# INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

Second edition 2012-12-15

# **Cranes — Design principles for loads and load combinations —**

Part 1: **General**

*Appareils de levage à charge suspendue — Principes de calcul des charges et des combinaisons de charge —*

*Partie 1: Généralités*



Reference number ISO 8686-1:2012(E)



# **COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2012

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20 Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11 Fax + 41 22 749 09 47 E-mail copyright@iso.org Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Page

# **Contents**



# <span id="page-3-0"></span>**Foreword**

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 8686-1 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 96, *Cranes*, Subcommittee SC 10, *Design — Principles and requirements*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 8686-1:1989), which has been technically revised.

ISO 8686 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Cranes — Design principles for loads and load combinations*:

- *Part 1: General*
- *Part 2: Mobile cranes*
- *Part 3: Tower cranes*
- *Part 4: Jib cranes*
- *Part 5: Overhead travelling and portal bridge cranes*

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Cranes — Design principles for loads and load combinations —**

# Part 1: **General**

# **1 Scope**

This part of ISO 8686 establishes general methods for the calculating loads and principles to be used in the selection of load combinations for proofs of competence in accordance with ISO 20332 for the structural and mechanical components of cranes as defined in ISO 4306-1.

It is based on rigid body kinetic analysis and elastostatic analysis but expressly permits the use of more advanced methods (calculations or tests) to evaluate the effects of loads and load combinations, and the values of dynamic load factors, where it can be demonstrated that these provide at least equivalent levels of competence.

This part of ISO 8686 provides for two distinct kinds of application:

- a) the general form, content and ranges of parameter values for more specific standards to be developed for specific types of cranes;
- b) a framework for agreement on loads and load combinations between a designer or manufacturer and a crane purchaser for those types of cranes where specific standards do not exist.

# **2 Normative references**

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 4302, *Cranes — Wind load assessment*

ISO 4306 (all parts), *Lifting appliances — Vocabulary*

ISO 4310, *Cranes — Test code and procedures*

ISO 20332, *Cranes — Proof of competence of steel structures*

# **3 Terms and definitions**

For the purposes of this document, the definitions given in ISO 4306 and the following apply.

#### **3.1**

#### **load or loads**

external or internal actions in the form of forces, displacements or temperature, which cause stresses in the structural or mechanical components of the crane

#### **3.2**

#### **analysis**

<rigid bodies> study of the movement and the inner forces of systems modelled by elements that are assumed to be non-elastic

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>**3.3**

#### **analysis**

<elastic bodies> study of the relative elastic displacements (distortion), movement and the inner forces of systems modelled by elements that are assumed to be elastic

# **4 Symbols**

The main symbols used in this part of ISO 8686 are given in Table 1.





# **5 General**

# **5.1 General principles**

The objective of proof of competence calculations carried out in accordance with this part of ISO 8686 is to determine mathematically that a crane will be competent to perform in practice when operated in compliance with the manufacturer's instructions.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>The basis for such proof against failure (e.g. by yielding, elastic instability or fatigue) is the comparison between calculated stresses induced by loads and the corresponding calculated strengths of the constituent structural and mechanical components of the crane.

Proof against failure may also be required in respect of overturning stability. Here, the comparison is made between the calculated overturning moments induced by loads and the calculated resistance to overturning provided by the crane. In addition, there may be limitations on forces that are necessary to ensure the stability and/or to avoid unwanted displacement of portions of the crane or of the crane itself, for example, the jib support ropes becoming unloaded or the crane sliding.

The effects of differences between actual and ideal geometry of mechanical and structural systems (e.g. the effect of tolerances, settlements, etc.) shall be taken into account. However, they shall be included specifically in proof of competence calculations only where, in conjunction with applied loads, they may cause stresses that exceed specified limits.

When applying this part of ISO 8686 to the different types of cranes, operating in the same service and environmental conditions, equivalent resistance to failure should be sought.

# **5.2 Methods of proof of competence calculations**

There are two general approaches to structural design or proof of competence.

- a) **The allowable stress method**: where the design stresses induced by combined loads are compared with allowable stresses established for the type of member or condition being examined. The assignment of allowable stress is made on the basis of service experience with consideration for protection against failure due, for example, to yielding, elastic instability or fatigue.
- b) **The limit state method**: where partial safety factors are used to amplify loads before they are combined and compared with the limit states imposed, for example, by yielding or elastic instability. The partial safety factor for each load is established on the basis of probability and the degree of accuracy with which the load can be determined. Limit state values comprise the characteristic strength of the member reduced to reflect statistical variations in its strength and geometric parameters. This method is a prerequisite if this part of ISO 8686 is applied together with ISO 20332 and/or the 2nd order method.

[Annex](#page-24-1) A gives a more detailed description of the application of the two methods.

# **5.3 Assessment of loads**

To calculate stresses from applied loads, an appropriate model of the crane shall be used. Under the provisions of this part of ISO 8686, loads which cause time variant load effects are assessed as equivalent static loads from experience, experiments or by calculation. A rigid body kinetic analysis can be used with dynamic factors to estimate the forces necessary to simulate the response of the elastic system. Alternatively, either elasto-kinetic analysis or field measurements can be carried out, but to reflect the operating regime, a realistic model of the actions of the crane operator may be required.

For both the allowable stress and limit state methods, and for considerations of stability and displacements, loads, load combinations and load factors should be assigned either on the basis of experience, with consideration of other International Standards or, if applicable, on the basis of experimental or statistical data. The parameters used in this part of ISO 8686 are considered to be deterministic.

Where a specific loading cannot occur (for example, wind loading on a crane used indoors) then that loading can be ignored in the proof of competence calculations. Similarly, loadings can be modified when they result from

- a) conditions prohibited in the crane instructions,
- b) features not present in the design, or
- c) conditions prevented or suppressed by the design of the crane.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>If a probabilistic proof of competence calculation is used, the relevant conditions, particularly the acceptable probability of failure, shall be stated.

# **5.4 Categories of loads**

Clause 6 gives loads and ranges of values for the factors used in proof of competence calculations when determining load effects.

NOTE Individual values for specific types of cranes, selected from these ranges, are to be found in the parts of ISO 8686 applicable to specific crane types (see Foreword).

The loads acting on a lifting crane are divided into the categories of regular, occasional, exceptional and miscellaneous. Individual loads are considered only when and if they are relevant to the crane under consideration or to its usage, as follows.

- a) **Regular loads**, occurring during normal operation, shall be considered in proof of competence calculations against failure by yielding, elastic instability and, when applicable, against fatigue. They result from gravity and from acceleration or deceleration produced by drives and brakes acting on the masses of the crane and the hoist load, as well as from displacements.
- b) **Occasional loads** and effects which occur infrequently may usually be neglected in fatigue evaluations. They include loads induced by in-service wind, snow and ice, temperature and skewing.
- c) **Exceptional loads** and their effects are also infrequent and may likewise usually be excluded from fatigue consideration. They include loads caused by testing, out-of service wind, buffer forces and tilting, as well as from emergency cut-out, failure of drive components and external excitation of the crane foundation.
- d) **Miscellaneous loads** include erection and dismantling loads as well as loads on platforms and means of access.

The category in which a load is placed is not necessarily an indication of the importance or criticality of that load: erection and dismantling loads, although in the last category, shall be given particular attention, as a substantial portion of accidents occur during those phases of operation.

# **6 Loads and applicable factors**

# **6.1 Regular loads**

# **6.1.1 Hoisting and gravity effects acting on the mass of the crane**

The mass of the crane includes those components which are always in place during operation, except for the payload itself (see 6.1.2). For some cranes or applications, it may be necessary to add mass to account for encrustation of materials, such as coal or similar dust, which build up on the crane or its parts.

The gravitational force induced by the mass of the crane (dead weight) shall be multiplied by a factor,  $\phi_1$ , where

$$
\phi_1 = 1 \pm a, 0 \le a \le 0, 1 \tag{1}
$$

In this way the vibrational excitement of the crane structure, when lifting the pay load off the ground, is taken into account. There are always two values for the factor, in order to reflect both the upper and lower reaches of the vibrational pulses.

Factor *ϕ*1 shall be used in the design of the crane structure and its supports; in some cases, both values of the factor shall be applied in order to find the most critical loadings in members and components.

[Annex](#page-29-1) B gives a general comment on the application of *ϕ* factors.

# **6.1.2 Inertial and gravity effects acting vertically on the gross load**

#### **6.1.2.1 Hoisting an unrestrained grounded load**

#### **6.1.2.1.1 General**

When hoisting an unrestrained grounded load, the crane is subject to dynamic effects of transferring the load from the ground onto the crane. These dynamic effects shall be taken into account by multiplying the gravitational force due to the mass of the gross load,  $m_H$ , by a factor,  $\phi_2$ , see Figure 1.

The mass of the gross load includes the masses of the payload, lifting attachments and a portion of the suspended hoist ropes.



**Figure 1 — Dynamic effects when hoisting grounded load**

Factor  $\phi_2$  is calculated as follows:

$$
\phi_2 = \phi_{2,\min} + \beta_2 \cdot v_{\text{h}} \tag{2}
$$

where

- *β*<sup>2</sup> is the factor dependent upon the hoisting class of the crane in accordance with Table 2a;
- $v<sub>h</sub>$  is the characteristic hoisting speed in  $m/s$  of the drive system selected in accordance with Table 2b;

 $\phi_{2,\text{min}}$  is the minimum value of  $\phi_2$  in accordance with Table 2c.

#### **6.1.2.1.2 Hoisting classes**

For the purposes of specific type, cranes are assigned to hoisting classes HC1 to HC4 in accordance with the elastic properties of the crane and its support. The hoisting classes are given in Table 2a and shall be selected on the basis of the characteristic vertical load displacement, *δ*.

<b>Hoisting class</b>	Characteristic vertical load displacement	$\beta_2$ s/m
HC <sub>1</sub>	$0.8 \text{ m} \leq \delta$	0,17
HC <sub>2</sub>	$0.3 \text{ m} \le \delta < 0.8 \text{ m}$	0.34
HC <sub>3</sub>	$0.15 \text{ m} \le \delta < 0.3 \text{ m}$	0.51
HC <sub>4</sub>	$\delta$ < 0.15 m	0.68

**Table 2a — Hoisting classes**

The load displacement, *δ*, shall be calculated statically from the elasticity of the crane and its supporting structure and the rope system using the appropriate maximum gross load value without amplifying factors.

As the load displacement varies for differing crane configurations, the maximum value of *δ* may be used for the selection of the hoisting class.

# **6.1.2.1.3 Hoist drive classes**

For the purposes of ISO 8686, hoist drives are assigned to classes HD1 to HD5, depending on the control characteristics as the weight of the load is transferred from the ground onto the crane. The hoist drive classes are as follows:

- HD1: creep speed not available or the start of the drive without creep speed is possible;
- HD2: hoist drive can only start at creep speed of at least pre-set duration;
- HD3: hoist drive control maintains creep speed until the load is lifted off the ground;
- HD4: stepless hoist drive control, which performs with continuously increasing speed;
- HD5: stepless hoist drive control automatically ensures that the dynamic factor  $\phi_2$  does not exceed *ϕ*2,min.

See [Annex](#page-49-1)  $\overline{F}$  for further information and examples of typical hoist controls and their characteristics for each class.

The characteristic hoisting speed, *v*h, to be used in load combinations A1, B1 and C1, is given in Table 2b.

<b>Load combination</b>	Hoist drive class					
(see Clause 7)	H <sub>D</sub> 1	HD2	HD <sub>3</sub>	HD4	H <sub>D</sub> <sub>5</sub>	
A1, B1	$v_{h,max}$	$v_{h,CS}$	$v_{h,CS}$	$0.5v_{h,max}$	$vh = 0$	
C <sub>1</sub>	$v_{h,max}$	$v_{\rm h,max}$	$0,5v_{h,max}$	$v_{h,max}$	$0,5v_{h,max}$	
$v_{h,max}$ is the maximum steady hoisting speed of the main hoist for load combinations A1 and B1;						

**Table**  $2b$  **— Characteristic hoisting speeds**  $v_h$  for calculation of  $\phi_2$ 

*v*h,max is the maximum hoisting speed resulting from all drives (e.g. luffing and hoisting motion) contributing to the hoist speed in load combination C1;

*vh,CS* is the steady hoisting creep speed.

Load combination C1 is used to reflect exceptional situations when the lift is started at a speed higher than that intended for load combinations A1 and B1.

# **6.1.2.1.4 Minimum values for factor**  $\phi_2$

The minimum value of *ϕ*2 depends upon classes HC and HD and is given in Table 2c.

	<b>Hoist drive class</b>				
<b>Hoisting class</b>	HD1	HD2	HD3	HD4	HD5
HC <sub>1</sub>	1,05	1,05	1,05	1,05	1,05
HC <sub>2</sub>	1,1	1,1	1,05	1,1	1,05
HC <sub>3</sub>	1,15	1,15	1,05	1,15	1,05
HC4	1,2	1.2	1,05	1,2	1,05

**Table 2c** — Values of  $\phi_{2,\text{min}}$ 

### **6.1.2.1.5 Alternative methods**

Alternatively, the value of  $\phi_2$  may be determined through experiments or dynamic analysis. When applying alternative methods, the true characteristics of the drive system and the elastic properties of the overall load supporting system shall be simulated. Based upon these results, cranes may be assigned to a hoisting class with equivalent *ϕ*2,min and *β*2.

#### **6.1.2.2 Effects of sudden release of part of payload**

For cranes that release or drop part of the payload as a normal working procedure, such as when grabs or magnets are used, the peak dynamic effect on the crane can be simulated by multiplying the payload by the factor *ϕ*3 (see Figure 2).



**Figure** 2 **— Factor**  $\phi_3$ 

The value of  $\phi_3$  is given by

$$
\phi_3 = 1 - \frac{\Delta m}{m} (1 + \beta_3) \tag{3}
$$

where

Δ*m* is the released or dropped part of the payload;

*m* is the mass of the payload;

 $\beta_3$  = 0,5 for cranes equipped with grabs or similar slow release devices,

 $\beta_3$  = 1,0 for cranes equipped with magnets or similar rapid-release devices.

[Annex](#page-29-1) B gives a general comment on the application of the *ϕ* factors.

# **6.1.3 Loads caused by travelling on an uneven surface**

# **6.1.3.1 Cranes travelling on or off roadways**

The effects of travelling, with or without load, on or off roadways, depend on the crane configuration (mass distribution), the elasticity of the crane and/or its suspension, the travel speed and on the nature and condition of the travel surface. The dynamic effects shall be estimated from experience or experiment, or by calculation using an appropriate model for the crane and the travel surface.

# **6.1.3.2 Cranes travelling on rails**

The effects of travelling with or without load on rail tracks having geometric or elastic characteristics that induce accelerations at the wheels of the cranes depend on the crane configuration (mass distribution, elasticity of the crane and/or its suspension), travel speed and wheel diameter. They shall be estimated from experience or experiment, or by calculation using an appropriate model for the crane and the track.

The induced accelerations may be taken into account by multiplying the gravitational forces due to the masses of the crane and gross load by a factor, *ϕ*4. International Standards for specific types of cranes may specify tolerances for rail tracks and indicate conditions within which the value of *ϕ*4 may be taken as 1.

[Annex](#page-29-1) B gives a general comment on the application of the *ϕ* factors.

[Annex](#page-30-1) C gives an example of a model for estimating the value of *ϕ*4 to take into account the vertical accelerations induced at the wheels of a crane travelling on rail tracks with non-welded steps or gaps.

#### **6.1.4 Loads caused by acceleration of all crane drives including hoist drives**

Loads induced in a crane by accelerations or decelerations caused by drive forces may be calculated using rigid body kinetic models that take into account the geometric properties and mass distribution of the crane drive and, where applicable, resulting inner frictional losses. For this purpose, the gross load is taken to be fixed at the top of the jib or immediately below the crab.

A rigid body analysis does not directly reflect elastic effects. To allow for these, the change in the drive force, Δ*F*, inducing either the acceleration or deceleration, may be multiplied by a factor, *ϕ*5, and algebraically added to the force present before the acceleration or deceleration takes place. This amplified force is then applied to the components exposed to the drive force and, where applicable, to the crane and the gross load as well (see Figure 3).



**Key**

- 1 motor force
- 2 brake force
- X1 speed
- X2 time
- Y1 drive force
- Y2 load effects on lifting appliances caused by drive force

#### **Figure** 3 **— Factor**  $\phi_5$

The range of values for  $\phi_5$  is  $1 \le \phi_5 \le 2$ . The value used depends on the rate of change of the drive or braking force and on the mass distribution and elastic properties of the system. In general, lower values <span id="page-12-0"></span>correspond to systems in which forces change smoothly and higher values to those in which sudden changes occur.

For centrifugal forces, *ϕ*5 may be taken as 1.

Where a force that can be transmitted is limited by friction or by the nature of the drive mechanism, the limited force and a factor *ϕ*5 appropriate to that system shall be used.

[Annex](#page-29-1) B gives a general comment on the application of the  $\phi$  factors.

[Annex](#page-34-1) D gives an example of a determination of the loads caused by acceleration of a bridge crane having unsynchronized travel gear and non-symmetrical load distribution.

### **6.1.5 Loads induced by displacements**

Account shall be taken of loads arising from displacements included in the design, such as those resulting from pre-stressing and those within the limits necessary to initiate response of skewing and other compensating control systems.

Other loads to be considered include those that can arise from displacements that are within defined limits, such as those set for the variation in the gauge between rails or uneven settlement of supports.

### **6.2 Occasional loads**

### **6.2.1 Climatic effects**

#### **6.2.1.1 In-service wind**

Loads due to in-service wind shall be calculated in accordance with ISO 4302.

#### **6.2.1.2 Snow and ice loads**

Where relevant, snow and ice loads shall be taken into account. The increased wind exposure surfaces due to encrustation shall be considered.

#### **6.2.1.3 Loads due to temperature variation**

Loads caused by the restraint of expansion or contraction of a component due to local temperature variation shall be taken into account.

#### **6.2.2 Loads caused by skewing**

This subclause covers skewing loads that occur at the guidance means (such as guide rollers or wheel flanges) of a guided, wheel-mounted crane while it is travelling or traversing in steady-state motion. These loads are induced by guidance reactions which force the wheels to deviate from their freerolling, natural travelling direction. Similar loads, induced by acceleration acting on asymmetrical mass distribution and that can also cause the crane to skew, are taken into account under 6.1.4.

Skewing loads as defined above are usually taken as occasional loads but their frequency of occurrence varies with the type, configuration, accuracies of wheel axle parallelism and service of the crane. In individual cases, the frequency of occurrence will determine whether they are taken as occasional or regular loads.

NOTE Guidance for establishing the magnitude of skewing loads and the category into which they are placed for a specific crane type is given in those parts of ISO 8686 covering specific types of cranes.

[Annex](#page-43-1) E gives an example of a method for analysing skewing loads on a rigid crane structure travelling at a constant speed. For cranes with structures that are not rigid in respect of applied skewing forces or

<span id="page-13-0"></span>that have specially controlled travel guidance, appropriate models shall be used which take the system properties into account.

# **6.3 Exceptional loads**

### **6.3.1 Out-of-service wind conditions**

When considering out-of-service wind conditions, the gravitational force on that part of the mass of the hoist load remaining suspended from the crane, *ηm*, shall be taken into account:

$$
\eta m = m_{\rm H} - \Delta m_{\rm H} \tag{4}
$$

where

 $m_H - \Delta m_H$  is that part of the gross load remaining suspended from the crane;

 $m_H$  is the mass of the gross load.

Wind loads shall be calculated in accordance with ISO 4302.

#### **6.3.2 Test loads**

The values of test loads shall be in accordance with ISO 4310.

Where values for dynamic or static test loads are required that are above the minimum given in ISO 4310, proof of competence calculations for these test conditions may be necessary. In this case, the dynamic test load shall be multiplied by a factor,  $\phi_6$ , given by

$$
\phi_6 = 0.5(1 + \phi_2) \tag{5}
$$

where  $\phi_2$  is calculated according to 6.1.2.

[Annex](#page-29-1) B gives a general comment on the application of the *ϕ* factors.

In the proof calculation for test load situations, a minimum level of wind of  $\bar{v} = 5.42$  m/s shall be taken into account.

#### **6.3.3 Buffer forces**

Where buffers are used, the forces on the crane structure arising from collision with them shall be calculated from the kinetic energy of all relevant parts of the crane moving in general at 0,7 to 1 times the nominal speed. Lower values may be used where they are justified by special considerations such as the existence of an automatic control system of demonstrable reliability for retarding the motion or where there would be limited consequences in the event of a buffer impact.

The calculation may be based on a rigid body model. The actual behaviour of the crane and buffer system shall be taken into account.

Where the crane or component is restrained against rotation — for example, by guide rails — the buffer deformations may be assumed to be equal, in which case, if the buffer characteristics are similar, the buffer forces will be equal. This case is illustrated in Figure 4 a) in which

$$
F_{x2} = F_{x4} = \hat{F}_x / 2 \tag{6}
$$

Where the crane or component is not restrained against rotation, the buffer forces shall be calculated taking into account the distribution of the relevant masses and the buffer characteristics. This case is illustrated in Figure 4 b).



**a)** Crane horizontally guided by rails  $(\mu_2 = \mu_4)$  **b)** Crane not restrained against rotation

 $(F_{v3} = F_{v4} = 0)$ 

# **Figure 4 — Examples of buffer forces and buffer deformation (four-wheel bridge crane shown)**

The resulting forces as well as the horizontal inertia forces in balance with the buffer forces shall be multiplied by a factor,  $\phi_7$ , to account for elastic effects which cannot be evaluated using a rigid body analysis. Factor *ϕ*7 shall be taken as 1,25 in the case of buffers with linear characteristics (for example, springs) and as 1,6 in the case of buffers with rectangular characteristics (for example, hydraulic constant force buffers). For buffers with other characteristics, other values justified by calculation or by testing shall be used (see the following Note and Figure 5).

NOTE Intermediate values of  $\phi$ <sub>7</sub> can be estimated as

 $\phi_7 = 1,25$  if  $0 \le \xi \le 0,5$ 

 $\phi_7 = 1, 25 + 0, 7(\xi - 0.5)$  if  $0.5 < \xi \le 1$ 

In calculating buffer forces, the effects of suspended loads that are unrestrained horizontally (free to swing) should not be taken into account.





$$
\xi = \frac{1}{\widehat{F}\widehat{u}} \int_0^{\widehat{u}} F_x \, du \tag{7}
$$

where

*ξ* is the relative buffer energy:

for a buffer with linear characteristics: *ξ* = 0,5;

for a buffer with rectangular characteristics: *ξ* = 1.

### **6.3.4 Tilting forces**

If a crane with horizontally restrained load can tilt when it, its load or its lifting attachment collides with an obstacle, the resulting static forces shall be determined.

If a tilted crane can fall back into its normal position in an uncontrolled manner, the resulting impact on the supporting structure shall be taken into account.

# **6.3.5 Unintentional loss of payload**

The effects of unintentional loss of the payload shall be taken into account, especially subsequent rigid body stability issues and strength issues such as the jib or whole crane structure springing back, the jib whipping backwards and colliding with the crane structure, the jib falling back into normal position or the reversal of loads in components designed as unidirectional (hydraulic cylinders, tension ties, etc.).

In cases where dynamic analysis is not performed, the effect of unintentional loss of the payload may be calculated by applying the dynamic factor, *ϕ*<sup>9</sup> = −0,3.

#### **6.3.6 Loads caused by emergency cut-out**

Loads caused by emergency cut-out shall be evaluated in accordance with 6.1.4, taking into account the most unfavourable state of drive (i.e. the most unfavourable combination of acceleration and loading) at the time of the cut-out. The value of the factor  $\phi_5$  hall be chosen from the range  $1.5 \le \phi_5 \le 2$ .

# **6.3.7 Loads caused by failure of mechanism or components**

Where protection is provided by emergency brakes in addition to service brakes, failure and emergency brake activation shall be assumed to occur under the most unfavourable condition. Where mechanisms are duplicated for safety reasons, failure shall be assumed to occur in any part of either system.

In both these cases, the resulting loads shall be evaluated in accordance with 6.1.4, taking into account any impacts resulting from the transfer of forces.

### <span id="page-16-0"></span>**6.3.8 External excitation of the crane support**

Examples of crane support excitation are earthquakes (seismic loads) or wave-induced movements.

Loads caused by such excitations shall be considered only when they constitute a significant risk.

Seismic loads need to be calculated according to the appropriate methods[2].

# **6.4 Miscellaneous loads**

#### **6.4.1 Loads due to erection, dismantling and transport**

The loads acting at each stage of the erection and dismantling process shall be taken into account, including those arising from a wind speed of 8,3 m/s or greater. Higher values may be specified for the specific types of cranes covered by the other parts of ISO 8686. They shall be combined in accordance with 7.2.

In some cases it may also be necessary to take account of loads occurring during transport.

#### **6.4.2 Loads on platforms and other means provided for access**

The loads are considered to be local, acting only on the facilities themselves and on their immediate supporting members.

The following loads shall be taken into account:

- 3 000 N, where materials can be deposited;
- 1 500 N, on means provided for access only;
- not less than 300 N, horizontally on railings, depending on location and use.

# **7 Principles of choice of load combinations**

#### **7.1 Basic considerations**

Loads shall be combined to determine the stresses a crane will experience during normal operation as simulated by an elastostatic calculation. To achieve this,

- a) the crane is taken in its most unfavourable attitude and configuration while the loads are assumed to act in magnitude, position and direction causing unfavourable stresses at the critical points selected for evaluation at the basis of engineering considerations, and
- b) conservatively, loads can be combined at the values defined in this part of ISO 8686 or, when appropriate, they can be combined with some loads factored to more closely reflect loading conditions actually found in practice.

Basic load combinations are given in Table 3. In general, load combinations A cover regular loads, load combinations B cover regular loads combined with occasional loads and load combinations C cover regular loads combined with occasional and exceptional loads.

The load combinations appropriate to specific types of cranes shall be in accordance with the principles set out in Table 3 and 7.2.







Table 3 (continued) **Table 3** *(continued)*





**C11:** Erection, dismantling and transport loads, see 7.2.

C11: Erection, dismantling and transport loads, see 7.2.

**C4 to C8:** Cranes with gross load in combination with loads such as buffer forces (C4), tilting forces (C5), emergency cut-out (C6), failure of mechanism (C7),

excitation of the crane support (C8). **C9:** Activating the overload protection. **C10:** Unintentional loss of payload.

C9: Activating the overload protection. C10: Unintentional loss of payload.

# <span id="page-20-0"></span>**7.2 Load combinations during erection, dismantling and transport**

Each stage of the erection and dismantling process shall be considered, taking into account the appropriate loads and load combinations, which shall be as specified in those parts of ISO 8686 covering specific types of cranes. Proof of competence calculations shall be carried out for each instance of significant loading of a member or component.

In some cases it may also be necessary to take account of load occurring during transport.

# **7.3 Application of Table 3**

# **7.3.1 General**

The masses given in column 2, lines 1 to 3, shall be multiplied by gravitational acceleration, g, and the masses in column 2, lines 4 and 5, by the appropriate accelerations. The resulting or given loads shall be multiplied by the corresponding factors in accordance with 7.3.7.

Each combination of loads shall be applied in accordance with 7.1.

# **7.3.2 Allowable stress method**

The allowable stresses for load combinations A, B and C shall be determined by dividing the appropriate specified strength of the material, element, component or connection (for example, the stress at yielding, buckling or limit of elastic stability) by strength coefficients, *γ*f, as given in Table 3.

### **7.3.3 Limit state method**

The various loads shall be multiplied by the partial safety factors, *γ*p, depending on the type of load and load combinations A, B or C before being applied to the model.

The partial safety factors  $\gamma_p$  to be selected are listed in columns 3, 4 and 5 of Table 3.

# **7.3.4 Elastic displacements**

In some instances, elastic displacements can render a crane unfit to perform its intended duties, affect stability or may interfere with the proper functioning of mechanisms. In such instances, consideration of displacements shall be part of the proof of competence calculations and, where appropriate, calculated displacements shall be compared with established limits.

# **7.3.5 Proofs of fatigue strength**

The effects of fatigue shall be considered. Where proofs of fatigue strength are found to be necessary they shall be carried out in accordance with the principles set down in 7.1. In general, load combinations A1, A2, A3 and A4 (regular loads) shall be taken into account.

In some applications it may be necessary to consider also occasional loads such as in-service wind, skewing and exceptional loads such as test loads and excitation of the crane support (for example, wave effects).

# **7.3.6 High-risk applications**

In special cases where the human or economic consequences of failure are exceptionally severe (for example, ladle cranes or cranes for nuclear applications), increased reliability shall be obtained by the use of a risk coefficient,  $\gamma_n > 1$ , the value of which shall be selected according to the requirements of the particular application.

Using the allowable stress method, the allowable stresses shall be divided by the coefficient. Using the limit state method, the loads shall be multiplied by *γ*n (see [Annex](#page-24-1) A).

# **7.3.7 Masses of crane and crane parts**

### **7.3.7.1 Favourable and unfavourable masses**

When calculating the loads from gravitation for a given load combination and crane configuration, the masses of the different parts of the crane either increase (unfavourable) or decrease (favourable) the resulting load effect in the critical point under consideration.

The same mass may be favourable in some configurations and unfavourable in others, or favourable for one resulting load effect und unfavourable for another. Figure 6 illustrates this for a tower crane: With respect to the bending moment, *L*, in the tower the mass of the counter weight acts as favourable when a hoist load is applied and unfavourable without hoist load. With respect to the compression force in the tower, the mass of the counter weight acts as unfavourable in both cases.



**a) Mass of counterweight favourable b) Mass of counterweight unfavourable**

#### **Key**



*m*<sub>unf</sub> unfavourable acting mass

# **Figure 6 — Illustration of favourable and unfavourable masses**

# **7.3.7.2 Partial safety factors for the masses of the crane (limit state method)**

The partial safety factors, *γ*<sub>p</sub>, shall be chosen from Table 4, depending on the method of determining the masses of the crane parts and the type of load effect.

A part of a crane (for example, total length of girder of an unloader, slewing upper structure of a tower crane) having both favourable and unfavourable masses may be assigned only one partial safety factor in each load combination, related to the centre of gravity of that part.

<b>Method of deter-</b>	Load combinations according to Table 3					
mining masses of crane parts	А		в			
and their centres of gravity	Unfavourable		Favourable   Unfavourable   Favourable		Unfavourable	Favourable
By calculation	1,22	0,95	1,16	0,97	1,10	1,00
By weighing	1,16	1,00	1,10	1.00	1,05	1,00
Special condition	1,16	1,10	1,10	1.05	1.05	1,00

**Table 4 — Values of partial safety factors** *γ*<sup>p</sup>

The factors for the special condition may be applied under the following two conditions:

- a) masses of crane parts and their centres of gravity are determined by weighing;
- b) the ratio of *the sum load effect due to favourable masses of crane parts* and *the sum effect of unfavourable masses of crane parts plus gross load* shall be less than 0,6 — see Formula (10).

Unfactored static values of loads and masses shall be used.

$$
\left| \frac{L_{\rm f}}{L_{\rm unf} + L_{\rm h}} \right| < 0.6 \tag{10}
$$

where

- *L*<sub>f</sub> is the static load effect of favourable masses of crane parts;
- *L*<sub>unf</sub> is the static load effect of unfavourable masses of crane parts;
- *L*<sup>h</sup> is the static load effect of the gross load.

NOTE In general, partial safety factors for favourable masses should not be greater than 1. An exception is provided in the special condition where the calculated resulting load effect would be excessive. Since the value of the partial safety factor for the unfavourable masses should not be reduced, the partial safety factor for the favourable masses has been allowed an artificial increase above 1,0.

### **7.3.7.3 Safety factors for the masses of the crane (allowable stress method)**

The coefficients,  $\gamma_f$ , of the allowable stress method do not take into account negative deviations of favourable masses. In order to take those effects (e.g. mass is smaller than assumed) into account when calculating the resulting load effect, favourable masses shall be multiplied be a reduction factor, *γ*red:

 $v_{\text{red}}$  = 0,85 in load combinations A;

*γ*red = 0,90 in load combinations B;

*γ*red = 0,95 in load combinations C.

# **7.3.8 Partial safety factors to be applied to loads caused by displacements**

For those parts of a crane where intended displacements are induced to affect resulting load effects, upper and lower values of partial safety factors as given in Table 5 shall be taken into account to reflect deviations of the displacements due to the inaccuracies of the prestressing process and its parameters.

In cases where intended displacements are applied locally to create compression forces in connections to avoid gaping or to cause friction forces, such as the prestressing of high tensile bolts, the same upper and lower limits of the partial safety factor shall be applied.

**Table 5 — Values of partial safety factor**  $\gamma_p$  **to be applied to loads due to intended displacements** 

Values of partial safety factor $\gamma_{\rm D}$	Load combinations according to Table 3			
upper value	l,10	.,05	$1.00\,$	
lower value	0,90	),95	1,00	

<span id="page-23-0"></span>Any unintended, but reasonably foreseeable elastic or rigid body displacement acting in any direction, which affect significantly the resulting load effects in a crane shall be considered as load and shall be amplified with the partial safety factors given in Table 6.

In general, the direction of an unintended displacement can vary and therefore all directions should be considered.

### **Table** 6 – Values of partial safety factor  $\gamma_p$  to be applied to loads due to unintended **displacements**



# **7.4 Partial safety factors for the proof of rigid body stability**

The partial safety factors used to prove that a crane is stable as a rigid body are given in Table 7 for the relevant load combinations A1, A2, B1, C2, C3, C4, C6, C7, C9, C10 and C11.

In all these load combinations, dynamic factors  $\phi_i$ , except for  $\phi_3$  and  $\phi_9$ , shall be set to  $\phi_i = 1.0$ ; while  $\phi_3$ shall be shall be set to  $-0.1$ , when the calculated value of  $\phi_3$  >  $-0.1$ .

In cases where the overturning moment is governed by the crane masses, higher partial safety factors are recommended to be applied in order to achieve an overall safety factor of 1,2.

# <span id="page-24-1"></span>**Annex A**

# (normative)

# <span id="page-24-0"></span>**Application of allowable stress method and limit state method of design**

# **A.1 General**

Most of the principles for determining the loads and load combinations to be taken into account in proof of competence calculations set out in this part of ISO 8686 (see Clause 5) are applicable to both the allowable stress method and the limit state method of design. Both methods are equivalent in cases of linear relationship between loads and stresses. In cases of nonlinear relationship between loads and stresses (i.e. where the 2nd order method is applied) the limit state method of design shall be applied.

NOTE ISO 20332 gives values for limit states but not for allowable stresses.

# **A.2 Allowable stress method**

Individual specified loads, *f*i, are calculated and amplified where necessary using the applicable factors*ϕ*. They are then combined according to the load combination under consideration from Table 3. The combined load,  $\bar{F}_i$ , is used to determine the resulting load effects,  $\bar{S}_k$ , i.e. the inner forces and moments in members or the forces on supports.

The stresses,  $\bar{\sigma}_{11}$ , due to the action of the load effects on a particular element or component are calculated and combined with any stresses,  $\bar{\sigma}_{2l}$ , resulting from local effects. The resulting design stress  $\bar{\sigma}_{l}$  should be compared with an appropriate value of allowable stress, adm σ.

Admissible stresses are obtained by dividing the specified strengths, *R*, of the material, such as the stresses corresponding to the yield point, limit of elastic stability or fatigue strength, by a coefficient, *γ*f, specified in Table 3, according to the basic load combination (see 7.1) and, where appropriate, by a risk coefficient, *γ*n (see 7.3.5).

Special care is required to ensure a valid proof of competence when the allowable stress method is applied to cases where internal forces are not linearly proportional to the loads producing them or critical values of stress result from the combination of independently varying loads which give stresses of opposite signs.

A flow chart illustrating the allowable stress method of design is shown in Figure A.1.







\*) According to line numbering in Table 3. \*\*) Only to be applied if unfavourable.

 $\widehat{\ast}$  $\widehat{\ast}$ 

According to line numbering in Table 3. Only to be applied if unfavourable.



**Key**

*f*<sup>i</sup> load *i* on element or component

 $\overline{F}_1$ load combination *j*

- $\bar{S}_k$  load effects in section *k* of members or supporting parts (such as inner forces and moments resulting from load combination  $\overline{F}_1$ )
- $\bar{\sigma}_{1l}$  stresses in particular element *l* as result of load effects  $\bar{S}_k$
- $\bar{\sigma}_{2l}$  stresses in particular element *l* arising from local effects
- $\bar{\sigma}_1$  resulting design stress in particular element *l*
- *R* specified strength or characteristic resistance of the material, particular element or connection such as the stress corresponding to the yield point, limit of elastic-stability or fatigue strength (limit states)

adm *σ* allowable stresses

- *γ*<sup>f</sup> coefficients applied to specified strength according to load combination under consideration
- *γ*<sup>n</sup> risk coefficient, where applicable

# **Figure A.1 — Typical flow chart of allowable stress method**

# **A.3 Limit state method**

Individual specified or characteristic loads, *f*i, are calculated and amplified where necessary using factors *ϕ* multiplied by the appropriate partial safety factors, *γ*p. They are then combined according to the load combination under consideration to give  $\bar{F}_1$ . Factors  $\phi$  and partial safety factors  $\gamma_p$  for individual loads are given in Tables 3 and 7.

Where appropriate, the risk coefficient  $\gamma_n$  is applied to  $\bar{F}_i$  (see 7.3.6) to give the design load,  $\gamma_n \bar{F}_i$ . Design load effects,  $\bar{S}_k$  are determined from the design load. The stresses,  $\sigma_{1l}$ , due to the action of the load effects on a particular element or component are calculated and combined with any stresses, *σ*2l, resulting from local effects which have also been calculated using the appropriate load coefficients.

The resulting design stress, *σ*l, shall be compared with an appropriate limit value, lim *σ*.

A flow chart illustrating the limit state method of design is shown in Figure A.2.



**Key**

- *f*<sup>i</sup> load *i* on element or component
- $\overline{F}_1$ load combination *j* from loads *f*i multiplied with partial safety factors and risk coefficient, when applicable
- $\bar{S}_k$  load effects in section *k* of members or supporting parts (such as inner forces and moments) resulting from load combination  $\bar{F}_i$
- $\sigma_{11}$  stresses in particular element *l* as result of load effects  $\bar{S}_k$
- *σ*2l stresses in particular element *l* arising from local effects
- *σ*<sup>l</sup> resulting design stress in the particular element *l*
- *R* specified strength or characteristic resistance of material, particular element or connection such as stress corresponding to yield point, limit of elastic stability or fatigue strength (limit states)
- lim *σ* limit design stress
- *γ*<sup>f</sup> partial safety factors applied to individual loads according to load combination under consideration
- *γ*<sup>n</sup> risk coefficients, where applicable
- *γ*<sup>m</sup> resistance coefficient

Instead of a comparison of stresses (see above), a comparison of forces, moments, deflections, etc. may be made. NOTE A general description of the limit state method of design is given in ISO 2394.

# **Figure A.2 — Typical flow chart of limit state method**

# <span id="page-29-1"></span>**Annex B** (informative)

# <span id="page-29-0"></span>**General guidance on application of dynamic factors** *ϕ*

In general, the dynamic responses induced by different loads (see Clause 6) are taken into account by the use of dynamic factors *ϕ*, by which gravitational forces due to the masses and inertia forces due to rigid body movements are multiplied (see Figure B.1).

In cases where the load effect and dynamic response are not covered by these factors, elasto-kinetic analyses or experiments shall be carried out, unless it is known from experience that these effects are sufficiently small to be ignored.



**a) Example of load effects covered by dynamic factors** *ϕ*

**b) Example of load effects not covered by dynamic factors** *ϕ*

# **Key**

1 static axial forces<br>2 additional bendin

additional bending due to vibrations

**Figure B.1** — Application of dynamic factors  $\phi$ 

# <span id="page-30-1"></span>**Annex C**

# (informative)

# <span id="page-30-0"></span>**Example of model for estimating value of dynamic factor**  $\phi_4$  **for cranes travelling on rails**

# **C.1 General**

The dynamic loads caused by travelling or traversing on rails (see 6.1.3.2) with steps or gaps may be estimated by using appropriate elasto-kinetic models. Unevenness functions may be used to represent the steps or gaps in the rails.

# **C.2 Elasto-kinetic model**

In this example, the dynamic loads on the crane caused by excitation of the system are estimated using a simple model.

A single mass, *m*, in kilograms, moving horizontally at constant speed, *v*, in metres per second, is supported by a linear elastic spring with a spring constant, *c*, in newtons per metre, and is guided by a rail (see Figure C.1).

With the unevenness function, *h*(*t*), and the coordinate, *z*(*t*), both expressed in metres, describing the position of the spring supported mass, the dynamic force in the spring follows the expression  $F(t) = c [h(t) - z(t)]$  in newtons.

The maximum force,  $F_{\text{max}}$ , is given by the maximum value of expression  $F(t)$  during the period of response. This may occur during or after the period of excitation.



**Figure C.1** — Model for determining dynamic factor  $\phi_4$ 

# **C.2.1 Movement of wheel centre when passing over step or gap**

The movement of the wheel centre when passing over a step or gap and the corresponding formulae are shown in Figure C.2.



**Figure C.2 — Movement of wheel centre**

# **C.2.2 Approximate unevenness functions for exciting elasto-kinetic model**

Approximate unevenness functions *h*(*t*) for exciting the elasto-kinetic model are shown in Figure C.3 and in the corresponding formulae in C.2.3.



**Figure**  $C.3$  **— Unevenness functions**  $h(t)$ 

### **C.2.3 Maximum vertical accelerations**

### **C.2.3.1 Lower end of spring**

The maximum vertical acceleration of the lower end of the spring,  $\hat{\ddot{h}}$ , when passing over a step or a gap at constant speed *v* is given by

$$
\hat{h} = \frac{h_{\rm S}}{2} \Omega^2 = \frac{h_{\rm G}}{2} \Omega^2 = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \frac{v^2}{r}
$$

where  $h$ <sub>S</sub>,  $h$ <sub>G</sub>, Ω, *v* and *r* are as shown in Figures C.2 and C.3.

#### **C.2.3.2 Mass passing a step**

The maximum vertical acceleration,  $\hat{\vec{x}}$  , for a mass,  $m$ , passing a step is given by

$$
\hat{\ddot{z}} = \ddot{h}\xi_{S}(\alpha_{S})
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{\rm S} = \frac{\omega h}{\pi v} \sqrt{\frac{2r}{h_{\rm S}}}
$$

in which

 $\omega = \sqrt{c/m}$ 

is the natural circular frequency of the elasto-kinetic model.

### **C.2.3.3 Mass passing a gap**

The maximum vertical acceleration,  $\hat{\vec{z}}$  , for a mass, m, passing a gap is given by

$$
\hat{\ddot{z}} = \hat{\ddot{h}} \xi_{\text{G}}(\alpha_{\text{G}})
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{\rm G} = \frac{\omega e_{\rm G}}{2\pi v}
$$

### **C.2.4 Factors**  $\xi$ **s** and  $\xi$ <sub>G</sub>

In Figure C.4, the curves for factors  $\xi_S$  (*α*<sub>S</sub>) and  $\xi_G$  (*α*<sub>G</sub>) for a parabolic (par) unevenness function are compared with those for the approximate cosine (cos) unevenness function previously introduced. The numbers in brackets [(1) or (2)] indicate the periods for which the factors *ξ* are valid. Period (1) covers times  $t_S$  and  $t_G$  and period (2) is the response time thereafter.

For both excitations (step or gap), the maximum values of *ξ*S or *ξ*G for *α* approximately < 1,3 have been found to occur in period (2), i.e. after the time the wheel has passed the unevenness, and with the cosine unevenness function [cos(2)].

In this case, the values of the factors may be determined analytically by

$$
\xi_{S} = \frac{\alpha_{S}^{2}}{1 - \alpha_{S}^{2}} \sqrt{2 + \cos(2\pi\alpha_{S})}
$$

or

$$
\xi_{\rm G} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm G}^2}{1 - \alpha_{\rm G}^2} \sqrt{2 - 2\cos\left(2\pi\alpha_{\rm G}\right)}
$$



**Figure C.4 — Curves of unevenness function**

# **C.2.5 Dynamic factor**  $\phi_4$

Dynamic factor *ϕ*4 is defined as

$$
\phi_4 = \frac{mg + m\hat{\ddot{z}}}{mg} = 1 + \frac{\hat{\ddot{h}}}{g}\xi
$$

For the two cases and the assumptions made including  $\alpha \leq 1.3$  using the formulae for *ξ*<sub>S</sub>, *α*<sub>S</sub> and *ξ*<sub>G</sub>, *α*<sub>G</sub>, factors *ϕ*4 may be calculated as follows.

For a step:

$$
\phi_4 = 1 + \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \frac{v^2}{gr} \xi_S(\alpha_S)
$$

For a gap:

$$
\phi_4 = 1 + \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \frac{v^2}{gr} \xi_G(\alpha_G)
$$

# **C.2.6 Comments**

The use of this simple elasto-kinetic model is restricted to cranes whose actual dynamic behaviour corresponds to that of the model and which are excited in the manner shown by passing over steps or gaps in the rails. If more than one natural mode contributes a significant response and/or rotation occurs, the designer should estimate the dynamic loads using an appropriate model for the circumstances.

# <span id="page-34-1"></span>**Annex D** (informative)

# <span id="page-34-0"></span>**Example of determination of loads caused by acceleration**

# **D.1 Rigid body kinetic model**

The example considered is that of a rigid crane (i.e. an overhead travelling crane) consisting of a double-girder crane bridge, supported by four crane travel wheels, travelling at a constant speed. One wheel on each side is driven by a simplified independent drive. A traversing loaded trolley is supported by the crane bridge (see Figure D.1). See 6.1.4.



# **Key**

1 rail 1<br>2 rail 2 2 rail 2

**Figure D.1 — Loads acting on overhead travelling crane** (see Table D.1)

The drive forces developed by the motors and brakes are transferred through one-step gears to the crane travel wheels. The travel wheels are supported in the end carriages, those on one side being laterally fixed and those on the other being laterally movable.

# **D.2 Symbols**

The symbols used in this annex are given in Table D.1.



# **Table D.1 — Symbols used in [Annex](#page-34-1) D**

# **D.3 Forces**

# **D.3.1 Drive forces and external forces**

The motion of the crane, [*x*(*t*)], and load effects depend on drive forces which are in balance with the internal frictional forces, the inertia forces and the external forces. The external forces include the frictional forces due to mechanical resistance (losses) at the wheels, wind load and, in the case of an inclined track, gravitational forces.

The torques,  $M = M_M$  or  $M = M_B$ , may be defined by the motor or brake characteristics. These are illustrated by the examples given in Figures D.2 and D.3.



**Key**



 $M_{\rm M}^{(0)}$ motor starting torque  $(\dot{\psi} = 0)$ 

 $\dot{\psi}^{(0)}$  synchronous rotational speed of motor  $(M_M = 0)$ 

### **Figure D.2 — Resistor-controlled slip-ring motor — Simplified presentation of motor characteristics**

For simplification purposes, in Figure D.3 the magnitude of  $M_B$ ,  $|\hat{M}_B|$ , is taken as constant; mathematically it is expressed as  $\hat{M}_{\rm B} = -\text{sgn}(\dot{\psi}) \left| \hat{M}_{\rm B} \right|$ .



**Key**

*M*B brake torque whose direction is opposite to that of  $\dot{\psi}$ 

# **Figure D.3 — Mechanical brake — Formal presentation of brake torque**

# **D.3.2 Frictional losses at a wheel**

Figure D.4 illustrates frictional losses at a wheel.



**Key**

$\Delta M_1$	torque loss due to friction in wheel bearing
$\Delta M_2$	torque representing the losses due to rolling friction in contact zone of rolling wheel
$F_{\rm z}$	wheel load
W	equivalent friction coefficient $(\Delta M_1 + \Delta M_2 = wF_zr)$

**Figure D.4 — Frictional losses at wheel**

# **D.4 Drive accelerations**

The drive model shown in Figure D.5 is used for estimating drive accelerations. This representation combines the two drives acting to balance forces and includes all significant effects.



# **Figure D.5 — Crane drive model (sign convention)**

From rigid body kinetic analysis, neglecting the mass moments of inertia,  $\theta_2$ , the acceleration,  $\ddot{x}$ , of a crane not affected by wind forces can be expressed as

$$
\ddot{x} = \frac{2Mr_1^{-1}r_2r^{-1}\eta^{\lambda} - \text{sgn}(\dot{x})wmg}{2\theta_1(r_1^{-1}r_2r^{-1})^2\eta^{\gamma} + m}
$$

where

 $\lambda =$ sgn ( $\dot{u}F$ );

- $\dot{u}$  is the tangential speed of gear wheels;
- *F* is the tangential force to be transferred by the gear wheels.

NOTE Sign convention of speed and internal forces: internal forces of a gear element are assumed to be positive when acting at the input side in the direction of the positive speed and at the output side opposite to the direction of the positive speed. The speeds of gear elements are chosen positive if acting in the positive direction of the movements of the crane, considering the kinematic interactions of the mechanical parts.

# **D.5 Loads and load effects**

The loads and load effects caused by crane drives during regular use can be taken into account considering the relevant events, for example:

- $-$  **event I**: accelerating crane from rest,  $(\dot{\psi} = 0)$  by applying starting torque,  $M_{\rm M}^{(0)}$ , to each travel drive;
- **event II**: decelerating crane from steady-state motion,  $(\ddot{\psi} = \ddot{x} = 0)$ , by mechanical braking whereby the torque on each travel drive is changed from a motor torque  $M_{\rm M}(\dot\psi=0) \,$  to a braking torque of  $- \big|\hat M_{\rm B}\big|$ .

For the purposes of this example, events I and II are taken to be instantaneous changes in torque; they are illustrated in Figure D.6.



**Key**

1 event I<br>2 event I

event II



# **D.6 Accelerations**

Before the design load effects arising from changes in torque can be calculated, such as those of events I and II set out in Clause D.5, it is necessary to estimate the initial acceleration,  $\ddot{x}_{(i)}$ , and the final acceleration,  $\ddot{x}_{(f)}$ , bounding the event. This can be done as follows.

#### **For event I:**

 $\ddot{x}_{(i)} = 0$ 

$$
\ddot{x}_{\text{(f)}} = \frac{2M_{\text{M}}^{(0)}r_{2}^{-1}r_{2}r^{-1}\eta - wmg}{2\theta_{1}(r_{1}^{-1}r_{2}r^{-1})^{2}\eta + m}
$$

since  $\lambda = +1$  as  $(\dot{u} > 0)$  and  $(F > 0)$ .

**For event II:**

$$
\ddot{x}_{(i)} = 0 = \frac{2M_M(\ddot{\psi} = 0)r_1^{-1}r_2r^{-1}\eta - wmg}{2\theta_1(r_1^{-1}r_2r^{-1})^2\eta + m}
$$

since  $\lambda = +1$  as  $(\dot{u} > 0)$  and  $(F > 0)$ ;

$$
\ddot{x}_{\text{(f)}} = -\frac{2|\hat{M}_\text{B}|r_1^{-1}r_2r^{-1}\eta^{-1} + wmg}{2\theta_1(r_1^{-1}r_2r^{-1})^2\eta^{-1} + m}
$$

since  $\lambda = -1$  as  $(\dot{u} > 0)$  and  $(F < 0)$ .

From these results it can be seen that if  $M_{\phantom{a} \mathsf{M}}^{(0)} = \big|\hat{M}\big|$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ M \end{bmatrix}$ , the acceleration,  $\ddot{x}_{(f)}$ , for event I is less than the deceleration,  $\ddot{x}_{(f)}$ , for event II.

# **D.7 Design load effects in mechanical components**

As an example, the tangential force to be transferred by the gears and to be considered in design *F* is estimated as follows (see Clause D.4 and Figure D.5):

$$
F = (M - \theta_1 \ddot{\psi}) r_1^{-1}
$$

where

$$
\ddot{\psi} = r_1^{-1} r_2 \cdot r^{-1} \ddot{x}
$$

$$
\hat{F} = F_{(i)} + \phi_5 \Delta F
$$

where  $\Delta F = F_{\text{f}} - F_{\text{i}}$ .

#### **For event I:**

 $F(i) = 0$ 

$$
F_{\text{f}} = [M_{\text{M}}^{(0)} - \theta_1 r_1^{-1} r_2 r^{-1} \ddot{x}_{\text{f}}] r_1^{-1}
$$

**For event II:**

$$
F_{(i)} = M_{\rm M} (\ddot{\psi} = 0) r_1^{-1}
$$

$$
F_{(\text{f})} = \left[ -\left| \hat{M}_{\text{B}} \right| - \theta_1 r_1^{-1} r_2 r^{-1} \ddot{x}_{(\text{f})} \right] r_1^{-1}
$$

### **D.8 Design load effects in the structural components**

As an example, the horizontal loads and reactions to be transferred by the crane girder and to be considered in design, are estimated as follows (see Figure D.7).



**a) Distribution of horizontal loads during acceleration of crane**

**b) Resultant loads — Acting drive forces,**   $F_{\text{X3}} = F_{\text{X1}}$ , and reacting forces,  $F_{\text{V3}} = F_{\text{V1}}$ 

**Figure D.7 — Horizontal loads and reactions**

The horizontal loads and forces are caused by drive forces accelerating the crane and do not include skewing forces.

During acceleration, the two acting drives balance the mass forces  $(m\ddot{x} = m_1\ddot{x} + m_2\ddot{x} + m_3\ddot{x})$  and the forces due to the frictional losses at all wheels (*wmg*). It is assumed that the crane drive characteristics are identical as well as their control; therefore, the drive forces are equally distributed to both of the

drives  $\left(F_{x3} = F_{x1} = \frac{1}{2}m\ddot{x} + \frac{1}{2}wmg\right)$ 1  $\left\lfloor \frac{x^2 + 2}{2} \right\rfloor$  The resultant drive force acts in the centreline of the span.

Forces transverse to the runway,  $F_{y4}$  =  $-F_{y3}$ , usually occur due to the distance,  $e = \frac{l}{2} - a$ , between the acting and reacting forces, and become

$$
F_{y4} = F_{y3} = m(\ddot{x} + wg)\frac{e}{c}
$$

The design load effects,  $\hat{F}$ , and the accelerations before  $[\ddot{x}_{(i)}]$  and after  $[\ddot{x}_{(f)}]$  changing the torques for any considered event should be evaluated.

From the acting loads, the mass forces,  $[m\ddot{x}_{(i)}]$  and  $[m\ddot{x}_{(f)}]$ , as well as the resultant friction forces, all relevant load effects, *F*(i) [*N*(i), *Q*(i), *M*(i)] and *F*(f) [*N*(f), *Q*(f), *M*(f)], respectively, should be estimated by an elastostatic calculation considering the crane girder as a plane (or space) frame (see Figure D.8).

The design load effects may be evaluated, having reference to Clauses D.4 and D.7, from

$$
\hat{F} = F_{(i)} + \phi_5 \Delta F
$$

where  $\Delta F = F_{(f)} - F_{(i)}$ .

In the special cases of events I and II,  $\ddot{x}_{(i)} = 0$ .



**a) Before changing torques** (*i*) **b) After changing torques** (*f*)

The reacting forces,  $F_y$ , may be distributed between the wheels grouped in the corners of the crane as follows:

- 1 or 2 wheels per corner: *F<sub>y</sub>* acting on the outer wheel;
- 
- 
- 3 or 4 wheels per corner: *F<sub>y</sub>* acting on the two outermost wheels;
- 
- More than 4 wheels per corner:  $F_v$  acting on the three outermost wheels.

# **Figure D.8 — Loading state**

# <span id="page-43-1"></span>**Annex E**

(informative)

# <span id="page-43-0"></span>**Example of method for analysing loads due to skewing**

# **E.1 Model of crane**

In order to enable an estimation to be made of the tangential forces between wheels and rails as well as of the forces between the acting guide means, caused by skewing of the crane (see 6.2.2), a simple travel-mechanic model is necessary. The crane is considered to be travelling at a constant speed without anti-skewing control.

The model consists of *n* pairs of wheels transversally in line, of which *p* pairs are coupled. An individual (*i*) pair of wheels can be defined, either as coupled (C) (i.e. same rotational speed obtained by mechanical or electrical means) or mounted independently (I) of each other. The latter condition is also valid in the case of independent single drives.

The wheels are arranged in ideal geometric positions in a rigid crane structure travelling on a rigid track. Differences in wheel diameters are neglected in this model. They are either fixed (F) or movable (M) in respect of lateral movement. The lateral degree of freedom can, for example, be provided by a hinged leg.

The different combinations of transversally in-line wheel pairs that are possible are shown in Table E.1.

	Coupled (C)	Independent (I)	
	<b>CFF</b>	<b>IFF</b>	
Fixed/fixed (F/F)			
	<b>CFM</b>	<b>IFM</b>	
<b>Fixed/movable</b> (F/M)			

**Table E.1 — Different combinations of wheel pairs**

In Figure E.1, the positions of the wheel pairs relative to the position of the guide means in front of the travelling crane are defined by the distances, *d*i.



NOTE Where flanged wheels are used instead of an external guide means,  $d_1 = 0$ 

# **Figure E.1 — Positions of wheel pairs**

It is assumed that the gravitational forces due to the masses of the loaded crane (*mg*) are acting at a distance, *μl*, from rail 1 and are distributed equally to the *n* wheels at each side of the crane runway.

# **E.2 Relationship between tangential forces and displacements**

It is first necessary to assume a relationship between the tangential forces and the corresponding displacements occurring between wheel and rail. Since the wheel has to transfer drive moments (*M*y) to the rail and its movement is restricted by the system (crane and runway) it slides in longitudinal and lateral directions  $u(u_x, u_y)$ . Corresponding tangential forces  $(F_x, F_y)$  react on the crane (see Figure E.2).



a Sliding distance.

b Rolling distance.

#### **Figure E.2 — Tangential forces and displacements**

In general, a relationship exists between the sliding distances (*u*x, *u*y) the free-rolling distance *rψ*, the wheel load  $F_z$  and the tangential forces  $(F_x, F_y)$ , as follows:

 $F<sub>X</sub> = f<sub>X</sub>$  ( $s<sub>X</sub>$ ,  $s<sub>V</sub>$ ,  $p<sub>C</sub>$ , surface conditions)  $F<sub>Z</sub>$ ;

 $F_V = f_V$  ( $s_X$ ,  $s_V$ ,  $p_c$ , surface conditions)  $F_Z$ .

The friction coefficients of the rolling wheel  $(f_x, f_y)$  depend on the slip, i.e. the relation between slide and freerolling distances  $(s_x = u_x/r\psi)$  and  $(s_y = u_y/r\psi)$  on the contact pressure between wheel and rail  $(p_c)$  and the surface conditions of the rail. To simplify the calculation, the following empirical relationships may be used:

 $f_{\text{X}} = \mu_0 [1 - e^{(-250s_{\text{X}})})$ , for  $s_{\text{X}} \le 0.015$ ,

*f*<sub>y</sub> =  $\mu$ <sup>0</sup> [1 − *e*(−250*s*y)], for *s*<sub>y</sub> ≤ 0,015

where the adhesion factor,

 $\mu_0$  = 0,3 for cleaned rails, and

 $\mu_0$  = 0,2 for non-cleaned rails (i.e. in a normal operation and environment)

# **E.3 Loads due to skewing**

The crane model is assumed to be travelling in steady motion and to have skewed to an angle *α*, as shown in Figure E.3. The crane may be guided horizontally by external means or by wheel flanges.



# **Figure E.3 — Loads acting on crane in skewed position**

The skewing angle, *α*, which should be ≤0,015, should be chosen taking into account the space between the guide means and the rail as well as reasonable dimensional variation and wear of the crane wheels and the rails;  $\alpha = \alpha_g + \alpha_t + \alpha_w$  may be chosen from Table E.2.

	<b>Skewing angle resulting from</b>	<b>Flanged wheels</b>	<b>Guide rollers</b>		
		$\alpha_{\rm g} = s_{\rm g \, min}/w_{\rm b}$ when $s_{\rm g} \leq \frac{4}{3} s_{\rm g \, min}$			
$\alpha_{\rm g}$	Track clearance, Sg	$\alpha_{\rm g} = 0.75 s_{\rm g}/w_{\rm b}$ when $s_{\rm g} > \frac{4}{3} s_{\rm g \, min}$			
	Crane travelling	$s_g \geq s_{g,min} = 10$ mm	$s_g \geq s_{g,min} = 5$ mm		
	Trolley traversing	$s_g \geq s_{g,min} = 4$ mm	$s_g \geq s_{g,min} = 2$ mm		
$\alpha_{\rm t}$	Tolerances (wheel alignment and straightness of rail)	$\alpha_{t}$ = 0,001 rad			
$\alpha_{w}$	Wear	$\alpha_{\rm w}$ = 0,1 $b_{\rm h}$ /w <sub>h</sub>	$\alpha_{\rm w}$ = 0,03 $b_{\rm h}$ /w <sub>b</sub>		
where					
$wb$ is the wheel base (i.e. distance between guide rollers or between first and last wheel);					
$bh$ is the width of rail tread.					

**Table E.2 — Skewing angle** *α*

A guide force, *F*y, is in balance with the tangential wheel forces, *F*x1i, *F*y1i, *F*x2i, *F*x1i, *F*y2, which are caused by rotation of the crane about the instantaneous slide pole. With the maximum lateral slip,  $s_y = \alpha$ , at the guide means and a linear distribution of the lateral slip, *s*yi, between the guide means and the instantaneous slide pole, the corresponding skewing forces can be calculated as follows.

# **E.3.1 Distance between instantaneous slide pole and guide means,** *h*

$$
h = (p\mu\mu'l^2 + \sum d_i^2)/\sum d_i
$$
 for systems F/F

$$
h = (p\mu l^2 + \sum d_i^2) / \sum d_i
$$
 for systems F/M

where

- *p* is the number of pairs of coupled wheels;
- $\mu$  is the distance of the instantaneous slide pole from rail 1;
- $\mu'$  is the distance of the instantaneous slide pole from rail 2;
- *l* is the span of the crane;
- *d*<sup>i</sup> is the distance of wheel pair *i* from the guide means.

# **E.3.2 Guide force,**  $F_V$

 $F_v = vfmg$ 

where

 $v = 1 - \sum d_i / nh$  for systems F/F;

 $=\mu'(1-\sum d_i/nh)$  for systems F/M;

*f* =  $\mu_0$  [1 – *e*(−250 *α*)], where  $\alpha$  ≤ 0,015 rad;

*mg* is the gravitational force due to the mass of the loaded crane.

NOTE Guide forces acting on flanged wheels can be distributed between the wheels grouped in the corners of the crane as shown in Clause D.8.

# **E.4 Tangential forces,**  $F_x$  **and**  $F_y$

*F*x1i = *ξ*1i*fmg F*x2i = *ξ*2i*fmg*

 $F_{v1i} = v_{1i}$ *fmg* 

 $F_{\rm V2i} = v_{2i}$ *fmg* 

# where

*f* and *mg* are as given in E.3.2, above;

*ξ*1i, *ξ*2i, *v*1i and *v*2i are as given in Table E.3, below.

IFM 0

TADIC LIJ values of $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$					
<b>Combinations</b>	$\xi_{1i} = \xi_{2i}$	V1i	V2i		
CFF	$\mu\mu^{\prime}$ l/nh				
IFF		μ			
CFM	$\mu\mu$ l/nh	n "			

**Table <b>E.3** — Values of  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2$ ,  $y_1$ ; and  $y_2$ ;

0

# <span id="page-49-1"></span>**Annex F** (informative)

# **Illustration of types of hoist drives**

<span id="page-49-0"></span>This annex illustrates the five hoist drive types, presented in Table 2b, by means of their time histories of actual rotational or linear hoist drive speed, *ω*, and resulting hoist force, *F* (see Figure F.1)









# **HD3: Hoist drive control maintains creep speed until load is lifted off ground**

The time histories of *F* and *ω* in HD3 are the same as those shown for hoist drive types HD2. However, whereas HD3 type hoist drives ensure that  $t_3 < t_4$ , HD2 type drives do not prevent the application of full speed while the load is still grounded (i.e. foreseeable misuse of slack rope).

Therefore, in HD3, only regular loads with  $\phi_2 = \phi_{2,\text{min}} + \beta_2 v_{\text{h,CS}}$  can be considered in load combinations A and B.

EXAMPLE Any drive with creep speed and load measuring devices. The maximum speed can only be activated (either automatically or manually) when *F* stays constant and > 0 for a certain time, thus ensuring that the load is lifted from the ground.



# **Bibliography**

- <span id="page-52-0"></span>[1] ISO 2394, *General principles on reliability for structures*
- [2] ISO 11031, *Cranes Design principles for seismic loads*

**ISO 8686-1:2012(E)**

# **ICS 53.020.20** Price based on 49 pages

© ISO 2012 – All rights reserved