Tullgren funnel) can be used to extract the animals.

NOTE 4 Semi-permanent slide mounts can be obtained by mounting directly ethanol-fixed specimens (4.2.12) into a mixture of phenol (4.2.4), chloral hydrate (4.2.6) and lactic acid (4.2.11), then preserving the preparation from desiccation by successive deposits of nail varnish or similar resins.

WARNING — Appropriate precautions (i.e. gloves) shall be taken when dealing with formalin to avoid danger from inhalation or skin exposure. According to the “Material Safety Data Sheet” for Formaldehyde 37 % solution as published by producing companies, the compound is a skin sensitizer and is considered to be carcinogen (humans: limited evidence; animals: sufficient evidence). It is legally notified in industrialised countries for scientific use.

6.3 Sorting, preserving and identifying Collembola and Acarina

6.3.1 Sorting and preserving

After extraction, animals shall be sorted into groups. This procedure is done under a stereomicroscope (5.6) using Petri dishes (5.5) to place the samples. Animals can be manipulated with a pipette or a fine brush (5.4), and transferred to plastic vials (5.10) containing ethanol at 70 % to 75 % (4.2.12) for further identification.

6.3.2 Identification

6.3.2.1 Collembola

For taxonomic identification, specimens are mounted on a cavity slide (5.8) in lactic acid (4.2.11). After placing the cover glass (covering half of the slide) and adjusting the specimens, the slide is heated in an electric plate (5.9) until the body of the animal is totally cleared. After this, the specimen can be identified under a microscope (5.6) and the size can be measured, if required. The slide mount done by this process is not permanent, and allows a better observation of the animal in all angles. A comprehensive analysis of the type of characters used for Collembola identification is given in Reference [5].

NOTE 1 Lactic acid (4.2.11) can be replaced by a mixture of lactic acid, glycerine (4.2.7) and formalin (4.2.2) (volume fraction of 5:1:5) during the heating process of clearing the specimen.

NOTE 2 Permanent slide mounts, using Hoyer's medium (4.2.13) as mounting solution, can also be made for identification purposes, after the heating process to clear the specimen.

6.3.2.2 Acarina

Prior to taxonomic identification, heavy sclerotized or old specimens shall be cleared. This can be done by immersing the specimens in Nesbitt medium (4.2.9) for one day to seven days, depending on the degree of sclerotization. For the Astigmata, no clearing is required in most cases; however, if this procedure has to be done, a shorter immersion time is needed. In the case of Oribatida, clearing is usually achieved by immersing the specimens in lactic acid (4.2.11). When dealing with extremely sclerotized specimens, additionally heating can help. In the clearing process of Oribatids, the duration of the immersion in lactic acid depends on the degree of sclerotization. Immersion periods ranging from several hours to few days are used.

Identification can be done by temporary mountings done with lactic acid in cavity slides (5.8). In some specimens, dissection with fine needles (5.4) is necessary to observe fine structures.

Nesbitt media can be replaced by a lactophenol solution (4.2.10) or pure lactic acid (4.2.11). However, special care shall be taken to avoid the collapse of the animals. Specimens shall be immersed in a grade of water-alcohol solution before being transferred to the mounting media.

NOTE For permanent preparations, Hoyer's medium (4.2.13) or gum-arabic can be used as mounting media.

7 Assessment of results

The following measurement endpoints may be used for the bioclassification of a soil, including bioindication or biomonitoring (e.g. anthropogenic stress like chemicals or land use changes):

- abundance (number of individuals per area, volume or weight);
- number of species or other taxonomically or ecologically defined groups;
- diversity indices (alpha, beta and gamma diversity).

Firstly, the number of individuals (total number or by species or group) is counted and expressed as individuals per sample. If the soil core has been divided into several vertical fractions, add up these values. Secondly, the total abundance of individuals is then multiplied by a factor (509 in the case of a 5 cm diameter split corer) to obtain the number of individuals per square meter.

To convert the abundance per area to abundance per volume, the abundance of the sample is divided by the volume of the core, and the result is the number of individuals per cubic centimetre. If the soil core has been divided into several layers, the total depth of the core is the sum of all the depths.

To obtain the number of individuals per unit mass (generally by kilogram), the abundance of the sample is divided by the dry mass of the sample (obtained by weighing the sample after drying it in an oven at 105 °C for 15 h to 20 h after the extraction). If the soil core has been divided into several layers, the total mass of the core is the sum of all individual masses.

8 Study report

The study report shall include the following information:

- a) a reference to this part of ISO 23611, i.e. ISO 23611-2;
- b) a full description of the study design and procedures;
- c) characterization of the study site (especially soil properties);
- d) sampling method;
- e) description of the sampling conditions, including date and duration of sampling in the field and weather parameters such as air temperature and humidity, rain or snow, etc.;
- f) details of the extraction procedure of the biological material;
- g) values recalculated to 1 m² or another standard size, if necessary;
- h) a summary of the results obtained;
- i) discussion of the results;
- j) all information, including all measured raw data and all problems which might have occurred, developed during all phases of the study.

Annex A (informative)

Species determination in collembolans and mites

For Collembola, approximately 7 500 species are identified worldwide, but extrapolation to the actual number is difficult. New species are discovered yearly and the cumulative plot of new species per year shows no signs of stabilization [5]. Collembola are distributed in every habitat of the world and recent checklists of species for country and biogeographical regions are given in References [2] and [17] in the Bibliography.

Regarding mites, several thousand species are known to science. However this represents a fraction of the true number, since local faunas of many countries/regions are still not studied.

Some identification keys for Collembola and Acarina are presented below. A comprehensive list of identification keys for mites (mainly Oribatida) is also given in Reference [1] in the Bibliography.

- BABENKO *et al.*, 1994: Collembola of Russia and Adjacent Countries: Family Hypogastruridae, Nauka, Moscow
- BALOGH, J. and BALOGH, P., 1992: The Oribatid Mites Genera of the World. Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest: 263 pages and 375 pages
- BRETTFELD, G., 1999: Symphypleona. Synopses on Palaeartic Collembola, Vol. 2, Dunger, W. (ed.). Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Görlitz: 318 pages
- CHRISTIANSEN, K.A., 1990: Insecta: Collembola. In: Soil Biology Guide. Dindal, D.L. (ed.). John Wiley & Sons, New York: pp. 965-995
- CHRISTIANSEN, K.A. and BELLINGER, P., 1980: The Collembola of North America, north of the Rio Grande. Grinnel College, Grinnel, Iowa
- DUNGER, W., 1994: Introduction. Synopses on Palaeartic Collembola, Vol. 1, Dunger, W. (ed.). Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Görlitz: 71 pages
- FJELLBERG, A., 1998. The Collembola of Fennoscandia and Denmark Part 1: Poduromorpha, Fauna Entomologica Scandinavica, Vol. 35, Brill, Leiden: 183 pages
- GHILAROV, M.S. and KRIVOLUTSKY, D.A., 1976: Opređitel' obitajuschich u pochve kleshchei. Sarcotiformes. Hayka, Moscow
- GAMA, M.M. DA, 1987: Clef pour la détermination des espèces et sous-espèces de Xenylla à l'échelle mondiale (Insecta:Collembola). *Cienc. Biol. Ecol. Syst.*, Coimbra, 7, pp. 45-54
- GISIN, H., 1960: Collembolenfauna Europas. Museum d'Histoire Naturelle, Genève: 312 pages
- HOPKIN, S.P., 2000: A key to the springtails (Insecta: Collembola) of Britain and Ireland. Test version AIDGAP, Field Studies Council Publications, Shropshire: 242 pages
- JORDANA, J., ARBEA, J.I., SIMÓN, C. and LUCIAÑEZ, M.J., 1997: Collembola, Poduromorpha. In: Fauna Iberica, Vol. 8. Ramos Sánchez, M.A. *et al.* (eds.). Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC, Madrid: 807 pages
- KETHLEY, J., 1990: Acarina: Prostigmata (Actinedida). In: Soil Biology Guide. Dindal, D.L. (ed.). John Wiley & Sons, New York: pp. 667-756

ISO 23611-2:2006(E)

- KRANTZ, G.W. and AINSCOUGH, B.D., 1990: Acarina: Mesostigmata (Gamasida). In: Soil Biology Guide. Dindal, D.L. (ed.). John Wiley & Sons, New York: pp. 583-665
- NORTON, R.A., 1990: Acarina: Oribatida. In: Soil Biology Guide. Dindal, D.L. (ed.). John Wiley & Sons, New York: pp. 779-803
- PÉREZ-IÑIGO, C., 1993: Acari (Oribatei, Poronota). In: Fauna Iberica, Vol. 3. Ramos Sánchez, M.A. *et al.* (eds.). Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC, Madrid: 320 pages
- PHILIPS, J.R., 1990: Acarina: Astigmata (Acaridida). In: Soil Biology Guide. Dindal, D.L. (ed.). John Wiley & Sons, New York: pp. 757-778
- POTAPOV, M., 2001: Isotomidae. Synopses on Palaeartic Collembola, Vol. 3, Dunger, W. (ed.). Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Görlitz: 603 pages
- THIBAUD, J.M., SCHULZ, H.J. and GAMA ASSALINO, M.M. DA, 2004: Hypogastruridae. Synopses on Palaeartic Collembola, Vol 4, Dunger, W. (ed.). Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Görlitz: 287 pages
- SELLNICK, M., 1960: Formenkreis Hornmilben (Oribatei). In: Brohmer, Ehrmann und Ulmer (Eds.): *Die Tierwelt Mitteleuropas*, 3 (4), pp. 45-134. Leipzig.
- STACH, J., 1947: The Apterygotan Fauna of Poland in relation to the world-fauna of this group of insects. Isotomidae. Acta monogr. Mus. Hist. Natur. Krakow: 488 pages
- STACH, J., 1949: The Apterygotan Fauna of Poland in relation to the world-fauna of this group of insects. Neogastruridae and Brachystomellidae. Acta monogr. Mus. Hist. Natur. Krakow: 341 pages
- STACH, J., 1949: The Apterygotan Fauna of Poland in relation to the world-fauna of this group of insects. Anuridae and Pseudachorutidae. Acta monogr. Mus. Hist. Natur. Krakow: 122 pages
- STACH, J., 1951: The Apterygotan Fauna of Poland in relation to the world-fauna of this group of insects. Bilobidae. Acta monogr. Mus. Hist. Natur. Krakow: 97 pages
- STACH, J., 1954: The Apterygotan Fauna of Poland in relation to the world-fauna of this group of insects. Onychiuridae. Acta monogr. Mus. Hist. Natur. Krakow: 219 pages
- STACH, J., 1956: The Apterygotan Fauna of Poland in relation to the world-fauna of this group of insects. Sminthuridae. Acta monogr. Mus. Hist. Natur. Krakow: 287 pages
- STACH, J., 1957: The Apterygotan Fauna of Poland in relation to the world-fauna of this group of insects. Neelidae and Dicyrtomidae. Acta monogr. Mus. Hist. Natur. Krakow: 113 pages
- STACH, J., 1960: The Apterygotan Fauna of Poland in relation to the world-fauna of this group of insects. Orchesellini. Acta monogr. Mus. Hist. Natur. Krakow: 151 pages
- STACH, J., 1963: The Apterygotan Fauna of Poland in relation to the world-fauna of this group of insects. Enromobryini. Acta monogr. Mus. Hist. Natur. Krakow: 126 pages
- ZIMDARS, B. and DUNGER, W., 1994: Tullbergiinae. Synopses on Palaeartic Collembola, Vol. 1, Dunger, W. (ed.). Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Görlitz: 71 pages

Annex B (informative)

Alternative methods for sampling of micro-arthropods

For those soil types where the behavioural method described cannot be so efficient, the heptane flotation method can be used [39]. This method takes the advantage of the arthropod cuticle to adhere to heptane. It is performed in several steps.

- a) Pour the sample into a graduated 1 l cylinder and add 50 % ethanol (volume fraction) to 1 l line.
- b) Add about 10 ml of heptane (the heptane layer is approximately 2 cm thick).
- c) Plug the flask with a rubber stopper and carefully invert the cylinder and allow the heptane to rise to the other end. When most of the heptane has risen to the top, invert the cylinder again and wait for the heptane to rise. Never shake, and repeat this procedure twice.
- d) Allow the cylinder to stand until fine soil particles settle to the bottom (minimum 4 h).
- e) With minimal disturbance of sediment, pour heptane layer through a fine sieve, turning the cylinder as it is poured, in order to rinse all sides. Stop before the particles from the sediment enter the sieve.
- f) Rinse the sieve thoroughly with 95 % ethanol (volume fraction) in order to remove all heptane, and finally rinse the contents of the sieve into a sample container.

Bibliography

- [1] BEHAN-PELLETIER, V.M., 1999: Oribatid mite biodiversity in agroecosystems: role for bioindication. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **74**, pp. 411-423
- [2] BELLINGER, P.F., CHRISTIANSEN, K.A. and JANSSENS, F., 1996-2005: Checklist of the Collembola of the World. <http://www.collembola.org>
- [3] BLOCK, W., 1966: Some characteristics of the MacFadyen high gradient extraction for soil microarthropods. *Oikos*, **17**, pp. 1-9
- [4] COLEMAN, D.C. and CROSSLEY Jr., D.A., 1996: Fundamentals of soil ecology. Academic Press, London: 205 pages
- [5] DEHARVENG, L. 2004: Recent advances in Collembola systematics. *Pedobiologia*, **48**, pp. 415-433
- [6] DEKKERS, T.B.M., VAN DER WERFF, P.A. and Van Amelsvoort, P.A.M., 1994: Soil Collembola and Acari related to farming systems and crop rotations in organic farming. *Acta Zool. Fennica*, **195**, pp. 28-31
- [7] DUNGER, W., WANNER, M., HAUSER, H., HOHBERG, K., SCHULZ, H.J., SCHWALBE, T., SEIFERT, B., VOGEL, J., VOIGTLANDER, K., ZIMDARS, B. and ZULKA, K.P., 2001: Development of soil fauna at mine sites during 46 years after afforestation. *Pedobiologia*, **45**, pp. 243-271
- [8] EDWARDS, C.A., 2000: Soil invertebrate controls and microbial interactions in nutrient and organic matter dynamics in natural and agroecosystems. In: Invertebrates as Webmasters in Ecosystems. Coleman, D.C. and Hendrix, P.F. (eds.). CABI Publishing, Wallingford: 336 pages
- [9] FILSER, J., FROMM, H., NAGEL, R.F. and WINTER, K., 1995: Effects of previous intensive agricultural management on microorganisms and the biodiversity of soil fauna. *Plant and Soil*, **170**, pp. 123-129
- [10] FILSER, J., LANG, A., MEBES, K.-H., MOMMERTZ, S., PALOJÄRVI, A. and WINTER, K., 1996: The effect of land use change on soil organisms - an experimental approach. *Verhandlungen der Gesellschaft für Ökologie*, **26**, pp. 671-679
- [11] FRAMPTON, G.K. and VAN DEN BRINK, P.J., 2002: Influence of cropping on the species composition of epigeic Collembola in arable fields. *Pedobiologia*, **46**, pp. 328-337
- [12] GAMA, M.M. da, NOGUEIRA, A. and SANTOS, A.F.A.M.dos, 1991: Effets du reboisement par *Eucalyptus globulus* sur les Collembolés édaphiques. *Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol.*, **27**, pp. 1-10
- [13] GAMA, M.M. da, VASCONCELOS, T.M. and SOUSA, J.P., 1994: Collembola diversity in Portuguese autochthonous and allochthonous forests. *Acta Zool. Fennica*, **195**, pp. 44-47
- [14] HAIMI, J., FRITZE, H. and MOILANEN, P., 2000: Responses of soil decomposer animals to wood-ash fertilisation and burning in a coniferous forest stand. *For. Ecol. Manag.*, **129**, pp. 53-61
- [15] HEEB, J. and VETTER, F., 1995: Ansatz für eine integrative Auswertung bodenbiologischer Messergebnisse. Umweltmaterialien. BUWAL (ed.), Schattweid, Switzerland
- [16] HEISLER, C. and KAISER, E.-A., 1995: Influence of agricultural traffic and crop management on collembola and microbial biomass in arable soil. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, **19**, pp. 159-165
- [17] HOPKIN, S.P., 1997: Biology of the Springtails (Insecta: Collembola). Oxford University Press, Oxford 330 pages
- [18] HUHTA, V., 2002: Soil macroarthropod communities in planted birch stands in comparison with natural forests in Central Finland. *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, **20**, pp. 199-209

- [19] JORDANA, R., ARBEA, J.I., MORAZA, L., MONTENEGRO, E., MATEO, M.D., HERNANDEZ, M.A. and HERRERA, L., 1987: Effect of reafforestation by conifers in natural biotops of middle and South Navarra (Northern Spain). *Rev. Suisse Zool.*, **94**, pp. 491-502
- [20] KOVAC, L., 1994: Effects of soil type on collembolan communities in agroecosystems. *Acta Zool. Fennica*, **195**, pp. 89-93
- [21] LIIRI, M., HAIMI, J. and SETALA, H., 2002: Community composition of soil microarthropods of acid forest soils as affected by wood ash application. *Pedobiologia*, **46**, pp. 108-124
- [22] LINDBERG, N. and PERSSON, T., 2004: Effects of long-term nutrient fertilisation and irrigation on the microarthropod community in a boreal Norway spruce stand. *For. Ecol. Manag.*, **188**, pp. 125-135
- [23] LAUGA-REYREL, F. and DECONCHAT, M., 1999: Diversity within the Collembola community in fragmented coppice forests in south-western France. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.*, **35**, pp. 177-187
- [24] LORANGER, G., PONGE, J.-F., BLANCHART, É. and LAVELLE, P., 1999: Influence of agricultural practices on arthropod communities in a vertisol (Martinique). *Eur. J. Soil Biol.*, **34**, pp. 157-165
- [25] MEYER, E., 1996: Mesofauna. In: *Methods in soil biology*. SCHINNER, F.; OHLINGER, R.; KANDELER, E. and MARGESIN, R. (eds.). Springer, Berlin. pp. 338-345
- [26] PONGE, J.F., 1983: Les collemboles, indicateurs du type d'humus en milieu forestier. Résultats obtenus au Sud de Paris. *Acta Oecologica. Oecol. Gener.*, **4**, pp. 359-374
- [27] PONGE, J.F., ARPIN, P. and VANNIER, G., 1993: Collembolan response to experimental perturbations of litter supply in a temperate forest ecosystem. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.*, **29**, pp. 141-153
- [28] PONGE, J.-F., GILLET, S., DUBS, F., FEDOROFF, E., HAESE, L; SOUSA, J.P. and LAVELLE, P., 2003: Collembolan communities as indicators of land use intensification. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, **35**, pp. 813-826
- [29] RÖMBKE, J., BECK, L., FÖRSTER, B., FRÜND, C.-H., HORAK, F., RUF, A., ROSCICZEWSKI, K., SCHEURIG, M. and WOAS, S., 1997: Boden als Lebensraum für Bodenorganismen – Literaturstudie. Texte und Berichte zum Bodenschutz Nr. 4/97, Landesanstalt für Umweltschutz Baden-Württemberg, Karlsruhe. 437 pages
- [30] RUSEK, J. (1989). Ecology of Collembola. In: 3th International Seminar on Apterygota. Dallai, R. (Ed.). University of Siena. Italy. pp. 271-281
- [31] RUSEK, J., 2002: Taxonomy of Collembola at the beginning of the new millennium. *Pedobiologia*, **46**, pp. 215-224
- [32] SCHOUTEN, A.J., BREURE, A.M., BLOEM, J., DIDDEN, W., DE RUITER, P.C. and SIEPEL, H., 1999: Life support functies van de bodem: operationalisering t.b.v. het biodiversiteitsbeleid. RIVM Report 607601003. 55 pages
- [33] SIIRA-PIETIKÄINEN, A., PIETIKÄINEN, J., FRITZE, H. and HAIMI, J., 2001: Short-term responses of soil decomposer communities to forest management: clear felling versus alternative forest harvesting methods. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, **31**, pp. 88-99
- [34] SOUSA, J.P. and GAMA, M.M.da, 1994: Rupture in a Collembola community structure from a *Quercus rotundifolia* Lam. forest due to the reafforestation with *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.*, **30**, pp. 71-78
- [35] SOUSA, J.P., GAMA, M.M.da, FERREIRA, C. and BARROCAS, H., 2000: Effect of eucalyptus plantations on Collembola communities in Portugal: a review. *Belgian Journal of Entomology*, **2**, pp. 187-201
- [36] SOUSA, J.P., GAMA, M.M. da, PINTO, C., KEATING, A., CALHÔA, C., LEMOS, M., CASTRO, C., LUZ, T., LEITÃO, P. and DIAS, S., 2004: Effects of land-use on Collembola diversity patterns in Mediterranean landscape. *Pedobiologia*, **48**, pp. 609-622

- [37] SOUSA, J.P., VINGADA, J.V., BARROCAS, H. and GAMA, M.M.da, 1997: Effects of introduced exotic tree species on Collembola communities: the importance of management techniques. *Pedobiologia*, **41**, pp. 145-153
- [38] SOUTHWOOD, T.R.E. and HENDERSON, P.A., 2000: Ecological Methods. Blackwell Science, Oxford. 575 pages
- [39] SOVIK, G. and LEINAAS, H.P., 2002: Variation in extraction efficiency between juvenile and adult oribatid mites: *Ameronothrus lineatus* (Oribatida, Acari) in a MacFadyen high-gradient canister extractor. *Pedobiologia*, **46**, pp. 34-41
- [40] SPURGEON, D.J., SANDIFER, R.D. and HOPKIN, S.P., 1996: The use of macro-invertebrates for population and community monitoring of metal contamination - indicator taxa, effect parameters and the need for a soil invertebrate prediction and classification scheme (SIVPACS). In: Bioindicator Systems for Soil Pollution. Van Straalen, N.M. and Krivolutsky, D.A. (eds.). Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht. pp. 95-109
- [41] VAN STRAALLEN, N.M. and KRIVOLUTSKY, D.A., 1996: Bioindicator Systems for Soil Pollution. NATO ASI Series. 2: Environment, Vol. 16, 261 pages
- [42] VAN STRAALLEN, N.M., 1997: Community structure of soil arthropods as a bioindicator of soil health. In: Biological Indicators of Soil Health. PANKHURST, C.E.; DOUBE, B.M. and GUPTA, V.V.S.R. (eds.). CAB International, Wallingford, pp. 235-264
- [43] VAN STRAALLEN, N.M., 2004: The use of soil invertebrates in ecological surveys of contaminated soils. In Vital Soil - Function Values and Properties. DOELMAN, P. and EIJSACKERS, H.J.P. (eds.). *Developments in Soil Science*, Volume **29**. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 159-195
- [44] WALTER, D.E., KETHLEY, J., and MOORE, J.C., 1987: A heptane flotation method for recovering microarthropods from arid grassland soils, with comparisons to the Merchant-Crossley high-gradient extraction method and estimates of microarthropod biomass. *Pedobiologia*, **30**, pp. 221-232
- [45] WATT *et al.*, 2001: Biodiversity Assessment Tools (BioAssess). First project report, Contract EVK2-CT1999-00289, 53 pages
- [46] WEEKS, J.M., HOPKIN, S.P., WRIGHT, J.F., BLACK, H., EVERS HAM, B.C., ROY, D. and SVENDSEN, C., 1997: A Demonstration of the Feasibility of SOILPACS. Final Report HMIP/CPR2/41/1/247, 180 pages
- [47] KROGH, H.A., JOHANSEN, K., HOLMSTRUP, M., 1998: Automatic counting of collembolans for laboratory experiments. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **7**, pp. 201-205
- [48] ISO 10381-1, *Soil quality — Sampling — Part 1: Guidance on the design of sampling programmes*
- [49] ISO 10390, *Soil quality — Determination of pH*
- [50] ISO 10694, *Soil quality — Determination of organic carbon and total carbon after dry combustion (elementary analysis)*
- [51] ISO 11272, *Soil quality — Determination of dry bulk density*
- [52] ISO 11274, *Soil quality — Determination of the water-retention characteristic — Laboratory methods*
- [53] ISO 11277, *Soil quality — Determination of particle size distribution in mineral soil material — Method by sieving and sedimentation*
- [54] ISO 11461, *Soil quality — Determination of soil water content as a volume fraction using coring sleeves — Gravimetric method*
- [55] ISO 11465, *Soil quality — Determination of dry matter and water content on a mass basis — Gravimetric method*

© 2010 International Organization for Standardization

ICS 13.080.05; 13.080.30

Price based on 12 pages