TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/TR 10303-12

First edition
1997-07-15

Industrial automation systems and integration — Product data representation and exchange —

Part 12:
Description methods: The EXPRESS-I language reference manual

Systèmes d'automatisation industrielle et intégration — Représentation et échange de données de produits —

Partie 12: Méthodes descriptives: Le manuel de référence du langage EXPRESS-I

Reference number
ISO/TR 10303-12:1997(E)

Contents

Page

International Organization for Standardization
Case Postale 56 • CH-2111 Genève 20 • Switzerland

Printed in Switzerland

Annexes

Figures

Tables

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards, but in exceptional circumstances a technical committee may propose the publication of a Technical Report of one of the following types:

– type 1, when the required support cannot be obtained for the publication of an International Standard, despite repeated eforts;

– type 2, when the subject is still under technical development or where for any other reason there is the future but not immediate possibility of an agreement on an International Standard;

– type 3, when a technical committee has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard ("state of the art", for example).

Technical reports of types 1 and 2 are subject to review within three years of publication, to decide whether they can be transformed into International Standards. Technical reports of type 3 do not necessarily have to be reviewed until the data they provide are considered to be no longer valid or useful.

ISO/TR 10303–12, which is a Technical Report of type 2, was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 184, *Industrial automation systems and integration,* Subcommittee SC4, *Industrial data.*

There is an urgent need for guidance on how EXPRESS can be used to represent data so that it is 'human' interpretable. This need is supported by the importance of involving domain experts in the development of industrial data standards and in the development of abstract test cases that can be used to verify conformance to such standards.

This document is being issued in the Technical Report (type 2) series of publications (according to subclause G.3.2.2 of part 1 of the ISO/IEC Directives as a 'prospective standard for provisional application' in the field of EXPRESS information modeling as there is an urgent need for guidance on how standards in this field should be used to meet an identified need.

This document is not to be regarded as an 'International Standard'. It is proposed for provisional application so that experience of its use in practice may be gathered. Comments on the content of this document should be sent to the ISO Central Secretariat.

A review of this Technical Report (type 2) will be carried out not later than three years after its publication with the options of: extension for another three years; conversion into an International Standard; or withdrawal.

ISO 10303 consists of the following parts under the general title *Industrial automation systems and integration – Product data representation and exchange:*

- Part 1, Overview and fundamental principles;
- Part 11, Description methods: The EXPRESS language reference manual;
- Part 12, Description method: The EXPRESS-I language reference manual;
- Part 21, Implementation methods: Clear text encoding of the exchange structure;
- Part 22, Implementation method: Standard data access interface specification;
- Part 23, Implementation method: C++ language binding to the standard data access interface;
- Part 24, Implementation method: C language binding to the standard data access interface;
- Part 26, Implementation method: Interface definition language binding to the standard data access interface;
- Part 31, Conformance testing methodology and framework: General concepts;
- Part 32, Conformance testing methodology and framework: Requirements on testing laboratories and clients;
- Part 33, Conformance testing methodology and framework: Structure and use of abstract test suites;
- Part 34, Conformance testing methodology and framework: Abstract test methods;
- Part 35, Conformance testing methodology and framework: Abstract test methods for standard data access interface implementations;
- Part 41, Integrated generic resources: Fundamentals of product description and support;
- Part 42, Integrated generic resources: Geometric and topological representation;
- Part 43, Integrated generic resources: Representation structures;
- Part 44, Integrated generic resources: Product structure configuration;
- Part 45, Integrated generic resource: Materials;
- Part 46, Integrated generic resources: Visual presentation;
- Part 47, Integrated generic resource: Shape variation tolerances;

- Part 49, Integrated generic resource: Process structure and properties;
- Part 101, Integrated application resource: Draughting;
- Part 104, Integrated application resource: Finite element analysis;
- Part 105, Integrated application resource: Kinematics;
- Part 106, Integrated application resource: Building construction core model;
- Part 201, Application protocol: Explicit draughting;
- Part 202, Application protocol: Associative draughting;
- Part 203, Application protocol: Configuration controlled design;
- Part 204, Application protocol: Mechanical design using boundary representation;
- Part 205, Application protocol: Mechanical design using surface representation;
- Part 207, Application protocol: Sheet metal die planning and design;
- Part 208, Application protocol: Life cycle management - Change process;
- Part 209, Application protocol: Composite and metallic structural analysis and related design;
- Part 210, Application protocol: Electronic assembly, interconnect, and packaging design;
- Part 212, Application protocol: Electrotechnical design and installation;
- Part 213, Application protocol: Numerical control process plans for machined parts;
- Part 214, Application protocol: Core data for automotive mechanical design;
- Part 215, Application protocol: Ship arrangement;
- Part 216, Application protocol: Ship moulded forms;
- Part 217, Application protocol: Ship piping;
- Part 218, Application protocol: Ship structures;
- Part 220, Application protocol: Process planning, manufacture, and assembly of layered electronic products;
- Part 221, Application protocol: Functional data and their schematic representation for process plant;

- Part 222, Application protocol: Exchange of product data for composite structures;
- Part 223, Application protocol: Exchange of design and manufacturing product information for cast parts;
- Part 224, Application protocol: Mechanical product definition for process plans using mechanical feature;
- Part 225, Application protocol: Building elements using explicit shape representation;
- Part 226, Application protocol: Ship mechanical systems;
- Part 227, Application protocol: Plant spatial configuration;
- Part 228, Application protocol: Building services: Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning;
- Part 229, Application protocol: Exchange of design and manufacturing product information for forged parts;
- Part 230, Application protocol: Building structural frame: Steelwork;
- Part 231, Application protocol: Process engineering data: Process design and process specification of major equipment;
- Part 232, Application Protocol: Technical data package;
- Part 301, Abstract test suite: Explicit draughting;
- Part 302, Abstract test suite: Associative draughting;
- Part 303, Abstract test suite: Configuration controlled design;
- Part 304, Abstract test suite: Mechanical design using boundary representation;
- Part 305, Abstract test suite: Mechanical design using surface representation;
- Part 307, Abstract test suite: Sheet metal die planning and design;
- Part 308, Abstract test suite: Life cycle management - Change process;
- Part 309, Abstract test suite: Composite and metallic structural analysis and related design;
- Part 310, Abstract test suite: Electronic assembly, interconnect, and packaging design;
- Part 312, Abstract test suite: Electrotechnical design and installation;

- Part 313, Abstract test suite: Numerical control process plans for machined parts;
- Part 314, Abstract test suite: Core data for automotive mechanical design;
- Part 315, Abstract test suite: Ship arrangement;
- Part 316, Abstract test suite: Ship moulded forms;
- Part 317, Abstract test suite: Ship piping;
- Part 318, Abstract test suite: Ship structures;
- Part 320, Abstract test suite: Process planning, manufacture, and assembly of layered electronic products;
- Part 321, Abstract test suite: Functional data and their schematic representation for process plant;
- Part 322, Abstract test suite: Exchange of product data for composite structures;
- Part 323, Abstract test suite: Exchange of design and manufacturing product information for cast parts;
- Part 324, Abstract test suite: Mechanical product definition for process plans using mechanical features;
- Part 325, Abstract test suite: Building elements using explicit shape representation;
- Part 326, Abstract test suite: Ship mechanical systems;
- Part 327, Abstract test suite: Plant spatial configuration;
- Part 328, Abstract test suite: Building services: Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning;
- Part 329, Abstract test suite: Exchange of design and manufacturing product information for forged parts;
- Part 330, Abstract test suite: Building structural frame: Steelwork;
- Part 331, Abstract test suite: Process engineering data: Process design and process specification of major equipment;
- Part 332, Abstract test suite: Technical data package;
- Part 501, Application interpreted construct: Edge-based wireframe;

- Part 502, Application interpreted construct: Shell-based wireframe;
- Part 503, Application interpreted construct: Geometrically bounded 2D wireframe;
- Part 504, Application interpreted construct: Draughting annotation;
- Part 505, Application interpreted construct: Drawing structure and administration;
- Part 506, Application interpreted construct: Draughting elements;
- Part 507, Application interpreted construct: Geometrically bounded surface;
- Part 508, Application interpreted construct: Non-manifold surface;
- Part 509, Application interpreted construct: Manifold surface;
- Part 510, Application interpreted construct: Geometrically bounded wireframe;
- Part 511, Application interpreted construct: Topologically bounded surface;
- Part 512, Application interpreted construct: Faceted boundary representation;
- Part 513, Application interpreted construct: Elementary boundary representation;
- Part 514, Application interpreted construct: Advanced boundary representation;
- Part 515, Application interpreted construct: Constructive solid geometry;
- Part 517, Application interpreted construct: Mechanical design geometric presentation;
- Part 518, Application interpreted construct: Mechanical design shaded representation.

The structure of this International Standard is described in ISO 10303-1. The numbering of the parts of this International Standard reflects its structure:

- Parts 11 to 12 specify the description methods,
- Parts 21 to 26 specify the implementation methods,
- Parts 31 to 35 specify the conformance testing methodology and framework,
- Parts 41 to 49 specify the integrated generic resources,
- Parts 101 to 106 specify the integrated application resources,
- Parts 201 to 232 specify the application protocols,
- Parts 301 to 332 specify the abstract test suites, and

- Parts 501 to 518 specify the application interpreted constructs.

Should further parts of ISO 10303 be published, they will follow the same numbering pattern.

Annexes A, B, C and D are an integral part of this part of ISO 10303. Annexes E, F, G and H are for information only.

Introduction

ISO 10303 is an International Standard for the computer-interpretable representation and exchange of product data. The objective is to provide a neutral mechanism capable of describing product data throughout the life cycle of a product independent from any particular system. The nature of this description makes it suitable not only for neutral file exchange, but also as a basis for implementing and sharing product databases and archiving.

This International Standard is organized as a series of parts, each published separately. The parts of ISO 10303 fall into one of the following series: description methods, integrated resources, application interpreted constructs, application protocols, abstract test suites, implementation methods, and conformance testing. The series are described in ISO 10303–1. This part of ISO 10303 is a member of the description methods series.

This part of ISO 10303 specifies the elements of the EXPRESS-I language. Each element of the language is presented in its own context with examples. Simple elements are introduced first, then more complex ideas are presented in an incremental manner.

Language Overview

EXPRESS-I is the name of a formal data representation and abstract test case specification language. It may be used to exemplify the information requirements of other parts of this International Standard and is a companion to the EXPRESS and EXPRESS-G languages. It is based on a number of design goals among which are:

- The size and complexity of ISO 10303 demands that the language be parsable by both computers and humans. Expressing elements of ISO 10303 in a less formal manner would eliminate the possibility of employing computer automation in checking for inconsistencies in presentation or specification.

- Focus on the display of the realisation of the properties of entities, which represent objects of interest. The definition of an entity is in terms of its properties, which are characterized by specification of a domain and the constraints on that domain.

- Avoid, as far as possible, specific implementation views.

- Provide a means of displaying small populations of EXPRESS schemas.

- Provide a means of supporting the specification of abstract test suites for information model processors.

In EXPRESS-I, entity instances are represented in terms of attribute values: the traits or characteristics considered important for use and understanding. These attributes have a representation which might be a simple data type (such as integer) or another entity type. A geometric point might be defined in terms of three real numbers. Names are given to the attributes which contribute to the definition of an entity. Thus, for a geometric point, the three real numbers might be named x, y and z. A relationship is established between the entity being defined and the attributes that define it and, in a similar manner, between the attribute and its representation.



Figure 1 – The major elements of the EXPRESS-I language

The EXPRESS-I language provides a means of displaying instantiations of EXPRESS data elements. The language is designed principally for human readability and for ease of mapping between EXPRESS-I instances and the definitions in an EXPRESS schema. Elsewhere in this International Standard, for example ISO 10303-21, there are specifications for computer-efficient methods for instantiating a schema. EXPRESS-I is not intended to be a replacement for such methods.

The major elements of the language are shown in figure 1. The language has two major parts. The first part is for the display of data instances. Data may be displayed on an entity by entity basis, on a schema basis or as a collection of schema instances which are taken to be a display of some information model of a universe of discourse. Within the EXPRESS-I language these are called *object instances*, *schema data instances* and, *model*. In figure 1 the information model is assumed to have been defined using EXPRESS.

The second part of the language is for the specification of Abstract Test Cases for the purposes of formally describing tests to be performed against an implementation of an EXPRESS-defined information model. The language constructs provided for this purpose are the *test case* and the *context*. This portion of the language also utilises the procedural aspects of the EXPRESS language. Instances of data may be parameterised and stored in a context. Many different test cases may assign values for the parameterised data in a context and use that data as part of their test specification.

The data instances resulting from the application of a test case may be displayed via the constructs provided in first part of the language.

NOTE – The examples of EXPRESS-I usage in this manual do not conform to any particular style rules. Indeed, the examples sometimes use poor style to conserve space or to show flexibility. The examples are not intended to reflect the content of the information models defined in other parts of this International Standard. They are crafted to show particular features of EXPRESS-I. Any similarity between the examples and the normative information models or abstract test cases specified in other parts of ISO 10303 should be ignored.

Industrial automation systems and integration — Product data representation and exchange — Part 12 : Description methods: The EXPRESS-I language reference manual

1 Scope

This part of ISO 10303 defines a language by which an instance of (part of) a universe of discourse can be displayed. It also provides a formal description method for supporting the specification of abstract test cases. The language is called EXPRESS-I. It is a companion language to EXPRESS which is specified in ISO 10303-11.

EXPRESS-I is an instantiation language for a conceptual schema language as defined in ISO TR 9007, and the particular conceptual schema language that formed the starting point for EXPRESS-I was EXPRESS. The EXPRESS-I language provides for the display of the state of the objects belonging to a universe of discourse and the information units pertaining to those objects.

The following are within the scope:

- display of instances of schemas;
- display of instances of types and entities;
- abstract test case data;
- mapping from EXPRESS schemas and data types to EXPRESS-I instances.

The following are outside the scope of this part of ISO 10303:

- mapping from other (conceptual schema) languages to EXPRESS-I;
- definition of database formats;
- definition of file formats;
- definition of transfer formats;
- process control;
- information processing;
- exception handling.

EXPRESS-I is not a programming language.

2 Normative references

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this part of ISO 10303. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this part of ISO 10303 are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO/IEC 8824-1:1995, *Information technology — Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Specification of basic notation.*

ISO 10303-1:1994, *Industrial automation systems and integration — Product data representation and exchange — Part 1: Overview and fundamental principles.*

ISO 10303-11:1994, *Industrial automation systems and integration — Product data representation and exchange — Part 11: Description methods: The EXPRESS language reference manual.*

ISO 10303-31:1994, *Industrial automation systems and integration — Product data representation and exchange — Part 31: Conformance testing methodology and framework: General concepts.*

ISO/IEC 10646-1:1993, *Information technology — Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set (UCS) — Part 1: Architecture and Basic Multilingual Plane.*

3 Definitions

3.1 Terms defined in ISO 10303-1

This part of ISO 10303 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO 10303-1:

- data;
- information;
- information model.

3.2 Terms defined in ISO 10303-11

This part of ISO 10303 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO 10303-11:

- complex entity data type;
- complex entity instance;
- constant;
- data type;
- entity;
- entity instance;
- instance;
- population;
- simple entity instance;
- subtype/supertype graph;
- token;
- value.

3.3 Terms defined in ISO 10303-31

This part of ISO 10303 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO 10303-31:

- abstract test case;

- test purpose;
- verdict criteria.

3.4 Other definitions

For the purposes of this part of ISO 10303, the following definitions apply:

3.4.1 attribute: A trait, quality, or property that is a characteristic of an entity.

3.4.2 information base: A collection of type instances, consistent with each other and with an information model, that hold for an instance of a universe of discourse.

NOTE – An information base may or may not be computer processable. For example, it would not be considered computer processable if it took the form of a handwritten document. On the other hand, if it was in the form of a data base or computer file then it would be considered computer processable, and hence also termed an object base.

3.4.3 object base: An information base that is computer processable.

3.4.4 schema: A collection of closely related items forming a part or the whole of an information model.

3.4.5 type: A representation of a domain of valid values.

3.4.6 universe of discourse: All those real-world objects that are of potential interest. These are a subset of all the real-world objects.

4 Conformance requirements

4.1 Formal specifications written in EXPRESS-I

A formal specification written in EXPRESS-I shall be consistent with a given conformance level as specified below. A formal specification is consistent with a given level when all checks identified for that level and all lower levels are verified for the specification.

4.1.1 Conformance levels

Level 1: Reference checking. This level consists of checking the formal specification to ensure that it is syntactically and referentially valid. A formal specification is syntactically valid if it matches the syntax generated by expanding the primary syntax rule given in annex A. A formal specification is referentially valid if all references to EXPRESS-I items are consistent with the scope and visibility rules defined in clause 11.

Level 2: Type checking. This level consists of checking the formal specification to ensure that type compatibility in expressions and assignments, as defined for level 2 checking in ISO 10303-11:1994, are valid.

Level 3: Value checking. This level consists of checking the formal specification to ensure that it complies with level 3 checking defined in ISO 10303-11.

Level 4: Complete checking. This level consists of checking a formal specification to ensure that it complies with all statements of requirements as specified in this part of ISO 10303.

4.2 Implementations of EXPRESS-I

An implementation of an EXPRESS-I language parser shall be able to parse any formal specification written in EXPRESS-I, consistent with the constraints associated with that implementation as specified in the PICS (annex B). An EXPRESS-I language parser shall be said to conform to a particular level (as defined in 4.1.1) if it can apply all checks required by the level (and any level below that) to a formal specification written in EXPRESS-I.

The implementor of an EXPRESS-I language parser shall state any constraints which the implementation imposes on the number and length of identifiers, on the range of processed numbers, and on the maximum precision of real numbers. Such constraints shall be documented in the form specified by annex B for the purposes of conformance testing.

5 Fundamental principles

It is assumed that the reader of this document is familiar with the EXPRESS language as specified in ISO 10303-11.

The use of EXPRESS-I to display instances neither requires nor implies that there is an associated set of entity, or other, definitions. That is, EXPRESS-I can be used as a language in its own right. However, there normally will be an accompanying set of definitions, typically described in a formal manner using a language such as EXPRESS.

The EXPRESS-I language does not describe an implementation environment. In particular, EXPRESS-I does not specify:

- how instance data is accessed or output;
- how instance data is stored or maintained;
- how references to EXPRESS schemas are resolved;
- how or when constraints are checked or reported.

6 Language elements

This clause specifies the basic elements from which sentences in the EXPRESS-I language are composed: the character set, remarks, symbols, reserved words, and identifiers.

The boxed syntax definitions in the body of this document are excerpts from the EXPRESS-I language syntax in annex A which defines the complete syntax of the language and provides any language productions not given here. The method of specifying the syntax is a superset of that used for EXPRESS as defined in clause 6 of ISO 10303-11:1994.

NOTE 1 – For convenience of the reader, the EXPRESS definition method is repeated in annex D, together with the extensions for EXPRESS-I.

The basic language elements are composed into a stream of source text, typically broken into physical lines. A physical line is any number (including zero) of characters ended by a newline (see 6.1.5.2).

NOTE 2 – EXPRESS-I source is easier to read when statements are broken into lines and whitespace is used to set off different constructs.

6.1 Character set

EXPRESS-I source shall use only the characters in the following character set: characters allocated to cells 20 to 7E of row 00 of plane 00 of group 00 of ISO/IEC 10646-1; and the special character \n signifying the newline. This set of characters is called the EXPRESS-I character set. Members of this set are referred to by the cell of ISO/IEC 10646-1 in which these characters are defined; these cell numbers are specified in hexadecimal. The printable characters from this set (cells 21–7E of ISO/IEC 10647-1) are combined to form the tokens for the EXPRESS-I language. The EXPRESS-I tokens are keywords, identifiers, symbols, literals, or values. The EXPRESS-I character set is further classified below.

The character set thus specified is an abstract character set; it is independent of its representation in an implementation. In particular, a real implementation may use some of the control codes defined in ISO/IEC 6429. Such codes are interpreted by the implementation and may result in the inclusion of one or more of the abstract characters of the EXPRESS-I character set in the resulting source.

EXAMPLE 1 – A TAB control code may be interpreted by the implementation as providing one or more space characters to the abstract characters making up the EXPRESS-I definition.

NOTE – This clause only refers to the characters used to specify EXPRESS-I source, and does not specify the domain of characters allowed within a string value.

6.1.1 Digits

EXPRESS-I uses the Arabic digits 0–9 (cells 30–39 of the EXPRESS-I character set).

Syntax:

```
120 digit = < as EXPRESS > .
```

6.1.2 Letters

EXPRESS-I uses the upper- and lower-case letters of the English alphabet (cells 41–5A and 61–7A of the EXPRESS-I character set). The case of letters is significant only within explicit string values.

NOTE – EXPRESS-I may be written using upper-, lower-, or mixed-case letters.

Syntax:

```
124 letter = < as EXPRESS > .
```

6.1.3 Special characters

The special characters (printable characters which are neither letters nor digits) are used mainly for punctuation and as operators. Some of the special characters shown are not used as part of the language. They may be used within remarks and string values, however. These special characters are in cells 21–2F, 3A–3F, 40, 5B–5E, 60, and 7B–7E of the EXPRESS-I character set.

Syntax:

```
134 special = < as EXPRESS > .
```

6.1.4 Underscore

The underscore character (_, cell 5F of the EXPRESS-I character set) can be used in identifiers and keywords, with the exception that the underscore character shall not be used as the first character.

6.1.5 Whitespace

Whitespace is defined by the following sub-clauses and by 6.1.6. Whitespace shall be used to separate the tokens in EXPRESS-I source.

NOTE – Liberal, and consistent, use of whitespace can improve the structure and readability of EXPRESS-I source.

6.1.5.1 Space character

One or more spaces (cell 20 of the EXPRESS-I character set) can appear between two tokens or within a string value. The notation \s may be used to represent the space character in the syntax of the language.

6.1.5.2 Newline

A newline marks the physical end of a line within a formal specification written in EXPRESS-I. Newline is normally treated as a space but is significant when it terminates a tail remark or appears within a string value. A newline is represented by the notation \n in the syntax of the language.

The representation of a newline is implementation-defined.

6.1.6 Remarks

A remark is used for documentation and shall be interpreted by an EXPRESS-I parser as whitespace. There are two forms of remark: embedded remark and tail remark.

6.1.6.1 Embedded remark

The character pair (* denotes the start of an embedded remark and the character pair *) denotes its end. An embedded remark may appear between any two tokens.

Syntax:

```
142 embedded_remark = < as EXPRESS > .
```

Any character within the EXPRESS-I character set may occur between the start and end of an embedded remark, including the newline character; therefore, embedded remarks can span several physical lines.

Embedded remarks may be nested.

NOTE – Care must be taken when nesting remarks to ensure that there are matched pairs of symbols.

EXAMPLE 2 – The following is an example of embedded nested remarks.

```
(* The '(*' symbol starts an embedded remark, and the '*)' symbol ends it. *)
```

6.1.6.2 Tail remark

The tail remark is written at the end of a physical line. Two consecutive hyphens (--) start the tail remark and the following newline terminates it.

Syntax:

```
144 tail_remark = < as EXPRESS > .
```

EXAMPLE 3 – A tail remark

```
-- This is a tail remark and is ended by a newline
```

6.2 Reserved words

The reserved words of EXPRESS-I are the keywords and the names of built-in constants, functions and procedures. The reserved words shall not be used as identifiers. The reserved words of EXPRESS-I are described below.

6.2.1 Keywords

EXPRESS-I uses a subset of the EXPRESS keywords, together with some additional ones.

Table 1 lists the keywords that are common to both EXPRESS-I and EXPRESS. Table 2 lists the additional EXPRESS-I keywords.

NOTE – Keywords have an uppercase production which represents the literal. This is to enable easier reading of the syntax productions.

Table 1 – Keywords common to EXPRESS-I and EXPRESS

ABSTRACT	AGGREGATE	ALIAS	ARRAY
BAG	BEGIN	BINARY	BOOLEAN
BY	CASE	CONSTANT	CONTEXT
DERIVE	ELSE	END	END_ALIAS
END_CASE	END_CONSTANT	END_CONTEXT	END_ENTITY
END_FUNCTION	END_IF	END_LOCAL	END_MODEL
END_PROCEDURE	END_REPEAT	END_TYPE	ENTITY
ENUMERATION	ESCAPE	FIXED	FOR
FUNCTION	GENERIC	IF	INTEGER
INVERSE	LIST	LOCAL	LOGICAL
MODEL	NUMBER	OF	ONEOF
OPTIONAL	OTHERWISE	PROCEDURE	QUERY
REAL	REPEAT	RETURN	SELECT
SET	SKIP	STRING	SUBTYPE
SUPERTYPE	THEN	TO	TYPE
UNIQUE	UNTIL	VAR	WHERE
WHILE			

Table 2 – Additional EXPRESS-I keywords

CALL	CRITERIA	END_CALL	END_CRITERIA
END_NOTES	END_OBJECTIVE	END_PARAMETER	END_PURPOSE
END_REALIZATION	END_REFERENCES	END_SCHEMA_DATA	END_TEST_CASE
IMPORT	NOTES	OBJECTIVE	PARAMETER
PURPOSE	REALIZATION	REFERENCES	SCHEMA_DATA
SUBOF	SUPOF	TEST_CASE	USING
WITH			

6.2.2 Reserved words which are operators

The operators defined by reserved words are shown in table 3. These are the same as the EXPRESS operators and are defined in clause 12 of ISO 10303-11:1994.

Table 3 – The EXPRESS-I use of EXPRESS operators

AND	ANDOR	DIV	IN
LIKE	MOD	NOT	OR
XOR			

6.2.3 Built-in constants

The names of the EXPRESS-I built-in constants are given in table 4. These are the same as the EXPRESS constants and are defined in clause 14 of ISO 10303-11:1994.

Table 4 – The EXPRESS-I use of EXPRESS constants

?	CONST_E	FALSE	PI
SELF	TRUE	UNKNOWN	

The question mark character (?) represents the notion of a nil, or unspecified, value.

6.2.4 Built-in functions

The names of the EXPRESS functions that may be used within EXPRESS-I are given in table 5.

Table 5 – The EXPRESS-I use of EXPRESS functions

ABS	ACOS	ASIN	ATAN
BLENGTH	COS	EXISTS	EXP
FORMAT	HIBOUND	HIINDEX	LENGTH
LOBOUND	LOG	LOG10	LOG2
LOINDEX	NVL	ODD	ROLESOF
SIN	SIZEOF	SQRT	TAN
TYPEOF	USEDIN	VALUE	VALUE_IN
VALUE_UNIQUE			

The definitions of these functions are given in clause 15 of ISO 10303-11:1994.

6.2.5 Built-in procedures

The names of the EXPRESS procedures that may be used within EXPRESS-I are given in table 6. The procedures are defined in clause 16 of ISO 10303-11:1994.

Table 6 – The EXPRESS-I use of EXPRESS procedures

INSERT	REMOVE

6.3 Symbols

Symbols are special characters or groups of special characters which have a special meaning in EXPRESS-I. Symbols are used in EXPRESS-I as delimiters and operators. A delimiter is used to begin, seperate or terminate adjacent lexical or syntactic elements. Interpretation of these elements would be impossible without separators. Operators denote that actions shall be performed on the operands which are associated with the operator. The EXPRESS-I symbols are shown in table 7 and table 8.

Table 7 – Symbols common to EXPRESS-I and EXPRESS

<table>
<tr><td>.</td><td>,</td><td>;</td><td>:</td></tr>
<tr><td>*</td><td>+</td><td>-</td><td>=</td></tr>
<tr><td>%</td><td>'</td><td>\</td><td>/</td></tr>
<tr><td><</td><td>></td><td>[</td><td>]</td></tr>
<tr><td>{</td><td>}</td><td>|</td><td>@</td></tr>
<tr><td>(</td><td>)</td><td><=</td><td><></td></tr>
<tr><td>>=</td><td><*</td><td>:=</td><td>||</td></tr>
<tr><td>**</td><td>--</td><td>(*</td><td>*)</td></tr>
<tr><td>:=:</td><td>:<>:</td><td></td><td></td></tr>
</table>

Table 8 – Additional EXPRESS-I symbols

@	!	->	<-
==	"		

6.4 Identifiers and references

Identifiers are names given to the elements declared in an EXPRESS-I instantiation. An identifier shall not be the same as an EXPRESS-I or EXPRESS reserved word.

Syntax:

```
187  constant_id = < as EXPRESS > .
198  entity_id = < as EXPRESS > .
282  schema_id = < as EXPRESS > .
140  simple_id = < as EXPRESS > .
51i  ComplexEntityInstanceId = SimpleEntityInstanceId '[' SupSubId ']' .
58i  ContextId = simple_id .
69i  EntityInstanceId = ComplexEntityInstanceId |
                        SimpleEntityInstanceId .
73i  EnumerationId = type_ref .
75i  EnumerationInstanceId = simple_id .
92i  ModelId = simple_id .
100i ParameterId = simple_id .
115i SelectId = type_ref .
117i SelectInstanceId = simple_id .
120i SimpleEntityInstanceId = simple_id .
122i SimpleInstanceId = simple_id .
125i SupSubId = digits .
129i TestCaseId = simple_id .
136i TypeId = type_ref .
138i TypeInstanceId = simple_id .
```

The first character of a simple identifier shall be a letter. The remaining characters, if any, may be any combination of letters, digits, and the underscore character. Identifiers shall not have any embedded white space.

The implementor of an EXPRESS-I language parser shall specify the maximum number of characters of an identifier which can be read by that implementation (see annex B).

NOTE – The letters used to form identifiers are not case sensitive as upper- and lower-case letters are treated as equal.

EXAMPLE 4 – Valid simple identifiers

```
POINT  line   Circle  AnEntity  item567  An_integer
```

EXAMPLE 5 – Invalid simple identifiers

```
_POINT      underscore cannot be first character
line?       ? cannot be part of identifier
3dThing     digit cannot be first character
Pi          Pi is an EXPRESS-I keyword
```

EXAMPLE 6 – Valid complex entity instance identifiers

```
complex[101]  complex[12]  an_ent[23]  an_ent[77]
```

Syntax:

```
146  constant_ref = < as EXPRESS > .
154  type_ref = < as EXPRESS > .
36i  ContextRef = ContextId .
39i  ParameterRef = ParameterId .
```

An element may be referenced via its identifier. Constant and parameter elements are referenced via the corresponding identifier.

Syntax:

```
34i  ComplexEntityInstanceRef = '@' SimpleEntityInstanceId .
37i  EntityInstanceRef = ComplexEntityInstanceRef |
                         SimpleEntityInstanceRef .
38i  EnumerationInstanceRef = '@' EnumerationInstanceId .
96i  ObjectInstanceRef = EntityInstanceRef | EnumerationInstanceRef |
                         SelectInstanceRef | SimpleInstanceRef |
                         TypeInstanceRef .
40i  SelectInstanceRef = '@' SelectInstanceId .
41i  SimpleEntityInstanceRef = '@' SimpleEntityInstanceId .
42i  SimpleInstanceRef = '@' SimpleInstanceId .
43i  SupSubRef = '@' SubSubId .
44i  TypeInstanceRef = '@' TypeInstanceId .
```

The first character of an entity, enumeration, type or select instance reference shall be @ followed by at least one character. The characters after the intial @ can be any combination of letters, digits, and the underscore character which form a valid entity, enumeration, simple instance, select or type instance identifier. Collectively, these are termed object instance references.

EXAMPLE 7 – Valid object instance references

```
@POINT  @line   @Circle  @AnEntity  @item567
```

EXAMPLE 8 – Invalid object instance references

```
@line?         ? cannot be part of identifier
3dThing        @ must be first character
@subof         subof is an EXPRESS-I keyword
@@Circle       @ must appear only as the first character
@567           characters following the @ must begin with a letter
@complex[82]   only alphanumeric and the underscore allowed
```

7 Named domains

This clause defines the domain types provided as part of the language. Domains are used to delineate the allowable instance values. A named domain is an entity, a type, an enumeration, or a select domain.

7.1 Entity domain

An entity domain represents a class of objects which have common attributes.

Syntax:

```
66i  EntityDomain = [ SchemaId '.' ] EntityId .
```

NOTE – An entity domain corresponds to an EXPRESS ENTITY data type.

7.2 Enumeration domain

An enumeration domain has as its domain an ordered set of names.

Syntax:

```
72i  EnumerationDomain = [ SchemaId '.' ] EnumerationId .
```

NOTE – An enumeration domain corresponds to an EXPRESS ENUMERATION data type.

7.3 Select domain

A select domain has as its domain a union of domains.

Syntax:

```
114i SelectDomain = [ SchemaId '.' ] SelectId .
```

NOTE – A select domain corresponds to an EXPRESS SELECT data type.

7.4 Type domain

A type domain is an extension to the other domains in the language.

Syntax:

```
135i TypeDomain = [ SchemaId '.' ] TypeId .
```

NOTE – A type domain corresponds to an EXPRESS defined data TYPE which is neither an ENUMERATION nor a SELECT.

8 Values and instances

This clause describes the EXPRESS instantiation capabilities.

8.1 Base values

Syntax:

```
48i  BaseValue = SimpleValue | EnumerationValue .
123i SimpleValue = BinaryValue | BooleanValue | LogicalValue |
                   NumberValue | StringValue .
```

A simple value is a self-defining constant value. The domain of the value depends on how characters are composed to form a token.

8.1.1 Binary value

A binary value represents a value of a binary domain.

Syntax:

```
25i  BinaryValue = binary_literal .
136  binary_literal = < as EXPRESS > .
```

A binary value is composed of the % character followed by one or more bits (0 or 1).

The implementor of an EXPRESS language parser shall specify the maximum number of bits in a binary value which can be read by that implementation (see annex B).

EXAMPLE 9 – A valid binary value

```
%10100110000101
```

8.1.2 Boolean value

A boolean value represents a value of a boolean domain.

Syntax:

```
50i  BooleanValue = TRUE | FALSE .
```

A boolean value is one of the built-in constants FALSE or TRUE.

8.1.3 Number value

A number value is either an integer value or a real value.

Syntax:

```
94i  NumberValue = IntegerValue | RealValue .
```

8.1.4 Integer value

An integer value represents a value of an integer domain.

Syntax:

```
29i IntegerValue = [ sign ] integer_literal .
138 integer_literal = < as EXPRESS > .
286 sign = < as EXPRESS > .
```

An integer literal is composed entirely of digits. An integer value is composed of an integer literal, optionally preceeded by a sign. It defines a positive, negative or zero integer (whole) number.

The implementor of an EXPRESS language parser shall specify the maximum value of an integer value which can be read by that implementation (see annex B).

EXAMPLE 10 – Valid integer values

```
0  1  -1  891562934527619
```

EXAMPLE 11 – Invalid integer values

```
1.0            cannot include a decimal point
```

8.1.5 Logical value

A logical value represents a value of a logical domain.

Syntax:

```
88i LogicalValue = logical_literal .
242 logical_literal = < as EXPRESS > .
```

A logical value is one of the built-in constants FALSE, TRUE, or UNKNOWN.

8.1.6 Real value

A real value represents a value of a real domain.

A real value is either a signed mathematical constant or a signed real literal.

Syntax:

```
104i RealValue = SignedMathConstant | SignedRealLiteral .
31i SignedMathConstant = [ sign ] MathConstant .
89i MathConstant = CONST_E | PI .
32i SignedRealLiteral = [ sign ] real_literal .
139 real_literal = < as EXPRESS > .
```

A signed mathematical constant is one of the built-in mathematical constants (i.e e or π) optionally preceeded by a sign.

The mathematical constant $e = 2.7182\ldots$ is represented by the EXPRESS constant CONST_E.

The mathematical constant $\pi = 3.1415\ldots$ is represented by the EXPRESS constant PI.

EXAMPLE 12 – Signed mathematical constants

```
-const_e      Pi
```

A signed real literal is composed of a (signed) mantissa and an optional exponent. It defines a rational number.

The implementor of an EXPRESS language parser shall specify the maximum precision and maximum exponent of a real value which can be read by that implementation, using annex B.

EXAMPLE 13 – Valid real values

```
0.0  -1.E6  1.e-6  8915629.34527619
```

EXAMPLE 14 – Invalid real values

```
.001            must have at least one digit before the point
1e10            must have a decimal point in the mantissa
1.0e-12.0       cannot have a decimal point in the exponent
CONSTE          mispelled built-in constant
```

8.1.7 String value

A string value represents a value of a string domain. There are two forms of string value, the explicit string value and encoded string value. An explicit string value is composed of a sequence of characters in the EXPRESS-I character set enclosed by apostrophes ('). An apostrophe within an explicit string value is represented by two consecutive apostrophes. An encoded string value is a four octet encoded representation of a sequence of characters in ISO/IEC 10646-1 enclosed in quotation marks ("). The encoding is defined as follows:

- first octet = ISO/IEC 10646-1 group in which the character is defined;
- second octect = ISO/IEC 10646-1 plane in which the character is defined;
- third octect = ISO/IEC 10646-1 row in which the character is defined;
- fourth octect = ISO/IEC 10646-1 cell in which the character is defined.

The sequence of octects shall identify one of the valid characters of ISO/IEC 10646-1.

Syntax:

```
124i StringValue = SimpleStringValue | EncodedStringValue .
33i  SimpleStringValue = \q { ( \q \q ) | not_quote | \s | \o | \n } \q .
130  not_quote = < as EXPRESS > .
27i  EncodedStringValue = '"' { encoded_character | \n } '"' .
122  encoded_character = < as EXPRESS > .
```

The implementor of an EXPRESS language parser shall specify the maximum number of characters of a string value which can be read by that implementation (see annex B).

The implementor of an EXPRESS language parser shall also specify the maximum number of octets (must be a multiple of four) of an encoded string value which can be read by that implementation (see annex B).

NOTE – An EXPRESS string value differs from an EXPRESS string literal, as in the former case a string value may span more than one physical line, whereas an EXPRESS string literal cannot span more than one physical line.

EXAMPLE 15 – Valid explicit string values

```
'This is a string on one line.'
```

Reads ... This is a string on one line.

```
'This
      is
         a
           multiline
                     string.'
```

Reads ... This
is
a
multiline
string.

```
'This string''s got a single apostrophe embedded in it.'
```

Reads ... This string's got a single apostrophe embedded in it.

EXAMPLE 16 – Invalid explicit string values

```
'This string is invalid because there is no closing apostrophe.
```

EXAMPLE 17 – Valid encoded string values

```
"00000041"
```

Reads ... A.

```
"000000C5"
```

Reads ... Å

EXAMPLE 18 – Invalid encoded string values

```
"000041"
```

Octets must be supplied in groups of four

```
"00000041 000000C5"
```

Cannot have a space between octets

8.1.8 Enumeration value

An enumeration value represents a value of an enumeration domain.

Syntax:

```
28i  EnumerationValue = '!' simple_id .
```

An enumeration value is a simple identifier prepended with an exclamation mark (!). A simple identifier is a character sequence of letters, digits and underscore, with the first character being a letter.

EXAMPLE 19 – Valid enumeration values

```
!red  !green  !forward
```

8.2 Aggregation values

EXPRESS distinguishes two forms of aggregation of values — fixed and dynamic. A fixed aggregation is an aggregation of like things, where the number of storage locations is independant of the number of elements actually stored in the aggregation. A dynamic aggregation is an aggregation of like things, where the number of storage locations is dependent upon the number of elements actually stored in the aggregation. Aggregation values may be nested.

Syntax:

```
46i  AggregationValue = DynamicAggr | FixedAggr .
61i  DynamicAggr = '(' [ DynamicList ] ')' .
63i  DynamicList = DynamicMember { ',' DynamicMember } .
64i  DynamicMember = AggregationValue | ConstantValue |
                     DerattValue | ParmValue | ReqattValue |
                     TypeValue .
79i  FixedAggr = '[' FixedList ']' .
80i  FixedList = FixedMember { ',' FixedMember } .
81i  FixedMember = DynamicMember | Nil .
```

The allowable domains of the elements within the aggregation depend on the domain context. These contexts are:

- Constants (see 8.8);
- Derived attributes (see 8.7.1.2);
- Explicit attributes (see 8.7.1.1);
- Parameters (see 9.2.2);
- Defined data types (see 8.4).

Rules and restrictions:

a) Elements within a dynamic aggregation shall not be `Nil`.

b) Elements within a fixed aggregation may be `Nil`.

c) The element values within an aggregation shall be compatible with the aggregation domain.

EXAMPLE 20 – Aggregation values

```
(10,-10,0)       a dynamic aggregation of 3 integer values
(1,1,2,2,3,3)    a dynamic aggregation of 6 integer values
()               an empty dynamic aggregation
[1,2,3,4]        a fixed aggregation of 4 integer values
([1,2],[3,?])    a dynamic aggregation of a fixed aggregation of 2 values
```

8.3 Simple instance

A simple instance is a representation of the value of one instance of a simple value.

Syntax:

```
121i SimpleInstance = SimpleInstanceId '=' SimpleValue ';' .
122i SimpleInstanceId = simple_id .
123i SimpleValue = BinaryValue | BooleanValue | LogicalValue |
                   NumberValue | StringValue .
42i  SimpleInstanceRef = '@' SimpleInstanceId .
```

EXAMPLE 21 – Some simple instances

```
r1 = 27.0;
s1 = 'A string';
```

8.4 Type instance

A type instance is a representation of the value of one instance of a TYPE domain.

Syntax:

```
137i TypeInstance = TypeInstanceId '=' TypeInstanceValue ';' .
138i TypeInstanceId = simple_id .
139i TypeInstanceValue = TypeDomain '{' TypeValue '}' .
140i TypeValue = AggregationValue | BaseValue | ConstantRef |
                 EntityInstanceValue | NamedInstanceValue |
                 ObjectInstanceRef | ParameterRef .
44i  TypeInstanceRef = '@' TypeInstanceId .
```

Rules and restrictions:

a) The value of the instance shall be either a simple value, an entity instance reference, a type instance reference, or aggregations of these.

EXAMPLE 22 – Some type instances

```
t1 = a_real{27.0};
t2 = an_array_of_string{['one', 'two']};
t3 = a_dynamic_aggregate_of_integer{(1,1,2,3,5,8,13)};
```

8.5 Select instance

A select instance is a representation of the value of one instance of a SELECT domain.

Syntax:

```
116i SelectInstance = SelectInstanceId '=' SelectInstanceValue ';' .
117i SelectInstanceId = simple_id .
118i SelectInstanceValue = SelectDomain '{' SelectValue '}' .
119i SelectValue = EnumerationValue | NamedInstanceValue |
                   ObjectInstanceRef | TypeValue .
40i  SelectInstanceRef = '@' SelectInstanceId .
```

Rules and restrictions:

a) The value of the instance shall be either a type instance reference, a select instance reference, an enumeration instance reference, or an entity instance reference.

EXAMPLE 23 – A select instance

```
s1 = type_or_entity{@e27};
```

8.6 Enumeration instance

An enumeration instance is a representation of the value of one instance of an ENUMERATION domain.

Syntax:

```
74i  EnumerationInstance = EnumerationInstanceId '='
                            EnumerationInstanceValue ';' .
75i  EnumerationInstanceId = simple_id .
76i  EnumerationInstanceValue = EnumerationDomain
                                 '{' EnumerationValue '}' .
28i  EnumerationValue = '!' simple_id .
38i  EnumerationInstanceRef = '@' EnumerationInstanceId .
```

Rules and restrictions:

a) The value of the instance shall be an enumeration value.

EXAMPLE 24 – Some enumeration instances

```
enum1 = an_enum{!first};
enum2 = an_enum{!second};
```

8.7 Entity instance

An entity instance is a representation of one instantiation of an ENTITY domain.

Syntax:

```
68i  EntityInstance = EntityInstanceId '=' EntityInstanceValue ';' .
69i  EntityInstanceId = ComplexEntityInstanceId |
                        SimpleEntityInstanceId .
70i  EntityInstanceValue = EntityDomain '{' [ InheritsFrom ]
                            { ExplicitAttr } { DerivedAttr }
                            { InverseAttr } [ BequeathesTo ] '}' .
37i  EntityInstanceRef = ComplexEntityInstanceRef |
                          SimpleEntityInstanceRef .
```

EXPRESS distinguishes two forms of an entity instance:

Simple entity instance: The instance is not part of an inheritance tree.

Complex entity instance: The instance is of an inheritance tree. It is composed of component (entity) instances which together form all the nodes of the tree.

Syntax:

```
51i  ComplexEntityInstanceId = SimpleEntityInstanceId '[' SupSubId ']' .
34i  ComplexEntityInstanceRef = '@' SimpleEntityInstanceId .
120i SimpleEntityInstanceId = simple_id .
41i  SimpleEntityInstanceRef = '@' SimpleEntityInstanceId .
125i SupSubId = digits .
```

The identifier of a simple entity instance is a simple identifier.

The identifier of a complex entity instance has two parts. The first part is the same as the simple entity instance identifier. The second part is a string of digits enclosed in square brackets. The digit string in the second part (named SupSubId in the syntax) is the identifier of the particular component of the complex entity instance. A reference to a complex entity instance consists of the first part of the identifier preceeded by the @ character.

Rules and restrictions:

a) For a given complex entity instance, the first part of the complex entity instance identifier shall be the same for each component of the complex entity instance.

b) For a given complex entity instance, the second part of the complex entity instance identifier shall be different for each component of the complex entity instance.

EXAMPLE 25 – A complex entity instance identifier for a two component instance, and a reference to this complex entity instance.

```
complex[23]      -- identifier of one component
complex[111]     -- identifier of the other component
@complex         -- reference to complex entity instance
```

8.7.1 Attributes

An EXPRESS entity instance may have zero or more attributes. Attributes are classified into explicit, derived and inverse attributes.

EXAMPLE 26 – Empty entity instances

```
e2 = ent_inst{};
eg = ent_inst{};
```

8.7.1.1 Explicit attributes

An explicit attribute is a required property of an entity.

Syntax:

```
77i  ExplicitAttr = RequiredAttr | OptionalAttr .
106i RequiredAttr = RoleName '->' ( ReqattValue | Nil ) ';' .
99i  OptionalAttr = RoleName '->' OptattValue ';' .
107i RoleName = attribute_ref .
105i ReqattValue = AggregationValue | BaseValue | ConstantRef |
                   NamedInstanceValue | ObjectInstanceRef |
                   ParameterRef | SelectValue | TypeValue .
96i  ObjectInstanceRef = EntityInstanceRef | Enumeration InstanceRef |
                         SelectInstanceRef | TypeInstanceRef |
                         SimpleInstanceRef .
93i  NamedInstanceValue = EnumerationInstanceValue |
                          SelectInstanceValue | TypeInstanceValue .
98i  OptattValue = ReqattValue | Nil .
30i  Nil = '?' .
```

An explicit attribute consists of the attribute role name, followed by the symbol ->, followed by the value of the domain of the role, and finally completed by a semi-colon. The value of the role domain for a required attribute may be a reference to an entity or type instance, a value, a named value, a constant or a parameter, or aggregates of these. The value of the role domain for an optional attribute is the same as for a required attribute, with additionaly a `Nil` value for when the value is not defined.

NOTE – An explicit attribute may be given a `Nil` value. In this case, if the entity definition is based upon an EXPRESS ENTITY then the instance is not conforming to the EXPRESS definition.

EXAMPLE 27 – Explicit attributes

```
a_real         -> 1.2;
an_integer     -> 3;
a_list         -> (1,2,3);
a_boolean      -> TRUE;
a_logical      -> UNKNOWN;
an_enumeration -> !enum1;
a_string       -> 'A string';
entity_ref     -> @instance2;
optional_str   -> ?;
optional_int   -> 42;
a_parameter    -> par1;
a_constant     -> c1;
```

8.7.1.2 Derived attribute

A derived attribute is one whose value can be calculated from the values of other properties of an entity.

Syntax:

```
60i  DerivedAttr = RoleName [ '<-' DerattValue ] ';' .
107i RoleName = attribute_ref .
59i  DerattValue = AggregationValue | BaseValue | EntityInstanceRef |
                   EntityInstanceValue | EnumerationInstanceValue |
                   TypeInstanceRef | TypeInstanceValue | TypeValue .
```

A derived attribute consists of the attribute role name, optionally followed by the symbol `<-` and the value of the domain of the role, and finally completed by a semi-colon. The value of the role domain may be a reference to an entity or type instance, a value, a constant, or aggregates of these. Alternately, the value may be `Nil` in the case where the value is not defined.

EXAMPLE 28 – Derived attributes

```
a_real         <- 1.2;
an_integer     <- 3;
a_boolean      <- TRUE;
a_logical;
an_enumeration <- !enum1;
a_string       <- 'A string';
entity_ref     <- @instance2;
null_derived   <- ?;
```

8.7.1.3 Inverse attribute

If an entity instance has established a relationship with the current entity instance via referencing the current instance in an explicit attribute, then an inverse attribute may be used to describe that relationship in the context of the current instance.

Syntax:

```
87i  InverseAttr = RoleName [ '<-' InvattValue ] ';' .
107i RoleName = attribute_ref .
86i  InvattValue = DynamicEntityRefList .
62i  DynamicEntityRefList = '(' [ EntityRefList ] ')' .
71i  EntityRefList = EntityInstanceRef { ',' EntityInstanceRef } .
```

An inverse attribute consists of the attribute role name, optionally followed by the symbol <- and the value of the domain of the role, and finally completed by a semi-colon. The value of the role domain is a (possibly empty) dynamic list of entity instance references.

EXAMPLE 29 – Inverse attributes

```
inverse_1 <- (@a1, @b3);
inverse_2;
inverse_3 <- ();
```

8.7.2 Supertypes and subtypes

An EXPRESS complex entity instance inherits attributes and their values from its SUPERTYPE instances (if any) and bequeathes attributes and their values to its SUBTYPE instances (if any).

Syntax:

```
49i  BequeathesTo = SUPOF DynamicSupSubRefList  ';' .
85i  InheritsFrom = SUBOF DynamicSupSubRefList ';' .
65i  DynamicSupSubRefList = '(' [ SupSubRef { ',' SupSubRef } ] ')' .
43i  SupSubRef = '@' SupSubId .
```

The component instances (see 8.7) of the immediate supertype(s), if any, are referenced following the SUBOF keyword and are enclosed in parentheses.

The component instances of the immediate subtype(s), if any, are referenced following the SUPOF keyword and are enclosed in parentheses.

NOTE – As specified in 8.7, the identifier of a complex entity instance has two parts; the first part is the identifier of the instance as a whole and the second part the identifier of a component. Call the first part of the identifier for an example complex entity instance part1. Then, the component reference, call it @3 say, is a reference to the component of the complex entity instance fully identified as part1[3].

EXAMPLE 30 – Supertypes and subtypes

```
i1[1] = super{super_int -> 2; SUPOF(@2); };  -- has subtype i1[2]
i1[2] = sub{SUBOF(@1); sub_real -> 23.7; };  -- has supertype i1[1]
```

```
i2[1] = sub{SUBOF(@5); sub_real -> -42.0; }; -- has supertype i2[5]
i2[5] = super{super_int -> 7; SUPOF(@1); };  -- has subtype i2[1]
```

8.8 Constant instance

A constant declaration may be used to declare named constants. The scope of the constant identifiers declared within a constant block shall be the schema in which the constant block occurs. A named constant appearing in a constant declaration has an explicit initialization; the value of a constant cannot be modified after initialisation. Any occurence of the named constant outside the constant declaration shall be equivalent to an occurence of the initial value itself.

Syntax:

```
52i  ConstantBlock = CONSTANT { ConstantSpec } END_CONSTANT ';' .
54i  ConstantSpec = ConstantId '==' ConstantValue ';' .
53i  ConstantId = constant_ref .
55i  ConstantValue = AggregationValue | BaseValue | EntityInstanceValue |
                     NamedInstanceValue | SelectValue | TypeValue .
35i  ConstantRef = ConstantId .
```

The value of a constant may be an aggregation of values.

Rules and restrictions:

a) Each value shall be a simple value, an entity instance value, an enumeration value, a select value, or aggregations of these.

b) A named constant may appear in the declared value of another named constant.

EXAMPLE 31 – A CONSTANT block

```
CONSTANT
  zero     == 0.0;
  thousand == 1000;
  origin   == point{x -> zero; y -> zero;};
  large_circle == circle{center -> origin; radius -> thousand;};
  z_axis   == [0.0, 0.0, 1.0];
END_CONSTANT;
```

8.9 Schema data instance

A SCHEMA_DATA instance defines an instance of (part of) a representation of a universe of discourse in which the elements declared have a related meaning and purpose. For example, **geometry** might be the name of a SCHEMA_DATA— that collects instances of points, curves, surfaces, and other related elements. The order in which instances are declared in a SCHEMA_DATA instance is arbitrary.

Syntax:

```
109i SchemaInstanceBlock = SCHEMA_DATA SchemaId ';'
                           [ SchemaInstanceBody ] END_SCHEMA_DATA ';' .
108i SchemaId = schema_ref .
110i SchemaInstanceBody = [ ConstantBlock ] { ObjectInstance } .
95i  ObjectInstance = EntityInstance | EnumerationInstance |
                      SelectInstance | TypeInstance | SimpleInstance .
```

A SCHEMA_DATA declaration creates a new scope in which the following elements may be declared:

- Constants;
- Entity instances;
- Enumeration instances;
- Select instances;
- Simple instance;
- Type instances.

EXAMPLE 32 – An instantiation of an EXPRESS defined schema.

```
SCHEMA_DATA whatsits;

  (* EXPRESS defined constants *)
  CONSTANT
    one == 1.0;
    twopi == 6.2831853;
  END_CONSTANT;

  (* EXPRESS defined types *)
  n1 = name{('Joe','E','Bloggs')};
  n2 = name{('Mary','Jones')};

  (* EXPRESS defined entities *)
  p1 = point{x -> one; y -> twopi;};
  s1 = affianced{him -> @n1; her -> @n2;};

END_SCHEMA_DATA;
```

8.10 Model display

A MODEL defines one particular instantiation of the data corresponding to an information model.

Syntax:

```
90i  ModelBlock = MODEL ModelId ';' ModelBody END_MODEL ';' .
92i  ModelId = simple_id .
91i  ModelBody = { SchemaInstanceBlock } .
```

An EXPRESS MODEL declaration creates a new scope in which the following elements may be declared:

- Schema data instances.

NOTE – The intended usage of a MODEL is to exhibit the population of an object base.

EXAMPLE 33 – For instance, bugatti_35 might be the name of a MODEL that contains data representing a car of type *Bugatti Type 35*. There may be several schema data instances within this MODEL; one, say, for the blueprints of the car, and another containing maintenance data on the car type.

Rules and restrictions:

a) Each schema data instance within a MODEL shall have a unique identifier.

b) Each instance identifier within a MODEL shall be unique.

c) Values within a MODEL shall not be parameter references.

EXAMPLE 34 – A skeleton MODEL.

```
MODEL a_model;

  SCHEMA_DATA a_schema;
  ...
  END_SCHEMA_DATA;

  SCHEMA_DATA another_schema;
  ...
  END_SCHEMA_DATA;
END_MODEL;
```

9 Abstract test case specification

This clause describes the principal EXPRESS-I language elements related to the specification of abstract test cases.

9.1 Context

A CONTEXT defines data instances and algorithms relevant to a representation of a universe of discourse in which the elements have related meaning and purpose. The data instances may be parameterised.

Syntax:

```
56i  ContextBlock = CONTEXT ContextId ';' ContextBody END_CONTEXT ';' .
58i  ContextId = simple_id .
57i  ContextBody = { SchemaReferenceSpec } [ FormalParameterBlock ]
                   { SchemaInstanceBlock | SupportAlgorithm } .
36i  ContextRef = ContextId .
```

An EXPRESS-I CONTEXT declaration creates a new scope in which the following elements may be declared:

- References to EXPRESS schemas (see 10.2);
- Formal parameters;
- Schema data instances;
- EXPRESS functions;
- EXPRESS procedures.

EXAMPLE 35 – For instance, bugatti might be the name of a CONTEXT that contains parameterised (i.e., generic) data representing a car of type *Bugatti.* There may be several schema data instances within this CONTEXT; one, say, for the blueprints of the car, and another containing maintenance data on the car type.

Rules and restrictions:

a) Each schema data instance within a CONTEXT shall be an instance of a different SCHEMA.

b) Each identifier within a CONTEXT shall be unique.

EXAMPLE 36 – A skeleton CONTEXT.

```
CONTEXT parameterised_model;

  PARAMETER
  ...
  END_PARAMETER;
```

```
    SCHEMA_DATA a_schema;
    ...
    END_SCHEMA_DATA;

    SCHEMA_DATA another_schema;
    ...
    END_SCHEMA_DATA;
  END_CONTEXT;
```

9.2 Parameters

A context can have formal parameters. Each formal parameter has a name and a domain. The name is an identifier that shall be unique within the scope of the context.

A test case can have actual parameters that provide specific values for the relevant formal parameters within a context.

To allow a generalization of the data types used to pass values to contexts there are the domains AGGREGATE and GENERIC. Conformant arrays may also be used to allow the generalization of array domains.

9.2.1 Formal parameter

A formal parameter may have a default value, which shall be compatible with the domain. Formal parameters that do not have default values are initialised to `Nil`.

Syntax:

```
83i  FormalParameterBlock = PARAMETERi
                            { FormalParameter } END_PARAMETER ';' .
82i  FormalParameter = ParameterId ':' parameter_type
                       [ ':=' ParmValueDefault ] ';' .
100i ParameterId = simple_id .
253  parameter_type = < as EXPRESS > .
103i ParmValueDefault = AggregationValue | BaseValue | ConstantRef |
                        EntityInstanceValue | NamedInstanceValue |
                        ObjectInstanceRef | SelectValue | TypeValue |
                        expression .
204  expression = < as EXPRESS > .
39i  ParameterRef = ParameterId .
```

As there may be more than one schema data instance in a context containing parameters, it may happen that two or more of these schemas have entities or types with the same name but differing semantics. The use of one of these names as the domain identifier for a parameter would then be ambiguous. When there is potential for ambiguity, each name shall be qualified by prepending the relevant schema name to it, with a dot as a seperator.

EXAMPLE 37 – A PARAMETER block.

```
  PARAMETER
    iv1       : INTEGER := 1;
```

```
    bv1        : BOOLEAN;
    p1         : name := name{first -> 'John'; last -> 'Doe';
                              married -> bv1;};
    p2         : name := name('Mary','Smith',TRUE);
    a_list     : LIST OF REAL := (0.0, 1.0, 2.0);
    a_set      : SET OF STRING;
    a_select   : selection := wheeled_vehicle;
    from_sch1  : sch1.vector := [1.0,3,0];
    from_sch2  : sch2.vector := [3.0,4.0,-0.5];
  END_PARAMETER;
```

9.2.2 Actual parameter

An actual parameter consists of a reference to a formal parameter, and a value for the parameter. The value shall be compatible with the domain of the formal parameter. The value overrides the default parameter value associated with the formal paramater.

Syntax:

```
45i  ActualParameter = ParameterRef ':=' ParmValue .
39i  ParameterRef = ParameterId .
102i ParmValue = ObjectInstanceRef | expression .
204  expression = < as EXPRESS > .
```

EXAMPLE 38 – This shows some actual parameters for the formal parameters given in example 37.

```
    iv1        := 77**2;
    bv1        := FALSE;
    p1         := name('John', 'Smith', bv1);
    a_list     := [20.0, 1.0, 20.0, 33.72];
    a_set      := ['alpha', 'to', 'omega'];
    a_select   := @v23;
    from_sch1  := [0.0, -1.0];
    from_sch2  := [0.5, -0.2, -0.15];
```

9.3 Test case

A TEST_CASE specifies both administrative and instance data which may be used for the purposes of an abstract test case.

Syntax:

```
127i TestCaseBlock = TEST_CASE TestCaseId ';'
                     TestCaseBody END_TEST_CASE ';' .
129i TestCaseId = simple_id .
128i TestCaseBody = SchemaReferences ObjectiveBlock TestRealization
                    { SupportAlgorithm } .
111i SchemaReferences = SchemaReferenceSpec { SchemaReferenceSpec } .
```

A TEST_CASE declaration creates a new scope in which the following items may be declared or referenced:

- The items under test (see 10.2);
- The test objective;
- The test realization;
- Supporting algorithms.

A TEST_CASE references one or more EXPRESS SCHEMAs. It may reference a set of CONTEXTs, and possibly a set of parameter values, for the purposes of defining a set of test data.

Rules and restrictions:

a) The value of each actual parameter declared in a test case shall be compatible with the domain of the corresponding formal parameter declared in the context.

b) The test case value associated with each formal parameter in the context shall be that declared as the actual parameter, or the default value of the formal parameter if an actual parameter is not declared.

c) Data types within a test case shall be restricted to those type definitions specified within the referenced schemas.

9.4 Test objective

An OBJECTIVE is administrative data which may be used for an abstract test case.

Syntax:

```
97i  ObjectiveBlock = OBJECTIVE { TestPurpose } { TestReference }
                      { TestCriteria } { TestNotes }
                      END_OBJECTIVE ';' .
```

An OBJECTIVE declaration creates a new scope in which the following may be declared:

- The purpose of an abstract test case;
- Reference to appropriate standards or specifications;
- Test criteria;
- Notes for the test analyst.

EXAMPLE 39 – An OBJECTIVE.

```
OBJECTIVE
  NOTES This objective only contains
        a note to the analyst.
  END_NOTES;
END_OBJECTIVE;
```

9.4.1 Test purpose

A test purpose is text to be read by a human. It provides a description of the intent of a test.

Syntax:

```
133i TestPurpose = PURPOSE Description END_PURPOSE ';' .
26i  Description = { \a | \s | \n } .
```

The text commences with the keyword PURPOSE and is terminated by the keyword END_PURPOSE and a semicolon. The text may span multiple lines.

EXAMPLE 40 – The text for this purpose extends over two lines.

```
PURPOSE This test is intended to check
        the existance of a car instance. END_PURPOSE;
```

9.4.2 Test reference

A test reference is text to be read by a human. It provides a description of human interpretable references to appropriate standards or specifications.

Syntax:

```
134i TestReference = REFERENCES Description END_REFERENCES ';' .
26i  Description = { \a | \s | \n } .
```

The text commences with the keyword REFERENCES and is terminated by the keyword END_REFERENCES and a semicolon. The text may span multiple lines.

EXAMPLE 41 – A reference to a printed document.

```
REFERENCES Document AP279, pages 53-57. END_REFERENCES;
```

9.4.3 Test criteria

A test criteria is text to be read by a human. It provides a description of the verdict criteria to be used in judging the result of a test.

Syntax:

```
131i TestCriteria = CRITERIA Description END_CRITERIA ';' .
26i  Description = { \a | \s | \n } .
```

The text commences with the keyword CRITERIA and is terminated by the keyword END_CRITERIA and a semicolon. The text may span multiple lines.

EXAMPLE 42 – A simple criterion.

```
CRITERIA At least one instance of a car shall be present. END_CRITERIA;
```

9.4.4 Test notes

Test notes is text to be read by a human. It provides a means of describing general notes to assist the test analyst.

Syntax:

```
132i TestNotes = NOTES Description END_NOTES ';' .
26i  Description = { \a | \s | \n } .
```

The text commences with the keyword NOTES and is terminated by the keyword END_NOTES and a semicolon. The text may span multiple lines.

EXAMPLE 43 – A single line note.

```
NOTES Remember to fasten your seat belt. END_NOTES;
```

9.5 Test realization

A test realization provides for the definition of the data elements pertaining to a test case.

Syntax:

```
130i TestRealization = REALIZATION { local_decl } { UseContextBlock }
                       { assignment_stmt } END_REALIZATION ';' .
239  local_decl = < as EXPRESS > .
166  assignment_stmt = < as EXPRESS > .
```

A realization commences with the keyword REALIZATION and is terminated by the keyword END_REALIZATION and a semicolon.

A test realization may contain:

- References to context data and parameters (see 10.3);
- Local variables (specified using EXPRESS syntax);
- Assignment statements (specified using EXPRESS syntax).

EXAMPLE 44 – This realization defines p1 to be a variable of type point. It then calls for the creation of a point at (1,2,3), assigning the instance to the variable p1.

```
REALIZATION
  LOCAL
    p1 : point;
  END_LOCAL;

  p1 := point(1.0, 2.0, 3.0);
END_REALIZATION;
```

10 Interfaces

This clause specifies the interfaces between EXPRESS-I instances and EXPRESS models, together with the interfaces between the EXPRESS-I constructs.

10.1 Schema instance interface

Syntax:

```
109i SchemaInstanceBlock = SCHEMA_DATA SchemaId;
                           [ SchemaInstanceBody ] END_SCHEMA_DATA ';' .
108i SchemaId = schema_ref .
152  schema_ref = < as EXPRESS > .
```

Assuming that there is an associated EXPRESS (or equivantly EXPRESS-G) SCHEMA, then the `SchemaId` refers to the name of the EXPRESS SCHEMA. That is, the body of the EXPRESS-I schema data instance contains data instances of the definitions within the identified EXPRESS schema. It shall not contain data instances of definitions that are external to that EXPRESS schema.

NOTE – References to schemas that are defined in languages other than EXPRESS or EXPRESS-G are out of scope. However, the `SchemaId` could be considered to reference a schema that has been defined in a non-EXPRESS language.

10.2 Schema reference

A schema reference enables a particular EXPRESS SCHEMA to be identified together with particular definitions within that schema.

Syntax:

```
112i SchemaReferenceSpec = WITH schema_ref [ USING '(' resource_ref
                           { ',' resource_ref } ')' ] ';' .
152  schema_ref = < as EXPRESS > .
275  resource_ref = < as EXPRESS > .
```

The `schema_ref` following the WITH keyword identifies a particular EXPRESS schema. Individual declarations of interest within the EXPRESS schema are identified in the list following the USING keyword.

Omission of the USING list implies that all the definitions within the identified EXPRESS schema are available.

NOTE – The schema reference acts in a similar manner to the EXPRESS USE statement.

EXAMPLE 45 – Given the following EXPRESS definition

```
SCHEMA a_schema;
  ENTITY entity1; ... END_ENTITY;
  ENTITY entity2; ... END_ENTITY;
```

```
  ENTITY entity7; ... END_ENTITY;
  TYPE type19 = ... END_TYPE;
  TYPE type21 = ... END_TYPE;
END_SCHEMA;
SCHEMA another_schema;
  ...
END_SCHEMA;
```

Then the following identifies two entities and one type from the a_schema schema.

```
WITH a_schema USING (entity1, entity7, type21);
```

10.3 Context data references

Elements of a CONTEXT can be imported into a TEST_CASE and actual values can be given to the formal parameters in the CONTEXT.

Syntax:

```
141i UseContextBlock = CALL ContextRef ';' UseContextBody  END_CALL ';' .
36i  ContextRef = ContextId .
142i UseContextBody = [ ImportSpec ] [ ParameterSpec ] .
84i  ImportSpec = IMPORT '(' { Assignment } ')' ';' .
47i  Assignment = variable_id ':=' SelectableInstanceRef ';' .
101i ParameterSpec = WITH '(' { ActualParameter } ')' ';' .
113i SelectableInstanceRef = EntityInstanceRef | EnumerationInstanceRef |
                             SelectInstanceRef | TypeInstanceRef .
```

A particular CONTEXT is identified via the CALL statement.

Object instances of interest to a test case that exist in the CONTEXT are identified in the IMPORT list. Each instance value shall be assigned to a variable.

Values for the formal parameters in the CONTEXT (if any) are set via the WITH list. These values shall overide the default value (if any) of the identified parameters.

EXAMPLE 46 – A CALL specification

```
CALL a_context;
  IMPORT (ent_var := @ent_21;
          ent_27 := @ent_27;);
  WITH (iv1 := 771;
        a_set := ['alpha', 'to', 'omega']; );
END_CALL;
```

11 Scope and visibility

An EXPRESS-I declaration creates an identifier which can be used to reference the declared item in other contexts. Some EXPRESS-I constructs implicitly declare EXPRESS-I items, attaching identifiers to them. In those areas where an identifier for a declared item may be referenced, the declared item is said to be visible. An item may only be referenced where its identifier is visible. For the rules of visibility see 11.2.

Certain EXPRESS-I items define a region (block) of text called the scope of the item. This scope limits the visibility of identifiers declared within it. Scopes can be nested; that is, an EXPRESS-I item which establishes a scope may be included within the scope of another item. There are constraints on which items may appear within a particular EXPRESS-I item's scope. These constraints are usually enforced by the syntax of EXPRESS-I (see annex A).

Table 9 – Scope and identifier defining EXPRESS-I items

Item	Scope	Identifier
constant instance		•
context	•	•
entity instance		•
enumeration instance		•
model	•	•
schema data instance	•	•
select instance		•
simple instance		•
test case	•	•
type instance		•

NOTE – EXPRESS-I also utilises various EXPRESS constructs that similarly have identifiers and scope. These are listed in table 10.

For each of the items specified in table 9 and table 10 the following subclauses specify the limits of the scope defined, if any, and the visibility of the declared identifier both in general terms and with specific details.

11.1 Scope rules

The following are the general rules which are applicable to all forms of scope definition allowed within the EXPRESS-I language; see table 9 and table 10 for the list of items which define scopes.

Rules and restrictions:

a) All declarations shall exist within a scope.

b) Within a single scope an identifier may be declared, or explicitly interfaced, once only.

c) The scopes shall be correctly nested, i.e., scopes shall not overlap (this is forced by the syntax of the language).

Table 10 – Scope and identifier defining EXPRESS items utilised by EXPRESS-I

Item	Scope	Identifier
alias statement	•	•[1]
attribute		•
constant		•
entity	•	•
enumeration		•
function	•	•
parameter		•
procedure	•	•
query expression	•	•[1]
repeat statement	•	•[1,2]
rule label		•
type	•	•
type label		•
variable		•

NOTES

1 – The identifier is an implicitly declared variable within the defined scope of the declaration.

2 – The variable is only implicitly declared when an increment control is specified.

A maximum permitted depth of nesting is not specified by this part of ISO 10303. The implementor of an EXPRESS-I language parser shall specify the maximum depth of nesting supported by that implementation (see annex B).

11.2 Visibility rules

The visibility rules for identifiers are described below. See table 9 and table 10 for the list of EXPRESS-I items which declare identifiers. The visibility rules for named data type identifiers are slightly different from those for other identifiers; these differences are described in 11.2.2.

11.2.1 General rules of visibility

The following are the general rules which are applicable to all identifiers except the named data type identifiers, for which rule (d) does not apply.

Rules and restrictions:

a) An identifier is visible in the scope in which it is declared. This scope is called the local scope of the identifier.

b) An identifier is visible in a particular scope; it is also visible in all scopes defined within that scope, subject to rule (d).

c) An identifier is not visible in any scope outside its local scope, subject to rule (f).

d) When an identifier i visible in a scope P is re-declared in some inner scope Q enclosed within P, only the i declared in scope Q is visible in Q and any scopes declared within Q. The i declared in scope P is visible in P and in any inner scopes which do not re-declare i.

e) The built-in constants, functions, procedures, and types of EXPRESS-I are considered to be declared in an imaginary universal scope. All EXPRESS-I scopes are nested within this scope. The identifiers which refer to the built-in constants, functions, procedures and types of EXPRESS-I are visible in all scopes defined by EXPRESS-I.

f) Enumeration item identifiers declared within the scope of a defined data type are visible in the next outer scope, unless the next outer scope contains a declaration of the same identifier for another item.

NOTE – If the next outer scope contains a declaration of the same identifier, the enumeration items are still accessible but have to be prefixed by the defined data type identifier.

g) Some EXPRESS-I declarations which are normally invisible may be made visible by interface specifications (see clause 10).

11.2.2 Named data type identifier visibility rules

With one exception, named data type identifiers obey the same visibility rules as other identifiers. The exception is to visibility rule (d). An entity or defined data type identifier i declared in a scope P remains visible in an inner scope Q even if it is redeclared in Q, provided that either:

a) The scope Q is defined by an entity declaration, and i is declared as an attribute in that scope, or

b) The scope Q is defined by a function, procedure or context declaration, and i is declared as a formal parameter or variable in that scope.

EXAMPLE 47 – In `entity1`, `d` refers to both an entity data type and an attribute.

```
FUNCTION example(par : INTEGER): INTEGER;

  ENTITY d;
    attr1 : REAL;
  END_ENTITY;

  ENTITY entity1;
    d : d;                    -- d in this scope is both an entity
  END_ENTITY;                 --  and an attribute.
  ...
```

```
...
END_FUNCTION;
```

11.3 Explicit item rules

The following subclauses provide more detail on how the general scoping and visibility rules apply to the various EXPRESS-I items.

EXPRESS-I utilises much of the EXPRESS language. The scoping and visibility rules for most of these EXPRESS items within EXPRESS-I are identical to those of EXPRESS as defined in ISO 10303-11:1994. Table 11 identifies these items. The table further identifies those items common to both EXPRESS and EXPRESS-I whose EXPRESS rules are modified when they are used within EXPRESS-I and those items which are particular to EXPRESS-I.

Table 11 – Scope and visibility rules

Item	EXPRESS rules	EXPRESS modified rules	EXPRESS-I specific
alias statement	•		
attribute	•		
constant		•	
constant instance			•
context			•
entity		•	
entity instance			•
enumeration		•	
enumeration instance			•
function		•	
model			•
parameter		•	
procedure		•	
query expression	•		
repeat statement	•		
rule label		•	
schema data instance			•
select instance			•
simple instance			•
test case			•
type		•	
type instance			•
type label	•		
variable		•	

NOTE – The modifications to the EXPRESS rules are due principally to the fact that EXPRESS-I does not utilise the EXPRESS SCHEMA or RULE constructs.

11.3.1 Alias statement

The scope and visibilty rules for the ALIAS statement are defined in subclause 10.3.1 of ISO 10303-11:1994.

11.3.2 Attribute

The scope and visibilty rules for an attribute are defined in subclause 10.3.2 of ISO 10303-11:1994.

11.3.3 Constant

Visibility: A constant identifier is visible in the scope of the function or procedure in which it is declared.

NOTE – The EXPRESS specification (subclause 10.3.3 of ISO 10303-11:1994) is:

A constant identifier is visible in the scope of the function, procedure, rule or schema in which it is declared.

11.3.4 Constant instance

Visibility: A constant instance identifier is visible in the scope of the schema data instance in which it is declared and in any outer scope of the schema data instance.

11.3.5 Context

Visibility: A context identifier is visible to all test cases.

Scope: A context declaration defines a new scope. The keyword CONTEXT starts this scope which extends to the keyword END_CONTEXT which terminates that context declaration.

Declarations: The following items may declare identifiers within the scope of a context declaration:

- formal parameter;
- function;
- procedure;
- schema data instance.

11.3.6 Entity

Visibility: An entity identifier is visible in the scope of the function or procedure in which it is declared. An entity identifier remains visible, under the conditions defined in 11.2.2, within inner scopes which redeclare that identifier.

NOTE – The EXPRESS specification (subclause 10.3.5 of ISO 10303-11:1994) is:

> An entity identifier is visible in the scope of the function, procedure, rule or schema in which it is declared. An entity identifier remains visible ...

Scope and declarations: The scope and allowable declarations are defined in ISO 10303-11:1994.

EXAMPLE 48 – The attribute identifiers batt in the two entities do not clash as they are declared in two different scopes.

```
ENTITY entity1;
  aatt : INTEGER;
  batt : INTEGER;
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY entity2;
  a    : entity1;
  batt : INTEGER;
END_ENTITY;
```

EXAMPLE 49 – The following specification is illegal because the attribute identifier aatt is repeated within the scope of a single entity. Although the rule label lab is declared in both entities, this does not violate any scoping or visibility rule; the declaration in entity may_be_ok is not visible in the entity illegal, but both domain rules must be checked.

```
ENTITY may_be_ok;
  quantity : REAL;
WHERE
  lab : quantity >= 0.0;
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY illegal
  SUBTYPE OF (may_be_ok);
  aatt : INTEGER;
  batt : INTEGER;
  aatt : REAL;
WHERE
  lab : batt < 0;
END_ENTITY;
```

11.3.7 Entity instance

Visibility: An entity instance identifier is visible in the scope of the schema data instance in which it is declared and in any outer scope of the schema data instance.

11.3.8 Enumeration item

Visibility: An enumeration item identifier is visible in the scope of the function or procedure in which its type is declared. This is the exception to the visibility rule f of 11.2.1. The identifier shall not be declared for any other purpose in this scope, except by another enumeration data type declaration in the same scope. If the same identifier is declared by two enumeration data types as an enumeration item, a reference to either enumeration item shall be prefixed with the data type identifier in order to ensure that the reference is unambiguous.

NOTE – The EXPRESS specification (subclause 10.3.4 of ISO 10303-11:1994) is:

> An enumeration item identifier is visible in the scope of the function, procedure, rule or schema in which its type is declared. This is the exception ...

11.3.9 Enumeration instance

Visibility: An enumeration instance identifier is visible in the scope of the schema data instance in which it is declared and in any outer scope of the schema data instance.

11.3.10 Function

Visibility: A function identifier is visible in the scope of the function, procedure, context, or test case in which it is declared.

NOTE – The EXPRESS specification (subclause 10.3.6 of ISO 10303-11:1994) is:

> A function identifier is visible in the scope of the function, procedure, rule or schema in which it is declared.

Scope and declarations: The scope and allowable declarations are defined in ISO 10303-11:1994.

11.3.11 Model

Scope: A model declaration defines a new scope. This scope extends from the keyword MODEL to the keyword END_MODEL which terminates that model declaration.

Declarations: The following items may declare identifiers within the scope of a model declaration:

– schema data instance.

11.3.12 Parameter

Visibility: A formal parameter identifier is visible in the scope of the function, procedure, or context in which it is declared.

NOTE – The EXPRESS specification (subclause 10.3.7 of ISO 10303-11:1994) is:

> A formal parameter identifier is visible in the scope of the function or procedure in which it is declared.

EXAMPLE 50 – The following is illegal, as the formal parameter identifier **parm** is also used as the identifier of a local variable.

```
CONTEXT illegal;
  PARAMETER
    parm : REAL;
    ...
  END_PARAMETER;
  LOCAL
    parm : STRING;
  END_LOCAL;
  ...
END_CONTEXT;
```

11.3.13 Procedure

Visibility: A procedure identifier is visible in the scope of the function, procedure, context, or test case in which it is declared.

NOTE – The EXPRESS specification (subclause 10.3.8 of ISO 10303-11:1994) is:

> A procedure identifier is visible in the scope of the function, procedure, rule or schema in which it is declared.

Scope and declarations: The scope and allowable declarations are defined in subclause 10.3.8 of ISO 10303-11:1994.

11.3.14 Query expression

The scope and visibility of a QUERY expression is defined in subclause 10.3.9 of ISO 10303-11:1994.

11.3.15 Repeat statement

The scope and visibility of a REPEAT statement is defined in subclause 10.3.10 of ISO 10303-11:1994.

11.3.16 Rule label

Visibility: A rule label is visible in the scope of the entity or type in which it is declared.

NOTE 1 – The EXPRESS specification (subclause 10.3.12 of ISO 10303-11:1994) is:

A rule label is visible in the scope of the entity, rule or type in which it is declared.

NOTE 2 – The rule label is only of use to an implementation. EXPRESS-I provides no mechanism for referencing rule labels.

11.3.17 Schema data instance

Scope: A schema data declaration defines a new scope. This scope extends from the keyword SCHEMA_DATA to the keyword END_SCHEMA_DATA which terminates that schema data declaration.

Declarations: The following items may declare identifiers within the scope of a schema data declaration:

- constant instance;
- entity instance;
- enumeration instance;
- select instance;
- simple instance;
- type instance.

11.3.18 Select instance

Visibility: A select instance identifier is visible in the scope of the schema data instance in which it is declared and in any outer scope of the schema data instance.

11.3.19 Simple instance

Visibility: A simple instance identifier is visible in the scope of the schema data instance in which it is declared and in any outer scope of the schema data instance.

11.3.20 Test case

Scope: A test case defines a new scope. This scope extends from the keyword TEST_CASE to the keyword END_TEST_CASE which terminates that test case.

Declarations: The following items may declare identifiers within the scope of a test case:

- function;

- procedure;
- variable.

11.3.21 Type

Visibility: A type identifier is visible in the scope of the function or procedure in which it is declared. A type identifier remains visible, under certain conditions, in inner scopes which redeclare that identifier; see 11.2.2 for the definition of the allowed conditions.

NOTE – The EXPRESS specification (subclause 10.3.14 of ISO 10303-11:1994) is:

> A type identifier is visible in the scope of the function, procedure, rule or schema in which it is declared. A type identifier remains visible ...

Scope and declarations: The scope and allowable declarations are defined in ISO 10303-11:1994.

11.3.22 Type instance

Visibility: A type instance identifier is visible in the scope of the schema data instance in which it is declared and in any outer scope of the schema data instance.

11.3.23 Type label

The scope and visibility are defined in subclause 10.3.15 of ISO 10303-11:1994.

11.3.24 Variable

Visibility: A variable identifier is visible in the scope of the function, procedure, or test case in which it is declared.

NOTE – The EXPRESS specification (subclause 10.3.16 of ISO 10303-11:1994) is:

> A variable identifier is visible in the scope of the function, procedure or rule in which it is declared.

12 Mapping from EXPRESS to EXPRESS-I

This clause specifies the mapping of EXPRESS schema and type definitions to EXPRESS-I instances.

Table 12 gives an overview of the EXPRESS to EXPRESS-I mappings. These are described in more detail below.

Table 12 – Summary overview of EXPRESS to EXPRESS-I mappings

EXPRESS	EXPRESS-I
ARRAY, BAG, LIST, SET	AggregationValue
CONSTANT	ConstantBlock
	ContextBlock
ENTITY	EntityInstance
ENUMERATION	Enumeration instance or value
	FormalParameterBlock
FUNCTION	
	ModelBlock
PROCEDURE	
Remark	
RULE	
SCHEMA	SchemaInstanceBlock
SELECT	Select instance or value
Simple type	SimpleValue
	TestCaseBlock
TYPE	Type instance or value

12.1 Mapping of EXPRESS schema

The EXPRESS construct of SCHEMA maps syntactically to the EXPRESS-I construct of schema data instance. Table 13 gives an overview of the correspondance between the EXPRESS and EXPRESS-I constructs.

Rules and restrictions:

a) The name of the EXPRESS-I schema data instance shall be the same as the name of the corresponding EXPRESS schema.

b) Each entity instance within a schema data instance shall have a corresponding entity definition within the EXPRESS schema.

c) Each enumeration, select or type instance within a schema data instance shall have a corresponding definition within the EXPRESS schema.

d) Each constant within a schema data instance shall have a corresponding constant definition within the EXPRESS schema.

Table 13 – Overview of SCHEMA mapping

EXPRESS	EXPRESS-I
SCHEMA name	schema_id
CONSTANT	ConstantBlock or none
ENTITY	EntityInstance
ENUMERATION	EnumerationInstance or none
FUNCTION	none
PROCEDURE	none
REFERENCE	none, but see 12.1.1
RULE	none
SELECT	SelectInstance or none
TYPE	TypeInstance or none
USE	none, but see 12.1.1

e) Each domain specification within a schema data instance shall be uniquely identified, if necessary by qualifying the domain name with the name of the EXPRESS schema which contains the domain definition.

f) Instance identifiers shall be unique within a schema data instance.

12.1.1 Mapping of use and reference

The EXPRESS USE and REFERENCE statements do not map directly to EXPRESS-I but their effects do occur:

– Instances of EXPRESS elements that are brought within the scope of an EXPRESS schema via explicit USE or REFERENCE statements, or that are implicitly referenced, may occur within a corresponding EXPRESS-I schema data instance.

– Elements whose domains are renamed shall have their domains specified via the new names.

– If there are name clashes between the domains in the original EXPRESS schema and those that are brought in from another schema, the brought-in names shall be qualified with the name of their parent schema.

EXAMPLE 51 – These EXPRESS schemas are interlinked as the schema called primary utilizes the definition of the entity called an_ent from the secondary schema.

```
SCHEMA primary;
  USE FROM secondary (an_ent AS used);

  ENTITY dup;
    att1 : used;
    att2 : BOOLEAN;
  END_ENTITY;
END_SCHEMA;
```

```
SCHEMA secondary;

  ENTITY dup;
    name : STRING;
    int  : INTEGER;
  END_ENTITY;

  ENTITY an_ent;
    att3 : dup;
    att4 : REAL;
  END_ENTITY;
END_SCHEMA;
```

Any usage of an_ent in an instance of the primary schema requires an instance of the entity called dup which is also defined in the secondary schema and which is automatically made available through the semantics of the USE clause. However, in this case, there is also an entity called dup in the primary schema. These two domains must be distinguished within an EXPRESS-I representation of primary by qualifying the name of the entity that is brought in from the secondary schema, as in the following.

```
MODEL example;
  SCHEMA_DATA primary;
    dup1 = dup{att1 -> @used1; att2 -> TRUE;};
    used1 = used{att3 -> @dup2; att4 -> 1.23;};
    dup2 = secondary.dup{name -> 'from secondary'; int -> 1;};
    used2 = used{att3 -> @dup3; att4 -> -3.9;};
  END_SCHEMA_DATA;

  SCHEMA_DATA secondary;
    dup3 = dup{name -> 'in secondary'; int -> 3;};
    dup4 = dup{name -> 'in secondary'; int -> 4;};
    an_ent1 = an_ent{att3 -> @dup3; att4 -> 42.0;};
  END_SCHEMA_DATA;
END_MODEL;
```

12.2 Mapping of EXPRESS simple data types

The mapping from an EXPRESS simple data type to an EXPRESS-I value is given in table 14.

EXAMPLE 52 – Mapping of simple data types

```
    EXPRESS                                   EXPRESS-I
    =======                                   =========
ENTITY base;                           e1 = base{
  a_binary   : BINARY;                           a_binary   -> %0110;
  a_boolean  : BOOLEAN;                          a_boolean  -> FALSE;
  an_integer : INTEGER;                          an_integer -> 12345;
  a_logical  : LOGICAL;                          a_logical  -> UNKNOWN;
  a_number   : NUMBER;                           a_number   -> -PI;
  a_real     : REAL;                             a_real     -> -9.99e2;
```

Table 14 – Simple type mapping

EXPRESS	EXPRESS-I
BINARY	BinaryValue
BOOLEAN	BooleanValue
INTEGER	IntegerValue
LOGICAL	LogicalValue
NUMBER	IntegerValue RealValue
REAL	RealValue
STRING	StringValue

```
  a_string   : STRING;                      a_string   -> 'Tangles';
END_ENTITY;                               };
```

12.3 Mapping of aggregation data types

The mapping of EXPRESS aggregations to EXPRESS-I is given in table 15.

Table 15 – Mapping of AGGREGATEs

EXPRESS	EXPRESS-I
AGGREGATE	one of the following:
ARRAY	FixedAggr
BAG	DynamicAggr
LIST	DynamicAggr
SET	DynamicAggr

The mapping of "aggregation of aggregation of ..." is done by mapping each elemental aggregation in order, reading from left to right. That is, the leftmost EXPRESS aggregation becomes the outermost EXPRESS-I aggregation.

EXAMPLE 53 – Aggregate mappings

```
    EXPRESS                                    EXPRESS-I
    =======                                    =========
ENTITY aggr;                              e1 = aggr{
 an_array : ARRAY [1:3] OF INTEGER;             an_array -> [1,2,3];
 a_bag    : BAG [0:?] OF INTEGER;               a_bag -> (3,3,1);
 a_list   : LIST [0:2] OF INTEGER;              a_list -> (1);
 a_set    : SET [1:?] OF INTEGER;               a_set -> (9,5,11);
 a_mix    : ARRAY [1:2] OF SET OF INTEGER;      a_mix -> [(1,2),(6,5)];
END_ENTITY;                                     };
```

NOTE – An EXPRESS ARRAY may have OPTIONAL values. If the values are unspecified in an instance of an ARRAY then these values are denoted by the Nil construct (i.e the ? character) in EXPRESS-I.

EXAMPLE 54 – Sparse array mapping

```
    EXPRESS                                   EXPRESS-I
    =======                                   =========
ENTITY sparse;                                e1 = sparse{
  a1 : ARRAY [1:4] OF OPTIONAL INTEGER;                   a1 -> [1,?,?,4];
  a2 : ARRAY [5:8] OF OPTIONAL INTEGER;                   a2 -> [1,?,3,?];
END_ENTITY;                                               };
```

12.4 Mapping of EXPRESS defined data type

An EXPRESS defined data type is mapped to EXPRESS-I in one of three ways:

a) by replacing the EXPRESS type identifier by the type value;

b) by replacing the EXPRESS type identifier by the named type value;

c) by specifying a type instance.

EXAMPLE 55 – Mapping a defined data type

```
    EXPRESS                                   EXPRESS-I
    =======                                   =========
TYPE dd = ARRAY [1:2] OF            t3 = dd{[6,8]};
          INTEGER;
END_TYPE;

ENTITY use_type;                    e1 = use_type{attr -> [2,4];};
  attr : dd;                        e2 = use_type{attr -> dd{[4,6]};};
END_ENTITY;                         e3 = use_type{attr -> @t3;};
```

12.5 Mapping of EXPRESS enumeration type

An EXPRESS ENUMERATION type is mapped to EXPRESS-I in one of three ways:

a) by replacing the EXPRESS type identifier by the enumeration value;

b) by replacing the EXPRESS type identifier by the named enumeration value;

c) by specifying an enumeration instance.

EXAMPLE 56 – Mapping an enumeration

```
    EXPRESS                                   EXPRESS-I
    =======                                   =========
TYPE enum = ENUMERATION OF          t3 = enum{!three};
     (one, two, three);
END_TYPE;

ENTITY use_enum;                    e1 = use_enum{attr -> !one;};
  attr : enum;                      e2 = use_enum{attr -> enum{!two};};
END_ENTITY;                         e3 = use_enum{attr -> @t3;};
```

12.6 Mapping of EXPRESS select type

An EXPRESS SELECT type is mapped to EXPRESS-I in one of three ways:

a) by replacing the EXPRESS type identifier by the select value;

b) by replacing the EXPRESS type identifier by the named select value;

c) by specifying a select instance.

An EXPRESS SELECT type may not necessarily be mapped directly into EXPRESS-I. The details of the mapping depend on how the SELECT type is formed, as described below.

A SELECT type defines a tree. The root is the SELECT type and the branches from the root correspond to the types of the choices within the SELECT. If one of these types is itself a SELECT then this gives rise to further branches, and so on. The leaves of the tree are composed of the choices that are not SELECT types. In the simple case all leaves are of different types. In the complex case, at least two of the leaves have the same base type.

12.6.1 Simple select case

The type is treated as a reference to, or an occurrence of, one of the types in its select list.

EXAMPLE 57 – Simple select mapping

```
    EXPRESS                             EXPRESS-I
    =======                             =========
ENTITY a;                           e1 = a{aa -> 3;};
  aa : INTEGER;                     e3 = a{aa -> 9;};
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY b;                           e2 = b{ab -> 6;};
  ab : INTEGER;                     e4 = b{ab -> 12;};
END_ENTITY;

TYPE s = SELECT (a, b);             s4 = s{@e4};
END_TYPE;

ENTITY c;                           c1 = c{ac -> (@s4, @e3, @e2, @e1);};
  ac : LIST [1:?] OF s;             c2 = c{ac -> (s{@1}, @e3, @e3);};
END_ENTITY;
```

12.6.2 Complex select case

In this case, the leaves of the tree are not distinguishable by their value alone. This occurs when:

a) the leaves are defined data types with identical base types, or

b) the leaves are ENUMERATION types where the set of values in the leaves are not disjoint. For example, the sets [red, green, blue] and [red, amber, green] are not disjoint.

The value of the select instance in this case shall be represented in EXPRESS-I either by a reference to an instance or by a named value.

EXAMPLE 58 – Complex select mapping

```
    EXPRESS                                  EXPRESS-I
    =======                                  =========
TYPE size = SELECT                     s1 = size{@r1};
            (area, radius);            s2 = size{radius{4.3}};
END_TYPE;

TYPE area = REAL;                      a1 = area{7.5};
END_TYPE;

TYPE radius = REAL;                    r1 = radius{27.89};
END_TYPE;

ENTITY circle;                         c1 = circle{howbig -> area{PI};};
  howbig : size;                       c2 = circle{howbig -> radius{1.0};};
WHERE                                  c3 = circle{howbig -> @s1;};
  howbig > 0.0;                        c4 = circle{howbig -> @a1};
END_ENTITY;                            c5 = circle{howbig -> @s2};
```

12.7 Mapping of EXPRESS constant

An EXPRESS CONSTANT maps syntactically to the EXPRESS-I construct of constant_spec. That is, the constant identifier and value only is specified in EXPRESS-I — the domain of the constant value is provided by the original EXPRESS definition. Further, the constant value shall be completely evaluated. Each constant specification appearing in a schema instance shall have been declared in the EXPRESS schema definition. However, it is not required that each EXPRESS CONSTANT appear within a schema instance.

EXAMPLE 59 – Constant mapping

```
    EXPRESS                                    EXPRESS-I
    =======                                    =========
CONSTANT                                   CONSTANT
  zero : NUMBER := 0.0;                      zero == 0.0;
  thousand : INTEGER := 1000;                thousand == 1000;
  million : INTEGER := thousand**2;          million == 1000000;
  origin : point := point(0.0, 0.0);         origin == point{x -> 0.0;
                                                             y -> 0.0;};
  z_axis : vector := [zero, zero, 1.0];      z_axis == [0.0, 0.0, 1.0];
  a_set : SET OF INTEGER := [1,2,3*3];       a_set == (1, 2, 9);
  a_bag : BAG OF INTEGER := [1,3,1];
  boss : STRING := 'sir' ;
  underling : STRING := 'hey, you';          underling == 'hey, you';
END_CONSTANT;                              END_CONSTANT;
```

Notice that the two constants named a_bag and boss have not been mapped in this example.

12.8 Mapping of EXPRESS entity

The EXPRESS construct of ENTITY maps syntactically to the EXPRESS-I construct of entity instance. The only internal portions of an ENTITY that are mapped to EXPRESS-I are attributes, and SUPERTYPE and SUBTYPE clauses, as listed in table 16.

Table 16 – Overview of ENTITY mapping

EXPRESS	EXPRESS-I
ENTITY name	`EntityDomain`
SUPERTYPE clause	`BequeathesTo`
SUBTYPE clause	`InheritsFrom`
explicit attribute	`RequiredAttr` or `OptionalAttr`
derived attribute	`DerivedAttr`
inverse attribute	`InverseAttr`
UNIQUE clause	none
WHERE clause	none

EXAMPLE 60 – Simple entity mapping

```
    EXPRESS
    =======
ENTITY top;
  a : SET OF bot;
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY bot;
  i : INTEGER;
DERIVE
  j : INTEGER := 2*i;
INVERSE
  inv : BAG [1:?] OF top FOR a;
UNIQUE
  u1 : i;
WHERE
  w1 : i > 0;
END_ENTITY;
```

```
    EXPRESS-I
    =========
t1 = top{a -> (@eg1, @eg2);};
t2 = top{a -> (@eg2, @eg3);};
t3 = top{a -> ();};

eg1 = bot{i -> 1;
          j <- 2;
          inv <- (@t1);};

eg2 = bot{i -> 276;
          j <- 552;
          inv <- (@t1, @t2);};

eg3 = bot{i -> 9876;
          j;
          inv <- (@t2);};
```

12.9 Mapping of EXPRESS entity attributes

EXPRESS-I attributes shall appear in the same order as in the corresponding EXPRESS ENTITY. Each EXPRESS attribute shall have a corresponding EXPRESS-I attribute.

The EXPRESS-I value of an attribute shall be compatible with the domain of the EXPRESS definition.

12.9.1 Explicit attribute

Explicit EXPRESS attributes map in a straightforward manner to EXPRESS-I attributes. The description of the EXPRESS attribute is repeated in EXPRESS-I except that the description of the type of the attribute (i.e the right hand side after the colon) is replaced by the value of the attribute type and the colon is replaced by ->.

The value may be represented by a simple value, an object instance reference (i.e an entity, type, enumeration or select instance reference), an enumeration value, a named value, a constant reference, or a parameter reference, or aggregates of these. These are discussed in more detail below.

In the case where an explict attribute is OPTIONAL the attribute value may also be `Nil`, indicating that the value is not supplied.

EXAMPLE 61 – Mapping an optional attribute

```
    EXPRESS                              EXPRESS-I
    =======                              =========
ENTITY opt;                        opt1 = opt{req -> 'Opt-att given';
  req     : STRING;                           opt_att -> 5.0; };
  opt_att : OPTIONAL REAL;
END_ENTITY;                        opt2 = opt{req -> 'Opt-att not given';
                                              opt_att -> ?; };
```

NOTE – In EXPRESS-I a non-optional explicit attribute may have a `Nil` value, in which case the instance is non-conforming with respect to the EXPRESS definition.

12.9.2 Derived and inverse attributes

Derived EXPRESS attributes map to EXPRESS-I in a similar manner to explicit attributes, except that the symbol <- replaces the colon.

Inverse EXPRESS attributes map to EXPRESS-I in a similar manner to explicit attributes, except that the symbol <- replaces the colon, and the attribute value is a dynamic aggregation of entity instance references.

There is no requirement that the values of derived or inverse attributes appear in EXPRESS-I although the role names shall appear.

NOTES

1 – By definition, the value of a derived attribute can be determined from the values of the explicit attributes. Similarly, the value of an inverse attribute of an entity instance can be determined from the attribute values of other entity instances that reference the entity instance with the given inverse attribute. Thus, conceptually at least, both derived and inverse attribute values are calculable properties.

2 – On the other hand, the values of explicit attributes are basic input data that is not calculable within an EXPRESS-I system.

3 – The symbols -> and <- were designed to indicate this difference in the qualities of attribute values.

12.9.3 Attribute with a simple domain

When the domain of an EXPRESS attribute is a simple data type, this shall be mapped as an EXPRESS-I value belonging the simple domain. Typically this is a simple value, but may be a constant or parameter reference whose domain is the simple domain.

Rules and restrictions:

a) Constant reference shall only be used if both the entity instance and the constant instance is within the same schema data instance.

b) Parameter reference shall only be used if the formal parameter and the entity instance are both within the same CONTEXT.

c) Parameter reference shall not be used within the scope of a MODEL.

EXAMPLE 62 – Mapping a simple value as attribute:

Given the EXPRESS as

```
SCHEMA a_schema;
  CONSTANT
    const : INTEGER := 275;
  END_CONSTANT;

  ENTITY an_ent;
    aa : INTEGER;
  END_ENTITY;
END_SCHEMA;
```

then an EXPRESS-I rendition could look like:

```
MODEL some_data;

  SCHEMA_DATA a_schema;

    CONSTANT
      const == 275;
    END_CONSTANT;

    a1 = an_ent{aa -> 1;};
    a2 = an_ent{aa -> const;};
    a3 = an_ent{aa -> 21;};
    a4 = an_ent{aa -> 987;};
  END_SCHEMA_DATA;
END_MODEL;
```

Alternatively, it could be represented via a context as:

```
CONTEXT a_context;
  PARAMETER
    param1 : INTEGER := 21;
```

```
    param2 : INTEGER := 987;
  END_PARAMETER;

  SCHEMA_DATA a_schema;

    CONSTANT
      const == 275;
    END_CONSTANT;

    a1 = an_ent{aa -> 1;};
    a2 = an_ent{aa -> const};
    a3 = an_ent{aa -> param1};
    a4 = an_ent{aa -> param2};
  END_SCHEMA_DATA;
END_CONTEXT;
```

12.9.4 Attribute with an entity domain

When the domain of an EXPRESS attribute is an entity, this shall be mapped as an EXPRESS-I value belonging the entity domain. Typically this is an entity instance reference, but may be a constant or parameter reference whose domain is the entity domain.

Rules and restrictions:

a) Constant reference shall only be used if both the entity instance and the constant instance are within the same schema data instance.

b) Parameter reference shall only be used if the formal parameter and the entity instance are both within the same CONTEXT.

c) Parameter reference shall not be used within the scope of a MODEL.

d) Neither parameter nor constant reference shall be used for an inverse attribute.

EXAMPLE 63 – Mapping an entity as attribute:

Given the EXPRESS as

```
SCHEMA a_schema;
  CONSTANT
    const : an_ent := an_ent(275);
  END_CONSTANT;

  ENTITY an_ent;
    aa : INTEGER;
  END_ENTITY;

  ENTITY bdyn;
    ab : an_ent;
  END_ENTITY;
END_SCHEMA;
```

then an EXPRESS-I rendition could look like:

```
CONTEXT a_context;
  PARAMETER
    param : an_ent := an_ent{aa -> 42;};
  END_PARAMETER;

  SCHEMA_DATA a_schema;

    CONSTANT
      const == an_ent{aa -> 275;};
    END_CONSTANT;

    a1 = an_ent{aa -> 1;};
    b1 = bdyn{ab -> @a1;};
    b2 = bdyn{ab -> const;};
    b3 = bdyn{ab -> param;};
  END_SCHEMA_DATA;
END_CONTEXT;
```

12.9.5 Attribute with a type, select or enumeration domain

When the domain of an EXPRESS attribute is a defined data type, a SELECT, or an ENUMERATION, this shall be mapped as an EXPRESS-I value belonging the domain. Typically this is either a value (for a defined data type or enumeration) or an entity instance reference (for a select), but may be an object instance reference, a named value, or a constant or parameter reference whose domain is compatible with the attribute domain.

Rules and restrictions:

a) Constant reference shall only be used if both the entity instance and the constant instance is within the the same schema data instance.

b) Parameter reference shall only be used if the formal parameter and the entity instance are both within the same CONTEXT.

c) Parameter reference shall not be used within the scope of a MODEL.

d) An object instance reference or a named value shall be used when the actual domain is not unambiguously determinable from the value.

EXAMPLE 64 – Mapping types as attribute:

Given the EXPRESS as

```
SCHEMA a_schema;
  CONSTANT
    zero : REAL := 0.0;
  END_CONSTANT;

  TYPE size = SELECT(area, radius); END_TYPE;
```

```
  TYPE area = REAL; END_TYPE;
  TYPE radius = REAL; END_TYPE;
  TYPE vector = ARRAY [1:3] OF REAL; END_TYPE;
  TYPE color = ENUMERATION OF (red, blue, green); END_TYPE;

  ENTITY point;
    x, y, z : REAL;
  END_ENTITY;

  ENTITY circle;
    center : point;
    normal : vector;
    howbig : size;
    shade  : color;
  END_ENTITY;
END_SCHEMA;
```

then an EXPRESS-I rendition could look like:

```
SCHEMA_DATA a_schema;

  CONSTANT
      zero == 0.0;
  END_CONSTANT;

  unit_rad = size{radius{1.0}};
  x_axis = vector{[1.0, zero, zero]};
  z_axis = vector{[zero, zero, 1.0]};
  x_color = color{!red};

  p0 = point{x -> zero; y -> zero; z -> zero;};
  p1 = point{x -> 1.0; y -> 1.0; z -> 1.0};

  c1 = circle{center -> @p0;
              normal -> @x_axis;
              howbig -> area{PI};
              shade  -> @x_color;};
  c2 = circle{center -> @p0;
              normal -> [1.0, 2.0, 3.0];
              howbig -> radius{33.0};
              shade  -> !blue;};
  c3 = circle{center -> @p1;
              normal -> @z_axis;
              howbig -> @unit_rad;
              shade  -> !blue;};
END_SCHEMA_DATA;
```

12.10 Mapping of supertypes and subtypes

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the EXPRESS and EXPRESS-I super- and subtyping (see table 17).

Table 17 – Overview of SUPERTYPE and SUBTYPE mapping

EXPRESS	EXPRESS-I
SUPERTYPE OF (...)	BequeathesTo
SUBTYPE OF (...)	InheritsFrom

In EXPRESS-I the instantiation of an entity that is the leaf of a super/subtype tree requires the instantiation of all its supertypes. An EXPRESS-I supertype instance tree shall always be written out in full.

EXAMPLE 65 – For discussion purposes, consider the portion of the EXPRESS tree below, and in particular the entity me:

```
ENTITY .....
ENTITY parent SUBTYPE OF (grandparent)
              SUPERTYPE OF (me ANDOR sibling);
              .....
ENTITY me     SUBTYPE OF (parent)
              SUPERTYPE OF (elder ANDOR younger);
              .....
ENTITY elder  SUBTYPE OF (me)
              SUPERTYPE OF .....
ENTITY .....
```

Me inherits any attributes that its supertypes (e.g parent, grandparent etc) may have. In turn, me bequeathes both its inherited attributes and its own attributes to its subtypes (e.g elder, younger and their offspring in turn).

In this tree, an instance of me may or may not also have a sibling. In a general tree there may be many relations existing that are not in the direct line of ancestry and descent.

For the purposes of this subclause, define:

Direct tree instance: An instance of a singly rooted sub/supertype tree where there is a single direct path, with no instantiated branches, from the root to a single leaf.

General tree instance: An instance of a sub/supertype tree which is not a direct tree instance.

An EXPRESS tree where all SUPERTYPE relations are ONEOF and no SUBTYPE has multiple SUPERTYPEs is always a direct tree.

An instantiation of a tree that includes ANDOR relations will be direct if all the ANDOR relations are instantiated as ONEOF relations; otherwise at least some part of the instantiated tree will not be direct. An instantiation of an AND relation always gives a general tree. An instantiation of an ENTITY that has multiple SUPERTYPEs always gives a general tree.

In a direct tree instance the full instance path from root to leaf shall be represented.

The following set of rules specify the general tree mapping.

a) The full instance path from root to leaf, including side branches, shall always be instantiated, according to the rules below.

b) If an instantiated ENTITY is a SUBTYPE of one or more entities, then each of the SUPERTYPE entities shall be instantiated.

c) If an ENTITY is the SUPERTYPE of one or more entities (i.e., there is an AND relationship or there is an ANDOR relationship which is instantiated as an AND rather than as a ONEOF relationship) then the SUPERTYPE and all its simultaneously extant SUBTYPE entities shall be instantiated.

d) If a SUPERTYPE ENTITY is marked as ABSTRACT then an instance of this entity will always have at least one instance of a SUBTYPE. If the SUPERTYPE is not marked as ABSTRACT then it may or may not have SUBTYPE instances, depending on the specific data.

NOTE 1 – The ordering of entity instances in a sub/supertype tree instance is not significant.

EXAMPLE 66 – Tree mapping

Given the following EXPRESS code

```
ENTITY root
  g_name : STRING;
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY node
  SUBTYPE OF (root);
  p_name : STRING;
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY leaf1
  SUBTYPE OF (node);
  my_name : STRING;
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY leaf2
  SUBTYPE OF (node);
  s_name : STRING;
END_ENTITY;
```

then two example instances of this structure could be:

```
    INSTANCE 1                           INSTANCE 2
    ==========                           ==========
c1[1] = root{                        c2[1] = root{
          g_name -> 'root';                    g_name -> 'base';
          SUPOF(@2);};                         SUPOF(@2);};

c1[2] = node{                        c2[2] = node{
          SUBOF(@1);                           SUBOF(@1);
          p_name -> 'trunk';                   p_name -> 'branch";
          SUPOF(@3,@4);};                      SUPOF(@3);};

c1[3] = leaf1{                       c2[3] = leaf1{
          SUBOF(@2);                           SUBOF(@2);
          my_name -> 'self';};                 my_name -> 'twig';};

c1[4] = leaf2{
          SUBOF(@2);
          s_name -> 'sibling';};
```

The instance labelled 1 is a general tree instance and the one labelled 2 is a direct tree instance.

12.10.1 Mapping of redeclared attributes

In an EXPRESS subtype it is possible to redeclare attributes that are inherited from a supertype. In EXPRESS-I the redeclaration is treated as a constraint on the value of the attribute. Redeclared attributes shall not be named within an instance of the subtype.

EXAMPLE 67 – In the following the entity real_point is a subtype of point and redeclares its attributes to be of type REAL instead of type NUMBER. There are two corresponding EXPRESS-I instances. The first (i.e. p1) is a simple entity instance instance of the supertype only and displays the attribute values as of type INTEGER. The second (i.e., p2) is a complex entity instance, where p2[1] is the supertype component and p2[2] is the subtype component. No attributes are shown in the subtype but the values displayed in the supertype are constrained to be of type REAL.

```
    EXPRESS                          EXPRESS-I
    =======                          =========
ENTITY point;                    p1 = point{x -> 1;
  x : NUMBER;                               y -> 2;};
  y : NUMBER;
END_ENTITY;                      p2[1] = point{x -> 1.5;
                                              y -> 2.7;
ENTITY real_point                             SUPOF(@2);};
  SUBTYPE OF (point);
  SELF\point.x : REAL;           p2[2] = real_point{SUBOF(@1);};
  SELF\point.y : REAL;
END_ENTITY;
```

In the case where an inherited explicit attribute is redeclared to be a derived attribute, the redeclared attribute shall be treated as a derived attribute in the supertype whenever the redeclaring subtype is instanced.

EXAMPLE 68 – The following EXPRESS declares a circle to be defined by a centre point and a radius. A circle_2pt is a kind of circle which is defined by its centre point and a point on the circumference of the circle. The inherited radius attribute is redeclared to be a derived attribute whose value is given by the distance between the two points.

```
ENTITY circle;
  centre : point;
  radius : REAL;
END_ENTITY;

ENTITY circle_2pt
  SUBTYPE OF (circle);
  circum_pnt : point;
DERIVE
  SELF\circle.radius : REAL := distance(SELF\circle.center, circum_pnt);
END_ENTITY;
```

In EXPRESS-I instances of circle and circle_2pt could be:

```
c1 = circle{centre -> [1.0, 0.0];
            radius -> 2.0;};

c2pt[21] = circle{centre -> [1.0, 0.0];
                  radius <- 2.0;
                  SUPOF(@5);};

c2pt[5] = circle_2pt{SUBOF(@21);
                     circum_pnt -> [1.0, 2.0];};
```

Annex A
(normative)
Syntax description of EXPRESS-I

This annex defines the lexical elements of the language and the grammar rules which these elements shall obey.

NOTES

1 – Many of the elements of the EXPRESS language are available for use in the definition of test cases. Those elements of EXPRESS that are not available are related to the definition of EXPRESS schemas, schema interfacing, and rules. For the convenience of the reader, the EXPRESS elements are provided here in informative notes. For completeness, the rules relating to the elements of EXPRESS that are not available have been provided in the form of comments.

2 – As a further guide, productions which pertain to EXPRESS-I only do not use underscores — each name in an EXPRESS-I production starts with an upper case letter. For example `DerivedAttr` would be an EXPRESS-I production while `derived_attr` would be an EXPRESS production. Also, the original numbering of the EXPRESS rules has been left intact. The EXPRESS-I specific rules have been numbered with an appended 'i'.

3 – This syntax definition will result in ambiguous parsers if taken literally. It has been written to convey information regarding the use of identifiers. The interpreted identifiers define tokens which are references to declared identifiers, and therefore should not resolve to `simple_id`. This requires a parser developer to provide a lookup table, or similar, to enable identifier reference resolution and return the required reference token to a grammar rule checker. This approach has been used to aid the implementors of parsers in that there should be no ambiguity with respect to the use of identifiers.

A.1 Tokens

The following rules specify the tokens used in EXPRESS-I. Except where explicitly stated in the syntax rules, no white space or remarks shall appear within the text matched by a single syntax rule in the following subclauses: A.1.1, A.1.2, A.2 and A.3.

A.1.1 Keywords

This subclause gives the rules used to represent the keywords of EXPRESS-I.

NOTE – This subclause follows the typographical convention that each keyword is represented by a syntax rule whose left-hand side is that keyword in uppercase. The exception to this is rule 15i to avoid clashing with rule 251. Since string literals in the syntax rules are case-insensitive, these keywords may be written in EXPRESS-I source in upper, lower or mixed case.

```
0i CALL = 'call' .
1i CRITERIA = 'criteria' .
2i END_CALL = 'end_call' .
3i END_CRITERIA = 'end_criteria' .
4i END_NOTES = 'end_notes' .
```

```
5i END_OBJECTIVE = 'end_objective' .
6i END_PARAMETER = 'end_parameter' .
7i END_PURPOSE = 'end_purpose' .
8i END_REALIZATION = 'end_realization' .
9i END_REFERENCES = 'end_references' .

10i END_SCHEMA_DATA = 'end_schema_data' .
11i END_TEST_CASE = 'end_test_case' .
12i IMPORT = 'import' .
13i NOTES = 'notes' .
14i OBJECTIVE = 'objective' .
15i PARAMETERi = 'parameter' .
16i PURPOSE = 'purpose' .
17i REALIZATION = 'realization' .
18i REFERENCES = 'references' .
19i SCHEMA_DATA = 'schema_data' .

20i SUBOF = 'subof' .
21i SUPOF = 'supof' .
22i TEST_CASE = 'test_case' .
23i USING = 'using' .
24i WITH = 'with' .
```

NOTE – The following EXPRESS rules, numbered 0 through 118 with the exceptions of numbers 8, 37, 38, 49, 84, 89, 90 and 110, are used by EXPRESS-I.

```
 0 ABS = 'abs' .
 1 ABSTRACT = 'abstract' .
 2 ACOS = 'acos' .
 3 AGGREGATE = 'aggregate' .
 4 ALIAS = 'alias' .
 5 AND = 'and' .
 6 ANDOR = 'andor' .
 7 ARRAY = 'array' .
< 8 AS = 'as' . >
 9 ASIN = 'asin' .

10 ATAN = 'atan' .
11 BAG = 'bag' .
12 BEGIN = 'begin' .
13 BINARY = 'binary' .
14 BLENGTH = 'blength' .
15 BOOLEAN = 'boolean' .
16 BY = 'by' .
17 CASE = 'case' .
18 CONSTANT = 'constant' .
19 CONST_E = 'const_e' .

20 CONTEXT = 'context' .
21 COS = 'cos' .
22 DERIVE = 'derive' .
23 DIV = 'div' .
24 ELSE = 'else' .
25 END = 'end' .
26 END_ALIAS = 'end_alias' .
```

```
27 END_CASE = 'end_case' .
28 END_CONSTANT = 'end_constant' .
29 END_CONTEXT = 'end_context' .

30 END_ENTITY = 'end_entity' .
31 END_FUNCTION = 'end_function' .
32 END_IF = 'end_if' .
33 END_LOCAL = 'end_local' .
34 END_MODEL = 'end_model' .
35 END_PROCEDURE = 'end_procedure' .
36 END_REPEAT = 'end_repeat' .
< 37 END_RULE = 'end_rule' . >
< 38 END_SCHEMA = 'end_schema' . >
39 END_TYPE = 'end_type' .

40 ENTITY = 'entity' .
41 ENUMERATION = 'enumeration' .
42 ESCAPE = 'escape' .
43 EXISTS = 'exists' .
44 EXP = 'exp' .
45 FALSE = 'false' .
46 FIXED = 'fixed' .
47 FOR = 'for' .
48 FORMAT = 'format' .
< 49 FROM = 'from' . >

50 FUNCTION = 'function' .
51 GENERIC = 'generic' .
52 HIBOUND = 'hibound' .
53 HIINDEX = 'hiindex' .
54 IF = 'if' .
55 IN = 'in' .
56 INSERT = 'insert' .
57 INTEGER = 'integer' .
58 INVERSE = 'inverse' .
59 LENGTH = 'length' .

60 LIKE = 'like' .
61 LIST = 'list' .
62 LOBOUND = 'lobound' .
63 LOINDEX = 'loindex' .
64 LOCAL = 'local' .
65 LOG = 'log' .
66 LOG10 = 'log10' .
67 LOG2 = 'log2' .
68 LOGICAL = 'logical' .
69 MOD = 'mod' .

70 MODEL = 'model' .
71 NOT = 'not' .
72 NUMBER = 'number' .
73 NVL = 'nvl' .
74 ODD = 'odd' .
75 OF = 'of' .
76 ONEOF = 'oneof' .
```

```
77 OPTIONAL = 'optional' .
78 OR = 'or' .
79 OTHERWISE = 'otherwise' .

80 PI = 'pi' .
81 PROCEDURE = 'procedure' .
82 QUERY = 'query' .
83 REAL = 'real' .
< 84 REFERENCE = 'reference' . >
85 REMOVE = 'remove' .
86 REPEAT = 'repeat' .
87 RETURN = 'return' .
88 ROLESOF = 'rolesof' .
< 89 RULE = 'rule . >

< 90 SCHEMA = 'schema' . >
91 SELECT = 'select' .
92 SELF = 'self' .
93 SET = 'set' .
94 SIN = 'sin' .
95 SIZEOF = 'sizeof' .
96 SKIP = 'skip' .
97 SQRT = 'sqrt' .
98 STRING = 'string' .
99 SUBTYPE = 'subtype' .

100 SUPERTYPE = 'supertype' .
101 TAN = 'tan' .
102 THEN = 'then' .
103 TO = 'to' .
104 TRUE = 'true' .
105 TYPE = 'type' .
106 TYPEOF = 'typeof' .
107 UNIQUE = 'unique' .
108 UNKNOWN = 'unknown' .
109 UNTIL = 'until' .

< 110 USE = 'use' . >
111 USEDIN = 'usedin' .
112 VALUE = 'value' .
113 VALUE_IN = 'value_in' .
114 VALUE_UNIQUE = 'value_unique' .
115 VAR = 'var' .
116 WHERE = 'where' .
117 WHILE = 'while' .
118 XOR = 'xor' .
```

A.1.2 Character classes

The following rules define various classes of characters which are used in constructing the tokens in A.2.

NOTE – The following EXPRESS rules, numbered 119 through 135, are used by EXPRESS-I.

```
119 bit = '0' | '1' .
120 digit = '0' | '1' | '2' | '3' | '4' | '5' | '6' | '7' | '8' | '9' .
121 digits = digit { digit } .
122 encoded_character = octet octet octet octet .
123 hex_digit = digit | 'a' | 'b' | 'c' | 'd' | 'e' | 'f' .
124 letter = 'a' | 'b' | 'c' | 'd' | 'e' | 'f' | 'g' | 'h' | 'i' | 'j' | 'k' |
           'l' | 'm' | 'n' | 'o' | 'p' | 'q' | 'r' | 's' | 't' | 'u' | 'v' |
           'w' | 'x' | 'y' | 'z' .
125 lparen_not_star = '(' not_star .
126 not_lparen_star = not_paren_star | ')' .
127 not_paren_star = letter | digit | not_paren_star_special .
128 not_paren_star_quote_special = '!' | '"' | '#' | '$' | '%' | '&' | '+' |
                 ',' | '-' | '.' | '/' | ':' | ';' | '<' | '=' | '>' | '?' |
                 '@' | '[' | '\' | ']' | '^' | '_' | '`' | '{' | '|' | '}' |
                 '~' .
129 not_paren_star_special = not_paren_star_quote_special | '''' .
130 not_quote = not_paren_star_quote_special | letter | digit | '(' | ')' | '*' .
131 not_rparen = not_paren_star | '*' | '(' .
132 not_star = not_paren_star | '(' | ')' .
133 octet = hex_digit hex_digit .
134 special = not_paren_star_quote_special | '(' | ')' | '*' | '''' .
135 star_not_rparen = '*' not_rparen .
```

A.2 Lexical elements

The following rules specify how certain combinations of characters are interpreted as lexical elements within the language.

```
25i BinaryValue = binary_literal .
26i Description = { \a | \s | \n } .
27i EncodedStringValue = '"' { encoded_character | \n } '"' .
28i EnumerationValue = '!' simple_id .
29i IntegerValue = [ sign ] integer_literal .
30i Nil = '?' .
31i SignedMathConstant = [ sign ] MathConstant .
32i SignedRealLiteral = [ sign ] real_literal .
33i SimpleStringValue = \q { ( \q \q ) | not_quote | \s | \o | \n } \q .
```

NOTE – The following EXPRESS rules, numbered 136 through 141, are used by EXPRESS-I.

```
136 binary_literal = '%' bit { bit } .
137 encoded_string_literal = '"' encoded_character { encoded_character } '"' .
138 integer_literal = digits .
139 real_literal = digits '.' [ digits ] [ 'e' [ sign ] digits ] .
140 simple_id = letter { letter | digit | '_' } .
141 simple_string_literal = \q { ( \q \q ) | not_quote | \s | \o } \q .
```

A.2.1 Remarks

The following rules specify the syntax of remarks in EXPRESS-I.

NOTE – The following EXPRESS rules, numbered 142 through 144, are used by EXPRESS-I.

```
142 embedded_remark = '(*' { not_lparen_star | lparen_not_star |
                      star_not_rparen | embedded_remark } '*)' .
143 remark = embedded_remark | tail_remark .
144 tail_remark = '--' { \a | \s | \o } \n .
```

A.3 Interpreted identifiers

The following rules represent identifiers which are known to have a particular meaning (i.e., to be declared elsewhere as types or functions, etc.).

NOTE – It is expected that identifiers matching these syntax rules are known to an implementation. How the implementation obtains this information is of no concern to the definition of the language. One method of gaining this information is multipass parsing: the first pass collects the identifiers from their declarations, so that subsequent passes are then able to distinguish a variable_ref from a function_ref, for example.

```
34i ComplexEntityInstanceRef = '@' SimpleEntityInstanceId .
35i ConstantRef = ConstantId .
36i ContextRef = ContextId .
37i EntityInstanceRef = ComplexEntityInstanceRef |
                        SimpleEntityInstanceRef .
38i EnumerationInstanceRef = '@' EnumerationInstanceId .
39i ParameterRef = ParameterId .

40i SelectInstanceRef = '@' SelectInstanceId .
41i SimpleInstanceRef = '@' SimpleInstanceId .
42i SimpleEntityInstanceRef = '@' SimpleEntityInstanceId .
43i SupSubRef = '@' SupSubId .
44i TypeInstanceRef = '@' TypeInstanceId .
```

NOTE – The following EXPRESS rules, numbered 145 through 155, are used by EXPRESS-I.

```
145 attribute_ref = attribute_id .
146 constant_ref = constant_id .
147 entity_ref = entity_id .
148 enumeration_ref = enumeration_id .
149 function_ref = function_id .

150 parameter_ref = parameter_id .
151 procedure_ref = procedure_id .
152 schema_ref = schema_id .
153 type_label_ref = type_label_id .
154 type_ref = type_id .
155 variable_ref = variable_id .
```

A.4 Grammar rules

The following rules specify how the previous lexical elements may be combined into constructs of EXPRESS-I. White space and/or remark(s) may appear between any two tokens in these rules. The primary syntax rule for EXPRESS-I is ExpressISyntax.

```
45i ActualParameter = ParameterRef ':=' ParmValue ';' .
46i AggregationValue = DynamicAggr | FixedAggr .
47i Assignment = variable_id ':=' SelectableInstanceRef ';' .
48i BaseValue = EnumerationValue | SimpleValue .
49i BequeathesTo = SUPOF DynamicSupSubRefList ';' .
50i BooleanValue = TRUE | FALSE .
51i ComplexEntityInstanceId = SimpleEntityInstanceId '[' SupSubId ']' .
52i ConstantBlock = CONSTANT { ConstantSpec } END_CONSTANT ';' .
53i ConstantId = constant_ref .
54i ConstantSpec = ConstantId '==' ConstantValue ';' .
55i ConstantValue = AggregationValue | BaseValue | EntityInstanceValue |
                    NamedInstanceValue | SelectValue | TypeValue .
56i ContextBlock = CONTEXT ContextId ';' ContextBody END_CONTEXT ';' .
57i ContextBody = { SchemaReferenceSpec } [ FormalParameterBlock ]
                  { SchemaInstanceBlock | SupportAlgorithm } .
58i ContextId = simple_id .
59i DerattValue = AggregationValue | BaseValue | EntityInstanceRef |
                  EntityInstanceValue | EnumerationInstanceValue |
                  TypeInstanceRef | TypeInstanceValue | TypeValue .
60i DerivedAttr = RoleName [ '<-' DerattValue ] ';' .
61i DynamicAggr = '(' [ DynamicList ] ')' .
62i DynamicEntityRefList = '(' [ EntityRefList ] ')' .
63i DynamicList = DynamicMember { ',' DynamicMember } .
64i DynamicMember = AggregationValue | ConstantValue | DerattValue |
                    ParmValue | ReqattValue | TypeValue .
65i DynamicSupSubRefList = '(' [ SupSubRef { ',' SupSubRef } ] ')' .
66i EntityDomain = [ SchemaId '.' ] EntityId .
67i EntityId = entity_ref .
68i EntityInstance = EntityInstanceId '=' EntityInstanceValue ';' .
69i EntityInstanceId = ComplexEntityInstanceId |
                       SimpleEntityInstanceId .
70i EntityInstanceValue = EntityDomain '{'
                          [ InheritsFrom ]
                          { ExplicitAttr }
                          { DerivedAttr }
                          { InverseAttr }
                          [ BequeathesTo ] '}' .
71i EntityRefList = EntityInstanceRef { ',' EntityInstanceRef } .
72i EnumerationDomain = [ SchemaId '.' ] EnumerationId .
73i EnumerationId = type_ref .
74i EnumerationInstance = EnumerationInstanceId '='
                          EnumerationInstanceValue ';' .
75i EnumerationInstanceId = simple_id .
76i EnumerationInstanceValue = EnumerationDomain
                               '{' EnumerationValue '}' .
77i ExplicitAttr = RequiredAttr | OptionalAttr .
78i ExpressISyntax = { TestCaseBlock } { ContextBlock } { ModelBlock }
                     { SchemaInstanceBlock } { ObjectInstance } .
79i FixedAggr = '[' FixedList ']' .
80i FixedList = FixedMember { ',' FixedMember } .
```

```
81i FixedMember = DynamicMember | Nil .
82i FormalParameter = ParameterId ':' parameter_type
                      [ ':=' ParmValueDefault ] ';' .
83i FormalParameterBlock = PARAMETERi { FormalParameter }
                           END_PARAMETER ';' .
84i ImportSpec = IMPORT '(' { Assignment } ')' ';' .
85i InheritsFrom = SUBOF DynamicSupSubRefList ';' .
86i InvattValue = DynamicEntityRefList .
87i InverseAttr = RoleName [ '<-' InvattValue ] ';' .
88i LogicalValue = logical_literal .
89i MathConstant = CONST_E | PI .

90i ModelBlock = MODEL ModelId ';' ModelBody END_MODEL ';' .
91i ModelBody = { SchemaInstanceBlock } .
92i ModelId = simple_id .
93i NamedInstanceValue = EnumerationInstanceValue | SelectInstanceValue |
                         TypeInstanceValue .
94i NumberValue = IntegerValue | RealValue .
95i ObjectInstance = EntityInstance | EnumerationInstance |
                     SelectInstance | TypeInstance | SimpleInstance .
96i ObjectInstanceRef = EntityInstanceRef | EnumerationInstanceRef |
                        SelectInstanceRef | TypeInstanceRef |
                        SimpleInstanceRef .
97i ObjectiveBlock = OBJECTIVE { TestPurpose } { TestReference }
                     { TestCriteria } { TestNotes } END_OBJECTIVE ';' .
98i OptattValue = ReqattValue | Nil .
99i OptionalAttr = RoleName '->' OptattValue ';' .

100i ParameterId = simple_id .
101i ParameterSpec = WITH '(' { ActualParameter } ')' ';' .
102i ParmValue = ObjectInstanceRef | expression .
103i ParmValueDefault = AggregationValue | BaseValue | ConstantRef |
                        EntityInstanceValue | NamedInstanceValue |
                        ObjectInstanceRef | SelectValue | TypeValue |
                        expression .
104i RealValue = SignedMathConstant | SignedRealLiteral .
105i ReqattValue = AggregationValue | BaseValue | ConstantRef |
                   NamedInstanceValue | ObjectInstanceRef | ParameterRef |
                   SelectValue | TypeValue .
106i RequiredAttr = RoleName '->' ( ReqattValue | Nil ) ';' .
107i RoleName = attribute_ref .
108i SchemaId = schema_ref .
109i SchemaInstanceBlock = SCHEMA_DATA SchemaId ';'
                           [ SchemaInstanceBody ] END_SCHEMA_DATA ';' .

110i SchemaInstanceBody = [ ConstantBlock ] { ObjectInstance } .
111i SchemaReferences = SchemaReferenceSpec { SchemaReferenceSpec } .
112i SchemaReferenceSpec = WITH schema_ref [ USING '(' resource_ref
                           { ',' resource_ref } ')' ] ';' .
113i SelectableInstanceRef = EntityInstanceRef | EnumerationInstanceRef |
                             SelectInstanceRef | TypeInstanceRef .
114i SelectDomain = [ SchemaId '.' ] SelectId .
115i SelectId = type_ref .
116i SelectInstance = SelectInstanceId '=' SelectInstanceValue ';' .
```

```
117i SelectInstanceId = simple_id .
118i SelectInstanceValue = SelectDomain '{' SelectValue '}' .
119i SelectValue = EnumerationValue | NamedInstanceValue |
                ObjectInstanceRef | TypeValue .
120i SimpleEntityInstanceId = simple_id .
121i SimpleInstance = SimpleInstanceId '=' SimpleValue ';' .
122i SimpleInstanceId = simple_id .
123i SimpleValue = BinaryValue | BooleanValue | LogicalValue |
                NumberValue | StringValue .
124i StringValue = SimpleStringValue | EncodedStringValue .
125i SupSubId = digits .
126i SupportAlgorithm = function_decl | procedure_decl .
127i TestCaseBlock = TEST_CASE TestCaseId ';'
                  TestCaseBody END_TEST_CASE ';' .
128i TestCaseBody = SchemaReferences ObjectiveBlock TestRealization
                 { SupportAlgorithm } .
129i TestCaseId = simple_id .
130i TestRealization = REALIZATION { local_decl } { UseContextBlock }
                    { assignment_stmt } END_REALIZATION ';' .
131i TestCriteria = CRITERIA Description END_CRITERIA ';' .
132i TestNotes = NOTES Description END_NOTES ';' .
133i TestPurpose = PURPOSE Description END_PURPOSE ';' .
134i TestReference = REFERENCES Description END_REFERENCES ';' .
135i TypeDomain = [ SchemaId '.' ] TypeId .
136i TypeId = type_ref .
137i TypeInstance = TypeInstanceId '=' TypeInstanceValue ';' .
138i TypeInstanceId = simple_id .
139i TypeInstanceValue = TypeDomain '{' TypeValue '}' .
140i TypeValue = AggregationValue | BaseValue | ConstantRef |
              EntityInstanceValue | NamedInstanceValue |
              ObjectInstanceRef | ParameterRef .
141i UseContextBlock = CALL ContextRef ';'
                    UseContextBody END_CALL ';' .
142i UseContextBody = [ ImportSpec ] [ ParameterSpec ] .
```

NOTE – The following EXPRESS grammar rules, numbered 156 through 318 with the exceptions of rules 228, 246, 267, 270, 274, 277–281, 302 and 313, are used by EXPRESS-I.

```
156 abstract_supertype_declaration = ABSTRACT SUPERTYPE [ subtype_constraint ] .
157 actual_parameter_list = '(' parameter { ',' parameter } ')' .
158 add_like_op = '+' | '-' | OR | XOR .
159 aggregate_initializer = '[' [ element { ',' element } ] ']' .
160 aggregate_source = simple_expression .
161 aggregate_type = AGGREGATE [ ':' type_label ] OF parameter_type .
162 aggregation_types = array_type | bag_type | list_type | set_type .
163 algorithm_head = { declaration } [ constant_decl ] [ local_decl ] .
164 alias_stmt = ALIAS variable_id FOR general_ref { qualifier } ';' stmt { stmt }
             END_ALIAS ';' .
165 array_type = ARRAY bound_spec OF [ OPTIONAL ] [ UNIQUE ] base_type .
166 assignment_stmt = general_ref { qualifier } ':=' expression ';' .
167 attribute_decl = attribute_id | qualified_attribute .
```

```
168 attribute_id = simple_id .
169 attribute_qualifier = '.' attribute_ref .

170 bag_type = BAG [ bound_spec ] OF base_type .
171 base_type = aggregation_types | simple_types | named_types .
172 binary_type = BINARY [ width_spec ] .
173 boolean_type = BOOLEAN .
174 bound_1 = numeric_expression .
175 bound_2 = numeric_expression .
176 bound_spec = '[' bound_1 ':' bound_2 ']' .
177 built_in_constant = CONST_E | PI | SELF | '?' .
178 built_in_function = ABS | ACOS | ASIN | ATAN | BLENGTH | COS | EXISTS | EXP |
                        FORMAT | HIBOUND | HIINDEX | LENGTH | LOBOUND | LOINDEX |
                        LOG | LOG2 | LOG10 | NVL | ODD | ROLESOF | SIN | SIZEOF |
                        SQRT | TAN | TYPEOF | USEDIN | VALUE | VALUE_IN |
                        VALUE_UNIQUE .
179 built_in_procedure = INSERT | REMOVE .

180 case_action = case_label { ',' case_label } ':' stmt .
181 case_label = expression .
182 case_stmt = CASE selector OF { case_action } [ OTHERWISE ':' stmt ]
                END_CASE ';' .
183 compound_stmt = BEGIN stmt { stmt } END ';' .
184 constant_body = constant_id ':' base_type ':=' expression ';' .
185 constant_decl = CONSTANT constant_body { constant_body } END_CONSTANT ';' .
186 constant_factor = built_in_constant | constant_ref .
187 constant_id = simple_id .
188 constructed_types = enumeration_type | select_type .
189 declaration = entity_decl | function_decl | procedure_decl | type_decl .

190 derived_attr = attribute_decl ':' base_type ':=' expression ';' .
191 derive_clause = DERIVE derived_attr { derived_attr } .
192 domain_rule = [ label ':' ] logical_expression .
193 element = expression [ ':' repetition ] .
194 entity_body = { explicit_attr } [ derive_clause ] [ inverse_clause ]
                  [ unique_clause ] [ where_clause ] .
195 entity_constructor = entity_ref '(' [ expression { ',' expression } ] ')' .
196 entity_decl = entity_head entity_body END_ENTITY ';' .
197 entity_head = ENTITY entity_id [ subsuper ] ';' .
198 entity_id = simple_id .
199 enumeration_id = simple_id .

200 enumeration_reference = [ type_ref '.' ] enumeration_ref .
201 enumeration_type = ENUMERATION OF '(' enumeration_id { ',' enumeration_id } ')' .
202 escape_stmt = ESCAPE ';' .
203 explicit_attr = attribute_decl { ',' attribute_decl } ':' [ OPTIONAL ]
                    base_type ';' .
204 expression = simple_expression [ rel_op_extended simple_expression ] .
205 factor = simple_factor [ '**' simple_factor ] .
206 formal_parameter = parameter_id { ',' parameter_id } ':' parameter_type .
207 function_call = ( built_in_function | function_ref )
                    [ actual_parameter_list ] .
208 function_decl = function_head [ algorithm_head ] stmt { stmt }
                    END_FUNCTION ';' .
```

```
209 function_head = FUNCTION function_id [ '(' formal_parameter
                    { ';' formal_parameter } ')' ] ':' parameter_type ';' .
210 function_id = simple_id .
211 generalized_types = aggregate_type | general_aggregation_types | generic_type .
212 general_aggregation_types = general_array_type | general_bag_type |
                                general_list_type | general_set_type .
213 general_array_type = ARRAY [ bound_spec ] OF [ OPTIONAL ] [ UNIQUE ]
                         parameter_type .
214 general_bag_type = BAG [ bound_spec ] OF parameter_type .
215 general_list_type = LIST [ bound_spec ] OF [ UNIQUE ] parameter_type .
216 general_ref =  parameter_ref | variable_ref .
217 general_set_type = SET [ bound_spec ] OF parameter_type .
218 generic_type = GENERIC [ ':' type_label ] .
219 group_qualifier = '\' entity_ref .
220 if_stmt = IF logical_expression THEN stmt { stmt } [ ELSE stmt { stmt } ]
          END_IF ';' .
221 increment = numeric_expression .
222 increment_control = variable_id ':=' bound_1 TO bound_2 [ BY increment ] .
223 index = numeric_expression .
224 index_1 = index .
225 index_2 = index .
226 index_qualifier = '[' index_1 [ ':' index_2 ] ']' .
227 integer_type = INTEGER .
< 228 interface_specification = reference_clause | use_clause . >
229 interval = '{' interval_low interval_op interval_item interval_op
           interval_high '}' .
230 interval_high = simple_expression .
231 interval_item = simple_expression .
232 interval_low = simple_expression .
233 interval_op = '<' | '<=' .
234 inverse_attr = attribute_decl ':' [ ( SET | BAG ) [ bound_spec ] OF ]
                   entity_ref FOR attribute_ref ';' .
235 inverse_clause = INVERSE inverse_attr { inverse_attr } .
236 label = simple_id .
237 list_type = LIST [ bound_spec ] OF [ UNIQUE ] base_type .
238 literal = binary_literal | integer_literal | logical_literal | real_literal |
          string_literal .
239 local_decl = LOCAL local_variable { local_variable } END_LOCAL ';' .
240 local_variable = variable_id { ',' variable_id } ':' parameter_type
                 [ ':=' expression ] ';' .
241 logical_expression = expression .
242 logical_literal = FALSE | TRUE | UNKNOWN .
243 logical_type = LOGICAL .
244 multiplication_like_op = '*' | '/' | DIV | MOD | AND | '||' .
245 named_types = entity_ref | type_ref .
< 246 named_type_or_rename = named_types [ AS ( entity_id | type_id ) ] . >
247 null_stmt = ';' .
248 number_type = NUMBER .
249 numeric_expression = simple_expression .
250 one_of = ONEOF '(' supertype_expression { ',' supertype_expression } ')' .
```

```
251 parameter = expression .
252 parameter_id = simple_id .
253 parameter_type = generalized_types | named_types | simple_types .
254 population = entity_ref .
255 precision_spec = numeric_expression .
256 primary = literal | ( qualifiable_factor { qualifier } ) .
257 procedure_call_stmt = ( built_in_procedure | procedure_ref )
                          [ actual_parameter_list ] ';' .
258 procedure_decl = procedure_head [ algorithm_head ] { stmt } END_PROCEDURE ';' .
259 procedure_head = PROCEDURE procedure_id [ '(' [ VAR ] formal_parameter
                     { ';' [ VAR ] formal_parameter } ')' ] ';' .
260 procedure_id = simple_id .
261 qualifiable_factor = attribute_ref | constant_factor | function_call |
                         general_ref | population .
262 qualified_attribute = SELF group_qualifier attribute_qualifier .
263 qualifier = attribute_qualifier | group_qualifier | index_qualifier .
264 query_expression = QUERY '(' variable_id '<*' aggregate_source '|'
                       logical_expression ')' .
265 real_type = REAL [ '(' precision_spec ')' ] .
266 referenced_attribute = attribute_ref | qualified_attribute .
< 267 reference_clause = REFERENCE FROM schema_ref [ '(' resource_or_rename
                         { ',' resource_or_rename } ')' ] ';' . >
268 rel_op = '<' | '>' | '<=' | '>=' | '<>' | '=' | ':<>:' | ':=:' .
269 rel_op_extended = rel_op | IN | LIKE .
< 270 rename_id = constant_id | entity_id | function_id | procedure_id |
                  type_id . >
271 repeat_control = [ increment_control ] [ while_control ] [ until_control ] .
272 repeat_stmt = REPEAT repeat_control ';' stmt { stmt } END_REPEAT ';' .
273 repetition = numeric_expression .
< 274 resource_or_rename = resource_ref [ AS rename_id ] . >
275 resource_ref = constant_ref | entity_ref | function_ref | procedure_ref |
                   type_ref .
276 return_stmt = RETURN [ '(' expression ')' ] ';' .
< 277 rule_decl = rule_head [ algorithm_head ] { stmt } where_clause
                  END_RULE ';' . >
< 278 rule_head = RULE rule_id FOR '(' entity_ref { ',' entity_ref } ')'
                  ';' . >
< 279 rule_id = simple_id . >
< 280 schema_body = { interface_specification } [ constant_decl ]
                    { declaration | rule_decl } . >
< 281 schema_decl = SCHEMA schema_id ';' schema_body END_SCHEMA ';' . >
282 schema_id = simple_id .
283 selector = expression .
284 select_type = SELECT '(' named_types { ',' named_types } ')' .
285 set_type = SET [ bound_spec ] OF base_type .
286 sign = '+' | '-' .
287 simple_expression = term { add_like_op term } .
288 simple_factor = aggregate_initializer | entity_constructor |
                    enumeration_reference | interval |query_expression |
                    ( [ unary_op ] ( '(' expression ')' | primary ) ) .
289 simple_types = binary_type | boolean_type | integer_type | logical_type |
```

```
                    number_type | real_type | string_type .
290 skip_stmt = SKIP ';' .
291 stmt = alias_stmt | assignment_stmt | case_stmt | compound_stmt | escape_stmt |
         if_stmt | null_stmt |procedure_call_stmt | repeat_stmt | return_stmt |
         skip_stmt .
292 string_literal = simple_string_literal | encoded_string_literal .
293 string_type = STRING [ width_spec ] .
294 subsuper = [ supertype_constraint ] [ subtype_declaration ] .
295 subtype_constraint = OF '(' supertype_expression ')' .
296 subtype_declaration = SUBTYPE OF '(' entity_ref { ',' entity_ref } ')' .
297 supertype_constraint = abstract_supertype_declaration | supertype_rule .
298 supertype_expression = supertype_factor {  ANDOR supertype_factor } .
299 supertype_factor = supertype_term { AND supertype_term } .

300 supertype_rule = SUPERTYPE subtype_constraint .
301 supertype_term = entity_ref | one_of | '(' supertype_expression ')' .
< 302 syntax = schema_decl { schema_decl } . >
303 term = factor { multiplication_like_op factor } .
304 type_decl = TYPE type_id '=' underlying_type ';' [ where_clause ]
              END_TYPE ';' .
305 type_id = simple_id .
306 type_label = simple_id | type_label_ref .
307 type_label_id = simple_id .
308 unary_op = '+' | '-' | NOT .
309 underlying_type = constructed_types | aggregation_types | simple_types |
                      type_ref .

310 unique_clause = UNIQUE unique_rule ';' { unique_rule ';' } .
311 unique_rule = [ label ':' ] referenced_attribute { ',' referenced_attribute } .
312 until_control = UNTIL logical_expression .
< 313 use_clause = USE FROM schema_ref [ '(' named_type_or_rename
                   { ',' named_type_or_rename } ')' ] ';' . >
314 variable_id = simple_id .
315 where_clause = WHERE domain_rule ';' { domain_rule ';' } .
316 while_control = WHILE logical_expression .
317 width = numeric_expression .
318 width_spec = '(' width ')' [ FIXED ] .
```

A.5 Cross reference listing

The production on the left is used in the productions indicated on the right.

```
0i CALL                | 141i
1i CRITERIA            | 131i
2i END_CALL            | 141i
3i END_CRITERIA        | 131i
4i END_NOTES           | 132i
5i END_OBJECTIVE       |  97i
6i END_PARAMETER       |  83i
7i END_PURPOSE         | 133i
8i END_REALIZATION     | 130i
9i END_REFERENCES      | 134i
```

```
10i END_SCHEMA_DATA         | 109i
11i END_TEST_CASE           | 127i
12i IMPORT                  |  84i
13i NOTES                   | 132i
14i OBJECTIVE               |  97i
15i PARAMETERi              |  83i
16i PURPOSE                 | 133i
17i REALIZATION             | 130i
18i REFERENCES              | 134i
19i SCHEMA_DATA             | 109i

20i SUBOF                   |  85i
21i SUPOF                   |  51i
22i TEST_CASE               | 127i
23i USING                   | 112i
24i WITH                    | 101i 112i
25i BinaryValue             | 123i
26i Description             | 131i 132i 133i 134i
27i EncodedStringValue      | 124i
28i EnumerationValue        |  48i  76i 119i
29i IntegerValue            |  94i

30i Nil                     |  52i  81i  98i 106i
31i SignedMathConstant      | 104i
32i SignedRealLiteral       | 104i
33i SimpleStringValue       | 124i
34i ComplexEntityInstanceRef |  37i
35i ConstantRef             | 103i 105i 140i
36i ContextRef              | 141i
37i EntityInstanceRef       |  59i  71i  96i 113i
38i EnumerationInstanceRef  |  96i 113i
39i ParameterRef            |  45i 105i 140i

40i SelectInstanceRef       |  96i 113i
41i SimpleInstanceRef       |  96i
42i SimpleEntityInstanceRef |  37i
43i SupSubRef               |  65i
44i TypeInstanceRef         |  59i  96i 113i
45i ActualParameter         | 101i
46i AggregationValue        |  55i  59i  64i 103i 105i 140i
47i Assignment              |  84i
48i BaseValue               |  55i  59i 103i 105i 140i
49i BequeathesTo            |  65i

50i BooleanValue            | 123i
51i ComplexEntityInstanceId |  69i
52i ConstantBlock           | 110i
53i ConstantId              |  35i  54i
54i ConstantSpec            |  52i
55i ConstantValue           |  54i  64i
56i ContextBlock            |  78i
```

57i	ContextBody	56i
58i	ContextId	36i 56i
59i	DerattValue	60i 64i
60i	DerivedAttr	70i
61i	DynamicAggr	46i
62i	DynamicEntityRefList	87i
63i	DynamicList	61i
64i	DynamicMember	63i 81i
65i	DynamicSupSubRefList	49i 85i
66i	EntityDomain	70i 93i
67i	EntityId	66i
68i	EntityInstance	95i
69i	EntityInstanceId	68i
70i	EntityInstanceValue	55i 59i 68i 103i 140i
71i	EntityRefList	62i
72i	EnumerationDomain	76i 93i
73i	EnumerationId	72i
74i	EnumerationInstance	95i
75i	EnumerationInstanceId	38i 74i
76i	EnumerationInstanceValue	59i 74i 93i
77i	ExplicitAttr	70i
78i	ExpressISyntax	
79i	FixedAggr	46i
80i	FixedList	79i
81i	FixedMember	80i
82i	FormalParameter	83i
83i	FormalParameterBlock	57i
84i	ImportSpec	142i
85i	InheritsFrom	70i
86i	InvattValue	87i
87i	InverseAttr	70i
88i	LogicalValue	52i 123i
89i	MathConstant	52i
90i	ModelBlock	78i
91i	ModelBody	90i
92i	ModelId	39i 90i
93i	NamedInstanceValue	55i 103i 105i 119i 140i
94i	NumberValue	123i
95i	ObjectInstance	78i 110i
96i	ObjectInstanceRef	102i 103i 105i 119i 140i
97i	ObjectiveBlock	128i
98i	OptattValue	99i
99i	OptionalAttr	77i
100i	ParameterId	39i 82i
101i	ParameterSpec	142i
102i	ParmValue	45i 64i
103i	ParmValueDefault	82i

55	IN	269
56	INSERT	179
57	INTEGER	227 86i
58	INVERSE	235
59	LENGTH	178
60	LIKE	269
61	LIST	215 237
62	LOBOUND	178
63	LOCAL	239
64	LOG	178
65	LOG10	178
66	LOG2	178
67	LOGICAL	243
68	LOINDEX	178
69	MOD	244
70	MODEL	90i
71	NOT	308
72	NUMBER	248
73	NVL	178
74	ODD	178
75	OF	161 165 170 182 201 213 214 215 217 234 237 285 295 296
76	ONEOF	250
77	OPTIONAL	165 203 213
78	OR	158
79	OTHERWISE	182
80	PI	177 89i
81	PROCEDURE	259
82	QUERY	264
83	REAL	265
84		
85	REMOVE	179
86	REPEAT	272
87	RETURN	276
88	ROLESOF	178
89		
90		
91	SELECT	284
92	SELF	177 262
93	SET	217 234 285
94	SIN	178
95	SIZEOF	178
96	SKIP	290
97	SQRT	178
98	STRING	293
99	SUBTYPE	296
100	SUPERTYPE	156 300

```
101 TAN                         | 178
102 THEN                        | 220
103 TO                          | 222
104 TRUE                        | 242 50i
105 TYPE                        | 304
106 TYPEOF                      | 178
107 UNIQUE                      | 165 213 215 237 310
108 UNKNOWN                     | 242
109 UNTIL                       | 312

110
111 USEDIN                      | 178
112 VALUE                       | 178
113 VALUE_IN                    | 178
114 VALUE_UNIQUE                | 178
115 VAR                         | 259
116 WHERE                       | 315
117 WHILE                       | 316
118 XOR                         | 158
119 bit                         | 136

120 digit                       | 121 123 127 130 140
121 digits                      | 138 139 125i
122 encoded_character           | 137 27i
123 hex_digit                   | 133
124 letter                      | 127 130 140
125 lparen_not_star             | 142
126 not_lparen_star             | 142
127 not_paren_star              | 126 131 132
128 not_paren_star_quote_special | 129 130 134
129 not_paren_star_special      | 127

130 not_quote                   | 141 33i
131 not_rparen                  | 135
132 not_star                    | 125
133 octet                       | 122
134 special                     |
135 star_not_rparen             | 142
136 binary_literal              | 238 25i
137 encoded_string_literal      | 292
138 integer_literal             | 238
139 real_literal                | 238

140 simple_id                   | 168 187 198 199 210 236 252 260 282 305 307 314
                                  28i 58i 75i 92i 100i 117i 120i 122i 129i 138i
141 simple_string_literal       | 292
142 embedded_remark             | 142 143
143 remark                      |
144 tail_remark                 | 143
145 attribute_ref               | 169 234 261 266 107i
146 constant_ref                | 186 275 53i
147 entity_ref                  | 195 219 234 245 254 275 296 301 67i
```

```
148 enumeration_ref          | 200
149 function_ref             | 207 275

150 parameter_ref            | 216
151 procedure_ref            | 257 275
152 schema_ref               | 108i 112i
153 type_label_ref           | 306
154 type_ref                 | 200 245 275 309 73i 115i 136i
155 variable_ref             | 216
156 abstract_supertype_declaration | 297
157 actual_parameter_list    | 207 257
158 add_like_op              | 287
159 aggregate_initializer    | 288

160 aggregate_source         | 264
161 aggregate_type           | 211
162 aggregation_types        | 171 309
163 algorithm_head           | 208 258
164 alias_stmt               | 291
165 array_type               | 162
166 assignment_stmt          | 291 130i
167 attribute_decl           | 190 203 234
168 attribute_id             | 145 167
169 attribute_qualifier      | 262 263

170 bag_type                 | 162
171 base_type                | 165 170 184 190 203 237 285
172 binary_type              | 289
173 boolean_type             | 289
174 bound_1                  | 176 222
175 bound_2                  | 176 222
176 bound_spec               | 165 170 213 214 215 217 234 237 285
177 built_in_constant        | 186
178 built_in_function        | 207
179 built_in_procedure       | 257

180 case_action              | 182
181 case_label               | 180
182 case_stmt                | 291
183 compound_stmt            | 291
184 constant_body            | 185
185 constant_decl            | 163
186 constant_factor          | 261
187 constant_id              | 146 184
188 constructed_types        | 309
189 declaration              | 163

190 derived_attr             | 191
191 derive_clause            | 194
192 domain_rule              | 315
193 element                  | 159
194 entity_body              | 196
```

```
195 entity_constructor        | 288
196 entity_decl               | 189
197 entity_head               | 196
198 entity_id                 | 147 197
199 enumeration_id            | 148 201

200 enumeration_reference     | 288
201 enumeration_type          | 188
202 escape_stmt               | 291
203 explicit_attr             | 194
204 expression                | 166 181 184 190 193 195 240 241 251 276 283 288
                                102i 103i
205 factor                    | 303
206 formal_parameter          | 209 259
207 function_call             | 261
208 function_decl             | 189 126i
209 function_head             | 208

210 function_id               | 149 209
211 generalized_types         | 253
212 general_aggregation_types | 211
213 general_array_type        | 212
214 general_bag_type          | 212
215 general_list_type         | 212
216 general_ref               | 164 166 261
217 general_set_type          | 212
218 generic_type              | 211
219 group_qualifier           | 262 263

220 if_stmt                   | 291
221 increment                 | 222
222 increment_control         | 271
223 index                     | 224 225
224 index_1                   | 226
225 index_2                   | 226
226 index_qualifier           | 263
227 integer_type              | 289
228
229 interval                  | 288

230 interval_high             | 229
231 interval_item             | 229
232 interval_low              | 229
233 interval_op               | 229
234 inverse_attr              | 235
235 inverse_clause            | 194
236 label                     | 192 311
237 list_type                 | 162
238 literal                   | 256
239 local_decl                | 163 130i

240 local_variable            | 239
```

289	simple_types	171 253 309
290	skip_stmt	291
291	stmt	164 180 182 183 208 220 258 272
292	string_literal	238
293	string_type	289
294	subsuper	197
295	subtype_constraint	156 300
296	subtype_declaration	294
297	supertype_constraint	294
298	supertype_expression	250 295 301
299	supertype_factor	298
300	supertype_rule	297
301	supertype_term	299
302		
303	term	287
304	type_decl	189
305	type_id	154 304
306	type_label	161 218
307	type_label_id	153 306
308	unary_op	288
309	underlying_type	304
310	unique_clause	194
311	unique_rule	310
312	until_control	271
313		
314	variable_id	155 164 222 240 264 47i
315	where_clause	194 304
316	while_control	271
317	width	318
318	width_spec	172 293

Annex B
(normative)

Protocol implementation conformance statement (PICS)

Is this implementation an EXPRESS-I language parser/verifier? If so, answer the questions provided in B.1.

B.1 EXPRESS-I language parser

For which level is support claimed:

☐ Level 1 – Reference checking;
☐ Level 2 – Type checking;
☐ Level 3 – Value checking;
☐ Level 4 – Complete checking.

(Note: In order to claim support for a given level, all lower levels must also be supported.)

What is the maximum integer value [integer_literal]?:	...........................:
What is the maximum real precision [real_literal]?:	...........................:
What is the maximum real exponent [real_literal]?:	...........................:
What is the maximum string width (characters) [simple_string_literal]?:	...........................:
What is the maximum string width (octets) [encoded_string_literal]?:	...........................:
What is the maximum binary width (bits) [binary_literal]?:	...........................:
Do you have a limit on the number of unique identifiers which are declared? If so, what is your limit?:	...........................:
Do you have a limit on the number of characters used as an identifier? If so, what is your limit?:	...........................:
Do you have a limit on the scope nesting depth? If so, what is your limit?:	...........................:
How do you represent the standard constant '?' [built_in_constant]?:	...........................:

Annex C
(normative)
Information object registration

To provide for unambiguous identification of an information object in an open system, the object identifier

{ iso standard 10303 part(12) version(-1) }

is assigned to this part of ISO 10303. The meaning of this value is defined in ISO/IEC 8824-1, and is described in ISO 10303-1.

Annex D
(informative)
Language specification syntax

The notation used to present the syntax of the EXPRESS-I language is defined in ISO 10303-11:1994. It is repeated here for informational purposes.

The full syntax for the EXPRESS-I language is given in annex A. Portions of those syntax rules are reproduced in various clauses to illustrate the syntax of a particular statement. Those portions are not always complete so it will sometimes be necessary to consult annex A for the missing rules. The syntax portions within this International Standard are presented in a box. Each rule within the syntax box has a unique number toward the left margin for use in cross references to other syntax rules.

D.1 The syntax of the specification

The syntax of EXPRESS (and EXPRESS-I) is defined in a derivative of Wirth Syntax Notation (WSN); see annex H under [2] for a reference.

The notational conventions and WSN defined in itself are given below.

```
syntax         = { production } .
production     = identifier '=' expression '.' .
expression     = term { '|' term } .
term           = factor { factor } .
factor         = identifier | literal | group | option | repetition .
identifier     = character { character } .
literal        = '''' character { character } '''' .
group          = '(' expression ')' .
option         = '[' expression ']' .
repetition     = '{' expression '}' .
```

– The equal sign '=' indicates a production. The element on the left is defined to be the combination of the elements on the right. Any spaces appearing between the elements of a production are meaningless unless they appear within a literal. A production is terminated by a period '.'.

– The use of an identifier within a factor denotes a nonterminal symbol which appears on the left side of another production. An identifier is composed of letters, digits and the underscore character. The keywords of the language are represented by productions whose identifier is given in uppercase characters only.

– The word literal is used to denote a terminal symbol which cannot be expanded further. A literal is a case independent sequence of characters enclosed in apostrophes. Character, in this case, stands for any character as defined by ISO/IEC 10646-1 cells 21-7E in group 00, plane 00, row 00. For an apostrophe to appear in a literal it must be written twice.

- The semantics of the enclosing braces are defined below:

  - curly braces '{ }' indicates zero or more repetitions;
  - square brackets '[ ]' indicates optional parameters;
  - parenthesis '()' indicates that the group of productions enclosed by parenthesis shall be used as a single production;
  - vertical bar '|' indicates that exactly one of the terms in the expression shall be chosen.

NOTES

1 – For the purposes of this document, one further construct has been added the the meta-language above. A comment is any text enclosed within angle brackets. For example, < A comment > is a comment.

2 – In particular, the comment < as EXPRESS > is used to indicate that a production has been specified in ISO 10303-11:1994 and for the purposes of consistency between documents, is not repeated herein.

EXAMPLE 69 – The syntax for a real literal is as follows:

Syntax:

```
190 real_literal = integer_literal '.' [ integer_literal ]
                    [ 'e' [ sign ] integer_literal ] .
163 integer_literal = digit { digit } .
```

The complete syntax definition (annex A) contains the definitions for sign and digit.

EXAMPLE 70 – Following the syntax given in example 69, the following alternatives are possible:

a) 123.

b) 123.456

c) 123.456e7

d) 123.456E-7

D.2 Special character notation

The following notation is used to represent entire character sets and certain special characters which are difficult to display.

- \a represents characters in cells 21-7E of row 00, plane 00, group 00 of ISO/IEC 10646-1;

- \n represents a newline (system dependent);
- \q is the apostrophe (') character and is contained within \a;
- \s is the space character;
- \o represents characters in cells 00-1F and 7F of row 00, plane 00, group 00 of ISO/IEC 10646-1.

Annex E
(informative)
Example test cases

This annex provides some examples of abstract test cases. These examples are not intended to be indicative of any normative abstract test cases that may be given in other parts of this International Standard and are given purely for illustrative purposes.

First we start with a simple EXPRESS SCHEMA against which the test cases are specified.

```
*)
SCHEMA people;

  TYPE name = STRING; END_TYPE;

  ENTITY person;
    named : name;
    children : SET [0:?] OF person;
  END_ENTITY;

  ENTITY male
    SUBTYPE OF (person);
  END_ENTITY;

  ENTITY female
    SUBTYPE OF (person);
  END_ENTITY;

  ENTITY married;
    husband : male;
    wife    : female;
  END_ENTITY;

END_SCHEMA;
(*
```

E.1 Test case 1

This test case specifies that three instances of person are to be created.

```
*)
TEST_CASE test_case_1;

  WITH people USING(person);

  OBJECTIVE
    PURPOSE To test the creation of supertypes with no subtypes. END_PURPOSE;
    REFERENCES None. END_REFERENCES;
    CRITERIA Three instances of childless PERSON shall be created. END_CRITERIA;
    NOTES None. END_NOTES;
```

```
  END_OBJECTIVE;

  REALIZATION

    LOCAL                -- define variables of type person
      p1 : person;
      p2 : person;
      p3 : person;
    END_LOCAL;

    p1 := person('Alpha', []);  -- create instances of person
    p2 := person('Beta', []);
    p3 := person('Gamma', []);

  END_REALIZATION;

END_TEST_CASE;
(*
```

One possible rendition of the data resulting from this test case is:

```
*)
MODEL case_1;
  SCHEMA_DATA people;

  n1 = name{'Alpha'};
  n2 = name{'Beta'};
  n3 = name{'Gamma'};

  p1 = person{named    -> @n1;
              children -> ();}

  p2 = person{named    -> @n2;
              children -> ();}

  p3 = person{named    -> @n3;
              children -> ();}

  END_SCHEMA_DATA;
END_MODEL;
(*
```

For future use, the following context is defined, based on the test case.

```
*)
CONTEXT context_1;
  SCHEMA_DATA people;

  p1[1] = person{named    -> 'Alpha';
              children -> ();
              SUPOF();};

  p2[1] = person{named    -> 'Beta';
              children -> ();
```

```
            SUPOF();};

  p3[1] = person{named    -> 'Gamma';
            children -> ();
            SUPOF();};

  END_SCHEMA_DATA;
END_CONTEXT;
(*
```

E.2 Test case 2

This test case creates a male and female person.

```
*)
TEST_CASE test_case_2;

  WITH people USING(male, female);

  OBJECTIVE
    PURPOSE  To test the creation of subtypes. END_PURPOSE;
    CRITERIA One instance of a childless MALE and one of a childless
             FEMALE shall be created. END_CRITERIA;
  END_OBJECTIVE;

  REALIZATION

    LOCAL                   -- define variables of the required types
      m1 : male;
      f1 : female;
    END_LOCAL;

    m1 := person('Adam', [])||male();    -- create male instance
    f1 := person('Eve', [])||female();   -- create female instance

  END_REALIZATION;

END_TEST_CASE;
(*
```

One possible rendition of the data resulting from this test case is:

```
*)
MODEL case_2;
  SCHEMA_DATA people;

  m1[1] = person{named    -> 'Adam';
            children -> ();
            SUPOF(@2);};

  m2[2] = male{SUBOF(@1);};

  f1[1] = person{named    -> 'Eve';
```

```
            children -> ();
            SUPOF(@2);};

  f1[2] = female{SUBOF(@1);};

  END_SCHEMA_DATA;
END_MODEL;
(*
```

For future use, the following parameterised context is also created.

```
*)
CONTEXT context_2;

  WITH people USING(person);

  PARAMETER
    c1 : SET OF person := ();      -- parameter default is the empty set
    c2 : SET OF person := ();
  END_PARAMETER;

  SCHEMA_DATA people;

  p4[1] = person{named    -> 'Adam';
            children -> c1;      -- children attribute is parameterised
            SUPOF(@2);};

  p4[2] = male{SUBOF(@1);};

  p5[1] = person{named    -> 'Eve';
            children -> c2;
            SUPOF(@2);};

  p5[2] = female{SUBOF(@1);};

  END_SCHEMA_DATA;
END_CONTEXT;
(*
```

E.3 Test case 3

This test creates an instance of a married entity.

```
*)
TEST_CASE test_case_3;

  WITH people USING(married);

  OBJECTIVE
    PURPOSE  To test the creation of an entity with attributes
             of type entity. END_PURPOSE;
    CRITERIA One instance of a MARRIED entity shall be created. END_CRITERIA;
  END_OBJECTIVE;
```

```
  REALIZATION

    LOCAL                       -- define variables of required types
      reg : married;
      h1  : male;
      w1  : female;
    END_LOCAL;

    CALL context_2;             -- use data from CONTEXT context_2
      IMPORT(h1 := @p4;
             w1 := @p5;);
    END_CALL;

    reg := married(h1, w1);     -- create instance of married

  END_REALIZATION;

END_TEST_CASE;
(*
```

One possible rendition of the data resulting from this test case is:

```
*)
MODEL case_3;
  SCHEMA_DATA people;

  h1[3] = person{named    -> 'Adam';
                children -> ();
                SUPOF(@6);};

  h1[6] = male{SUBOF(@3);};

  w1[7] = person{named    -> 'Eve';
                children -> ();
                SUPOF(@8);};

  w1[8] = female{SUBOF(@7);};

  reg = married{husband -> @h1;
                wife    -> @w1;};

  END_SCHEMA_DATA;
END_MODEL;
(*
```

E.4 Test case 4

This test case assembles a set of pre-existing parameterised data and also creates new data.

```
*)
TEST_CASE test_case_4;
```

```
WITH people USING(person, male, female, married);

OBJECTIVE
  PURPOSE  To test the creation of a married couple with
           children. END_PURPOSE;
  CRITERIA Three instances of PERSON shall be created.
           One instance each of MALE and FEMALE with children shall
           be created.
           One instance of a MARRIED entity shall be created.
  END_CRITERIA;
END_OBJECTIVE;

REALIZATION

  LOCAL                -- define variables of the required types
    p1 : person;
    p2 : person;
    p3 : person;
    m1 : male;
    f1 : female;
    reg : married;
  END_LOCAL;

  CALL context_1;
    IMPORT(p1 := @p1;          -- use data from CONTEXT context_1
           p2 := @p2;
           p3 := @p3;);
  END_CALL;

  CALL context_2;
    IMPORT(m1 := @p4;          -- use data from CONTEXT context_2
           f1 := @p5;);
    WITH(c1 := [p1, p3];       -- set parameter values
         c2 := [p2, p3];);
  END_CALL;

  reg := married(m1, f1);     -- create married instance

END_REALIZATION;

END_TEST_CASE;
(*
```

One possible rendition of the data resulting from this test case is:

```
*)
MODEL case_4;
  SCHEMA_DATA people;

  n1 = name{'Alpha'};
  n2 = name{'Beta'};
  n3 = name{'Gamma'};
```

```
  p1 = person{named    -> @n1;
            children -> ();};

  p2 = person{named    -> @n2;
            children -> ();};

  p3 = person{named    -> @n3;
            children -> ();};

  m1[1] = person{named    -> 'Adam';
            children -> (@p1, @p3);
            SUPOF(@2);};

  m1[2] = male{SUBOF(@1);};

  f1[1] = person{named    -> 'Eve';
            children -> (@p2, @p3);
            SUPOF(@2);};

  f1[2] = female{SUBOF(@1);};

  reg = married{husband -> @m1;
              wife    -> @f1;};

  END_SCHEMA_DATA;
END_MODEL;
(*
```

Annex F
(informative)
Usage notes

This annex discusses some of the potential uses of the EXPRESS-I language.

In Object-Oriented terms, an EXPRESS entity would be called a *class*, and an instance of a class is termed an *object*; one object may reference another object. EXPRESS distinguishes between entities and types (i.e the ENUMERATION, SELECT and the defined data TYPE) as entities may be subtyped whereas types cannot be subtyped. The physical file, as defined in ISO 10303-21, certainly distinguishes between entities and types in that only entity instances may appear in the file — type values are embedded within the attribute values and are not referenceable. EXPRESS-I treats entity instances as objects in the Object-Oriented sense. It also allows types to be treated as objects, in that they can be instantiated and referenced; alternatively, it allows types to be treated in the same manner as in the physical file in that their values can be embedded.

F.1 EXPRESS data examples

The simplest use of EXPRESS-I is as a paper exercise in displaying data populated examples of EXPRESS defined constructs. The language allows the display of entity instances as referenceable objects. Type instances may also be displayed as referenceable objects, or they may appear as unreferenceable values within other objects' values. Examples given in this document show both forms of type instantiation.

Values of explicit entity attributes are required. The values of derived or inverse attributes need not be displayed, except as exemplars, because as noted, these are essentially calculable from the values of the explicit attributes.

Examples of EXPRESS schemas can also be displayed, as well as individual objects.

The EXPRESS-I MODEL construct is provided to enable the display of multiple schemas. Typically, a MODEL would be used when two or more EXPRESS schemas interact with each other. Note that EXPRESS itself does not support such a construct.

F.2 Abstract test cases

The EXPRESS-I TEST_CASE construct is provided to assist in the formal specification of test cases against the implementation of EXPRESS-defined constructs. EXPRESS itself does not provide an equivalent construct.

For a test case, a base set of EXPRESS-I objects must be defined which will be those objects, and their supporting data, to be tested. The values of these objects may be in the form of parameters, whose formal definitions are given in an enclosing CONTEXT. A series of test cases may then be defined on the CONTEXT, by providing actual parameter values. Thus, a single "parameterized" context may support many different tests. The test case documentation will also have to include the test purposes and expected results (see the conformance testing series parts of ISO 10303).

F.3 Object bases

Here, we assume the availability of some object base that stores objects according to EXPRESS-defined schema(s). That is, the object base has the capability of maintaining a partitioning of the objects according to the EXPRESS schemas in which their definitions are declared. The design and implementation of such an object base is left as an exercise for the reader.

F.3.1 Input

Given an object base, EXPRESS-I could be used as one means of inputting objects into the object base. This process could be either a batch process, where a previously prepared EXPRESS-I file was read into the object processor, or it could be an interactive process, where the user incrementally added EXPRESS-I objects.

Depending on the sophistication of the object base, the user may or may not need to explicitly provide values for derived and inverse attributes.

F.3.2 Output

Given a populated object base, EXPRESS-I could be used as a data output language for displaying some or all of the contents of the object base to a human reader.

Depending on the sophistication of the object base, the displayed entity objects may or may not include values for derived and inverse attributes. Note, though, that at least the role names of these attributes are required.

The EXPRESS-I MODEL construct is designed for the display of the population of an object base.

F.3.3 Code testing

Ideally, an implementation of an object base should provide functionality to evaluate all the constraints on the EXPRESS entities and types that may occur as objects or values within the object base. For instance, an EXPRESS schema may contain an ENTITY definition that includes a derived attribute and a constraint on the derived value. An object base should be able to both evaluate the derived attribute and also reject any object of that ENTITY class whose attribute values do not satisfy the constraints. This requires code in some programming language. EXPRESS-I could be used as data input for testing such code.

Other code examples include:

- Determination of the values of inverse attributes.
- Checking uniqeness constraints across an object population.
- Code to implement EXPRESS-defined RULEs.

Note that these types of functions are also required for physical file test systems and other forms of data exchange processors.

F.4 Non-EXPRESS data examples

As EXPRESS-I entity instances are in the form of named tuples, it may also be used to display objects or records from languages other than EXPRESS. For example, instances of C `structs` or the state of objects representing instances of classes from Object Oriented languages such as C++ or Eiffel could be displayed using EXPRESS-I. Similarly, EXPRESS-I could be used as a display mechanism for languages that support Frames.

EXAMPLE 71 – A C language `struct` may be defined as:

```
struct point {
    int x;
    int y;
};
```

An EXPRESS-I instance of this `struct` could appear as:

```
p1 = point{x -> 10;
           y -> 20;};
```

The language may be used to represent tabular data from relational databases, where the entity name is equivalent to a table name, and each instance is a (identified) line in the table, or network or Object Oriented type databases. In another vein it could be used as a file format-independent representation for IGES data.

EXAMPLE 72 – A table in a relational database may be defined by the following SQL:

```
CREATE TABLE PART
    ( ID      CHAR(6)  NOT NULL;
      PNAME   CHAR(20) NOT NULL;
      COLOR   CHAR(6)  NOT NULL;
      WEIGHT  SMALLINT NOT NULL;
      CITY    CHAR(15) NOT NULL;
    PRIMARY KEY ( ID ) ;
```

Instances of two of the rows from a populated `PART` table could be represented by EXPRESS-I as:

```
part_row1 = PART{ID -> 'p33';
                 PNAME -> 'Nut';
                 COLOR -> 'Red';
                 WEIGHT -> 12;
                 CITY -> 'Paris'; };
part_row2 = PART{ID -> 'p8';
                 PNAME -> 'Washer';
                 COLOR -> 'Green';
                 WEIGHT -> 4;
                 CITY -> 'Rome'; };
```

An example of a completely different usage is given by Godwin *et al* [3] who have proposed EXPRESS-I as being the formal meta language for the Semantic Unification Meta Model [4], which in turn is based on predicate logic.

Annex G
(informative)
Technical discussions

This annex highlights the major technical discussions that have led to the current specification of the EXPRESS-I language. The rest of the annex consists of the major elements of the issue log for this part of ISO 10303 exactly as they were written at the time.

EXPRESS-I was originally developed in early 1990 to meet one person's need to hand-code simple examples of EXPRESS models for use in reviewing and understanding them. As such, it was limited to the display only of entity instances. The first versions of the document were planned as an Annex to ISO 10303 Part 11, *The EXPRESS Language Reference Manual.* Since these humble beginnings the language has been expanded.

G.1 Abstract test cases

San Diego, April 1991: — EXPRESS-I appears adequate for its intended usage but could it be enhanced to deal with Abstract Test Cases, for example by providing parameterised instances?

Discussion/Decision: — The next version will be enhanced as suggested. Further, although the Physical File does not permit the inclusion of independent instances of TYPEs, it would be desireable for these to be in EXPRESS-I as other implementation forms of ISO 10303 may treat these as first-class objects.

G.2 Relationship with EXPRESS

Sapporo, July 1991: — How strong should be the coupling between EXPRESS-I and EXPRESS? Now that support is being provided for test cases, should EXPRESS-I be considered to fit better into the test case class rather than the description methods class?

Discussion/Decision: — EXPRESS-I obviously needs to be closely correlated with the current EXPRESS lexical language, and also with extensions that may occur in Version 2 of EXPRESS. It should probably remain in the description methods document class (a language method for describing ...). However, to emphasise the distinction between information model descriptions (e.g. EXPRESS) and instantiations and/or testing descriptions, it would be better placed as a new Part rather than as an Annex to EXPRESS. WG3/P3 submitted a request to PMAG at Sapporo for a new Part to be allocated for EXPRESS-I. Before release as an N-numbered document is will be re-written as an individual Part rather than as an Annex.

G.3 Object references

Sapporo, July 1991: — Why is there an "@" sign before entity and type references?

Discussion/Decision: — A major desire in developing the language was to distinguish lexically between value domains. That is, the lexical appearance of a value should, as far as possible, indicate the domain of the value. Hence, the "@" sign is used to distinguish what, in programming languages would be called pointers, from other value elements, such as integers or variables.

G.4 Aggregations

Sapporo, July 1991: — Is there a need to identify lexically the domain of each form of aggegation? That is, to distinguish lexically between Bags, Lists and Sets, just as these are distinguished from arrays.

Discussion/Decision: — Maybe, but it will complicate the language. The language at the moment distinguishes between fixed and variable length aggregations as a primary behavioural characteristic. The internal behaviour (i.e ordering and duplication) is considered to be of secondary importance. In any case, there is an underlying assumption that all domains are specified externally to EXPRESS-I.

Sapporo, July 1991: — Are language constructs needed to enable the specification of the maximum number of characters in a string, the bounds of an array, etc.?

Discussion/Decision: — No. There is an underlying assumption that there exists a conceptual model (probably, but not necessarily written in EXPRESS) which specifies these characteristics. EXPRESS-I is used to display populated examples of the conceptual model.

G.5 String values

Sapporo, July 1991: — Should string values contain new lines as this is in contradiction to EXPRESS?

Discussion/Decision: — EXPRESS may be considered to be a (conceptual) specification language and in this sense a string can be infinitely long. EXPRESS-I is closer to an implementation language, at least in the sense of being able to display string and other values. The material (e.g. paper, VDU screen) on which stuff gets displayed is finite in extent. Hence, a mechanism is provided in order to break long strings into shorter ones for display purposes.

G.6 Model testing and validation

Sapporo, July 1991: — Although this version of EXPRESS-I provides support for Abstract Test Case specifications, is it sufficient?

Discussion/Decision: — We don't know. Inputs and requirements from those involved in testing are being actively sought. For example, from the Convenor of WG6.

G.7 Enhancement of test case capabilities

Between July 1991 and June 1992 three documents were received providing input from members of WG6 on the requirements for test case capabilities. These were:

- Mark Davies, *Requirements for an Instantiation Language for EXPRESS*, CADDETC Document D/91/0037, 9 October 1991.

- Mark Davies, *EXPRESS-I Requirements*, TC184/SC4/WG5/P3 N??, 22 January 1992.

- Paul Bell, *Enhancements needed to EXPRESS-I to support ATC development*, CADDETC Document CTS2/92/L/001/t, 1 June 1992.

The last of these reports effectively included the contents of the earlier ones, and was a much more substantive document.

The EXPRESS-I language was modified in June 1992 to take account of the requirements stated in these reports. This resulted in a major revision to the document.

G.8 Compatibility with EXPRESS

At the meeting in London (July 1992) the June 1992 document was reviewed and minor technical changes agreed on.

At the same time as the document was updated to incorporate these changes the opportunity was taken to try and align the document both editorially and technically with the EXPRESS DIS document. The major change resulting from this alignment was changing the character set from ISO 6937 to ISO 10646.

G.9 Trial Usage

At the Dallas 1992 meeting, WG6 decided to develop trial Abstract Test Cases based on the November 1992 version of EXPRESS-I. These trials were partly to determine whether the requirements for the ATC language had been met and also to determine whether there were additional requirements and, if so, to document these.

At that time, WG6 had some suggested additional requirements already in hand, but it was decided not to incorporate these into the language (except for noting them in comments in the trial tests) until the trial test case work was reviewed early in 1993.

It was further noted that the mapping for redeclared attributes was not defined. Also, that it would be useful to have a clearer distinction between administrative and test data within a text case. It was decided to add the missing mapping and to introduce the REALIZATION construct. Apart from those changes, the language should be frozen until early 1993.

G.10 Alphabet extensions

Dallas, October 1992: — There is a requirement for EXPRESS version 2 to support non-English alphabets for the purposes of comments and identifiers. This requirement also applies to EXPRESS-I.

Discussion/Decision: — No immediate action was taken. The implications of the requirement will be examined and a possible solution worked on for inclusion in the next (1993) version of the LRM.

G.11 Supertype mapping

Iaian Morison, December 1992: — In EXPRESS-I each EXPRESS entity is instantiated with a seperate identifier as a complex instance, with the supertype subtype relationships described using the SUPOF and SUBOF 'pointers'. This tends to suuport two misconceptions:

a) That a single instance has several possible identifiers

b) That it might be possible for a single set of supertype values to be shared by more than one subtype instance.

A suggestion is to represent a complex instance in a similar manner to the evaluated sets in EXPRESS as:

```
i1 = me&sibling{
                g_name --> 'Gran';
                p_name --> 'Dad';
                my_name --> 'self';
                s_name --> 'Sis'; };
```

Discussion/Decision: —

There seemed to be 3 basic options for the display of Supertype instances:

a) Identity the leaf and inherit all attributes — problem is that with the ANDOR construct there can be multiple leaves.

b) Identify the root (highest Supertype) and move descendant attributes upwards — problem is that there can be multiple roots because of multiple inheritance.

c) Treat all components equally.

The third option was selected as it meant that there were no special cases to be dealt with. As you note, the downside is that a single complex instance can have several possible identifiers. Essentially, these are all aliases of each other and can be discovered by tracing the EXPRESS-I SUPOF and SUBOF references.

There is an upside to this scheme, in that an attribute instance of some other entity can reference the appropriate type of entity instance in the Supertype complex. E.g is an attribute is of type sibling, it can refer to a sibling instance (and it gets all the others in the complex as well).

An instance forming part of one Supertype complex cannot form part of another Supertype complex instance. This should be made clear in the manual.

G.12 CD ballot comments — 1995

EXPRESS-I was submitted for a CD ballot in 1995. Due to the wide variety of comments resulting from this, it was decided to issue EXPRESS-I as a Technical Report rather than proceed along the standardization route, at least for the time being. The basic point of disagreement among the balloters was the abstract test case portion of the language — some loved it and some hated it.

The TR document incorporates many of the editorial changes suggested by the CD balloters, and other editorial changes to clarify concepts. One technical change has been made, otherwise the document is basically as sent out for CD ballot. The following are the principal technical ballot comments.

G.12.1 Test case support

- The UK were much in favour of this, and are using it.
- France had some technical comments
- Some US commenters wanted this part of the language deleted while others felt that it did not go far enough.

Apart from EXPRESS-I, there is no formal language within ISO 10303 for abstract test cases. However, as WG 6 does not yet have a full set of requirements for such a language, particularly regarding testing of SDAI-based implementations, it is perhaps premature to standardize this part of EXPRESS-I. It is basically this conclusion that led to issuing the language specification as a TR rather than as a standard document.

G.12.2 Complex entity instances

A recurring theme through the ballot comments from several countries was a dislike of the method for instantiating complex entity instances (i.e., where the instance is of an inheritance hierarchy). The ballot consensus was that there should be a single identifier for the instance.

This comment has been accepted as the language as described in the Technical Report now specifies a single identifier for the complete instance.

G.12.3 Type instances

Switzerland objected to being able to identify instances of EXPRESS constructs other than entities.

EXPRESS makes the assumption that each entity instance (in an object base) will have a unique identifier. In Object Oriented Programming terms this is called an *Oid* — Object IDentifier. However, EXPRESS says nothing about identifiers (Oids) for non-entities — it neither prohibits them nor assumes their existence.

One of the design goals for EXPRESS-I was to enable the exhibition of instances as they might be in some object base (which EXPRESS calls an implementation). EXPRESS does not define an implementation environment. Therefore, an implementor can choose how data instances are to be stored, provided unique Oids for entity instances are supported. EXPRESS-I deliberately extended the Oid concept to other kinds of instances. The Smalltalk language does the same by treating everything as an object. There is, of course, no requirement that the identifiable non-entity instancing capabilities are used, but they are there for when they are needed.

Annex H
Bibliography

[1] ISO TR 9007:1987; *"Information processing systems - Concepts and terminology for the conceptual schema and the information base".*

[2] WIRTH, N.; *"What can we do about the unnecessary diversity of notation for syntactic definitions?",* Communications of the ACM, November 1977, vol 20, no. 11, p. 822.

[3] GODWIN, A.N., GIANNASI, F. and TAHZIB, S.; *"An example using the SUMM with EXPRESS and relational models",* in WILSON, P.R. (editor) *EUG'94: 4th Annual EXPRESS User Group International Conference,* Greenville, SC, 13–14 October, 1994.

[4] FULTON, J.A. et al; *"Technical report on the Semantic Unification Meta-Model: Volume 1 — Semantic unification of static models",* ISO TC184/SC4 WG3 Document N175, October 1992.

Index

ICS 25.040.01

Descriptors: automation, automation engineering, computer applications, industrial products, data, data exchange, data representation, artificial languages, EXPRESS language, handbooks.

Price based on 111 pages