
**Electronic fee collection — Evaluation of
equipment for conformity to
ISO/TS 17575-4 —**

**Part 1:
Test suite structure and test purposes**

*Perception du télépéage — Évaluation de conformité de l'équipement à
l'ISO/TS 17575-4 —*

Partie 1: Structure de la suite d'essais et objectif d'essai



Reference number
ISO/TS 16403-1:2012(E)

© ISO 2012



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2012

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	2
4 Abbreviations	2
5 Test Suite Structure (TSS)	3
5.1 Structure	3
5.2 Reference to conformance test specifications	3
5.3 Test Purposes (TP)	4
5.3.1 TP definition conventions	4
5.3.2 TP naming conventions	5
5.4 Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR)	5
Annex A (normative) Test Purposes for Front End	6
Annex B (normative) TP for Back End	24
Annex C (normative) Data Structures	31
Annex D (informative) PCTR Proforma for Front End	40
Annex E (informative) PCTR Proforma for Back End	44
Bibliography	48

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

In other circumstances, particularly when there is an urgent market requirement for such documents, a technical committee may decide to publish other types of document:

- an ISO Publicly Available Specification (ISO/PAS) represents an agreement between technical experts in an ISO working group and is accepted for publication if it is approved by more than 50 % of the members of the parent committee casting a vote;
- an ISO Technical Specification (ISO/TS) represents an agreement between the members of a technical committee and is accepted for publication if it is approved by 2/3 of the members of the committee casting a vote.

An ISO/PAS or ISO/TS is reviewed after three years in order to decide whether it will be confirmed for a further three years, revised to become an International Standard, or withdrawn. If the ISO/PAS or ISO/TS is confirmed, it is reviewed again after a further three years, at which time it must either be transformed into an International Standard or be withdrawn.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/TS 16403-1 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 204, *Intelligent transport systems*, in collaboration with Technical Committee CEN/TC 278, *Road transport and traffic telematics*.

ISO/TS 16403 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Electronic fee collection — Evaluation of equipment for conformity to ISO/TS 17575-4*:

- *Part 1: Test suite structure and test purposes*
- *Part 2: Abstract test suite*

Introduction

This part of ISO/TS 16403 is part of a set of standards that supports interoperability of autonomous EFC-systems, which includes ISO/TS 17575 that defines the EFC context data, their charge reports and their use of communication infrastructure.

Within the suite of EFC standards this conformance evaluation procedure defines the process and tests for conformity evaluation of Front End and Back End that comply with the requirements in ISO/TS 17575-4.

This part of ISO/TS 16403 is intended to

- assess Front End and Back End capabilities,
- assess Front End and Back End behaviour,
- serve as a guide for Front End and Back End conformance evaluation and type approval,
- achieve comparability between the results of the corresponding tests applied in different places at different times, and
- facilitate communications between parties.

This part of ISO/TS 16403 is based on ISO/TS 17575-4.

.....

Electronic fee collection — Evaluation of equipment for conformity to ISO/TS 17575-4 —

Part 1: Test suite structure and test purposes

1 Scope

This part of ISO/TS 16403 specifies the test suite structure (TSS) and test purposes (TP) to evaluate the conformity of Front End and Back End to ISO/TS 17575-4.

The objective of the present document is to provide a basis for conformance tests for the Front End and the Back End in Electronic Fee Collection based on autonomous on-board equipment (OBE) to enable interoperability between different equipment supplied by different manufacturers.

Autonomous OBE operate without relying on dedicated road-side infrastructure by employing wide-area technologies such as Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) and Cellular Communications Networks (CN). These EFC systems are referred to by a variety of names. Besides the terms autonomous systems and GNSS/CN systems, also the terms GPS/GSM systems, and wide-area charging systems are in use.

Autonomous systems use satellite positioning, often combined with additional sensor technologies such as gyroscopes, odometers, and accelerometers, to localise the vehicle and to find its position on a map containing the charged geographic objects, such as charged roads or charged areas. From the charged objects, the vehicle characteristics, the time of day and other data that are relevant for describing road use, the tariff and ultimately the road usage fee is determined.

Test Purposes applicable for the Back End focus on the output produced by the Back End, i.e. Roaming Rules data element. Test Purposes related to Front End focus on the main scenarios defined in ISO/TS 17575-4 6.2.4. To verify the Front End behaviour it is needed to observe Charge Reports which are defined in ISO/TS 17575-1.

The dependencies between Context Data (defined in ISO/TS 17575-3), Charge Report (defined in ISO/TS 17575-1) and Roaming (defined in ISO/TS 17575-4) to support a particular pricing scheme scenario are outside of the scope of this part of ISO/TS 16403.

As ISO/TS 17575-4 does not specify any invalid behaviour of Front End and Back End, BI test purposes are not applicable for any Test Purpose group.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 14906, *Electronic fee collection — Application interface definition for dedicated short-range communication*

ISO 17573, *Electronic fee collection — Systems architecture for vehicle-related tolling*

ISO/TS 17575-1, *Electronic fee collection — Application interface definition for autonomous systems — Part 1: Charging*

ISO/TS 17575-3, *Electronic fee collection — Application interface definition for autonomous systems — Part 3: Context data*

ISO/TS 17575-4, *Electronic fee collection — Application interface definition for autonomous systems — Part 4: Roaming*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 17573, ISO/TS 17575-1 and the following apply.

3.1

contract

expression of an agreement between two or more parties concerning the use of the road infrastructure

NOTE A contract specifies obligations, permissions and prohibitions for the objects involved.

[ISO 14906:2011, definition 3.7]

3.2

service provider

operator that accepts the user's payment means and in return provides a road-use service to the user

NOTE Taken from ISO 14906:2004.

3.3

toll charger

legal entity charging toll for vehicles in a toll domain

[ISO/TS 17574:2009, definition 3.27]

4 Abbreviations

For the purposes of this document, the following abbreviations apply, unless otherwise specified.

ADU	Application Data Unit
ASN.1	Abstract Syntax Notation One
ATS	Abstract Test Suite
BI	Invalid Behaviour
BV	Valid Behaviour
CCC	Compliance Check Communication
CN	Cellular Network
DUT	Device Under Tests
EFC	Electronic Fee Collection
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite Systems
HMI	Human Machine Interface

ID	Identifier
OBE	On-Board Equipment
PCTR	Protocol Conformance Test Report
PICS	Protocol Implementation Conformance Statements
TP	Test Purposes
TSS	Test Suite Structure
VAT	Value Added Tax

5 Test Suite Structure (TSS)

5.1 Structure

Table 1 — Test Suite Structures shows the Test Suite Structure (TSS).

Table 1 — Test Suite Structures

Group	Type of DUT	Behaviour
General	Front End	Valid Behaviour
		Invalid Behaviour not applicable
	Back End	Valid Behaviour
		Invalid Behaviour not applicable
Combined Charge Report	Front End	Valid Behaviour
		Invalid Behaviour not applicable
Relevant EFC Contexts	Front End	Valid Behaviour
		Invalid Behaviour not applicable
Data Elements	Back End	Valid Behaviour
		Invalid Behaviour not applicable

5.2 Reference to conformance test specifications

This document takes into account already defined test purposes for conformance to the base standards by referencing them, so that:

- a) For test purposes that are **identical** to those defined in this specification or the base standards conformance test cases direct reference is reported. For reader's convenience, the title or a verbal description of the referenced test purpose is given, together with the reference.

- b) For test purposes that are **derived** from those defined in the base standards conformance test cases, a direct reference is reported, plus an indication on how the referred test purpose has to be modified for the profile conformance testing.
- c) For test purposes that are **specific** to ISO/TS 17575-4, a complete description is given.
- d) An indication on whether a test purpose is **identical**, **derived**, or **specific** is given in each test purpose.

5.3 Test Purposes (TP)

5.3.1 TP definition conventions

The TPs are defined following the rules shown in Table 2 — TP Definition Rules below. All Test Purposes are defined in Annex A and Annex B, including the special notation and symbol conventions that shall be used. The data structures that shall be used are specified in Annex C and defined in ISO/TS 17575-3 and ISO/TS 17575-4.

Table 2 — TP Definition Rules

TP ID according to the TP naming conventions	Title
	Reference
	TP origin
	Initial condition
	Stimulus and expected behaviour
TP ID	The TP ID is a unique identifier. It shall be specified according to the TP naming conventions defined in the sub-clause below.
Title	Short description of Test Purpose objective.
Reference	The reference should contain the references of the subject to be validated by the actual TP (specification reference, clause, paragraph), or the reference to the standard document defining the TP.
TP origin	Indicates if the TP is identical to a TP defined in another test standard, derived from a TP defined in another test standard, or specific for this standard profile.
Initial condition	The condition defines in which initial state the DUT has to be to apply the actual TP.
Stimulus and expected behaviour	Definition of the events the tester performs, and the events that are expected from the DUT to conform to the base specification.

5.3.2 TP naming conventions

Each TP is given a unique identification. This unique identification is built up to contain the following string of information:

TP/<group>/<dut>/<x>-<nn>

TP : to indicate that it is a Test Purpose;

<group> : which group TP belongs to;

<dut> : type of DUT (i.e. FE or BE);

X : type of testing (i.e. Valid Behaviour tests – BV, or Invalid Behaviour tests – BI);

<nn> : sequential TP number (01-99).

The naming conventions are as described in Table 3.

Table 3 — TP naming convention

Identifier:

TP/<group>/<dut>/<x>-<nn>

<group>

applicable for FE and BE

applicable for FE

applicable for FE

applicable for BE

GEN

CCR

REC

DAT

General

Combined Charge Report

Relevant EFC Contexts

Data elements

<dut> = type of DUT

FE

BE

Front End

Back End

x = Type of testing

BV

BI

Valid Behaviour Tests

Invalid Behaviour Tests

<nn> = sequential
number

(01-99)

Test Purpose Number

5.4 Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR)

The supplier of the Front End and Back End, respectively, is responsible for providing a Protocol Conformance Test Report (PCTR).

The supplier of the Front End and the Back End shall complete a PCTR; see Annex D and Annex E for the proformas.

Annex A (normative)

Test Purposes for Front End

A.1 Introduction

This annex contains the Test Purposes (TP) for the conformity evaluation of Front End to ISO/TS 17575-4.

A.1.1 TP symbols conventions

A special notation and symbol convention is used, as defined in what follows.

Symbols are used in the description of the TPs, with meanings according to Table A.1 below.

Table A.1 — Description of TP Symbols

SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION
XXX.rq ⇒	The Tester sends the XXX.rq to the DUT
XXX.rq(arg1=value1) ⇒	The Tester sends the XXX.rq to DUT with argument arg1 equal to value value1.
roamingRules = RoamingRulesX ⇒	The Tester sends RoamingRuleX data element defined in Annex C to the DUT
⇐ YYY.rs	It is expected DUT sends the YYY.rs to the Tester
⇐ YYY.rs (arg1=value1)	It is expected DUT sends the YYY.rs to the Tester. Received value of argument arg1 shall be stored by the tester as value1.
A ≡ B	A “is equal to” B
A → B	A “is transformed” into B
∅	Means “empty” or “not set”.
A != B	A is not equal B

A.2 General Test Purposes

These Test Purposes apply to requesting update of RoamingRules when recognizing the event requiring new roaming data as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 clause B.5.4 Table B.3/1.

A.2.1 BV test purposes

Test subgroup objective:

- to test the behaviour of the DUT in relation to requesting roaming rule update
by means of the syntactically and contextual correct ADU consisting of RoamingRules and ChargeReportResponse ADU.

TP/GEN/FE/BV/01	Verify whether Front End requests an update of the roaming rule attribute
TP Origin	Specific
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 7.1
Initial Condition	<p>Front End is initialized and can accept Context Data (including Roaming Rules).</p> <p>Front has already received the following context data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for EFC Context #1:: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 1 ▪ tollCharger.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollCharger.providerIdentifier = 1000 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D – ChargeReportingEvents -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (regimeId of usageStatement is enabled) <p>OBU belonging to the Front End is located within geographic area of EFC Context #1.</p> <p>No authentication is required by the Front End.</p>

Stimulus and Expected Behaviour

	Tester		DUT
1	Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = RoamingRules6 }	⇒	
2	At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End for EFC Context #1 AND event defined in 41'D – ChargeReportingEvents of EFC Context #1 occurred.		
3		⇐	ChargeReport = { obeld, vehicleLPNr, paymentMeans, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionInfo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, listOfCCCAttributes, authenticator}
4	IF ChargeReport not received THEN TP failed ENDIF		
5	ChargeReportResponse = { reportRecipientId = any, dataReceived = (ChargeReport.timeOfReport ChargeReport.mileage ChargeReport.transactionCounter) , versionsResponse = verResp1, obeStatusForDriver = 0, accountUpdate = ∅, responseAuthenticator = ∅} NOTE verResp1 indicates that new roaming rules are available. ISO/TS 17575-4 does not specify versionsResponse syntax.	⇒	

		←	DUT requests an update of roaming rules attribute as defined in ISO/TS 17575-4.
6	IF request received THEN TP passed ELSE TP failed ENDIF		

A.2.2 BI test purposes

No BI test purposes are applicable for this TP group.

A.3 Relevant EFC Context Test Purposes

These Test Purposes apply to relevant EFC Contexts as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.5.4 Table B.5/2, reuse of tariff information as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.5.4 Table B.6/2, reuse of reporting rules as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.5.4 Table B.6/3, precedence level as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.5.4 Table B.6/6, and sending charge report if entering EFC context as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.5.4 Table B.6/7.

A.3.1 BV test purposes

Test subgroup objective:

- to test the behaviour of the DUT in relation to roaming rule update;
- to test the behaviour of the DUT in relation to ignoring not listed EFC Contexts;
- to test the behaviour of the DUT in relation to re-use of tariff class and reporting rules from another EFC Context;
- to test the behaviour of the DUT in relation to precedence level handling;
- to test the behaviour of the DUT in relation to sending charge report when entering particular EFC Context

by means of the syntactically and contextual correct ADU consisting of RoamingRules.

TP/REC/FE/BV/01	Roaming Rules update
TP Origin	Specific
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6.2.2.1
Initial Condition	<p>Front End is initialized and can accept Context Data (including Roaming Rules).</p> <p>Front has already received the following context data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for EFC Context #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 1 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D - ChargeReportingEvents (different than in EFC Context #2) -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (different than in EFC Context #2, but regimeld of usageStatement is enabled). - for EFC Context #2:: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 2 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D - ChargeReportingEvents (different than in EFC Context #1) -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (different than in EFC Context #1, but regimeld of usageStatement is enabled). - for EFC Context #3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 3 -31'D - TollContextLayout <p>OBU belonging to the Front End is located within geographic borders of EFC Context #3.</p> <p>Geographic area of EFC Context #1, #2 and #3 do not overlap.</p> <p>No authentication is required by the Front End.</p>

Stimulus and Expected Behaviour

	Tester		DUT
1	iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = — RoamingRules1 }	⇒	
2	At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End and Event defined in 41'D – ChargeReportingEvents of EFC Context #1		

	occurred		
3		←	ChargeReport = { obeld, vehicleLPNr, paymentMeans, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionInfo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, listOfCCCAttributes, authenticator}
4	<p>Verify whether ChargeReport data elements corresponds to 42'D – ChargeReportConfiguration of EFC Context #1 AND usage statement list corresponds to EFC Context #3 (by verifying regimeld value of usageStatement).</p> <p>Mapping rules between regimeld in ChargeReport and contextId in Iso17575-3Adu shall be defined before running a test purpose.</p>		
5	<p>IF verify NOT OK THEN TP failed ENDIF</p>		
6	<p>Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules == RoamingRules2 }</p>	⇒	
7	<p>At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End and Event defined in 41'D – ChargeReportingEvents of EFC Context #2 occurred</p>		
8		←	ChargeReport = { obeld, vehicleLPNr, paymentMeans, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionInfo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, listOfCCCAttributes, authenticator}
9	<p>Verify whether ChargeReport data elements corresponds to 42'D – ChargeReportConfiguration of EFC Context #2 AND usage statement list corresponds to EFC Context #3 (by verifying regimeld value of usageStatement).</p> <p>Mapping rules between regimeld in ChargeReport and contextId in Iso17575-3Adu shall be defined before running a test purpose.</p>		
10	<p>IF verify NOT OK THEN TP failed ELSE TP passed ENDIF</p>		

TP/REC/FE/BV/02	Verify whether EFC Context not listed in roaming rules is ignored
TP Origin	Specific
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6.2.2.1
Initial Condition	<p>Front End is initialized and can accept Context Data (including Roaming Rules).</p> <p>Front has already received the following context data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for EFC Context #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 1 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D - ChargeReportingEvents -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration - for EFC Context #4: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 4 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D - ChargeReportingEvents -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration <p>OBU belonging to the Front End is located within geographic borders of EFC Context #4.</p> <p>Geographic area of EFC Context #1 and #4 do not overlap.</p> <p>No authentication is required by the Front End.</p>

Stimulus and Expected Behaviour

	Tester		DUT
1	<pre> Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = — RoamingRules1 } </pre>	⇒	
2	<p>At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End and Event defined in 41'D – ChargeReportingEvents of EFC Context #4 occurred</p>		
3		⇐	<pre> ChargeReport = { obeld, vehicleLPNr, paymentMeans, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionI fo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, listOfCCCAttributes, authenticator} </pre>

4	IF ChargeReport received THEN TP failed ELSE TP passed ENDIF		
----------	---	--	--

TP/REC/FE/BV/03	Verify whether Tariff Classes are re-used
TP Origin	Specific
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6.2.2.4
Initial Condition	<p>Front End is initialized and can accept Context Data (including Roaming Rules).</p> <p>Front has already received the following context data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for EFC Context #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 1 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D - ChargeReportingEvents -42'D – ChargeReportConfiguration (regimeld of usageStatement and tariffClass of AggregatedSingleTariffClassSessionContent, DetectedChargeObjectContent and ListOfRawUsageDataContent is enabled) - for EFC Context #3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 3 -31'D - TollContextLayout <p>OBU belonging to the Front End is located within geographic borders of EFC Context #3.</p> <p>Geographic area of EFC Context #1 and #3 do not overlap.</p> <p>No authentication is required by the Front End.</p>

Stimulus and Expected Behaviour

	Tester		DUT
1	iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = — RoamingRules1 }	⇒	
2	At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End and Event defined in 41'D – ChargeReportingEvents of EFC Context #1 occurred		
3		⇐	ChargeReport = { obeld, vehicleLPNr, paymentMeans, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionInfo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, listOfCCCAAttributes, authenticator}
4	IF (each tariffClass data element is composed of locationClassId, timeClassId, userClassId which are defined in context data of EFC Context #1 (attributes 22'D, 23'D, 24'D and 25'D)) THEN TP passed ELSE TP failed ENDIF		

TP/REC/FE/BV/04	Reporting Rules re-use
TP Origin	Specific
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6.2.2.5
Initial Condition	<p>Front End is initialized and can accept Context Data (including Roaming Rules).</p> <p>Front has already received the following context data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for EFC Context #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 1 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D - ChargeReportingEvents -42'D – ChargeReportConfiguration (regimeld of usageStatement is enabled) - for EFC Context #3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 3 -31'D - TollContextLayout <p>OBU belonging to the Front End is located within geographic borders of EFC Context #3.</p> <p>Geographic area of EFC Context #1 and #3 do not overlap.</p> <p>No authentication is required by the Front End.</p>

Stimulus and Expected Behaviour

	Tester		DUT
1	iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = — RoamingRules1 }	⇒	
2	At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End and Event defined in 41'D – ChargeReportingEvents of EFC Context #1 occurred		
3		⇐	ChargeReport = { obeld, vehicleLPNr, paymentMeans, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionInfo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, listOfCCCAttributes, authenticator}
4	Verify whether ChargeReport data elements correspond to 42'D – ChargeReportConfiguration of EFC Context #1 AND usage statement list corresponds to EFC Context #3 (by verifying regimeld value of usageStatement). Mapping rules between regimeld in ChargeReport and contextId in iso17575-3Adu shall be defined before running a test purpose.		

5	<p>IF verify NOT OK THEN TP failed ELSE TP passed ENDIF</p>		
---	--	--	--

Copyright International Organization for Standardization
 Provided by IHS under license with ISO
 No reproduction or networking permitted without license from IHS

TP/REC/FE/BV/05	Handling of Precedence Level (different values)
TP Origin	Specific
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6.2.2.8
Initial Condition	<p>Front End is initialized and can accept Context Data (including Roaming Rules).</p> <p>Front has already received the following context data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for EFC Context #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 1 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D – ChargeReportingEvents (different than in EFC Context #2) -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (different than in EFC Context #2, but regimeld of usageStatement is enabled) - for EFC Context #2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 2 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D – ChargeReportingEvents (different than in EFC Context #1) -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (different than in EFC Context #1, but regimeld of usageStatement is enabled) <p>Geographic area of EFC Context #1 and #2 overlaps.</p> <p>OBU belonging to the Front End is located within overlapping geographic area of EFC Context #1 and #2.</p> <p>No authentication is required by the Front End.</p>

Stimulus and Expected Behaviour

	Tester		DUT
1	<pre> Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = — RoamingRules3 } </pre>	⇒	
2	<p>At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End and Event defined in 41'D – ChargeReportingEvents of EFC Context #2 occurred</p>		
3		⇐	<pre> ChargeReport = { obeld, vehicleLPNr, paymentM a s, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionInfo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, listOfCCCAAttributes, authenticator} </pre>

4	<p>IF ChargeReport received THEN TP failed ENDIF</p>		
5	<p>At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End and Event defined in 41'D – ChargeReportingEvents of EFC Context #1 occurred</p>		
6		←	<p>ChargeReport = { obeld, vehicleLPNr, paymentMeans, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionInfo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, listOfCCCAttributes, authenticator}</p>
7	<p>Verify whether ChargeReport data elements correspond to 42'D – ChargeReportConfiguration of EFC Context #1 AND usage statement list corresponds to EFC Context #1 (by verifying regimeld value of usageStatement).</p> <p>Mapping rules between regimeld in ChargeReport and contextId in Iso17575-3Adu shall be defined before running a test purpose.</p>		
8	<p>IF verify NOT OK THEN TP failed ELSE TP passed ENDIF</p>		

TP/REC/FE/BV/06	Handling of Precedence Level (the same values)
TP Origin	Specific
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6.2.2.8
Initial Condition	<p>Front End is initialized and can accept Context Data (including Roaming Rules).</p> <p>Front has already received the following context data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for EFC Context #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 1 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D – ChargeReportingEvents (different than in EFC Context #2) -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (different than in EFC Context #2, but regimeld of usageStatement is enabled) - for EFC Context #2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 2 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D – ChargeReportingEvents (different than in EFC Context #1) -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (different than in EFC Context #1, but regimeld of usageStatement is enabled) <p>Geographic area of EFC Context #1 and #2 overlaps.</p> <p>OBU belonging to the Front End is located within overlapping geographic area of EFC Context #1 and #2.</p> <p>No authentication is required by the Front End.</p>

Stimulus and Expected Behaviour

	Tester		DUT
1	Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = RoamingRules4 }	⇒	
2	At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End and Event defined in 41'D – ChargeReportingEvents of EFC Context #2 occurred		
3		⇐	ChargeReport = { obeld, vehicleLPNr, paymentMeans, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionInfo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, listOfCCCAAttributes, authenticator}

4	<p>Verify whether ChargeReport data elements correspond to 42'D – ChargeReportConfiguration of EFC Context #2 AND usage statement list corresponds to EFC Context #2 (by verifying regimeld value of usageStatement).</p> <p>Mapping rules between regimeld in ChargeReport and contextId in Iso17575-3Adu shall be defined before running a test purpose.</p>		
5	<p>IF verify NOT OK THEN TP failed ENDIF</p>		
6	<p>At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End and Event defined in 41'D – ChargeReportingEvents of EFC Context #1 occurred</p>		
7		←	<p>ChargeReport = { obeld, vehicleLPNr, paymentMeans, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionInfo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, listOfCCCAttributes, authenticator}</p>
8	<p>Verify whether ChargeReport data elements correspond to 42'D – ChargeReportConfiguration of EFC Context #1 AND usage statement list corresponds to EFC Context #1 (by verifying regimeld value of usageStatement).</p> <p>Mapping rules between regimeld in ChargeReport and contextId in Iso17575-3Adu shall be defined before running a test purpose.</p>		
9	<p>IF verify NOT OK THEN TP failed ELSE TP passed ENDIF</p>		

TP/CR/FE/BV/07	Sending a charge report when entering particular EFC Context
TP Origin	Specific
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6.2.2.9
Initial Condition	<p>Front End is initialized and can accept Context Data (including Roaming Rules).</p> <p>Front has already received the following context data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for EFC Context #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 1 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D – ChargeReportingEvents (different than in EFC Context #2) -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (different than in EFC Context #2, but regimeld of usageStatement is enabled) - for EFC Context #2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 2 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D – ChargeReportingEvents (different than in EFC Context #1) -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (different than in EFC Context #1, but regimeld of usageStatement is enabled) <p>Geographic area of EFC Context #1 is inner part of geographic area of EFC Context #2.</p> <p>OBU belonging to the Front End is located within geographic area of EFC Context #2 not overlapping with EFC Context #1.</p> <p>No authentication is required by the Front End.</p>

Stimulus and Expected Behaviour

	Tester		DUT
1	Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = RoamingRules5 }	⇒	
2	At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End for EFC Context #2.		
3	DUT enters overlapping area of E C Context #1 and EFC Context#2		

4		←	ChargeReport = { obeld, vehicleLPNr, paymentMeans, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionInfo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, lis O CCCAttributes, authenticator}
5	<p>Verify whether ChargeReport data elements correspond to 42'D – ChargeReportConfiguration of EFC Context #2 AND usage statement list corresponds to EFC Context #2 (by verifying regimeld value of usageStatement).</p> <p>Mapping rules between regimeld in ChargeReport and contextId in Iso17575-3Adu shall be defined before running a test purpose.</p>		
6	<p>IF verify OK THEN TP passed ELSE TP failed ENDIF</p>		

A.3.2 BI test purposes

No BI test purposes are applicable for this TP group.

A.4 Combined Charge Report Test Purposes

These Test Purposes apply to combined charge reporting as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.5.4 Table B.5/3, reporting cluster ID as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.5.4 Table B.6/8, toll recipient as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.5.4 Table B.6/9, involved EFC contexts as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.5.4 Table B.6/10.

A.4.1 BV test purposes

Test subgroup objective:

— to test the behaviour of the DUT in relation to combined charge reports

by means of the syntactically and contextual correct ADU consisting of RoamingRules.

TP/CCR/FE/BV/01	EFC Context grouping in one charge report
TP Origin	Specific
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6.2.2.9
Initial Condition	<p>Front End is initialized and can accept Context Data (including Roaming Rules).</p> <p>Front has already received the following context data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for EFC Context #1:: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 1 ▪ tollCharger.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollCharger.providerIdentifier = 1000 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D – ChargeReportingEvents -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (regimeId of usageStatement is enabled) - for EFC Context #2 identified by countryCode = countryCode1, providerIdentifier = 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 2 ▪ tollCharger.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollCharger.providerIdentifier = 1000 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D – ChargeReportingEvents -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (regimeId of usageStatement is enabled) - for EFC Context #3:: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -11'D – TollContextOverview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ tollContext.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollContext.providerIdentifier = 3 ▪ tollCharger.countryCode = countryCode1 ▪ tollCharger.providerIdentifier = 1000 -21'D – TariffTable -22'D – TariffClassDefinition -23'D - LocalVehicleClassDefinition -24'D - TimeClassDefinition -25'D - UserClassDefinition -31'D - TollContextLayout -41'D – ChargeReportingEvents -42'D - ChargeReportConfiguration (regimeId of usageStatement is enabled) <p>Geographic areas of EFC Context #1, #2, and #3 do not overlap.</p>

	OBU belonging to the Front End is located within geographic area of EFC Context #1.		
	No authentication is required by the Front End.		
Stimulus and Expected Behaviour			
	Tester		DUT
1	Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = RoamingRules6 }	⇒	
2	At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End for EFC Context #1.		
3	DUT enters EFC Context #2		
4	At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End for EFC Context #2.		
5	DUT enters EFC Context #3		
6	At least one UsageStatement can be reported by Front End for EFC Context #3.		
7	Event defined in 41'D – ChargeReportingEvents of EFC Context #3 occurred		
8			
9		←	ChargeReport = { vehicleLPNr, paymentMeans, serviceProviderContract, tollCharger, timeOfReport, reportPeriod, versionInfo, usageStatementList, vatForThisSession, accountStatus, transactionCounter, mileage, listOfCCCAttributes, authenticator}
10	IF tollCharger.recipient.countryCode != countryCode1 OR tollCharger.recipient.providerIdentifier!=1000 THEN TP failed ENDIF		
11	Verify whether usage statement list consists of entries corresponding to EFC Context #1, EFC Context #2 and EFC Context #3		
12	IF verify OK THEN TP passed ELSE TP failed ENDIF		

A.4.2 BI test purposes

No BI test purposes are applicable for this TP group.

Annex B (normative)

TP for Back End

B.1 Introduction

This annex contains the Test Purposes (TP) for the conformity evaluation of Back End to ISO/TS 17575-4.

B.1.1 TP symbols conventions

A special notation and symbol convention is used, as defined in what follows.

Symbols are used in the description of the TPs, with meanings according to the table below.

Table B.1 — Description of TP Symbols

SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION
XXX.rq ⇒	The DUT sends the XXX.rq to the Tester. If RoamingRulesX notation is used, the data element RoamingRules shall obtain values as defined in Annex C in the corresponding table.
XXX.rq (arg1=value1) ⇒	It is expected DUT sends the XXX.rq to the Tester. Received value of argument arg1 shall be stored by the tester as value1.
← YYY.rs	The Tester sends the YYY.rs to the DUT
← YYY.rs (arg1=value1)	The Tester sends the YYY.rs to DUT with argument arg1 equal to value value1.
A ≡ B	A “is equal to” B
A → B	A “is transformed” into B
∅	Means “empty” or “not set”.
A != B	A is not equal B

In addition, it has to be noted that the sequence of ADUs issued by a Back End are not constrained by ISO/TS 17575-4. This means that ADU cannot in general be forced to be generated by the DUT. To execute the test purposes it may be needed to filter out some ADUs, as they might not be applicable for TP, e.g. some ADUs are applicable for different toll regime. Such situation is illustrated in figure below.




Figure B.1 — Handling of ADUs applicable for particular TP

B.2 General Test Purposes

These Test Purposes apply to roaming rules version as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.6.4 Table B.8/1, recognising roaming rules address as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.6.4 Table B.9/1.

B.2.1 BV test purposes

Test subgroup objective:

- to test the behaviour of the DUT in relation to version and validity;
- to test the behaviour of the DUT in relation to ADU which report rules data element is embedded in.

TP/GEN/BE/BV/01	Verify version and validity handling		
TP Origin	Specific		
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6.2.4		
Initial Condition	Back End is initialized and can send roaming rules.		
Stimulus and Expected Behaviour			
	DUT		Tester
1	Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = Rule1} NOTE 1 roamingRule is a data element of type RoamingRules defined in ISO/TS 17575-4	⇒	
2			Verify structure of sent roamingRule, taking presence and absence of optional data elements into account and verify allowed values of present data elements according to Table C.2
3			IF verify NOT "OK" THEN TP failed
4	Roaming rule properties changed. DUT needs to provision up-to-date context data.		
5	Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = Rule2} NOTE 2 roamingRule is a data element of type RoamingRules defined in ISO/TS 17575-4	⇒	
6			Verify structure of sent roamingRule, taking presence and absence of optional data elements into account and verify allowed values of present data elements according to Table C.2
7			IF verify NOT "OK" THEN TP failed
8			Compare all the corresponding data elements in Rule1 and Rule2 For each <i>dataelement</i>: IF Rule1.<i>dataelement</i> ≠ Rule2.<i>dataelement</i> THEN IF Rule1. roamingRulesVersion.version ≥ Rule2. roamingRulesVersion.version THEN TP failed ENDIF ENDIF IF TP not failed THEN TP passed

TP/GEN/BE/BV/02	Verify whether Roaming Rule is part of ADU		
TP Origin	Specific		
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 7.2		
Initial Condition	Back End is initialized and can send roaming rules.		
Stimulus and Expected Behaviour			
	DUT		Tester
1	Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules} NOTE roamingRule is a data element of type RoamingRules defined in ISO/TS 17575-4	⇒	
2			Verify structure of sent roamingRule, taking presence and absence of optional data elements into account and verify allowed values of present data elements according to Table C.2
3			IF verify NOT "OK" THEN TP failed
4			Verify whether roamingRules is part of Iso17575-3Adu as defined in ISO/TS 17575-4 clause 6.1.
5			If verify OK THEN TP passed ELSE TP failed

B.2.2 BI test purposes

No BI test purposes are applicable for this TP group.

B.3 Data Elements Test Purposes

These Test Purposes apply to roaming rules data elements as claimed in ISO/TS 17575-4 Clause B.6.4 Table B.11 and B.12.

B.3.1 BV test purposes

Test subgroup objective:

— to test the behaviour of the DUT in relation to data elements correctness;

TP/DAT/BE/BV/01	Verify structure of roaming rules		
TP Origin	Specific		
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6		
Initial Condition	Back End is initialized and can send roaming rules.		
Stimulus and Expected Behaviour			
	DUT		Tester
1	Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = Rule1} NOTE roamingRule is a data element of type RoamingRules defined in ISO/TS 17575-4	⇒	
2			Verify structure of sent roamingRule, taking presence and absence of optional data elements into account and verify allowed values of present data elements according to Table C.2
3			IF verify OK THEN TP passed ELSE TP failed ENDIF



TP/DAT/BE/BV/02	Verify whether Involved EFC Contexts in Combined Charge Report Context are listed in Relevant EFC Contexts		
TP Origin	Specific		
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6.2.3		
Initial Condition	Back End is initialized and can send roaming rules.		
Stimulus and Expected Behaviour			
	DUT		Tester
1	Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = Rule1} NOTE roamingRule is a data element of type RoamingRules defined in ISO/TS 17575-4	⇒	
2			Verify structure of sent roamingRule, taking presence and absence of optional data elements into account and verify allowed values of present data elements according to Table C.2
3			IF verify NOT "OK" THEN TP failed
4			IF Rule1.combinedChargeReportContexts = ∅ THEN TP passed
5			For each Rule1.combinedChargeReportContexts[i].involvedEfcContexts[j] (where i=1..number of combinedChargeReportContexts j=1..number of involvedEfcContexts) IF Rule1.combinedChargeReportContexts[i].involvedEfcContexts[j] is equal to any Rule1.relevantEfcContexts[k].efcContextId (where k=1..number of relevantEfcContexts) THEN TP passed ELSE TP failed ENDIF

TP/DAT/BE/BV/03	Verify whether reportingClusterId in Combined Charge Report Context is used only once		
TP Origin	Specific		
Reference	ISO/TS 17575-4, Clause 6.2.3		
Initial Condition	Back End is initialized and can send roaming rules.		
Stimulus and Expected Behaviour			
	DUT		Tester
1	Iso17575-3Adu = {aduHeader, roamingRules = Rule1} NOTE roamingRule is a data element of type RoamingRules defined in ISO/TS 17575-4	⇒	
2			Verify structure of sent roamingRule, taking presence and absence of optional data elements into account and verify allowed values of present data elements according to Table C.2
3			IF verify NOT "OK" THEN TP failed
4			IF Rule1.combinedChargeReportContexts = ∅ THEN TP passed
5			For each Rule1.combinedChargeReportContexts[i] IF each Rule1.combinedChargeReportContexts[i] is unique (where i=1..number of combinedChargeReportContexts) THEN TP passed ELSE TP failed ENDIF

B.3.2 BI test purposes

No BI test purposes are applicable for this TP group.

Annex C (normative)

Data Structures

C.1 General Structure of Roaming Rules

C.1.1 General Structure of Context Data

The Context Data general structure, as is transmitted to the Front End, is described in Table C.1 — General Structure of Context Data. For more details please refer to ISO/TS 17575-3.

Table C.1 — General Structure of Context Data

ADU Header	informationSender	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	informationOriginator	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	tollCharger	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	contextId	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	adu Number	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	aduAuthenticator	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
ADU Body	TollContextOverview	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	TariffTable	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	TariffClassDefinition	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	LocalVehicleClassDefinition	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	TimeClassDefinition	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	UserClassDefinition	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	TollContextLayout	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	ChargeReportingEvents	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
	ChargeReportConfiguration	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-3

C.1.2 General Structure of Roaming Rules

The Roaming Rule general structure, as is transmitted to the Front End, is described in Table C.2 — General Structure of Roaming Rules. For more details please refer to ISO/TS 17575-4.

Table C.2 — General Structure of Roaming Rules

RoamingRules	efcRoamingRulesId	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-4
	relevantEfcContexts	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-4
	combinedChargeReportContexts	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-4
	roamingRulesVersion	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-4
	Authenticator	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-4

C.1.3 General Structure of Charge Report

The Charge Report general structure, as is transmitted to the Back End, is described in Table C.3 — General Structure of Charge Report. For more details please refer to ISO/TS 17575-1.

Table C.3 — General Structure of Charge Report

obeld	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
vehicleLPNr	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
paymentMeans	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
serviceProviderContract	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
tollCharger	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
timeOfReport	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
reportPeriod	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
versionInfo	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
usageStatementList	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
vatForThisSession	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
accountStatus	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
transactionCounter	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
Mileage	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
listOfCCCAAttributes	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
Authenticator	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1

C.1.4 General Structure of Charge Report Response

The Charge Report general structure, as is transmitted to the Front End, is described in Table C.4 — General Structure of Charge Report Response. For more details please refer to ISO/TS 17575-1.

Table C.4 — General Structure of Charge Report Response

reportRecipientId	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
dataReceived	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
versionsResponse	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
obeStatusForDriver	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
accountUpdate	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1
responseAuthenticator	Defined in ISO/TS 17575-1

C.2 Data Structures

C.2.1 Context Data

For the purpose of this conformance test, the following Context Data described in:

- Table C.5 — RoamingRules1
- Table C.6 — RoamingRules2
- Table C.7 — RoamingRules3
- Table C.8 — RoamingRules4
- Table C.9 — RoamingRules5
- Table C.10 — RoamingRules6

are transmitted to the DUT. They are referenced in the respective Test Purposes.

Table C.5 — RoamingRules1

			Value	
RoamingRules	efcRoamingRulesId		=any	
	relevantEfcContexts[0]	efcContextId	countryCode	
			providerIdentifier	
		reuseTariffInformationFrom		
		reuseReportingRulesFrom		
		efcDomainFrame		
		liableVehicleClasses		
		precedenceLevel		
		sendChargeReportIfEntering		
		relevantEfcContexts[1]	efcContextId	countryCode
			providerIdentifier	
		reuseTariffInformationFrom		
		reuseReportingRulesFrom		
		efcDomainFrame		
		liableVehicleClasses		
		precedenceLevel		
		sendChargeReportIfEntering		
		relevantEfcContexts[2]	efcContextId	countryCode
			providerIdentifier	
		reuseTariffInformationFrom	countryCode	
			providerIdentifier	
		reuseReportingRulesFrom	countryCode	
			providerIdentifier	
		efcDomainFrame		
		liableVehicleClasses		
		precedenceLevel		
		sendChargeReportIfEntering		
		combinedChargeReportContexts		
		roamingRulesVersion	version	=any As defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
			validFrom	Date and time value in the past
		Authenticator		=∅

Table C.6 — RoamingRules2

			Value
RoamingRules	efcRoamingRulesId		=any
	relevantEfcContexts[0]	efcContextId	countryCode
			providerIdentifier
		reuseTariffInformationFrom	
		reuseReportingRulesFrom	
		efcDomainFrame	
		liableVehicleClasses	
		precedenceLevel	
		sendChargeReportIfEntering	
	relevantEfcContexts[1]	efcContextId	countryCode
			providerIdentifier
		reuseTariffInformationFrom	
		reuseReportingRulesFrom	
		efcDomainFrame	
		liableVehicleClasses	
		precedenceLevel	
		sendChargeReportIfEntering	
	relevantEfcContexts[2]	efcContextId	countryCode
			providerIdentifier
		reuseTariffInformationFrom	countryCode
			providerIdentifier
		reuseReportingRulesFrom	countryCode
			providerIdentifier
		efcDomainFrame	
		liableVehicleClasses	
		precedenceLevel	
		sendChargeReportIfEntering	
	combinedChargeReportContexts		
	roamingRulesVersion	version	
		validFrom	
Authenticator			

NOTE difference with respect to — RoamingRules1 bolded

Table C.7 — RoamingRules3

			Value	
RoamingRules	efcRoamingRulesId		=any	
	relevantEfcContexts[0]	efcContextId	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906	
			providerIdentifier	=1
		reuseTariffInformationFrom		=∅
		reuseReportingRulesFrom		=∅
		efcDomainFrame		=∅
		liableVehicleClasses		= list consisting of vehicle class which is pre-configured in DUT
		precedenceLevel		=255
		sendChargeReportIfEntering		=∅
		relevantEfcContexts[1]	efcContextId	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906
			providerIdentifier	=2
		reuseTariffInformationFrom		=∅
		reuseReportingRulesFrom		=∅
		efcDomainFrame		=∅
		liableVehicleClasses		=corresponding to the vehicle class preconfigured in Front End
		precedenceLevel		=254
		sendChargeReportIfEntering		=∅
		combinedChargeReportContexts		=∅
		roamingRulesVersion	Version	=any As defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
			validFrom	Date and time value in the past
	Authenticator		=∅	

Table C.8 — RoamingRules4

			Value	
RoamingRules	efcRoamingRulesId		=any	
	relevantEfcContexts[0]	efcContextId	countryCode =countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906	
			providerIdentifier	=1
		reuseTariffInformationFrom		=∅
		reuseReportingRulesFrom		=∅
		efcDomainFrame		=∅
		liableVehicleClasses		= list consisting of vehicle class which is pre-configured in DUT
		precedenceLevel		=255
		sendChargeReportIfEntering		=∅
		relevantEfcContexts[1]	efcContextId	countryCode =countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906
			providerIdentifier	=2
		reuseTariffInformationFrom		=∅
		reuseReportingRulesFrom		=∅
		efcDomainFrame		=∅
		liableVehicleClasses		=corresponding to the vehicle class preconfigured in Front End
		precedenceLevel		=255
		sendChargeReportIfEntering		=∅
		combinedChargeReportContexts		=∅
		roamingRulesVersion	Version	=any As defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
			validFrom	Date and time value in the past
	Authenticator		=∅	

© 2012 International Organization for Standardization

Table C.9 — RoamingRules5

			Value	
RoamingRules	efcRoamingRulesId		=any	
	relevantEfcContexts[0]	efcContextId	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906	
			providerIdentifier	=1
		reuseTariffInformationFrom		=∅
		reuseReportingRulesFrom		=∅
		efcDomainFrame		=∅
		liableVehicleClasses		= list consisting of vehicle class which is pre-configured in DUT
		precedenceLevel		=255
		sendChargeReportIfEntering		=∅
		relevantEfcContexts[1]	efcContextId	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906
			providerIdentifier	=2
		reuseTariffInformationFrom		=∅
		reuseReportingRulesFrom		=∅
		efcDomainFrame		=∅
		liableVehicleClasses		=corresponding to the vehicle class preconfigured in Front End
		precedenceLevel		=254
		sendChargeReportIfEntering[0]	countryCode	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906
			providerIdentifier	=1
		combinedChargeReportContexts		=∅
		roamingRulesVersion	Version	=any As defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
			validFrom	Date and time value in the past
		Authenticator		=∅

Table C.10 — RoamingRules6

			Value		
RoamingRules	efcRoamingRulesId		=any		
	relevantEfcContexts[0]	efcContextId	countryCode	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906	
			providerIdentifier	=1	
		reuseTariffInformationFrom	=∅		
		reuseReportingRulesFrom	=∅		
		efcDomainFrame	=∅		
		liableVehicleClasses	= list consisting of vehicle class which is pre-configured in DUT		
		precedenceLevel	=∅		
	sendChargeReportIfEntering	=∅			
	relevantEfcContexts[1]	efcContextId	countryCode	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906	
			providerIdentifier	=2	
		reuseTariffInformationFrom	=∅		
		reuseReportingRulesFrom	=∅		
		efcDomainFrame	=∅		
		liableVehicleClasses	= list consisting of vehicle class which is pre-configured in DUT		
		precedenceLevel	=∅		
	sendChargeReportIfEntering	=∅			
	relevantEfcContexts[2]	efcContextId	countryCode	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906	
			providerIdentifier	=3	
		reuseTariffInformationFrom	countryCode	=∅	
			providerIdentifier	=∅	
		reuseReportingRulesFrom	countryCode	=∅	
			providerIdentifier	= list consisting of vehicle class which is pre-configured in DUT	
		efcDomainFrame	=∅		
		liableVehicleClasses	=∅		
		precedenceLevel	=∅		
		sendChargeReportIfEntering	=∅		
		combinedChargeReportContexts[0]	reportingClusterId	countryCode	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906
				providerIdentifier	=10000
			tollRecipient	countryCode	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906
			providerIdentifier	=1000	
	involvedEfcContexts[0]		countryCode	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906	
			providerIdentifier	=1	

		involvedEfcContexts[1]	countryCode	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906
			providerIdentifier	=2
		involvedEfcContexts[2]	countryCode	=countryCode1 countryCode1 shall obtain any value in the range defined in ISO 14906
			providerIdentifier	=3
	roamingRulesVersion	Version		=any As defined in ISO/TS 17575-3
		validFrom		Date and time value in the past
	Authenticator			=∅

Annex D (informative)

PCTR Proforma for Front End

D.1 Introduction

The protocol conformance test report (PCTR) proforma is based on ISO/IEC 9646-6, which can be consulted for any necessary additional information.

D.2 Identification summary

D.2.1 Protocol conformance test report

Table D.1 — Protocol conformance test report

PCTR Number:	
PCTR Date:	
Corresponding SCTR Number:	
Corresponding SCTR Date:	
Test Laboratory Identification:	
Test Laboratory Manager:	
Signature	

D.2.2 DUT identification

Table D.2 — DUT identification

Name:	
Version:	
Protocol specification:	
PICS:	
Previous PCTR if any:	

D.2.3 Testing environment

Table D.3 — Testing environment

PIXIT Number:	
ATS Specification:	
Abstract Test Method:	
Means of Testing identification:	
Date of testing:	
Conformance Log reference(s):	
Retention Date for Log reference(s):	

D.2.4 Limits and reservation

Additional information relevant to the technical contents or further use of the test report, or the rights and obligations of the test laboratory and the client, may be given here. Such information may include restriction on the publication of the report.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

D.2.5 Comments

Additional comments may be given by either the client or the test laboratory on any of the contents of the PCTR, for example, to note disagreement between the two parties.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

D.3 DUT Conformance status

This DUT has or has not been shown by conformance assessment to be none conforming to the specified protocol specification.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If the PICS for this DUT is consistent with the static conformance requirements (as specified in Clause D.3 in the present document) and there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in Clause D.6 in the present document) strike the words "has or", otherwise strike the words "or has not".

D.4 Static conformance summary

The PICS for this DUT is or is not consistent with the static conformance requirements in the specified protocol.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence.

D.5 Dynamic conformance summary

The test campaign did or did not reveal errors in the DUT.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in Clause D.6 of the present document) strike the words "did or" otherwise strike the words "or did not".

Summary of the results of groups of test:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

D.6 Static conformance review report

If Clause D.3 indicates non-conformance, this clause itemises the mismatches between the PICS and the static conformance requirements of the specified protocol specification.

.....

.....

.....

Annex E
(informative)

PCTR Proforma for Back End

E.1 Introduction

The protocol conformance test report (PCTR) proforma is based on ISO/IEC 9646-6, which can be consulted for any necessary additional information.

E.2 Identification summary

E.2.1 Protocol conformance test report

Table E.1 — Protocol conformance test report

PCTR Number:	
PCTR Date:	
Corresponding SCTR Number:	
Corresponding SCTR Date:	
Test Laboratory Identification:	
Test Laboratory Manager:	
Signature	

E.2.2 DUT identification

Table E.2 — DUT identification

Name:	
Version:	
Protocol specification:	
PICS:	
Previous PCTR if any:	

E.2.3 Testing environment

Table E.3 — Testing environment

PIXIT Number:	
ATS Specification:	
Abstract Test Method:	
Means of Testing identification:	
Date of testing:	
Conformance Log reference(s):	
Retention Date for Log reference(s):	

E.2.4 Limits and reservation

Additional information relevant to the technical contents or further use of the test report, or the rights and obligations of the test laboratory and the client, may be given here. Such information may include restriction on the publication of the report.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

E.2.5 Comments

Additional comments may be given by either the client or the test laboratory on any of the contents of the PCTR, for example, to note disagreement between the two parties.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

E.3 DUT Conformance status

This DUT has or has not been shown by conformance assessment to be none conforming to the specified protocol specification.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If the PICS for this DUT is consistent with the static conformance requirements (as specified in Clause E.3 in the present document) and there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in Clause E.6 in the present document) strike the words "has or", otherwise strike the words "or has not".

E.4 Static conformance summary

The PICS for this DUT is or is not consistent with the static conformance requirements in the specified protocol.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence.

E.5 Dynamic conformance summary

The test campaign did or did not reveal errors in the DUT.

Strike the appropriate words in this sentence. If there are no "FAIL" verdicts to be recorded (in Clause E.6 of the present document) strike the words "did or" otherwise strike the words "or did not".

Summary of the results of groups of test:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

E.6 Static conformance review report

If Clause E.3 indicates non-conformance, this clause itemises the mismatches between the PICS and the static conformance requirements of the specified protocol specification.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

E.7 Test campaign report

Table E.4 — Test campaign report

ATS Reference	Selected?	Run?	Verdict	Observations (Reference to any observations made in clause E.7)
TP/GEN/BE/BV/01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TP/GEN/BE/BV/02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TP/DAT/BE/BV/01	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TP/DAT/BE/BV/02	Yes/No	Yes/No		
TP/DAT/BE/BV/03	Yes/No	Yes/No		

E.8 Observations

Additional information relevant to the technical content of the PCTR is given here.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Bibliography

- [1] ISO 6709, *Standard representation of geographic point location by coordinates*
- [2] ISO/IEC 8824-1, *Information technology — Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Specification of basic notation*
- [3] ISO/IEC 8825-2, *Information technology — Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Specification of Packed Encoding Rules (PER)*
- [4] ISO/IEC 9646-1:1994, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Conformance testing methodology and framework — Part 1: General concepts*
- [5] ISO/IEC 9646-2:1994, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Conformance testing methodology and framework — Part 2: Abstract Test Suite specification*
- [6] ISO/IEC 9646-3:1998, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Conformance testing methodology and framework — Part 3: The Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN)*
- [7] ISO/IEC 9646-4:1994, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Conformance testing methodology and framework — Part 4: Test realization*
- [8] ISO/IEC 9646-5:1994, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Conformance testing methodology and framework — Part 5: Requirements on test laboratories and clients for the conformance assessment process*
- [9] ISO/IEC 9646-6:1994, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Conformance testing methodology and framework — Part 6: Protocol profile test specification*
- [10] ISO/IEC 9646-7, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Conformance testing methodology and framework — Part 7: Implementation Conformance Statements*
- [11] ISO/TS 12813, *Electronic fee collection — Compliance check communication for autonomous systems*
- [12] ISO/TS 17575-2, *Electronic fee collection — Application interface definition for autonomous systems — Part 2: Communication and connection to the lower layers*

1

ICS 03.220.20; 35.240.60

Price based on 48 pages