**International Standard** 



7574/4

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATIONOMEЖДУНАРОДНАЯ OPГАНИЗАЦИЯ ПО СТАНДАРТИЗАЦИИ ORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE DE NORMALISATION

# Acoustics - Statistical methods for determining and verifying stated noise emission values of machinery and equipment  $-$ Part 4 : Methods for stated values for batches of machines

Acoustique - Méthodes statistiques pour la détermination et le contrôle des valeurs déclarées d'émission acoustique des machines et équipements - Partie 4 : Méthodes pour valeurs déclarées de lots de machines

First edition  $-$  1985-12-15

#### **Foreword** Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work.

Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for approval before their acceptance as International Standards by the ISO Council. They are approved in accordance with ISO procedures requiring at least 75 % approval by the member bodies voting.

International Standard ISO 7574/4 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 43, Acoustics.

Users should note that all International Standards undergo revision from time to time  $\blacksquare$  show that all l in terms undergo revision from time to and the angle of any other In terminal Standard implementation of the International Standard implementation in latest edition, unless otherwise stated.

#### International Organization for Standardization, 1985  $\bullet$  $\odot$

Printed in Switzerland

## **Contents**

### Page





# Acoustics  $-$  Statistical methods for determining and verifying stated noise emission values of machinery and equipment  $-$

Part 4: Methods for stated values for batches of machines Part 4: Methods for stated values for batches of mach ines

#### **Introduction** U

A general introduction to the four-part series of ISO 7574 is given in ISO 7574/1.

For the purposes of this part of ISO 7574, the term "labelled value" stands for all kinds of stated value (e.g. information on a label, the upper noise limit set by an authority, the agreed conlabel , the upper noise l im i t set by an au thori ty, the ag reed con tract value) for which the methods may be applied.

This part of ISO 7574 contains statistical sampling methods for checking the stated noise emission values for batches (lots) of machines. The labelled value for all machines in a batch is checked by sampling procedures. A reference standard deviation is required when testing the compliance of a batch of a specific family of machines. In addition, information on the type of sampling to be used (single, double or sequential) and the sample size is required. The procedures specified in this part of ISO 7574 assume that the noise emission values of a batch (lot) of machines will follow a normal distribution. The statistical parameters upon which this part of ISO 7574 is based assume that there is a 95 % probability of acceptance if no more than 6,5 % of the noise em ission values in a batch exceed the labelled value. Information is included to assist the labeller in determining a labelled value based on these statistical parameters.

The methods given in this part of ISO 7574 ensure that a batch (lot) of machines labelled in accordance with the specifications for the verification procedure have a predetermined probability of acceptance.

#### Scope and field of application 1

This part of ISO 7574 provides guidelines for determining the labelled value,  $L_c$ , by the labeller and specifies statistical sampling procedures for verifying compliance of the noise emissions of a batch (lot) of machinery and equipment with its labelled value.

This part of ISO 7574 is intended to assist those parties respon-Th is part of IS0 7574 is in tended to assist those parties respon sible for drawing up specific labelling codes for specific families

of machines. It is also intended to be of use to labellers who want their batches of machines to conform with verification procedures that are in accordance with the specifications given in the specific labelling codes based on clause 7.

This part of ISO 7574 does not deal with the consequences that ensue if the stated value is not confirmed as verified for a batch

#### 2 **References**  $\cdots$   $\cdots$   $\cdots$

IS0 3951 , Sampling procedures and charts for inspection by variables for percent defective. variables for percent defective.

 $ISO$  4871, Acoustics  $-$  Noise labelling of machinery and equipment.

 $ISO$  7574/1, Acoustics  $-$  Statistical methods for determining and verifying stated noise emission values of machinery and equipment  $-$  Part 1: General considerations and definitions.

#### 3 **Definitions**

For the purposes of this part of ISO 7574, the definitions given in IS0 7574/l apply.

#### General 4

For a batch of machines, the noise emission values will cover a For a batch of mach ines, the noise em ission values wi l l cover a certain range due to the variability between the machines (relevant measure: standard deviation of production,  $\sigma_{\rm n}$ ) and due to measurement errors occurring under reproducibility conditions (relevant measure: standard deviation of reproducibility,  $\sigma_R$  - see 3.17 in ISO 7574/1). The measure for the overall variability is the total standard deviation,  $\sigma_t$ .

The aim of labelling a batch of machines is to indicate as labelled value,  $L_c$ , a limit below which a specified large proportion of the noise emission values of the batch shall lie.  $L_c$  is expressed as an integer in decibels.

When used for checking the compliance of a batch of machines with the labelled value, this part ISO 7574 works on the principle that only a sample from the batch is measured. This principle is appropriate for mass-produced machines. This part of ISO 7574 considers the need to control machines which are too noisy compared with the labelled value; therefore, it applies to one-sided cases for checking an upper limit, not to two-sided cases which would also exclude machines which are too quiet. The principle is based on balancing risks which are expressed by a pair of values: a specified proportion,  $p_{1-\alpha}$ , of noise emission values of the batch exceeding the labelled value and a specified probability of rejection,  $\alpha$ , for a lot with this proportion  $p_{1-\alpha}$ .

Verifying compliance of the lot with the labelled value is based on the following assumptions:

a) that the noise emission values of the batch approximate to a normal distribution, characterized by the mean value  $\mu$ and the specified reference standard deviation  $\sigma_M$ ; and

b) that the rejection probability for a batch is equal to a specified value  $\alpha$  if the labelled value  $L_c$  is chosen so that the proportion of noise emission values of the batch exceeding  $L_c$  is equal to the specified value  $p_{1-a}$ .

Procedures in this part of ISO 7574 are based on  $\alpha = 5$  % and  $p_{1-\alpha} = 6.5$  %.

 $NOTE - The fixed value of 6.5 % was chosen in order$ 

to comply with the definition for  $L_c$ ;

to make sure that the difference between  $L_{\rm c}$  and the mean for the batch is reasonably limited; and

to achieve a common understanding, comparability and compatibility of different  $L_c$  values for different machines from different fam i l ies of mach ines .

If the batch and the labelled value,  $L_c$ , conform with these values, the sampling inspection procedures are set in such a way that the batch will be accepted with the probability of  $1 - \alpha = 95$  % and the mean value will be expected to lie approximately 1,5  $\sigma_M$  below the labelled value.

#### NOTES

1 If it is explicitly known that a stated value is not an upper value as  $L_c$  but represents a mean value (which is not in accordance with ISO 4871), the checking procedure might also be used by adding 1,5  $\sigma_{\rm M}$  to this mean value to obtain  $L_c$ .

2 Methods for estimating risk factors are given in annexes A and B; they may be replaced by repeated, simulated application of the checking procedure using actual measurement data, if the assumption that the noise emission values of the batch approximate to a normal distribution is uncertain. Normality tests will be described in a future International Standard. In ternational Standard .

3 The sampling inspection by variables for isolated batches of machines, as described in this part of ISO 7574, broadly conforms to ISO 3951 which is, however, designed for the inspection of batches from continuous production. ISO 3951 does not provide for double and sequential sampling inspection, and the operating characteristic curves do not intersect exactly at the producer's risk point; this is, however, the aim of this part of ISO 7574 with the view to establishing the mean ing of  $L_c$  unambiguously.

It should be noted that fixing  $\alpha$  and  $p_{1-\alpha}$  results in all operating characteristic curves (OCs) intersecting at the producer's risk point.

If the actual total standard deviation is different from the reference standard deviation  $\sigma_M$ , guidance for the labeller is given in clause 5 and annex B.

 $NOTE - In the application of this part of ISO 7574, it is assumed that$ all measurements will be performed by a testing laboratory which has appropriate test facilities and trained staff.

### 5 Guidelines for the determination of the labelled value,  $L_c$ , by the labeller

As the determination of the labelled value for a batch of machines is the sole responsibility of the labeller, this clause is given for guidance only to provide a predictable probability of acceptance.

exists [see, in particular,  $7$  c) to f)].  $L<sub>c</sub>$  can only be determined in accordance with this part of ISO 7574 if a specific labelling code in conformity with clause 7

A reasonably large number of measured values of individual machines,  $L_n^*$  are determined in accordance with the specific measurement test code for the specific family of machines. (The asterisk in the symbols is used here to differentiate between measurements in conformity with this clause and those in conformity with clause 6.)

The mean value,  $\overline{L}^*$ , and the total standard deviation,  $s_t^*$  are calculated from the measured values,  $L^*_h$  of the individual machines in a sample (see also clause B.2).

 $\overline{L}^*$  and  $s_t^*$  are estimates of the mean value  $\mu$  and the total standard deviation,  $\sigma_t$ , of the batch to be labelled.

In accordance with clause B.3, equation (16), the following equations will provide guidance for the labeller who wants to have a probability of acceptance,  $P_a$ , defined by himself:

$$
L_{\rm c} = \mu + \left(k + \frac{u_{P_{\rm a}}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\sigma_{\rm M} \qquad \qquad \text{for } \sigma_{\rm t} = \sigma_{\rm M} \qquad \dots (1)
$$

$$
L_{\rm c} = \mu + k\sigma_{\rm M} + \frac{u_{P_{\rm a}}}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma_{\rm t} \qquad \qquad \text{for } \sigma_{\rm t} \neq \sigma_{\rm M} \quad \dots (2)
$$

where

 $\mu$  is the mean value of the batch;

is the specified verification sample size for single sam- $\boldsymbol{n}$ pling inspection (6.2) or the equivalent single sample size in the case of double sampling inspection (see  $6.3$ ) or sequential sampling inspection (see 6.4);

is a function of  $n$ , in accordance with table 1;

 $u_{P_a}$  is the quantile of the normal distribution for the value  $P_a$ <sup>'</sup>(see table 7);

 $\sigma_M$  is the specified reference standard deviation for verification:

 $\sigma_t$  is the actual total standard deviation.

If the labeller accepts a risk of rejection of  $5\%$  (i.e. he wants to have a probability of acceptance,  $P_n$ , of 95 %), the above equations result in the following  $1$ ):

$$
L_{\rm c} = \mu + 1.5 \sigma_{\rm M} \qquad \qquad \text{for } \sigma_{\rm t} = \sigma_{\rm M} \qquad ... \text{ (3)}
$$

 $L_c = \mu + 1.5 \sigma_t + k(\sigma_M - \sigma_t)$  for  $\sigma_t \neq \sigma_M$  ... (4)

For examples, see clause 8.3.

NOTE - Testing may be necessary from time to time in order to ensure that the labelled value continues to be correct. Testing is also required whenever physical changes are made to the production machines that may affect their noise emissions.

### 6 Verifying the labelled value for a batch of 6 Veri fying the label led value for a batch o f

#### 6.1 General

Three equivalent procedures for verifying the labelled value of the batch are described in this part of ISO 7574: single sampling, double sampling and sequential sampling. The results obtained from any one of the three procedures will generally be the same if the assumption that the emission values are distributed approximately as a normal distribution is valid.

One and only one of the three procedures shall be chosen and specified in the labelling code for each specific family of machines (see clause 7). All three procedures require a specified reference standard deviation,  $\sigma_{\rm M}$ , for each specific family of machines.

The sample size,  $n$ , in the case of single sampling (or equivalent sample sizes  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  in the case of double sampling or equivalent maximum sample size  $n_{\text{max}}$  in the case of sequential sampling) shall also be specified for each specific family of machines (see annex A). In general, double or sequential sampling results in a somewhat smaller number of machines being tested.

 $NOTE - The reason for applying only one of the three procedures for a$ specific family of machines is that the procedures are only equivalent provided that the assumption of normality is absolutely valid.

The procedures outlined in  $6.2$  to  $6.4$  are applicable for reproducibility conditions (see 3.17 in ISO 7574/1), and for repeatability conditions (see 3.16 in ISO 7574/1). It shall be ascertained that no outstanding systematic error of measurement results is connected with relevant laboratories.

For each of the procedures outlined in 6.2 to 6.4, the measured values,  $L_i$ , shall be determined in accordance with the specific measurement test code for the specific family of machines [see clause 7 c) and d)]. The measured values shall not be rounded prior to statistical calculations.

#### 6.2 Single sampling inspection

In accordance with 6.1 and clause 7, n and  $\sigma_M$  have been specified for the relevant family of machines.

Take at random a sample of size  $n$  from the batch under consideration

The measured values are  $L_i$  ( $i = 1, ..., n$ ) and their mean value is is

$$
L = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i \qquad \qquad \dots (5)
$$

Determine the value

$$
A = L_{\rm c} - k \sigma_{\rm M} \tag{6}
$$

using the acceptability constant  $k$  calculated from the formula

4-i

$$
k = u_{1-p_{1-\alpha}} - \frac{u_{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{n}} \qquad \qquad \dots (7)
$$

where

$$
u_{1-p_{1-\alpha}} = 1,514
$$
  

$$
u_{1-\alpha} = 1,645
$$

are the quantiles of the standardized normal distribution for the values  $1 - p_{1 - \alpha} = 93.5$  % and  $1 - \alpha = 95$  % respectively. t ively.

Table 1 gives the values for  $k$  for different sample sizes  $n$ .

Table 1 - Acceptability constant k for different sample sizes  $n$ 

-0,131   0,351   0,564   0,692   0,778   0,842   0,892   0,932   0,966   0,994					

1) Use equation (1) or (2), by replacing  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  with  $\frac{1+\infty}{\sqrt{n}} = u_1 - v_1 - a - k$  [see equation (7)]

where  $u_{1-p_{1-\alpha}} \approx 1.5$ .

Make the decision on the acceptability of the labelled value using the following rules:

if  $\overline{L}$  < A, the labelled value is confirmed as verified for the batch: batch ;

if  $\bar{L} > A$ , the labelled value is not confirmed as verified for If  $\bar{L}_t < C$ , the labelled value is confirmed as verified for the batch.

#### Double sampling inspection  $6.3$

In accordance with 6.1 and clause 7,  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$  and  $\sigma_M$  have been specified for the relevant family of machines.

Take at random a sample of specified size  $n_1$  from the batch under consideration .

The measured values are  $L_i$  ( $i = 1, ..., n_1$ ) and their mean value is  $\overline{L}$ .

Determine the values

$$
A = L_{\rm c} - k_{\rm a} \sigma_{\rm M} \tag{8}
$$

$$
B = L_{\rm c} - k_{\rm r} \sigma_{\rm M} \tag{9}
$$

using the acceptability constants  $k_a$  and  $k_f$  given in table 2.

Table 2 - Acceptability constants  $k_a$ ,  $k_r$  and  $k_d$  for double sampling and equivalent sample sizes (single/double) Table 3 - Acceptability values a, b and r for sequential

		Equivalent single sampling			
$n_{1}$	n <sub>2</sub>	$\kappa_{\sf a}$		$k_{\rm d}$	n
		0.863	$-0.210$	0.191	2
	2	1,194	0.201	0,533	3
	3	2,834	0,235	0,632	4
2	3	1,649.	$-0,130$	0,774	5
2	4	1,553	0.228	0,848	6
3	4	1,750	0,057	0,892	
3	5	1,504	0,302	0,938	8
3	6	2,083	0,018	0,962	9

NOTE  $-$  The only double sampling plans given are those whose operating characteristic curves are nearest to the operating characteristic curves for the equivalent single sampling plans.

3 6 2,083 0,01 8 0,962 9 I

Make the decision on the acceptability of the labelled value using the following rules:

if  $\overline{L} \leq A$ , the labelled value is confirmed as verified for the hatch · <u>- - - - . .</u>

if  $\overline{L} > B$ , the labelled value is not confirmed as verified for the batch ;

if  $A \lt L \lt B$ , take a second sample of specified size  $n_2$ . The measurement values of both samples are  $L_i$  (i = 1, ...,  $n_1 + n_2$ ) and their total mean is  $\overline{L}_{\text{t}}$ .

Determine the value

$$
C = L_{\rm c} - k_{\rm d}\sigma_{\rm M} \tag{10}
$$

using  $k<sub>d</sub>$  from table 2.

the batch.

NOTE – See example in A.4.1.  $\overline{L}_t > C$ , the labelled value is not confirmed as verified for the batch.

 $NOTE - See example in A.4.2.$ 

#### 6.4 Sequential sampling inspection

In accordance with 6.1 and clause 7,  $n_{\text{max}}$  and  $\sigma_{\text{m}}$  have been specified for the relevant family of machines.

Start with the first item taken from the batch under considera-Start wi th the fi rst i tem taken from the batch under consideration. . . . . .

After each test, calculate the following summation from the  $n^*$ results  $L_i$  that have been obtained:

$$
S_{n^*} = \sum_{i=1}^{n^*} (L_i - b) \qquad \qquad \dots (11)
$$

where  $b$  is taken from the appropriate column in table 3.

sampling and equivalent sample sizes (single/sequential)

	Sequential sampling	Equivalent single sampling		
$n_{\sf max}$				n
3		$-$ 1,267 $\sigma_{\sf M}$ $\mid$ $L_{\sf c}$ – 0,351 $\sigma_{\sf M}$	1,267 $\sigma_{\mathsf{M}}$	
5		$-1,552 \sigma_M   L_c - 0.564 \sigma_M$	1,552 $\sigma_{\mathsf{M}}$	3
6		1,791 $\sigma_{\rm M}$ $\left  L_{\rm c} - 0.692 \sigma_{\rm M} \right $	1,791 $\sigma_{\rm M}$	4
8		2,000 $\sigma_{\rm M}$ $L_{\rm c}$ – 0,778 $\sigma_{\rm M}$	2,000 $\sigma_{\rm M}$	5
9	2,188 $\sigma_{\mathsf{M}}$	$L_c - 0.842 \sigma_M$	2,188 $\sigma_{\rm M}$	6
11		2,362 $\sigma_{\rm M}$ $L_{\rm c}$ – 0,892 $\sigma_{\rm M}$	2,362 $\sigma_M$	
12	2,524 $\sigma_{\mathsf{M}}$	$L_c - 0.932 \sigma_M$	2,524 $\sigma_{\mathsf{M}}$	8
14	2,680 $\sigma_{\rm M}$	$L_c - 0.966 \sigma_M$	2,680 $\sigma_{\rm M}$	9
15		2,823 $\sigma_{\rm M}$ $L_{\rm c}$ – 0,994 $\sigma_{\rm M}$	2,823 $\sigma_M$	10

 $NOTE - The only sequential plans given are those whose operating$ characteristic curves are nearest to the operating characteristic curves for the equivalent single sampling plans.

Make the decision (using  $a$  and  $r$  from table 3) on the acceptability of the labelled value using the following rules:

if  $S_{n^*} < a$ , the labelled value is confirmed as verified for the batch: batch ;

if  $S_{n^*}$  > r, the labelled value is not confirmed as verified for the batch ;

if  $a < S_{n^*} < r$ , take the next item at random from the batch and apply the rules again.

Stop the sampling if  $n^*$  equals the specified maximum sample Stop the sampl ing i f n \* equals the speci fied maximum sample size  $n_{\text{max}}$  by applying the following decisions<sup>1)</sup>:

 $\cdots$  . If it is constant as verified for a verified for  $\alpha$  as verified for  $\alpha$  as verified for  $\alpha$ 

if  $S_{nmax} > 0$ , the labelled value is not confirmed as verified for the batch.

NOTE - See example in A.4.3.

#### $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$ Information to be given in a specific  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  in a special to be given in a special to be given in a species in a species  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ labelling code for a specific family label la of machines

In order to draw up a document (specific labelling code) for verifying compliance of batches of a specific family of machines, consideration shall be given to the following: mach ines, cons ideration shal l be g iven to the fol lowing :

- economic aspects of the verification: economic aspects of the verification  $\mathbf{r}$
- relative magnitude of the consumer's risk ( $\beta$ ,  $p_{\beta}$ ,  $\Delta L$ , see clause A.3);
- measurement data variability.

error is ignored in the internal part of ISO 7574. I

The result of these considerations shall be given in the specific<br>labelling code for the specific family of machines in accordance label l ing code for the speci fic fam i ly of mach ines in accordance with the list which appears below. These labelling specifications should preferably be included as an annex to the specific<br>measurement test code for the specific family of machines. measu remen t test code for the speci fic fam i ly of mach ines.

The labelling specification shall include the following information:

a) a statement that the specific labelling code is based on this part of ISO 7574;

b) a definition of the family of machines to which this test code appl ies;

identification of the specific measurement test code for c) the specific family of machines;

the mounting, loading and operating conditions to be d) d ) the moun ting , load ing and operating cond i tions to be used during noise measurements, if the measurement test used du ring noise measu remen ts, i f the measuremen t test code specifies several options: code special code specifical options; and specifical options; and specifical options; and specifical options;

the sampling procedure to be used (single, double or e) sequential) and relevant sample size (n or  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  or  $n_{\text{max}}$ ) to be used when verifying conformity of a batch of a specific family;

 $f$ the reference standard deviation,  $\sigma_{\mathsf{M}}$ , to be used when verifying conformity of a batch of a specific family;

grade in a reference to the reference to operating characteristic curve (OC) in accordance with annex A which is determined by the information provided in e) above.

If a specific labelling code for the specific family of machines does not (yet) exist, yet the methods of this part of ISO 7574 are intended to be applied, the specifications listed above should be agreed upon (e.g. by contract). Thus  $\sigma_M$  might be agreed upon on the basis of the information on the actual total standard deviation,  $\sigma_t$ , for the relevant batches of machines, as provided by the manufacturer of these machines.

<sup>1)</sup> The truncation of the procedure which is made for practical reasons alters the operating characteristic curve in an undefined way. The truncation error is ignored in this part of ISO 7574.

## **Annex A**

## Operating characteristic curves and examples of single, double and sequential sampling

(This annex forms an integral part of the standard.)

### A.1 General

This annex provides information on the meaning of operating characteristic curves and gives guidelines for the use of the characteristic cu rves and g ives gu idel ines for the use of the operating characteristic curves for selecting the appropriate operating characteristic curve for selections for selections for selections for selections  $\mathbf{v}$ sample size when specifying a sampling plan in a specific labelling code for a specific family of machines. l ing code for a speci fic fam i ly of mach ines.

### A.2 Operating characteristic curves (OCs)

The values for  $k$  given in table 1 are based on a producer's risk of  $\alpha = 5$  %. When the proportion of noise emission values of of a set of noise emission values of noise emission values of noise the batch exceeding the label led value  $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{n}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{r}_3$ 

the probability that a batch has been wrongly rejected is therefore 5 % [producer's risk point on the operating therefore 5  $\sim$  5  $\mu$  producers risk for the second characteristic curve (OC)]. As, in addition to the fixed producer's risk point  $(p_{1 - \alpha}, 1 - \alpha)$ , the sample size *n* is specified in a specific labelling code for each specific family of machines, the relevant OC curve is therefore fixed. An OC curve shows the probability of acceptance  $P_a$  of the batch as a function of the proportion  $p$  of the noise emission values of the batch exceeding the labelled value. The OC curves for different specified sample sizes,  $n$ , are given in figure 1.

NOTE  $-$  The curves in figure 1 are derived from

$$
u_{P_a} = (u_{1-p} - k) \sqrt{n}
$$

which is the general form of equation  $(7)$  given in 6.2.



Proportion p of noise emission values of the lot exceeding the labelled value,  $L_c$ exceed ing the label led value, L,



On the basis of the operating characteristic curves (derived for single sampling inspection), the equivalent double sampling and sequential sampling plans, in accordance with 6.3 and 6.4, were constructed. These OC curves are substantially similar to the OC curves for the single sampling.

### A.3 Guidelines for the selection of an appropriate sample size

In conformity with clauses 6 and 7, the sample size  $n$  (together with  $\sigma_M$ ) shall be specified for each specific family of machines in a specific labelling code by those drawing up the labelling code. When deciding how to select  $n$ , it may help to calculate an assumed labelled value  $L_c' = L_c - \Delta L$  with such a high proportion  $p<sub>\beta</sub>$  of noise emission values exceeding  $L<sub>c</sub>$ ' that acceptance would only be possible with a very low probability of only 10 % ( $\beta$  = 10 % consumer's risk) instead of 95 % connected with the value  $L_c$  (producer's risk  $\alpha = 5$ %). The lower  $\Delta L$  is, the larger is the sample size n and the smaller is the proportion  $p<sub>\beta</sub>$  of noise emission values exceeding  $L<sub>c</sub>'$  at the consumer's risk point (for the value of  $u$ , see table 7).

$$
\Delta L = L_{\rm c} - L_{\rm c}' = (u_{1 - \alpha} - u_{\beta}) \frac{\sigma_{\rm M}}{\sqrt{n}} \qquad \qquad \dots (12)
$$
\nThe following specific family  
\n
$$
= (1,645 + 1,282) \frac{\sigma_{\rm M}}{\sqrt{n}} \approx 2,93 \frac{\sigma_{\rm M}}{\sqrt{n}} \qquad \qquad \sigma_{\rm M} = 2 \text{ d}.
$$

For a given  $\Delta L$ , the sample size n is established after a rounding up to the next integer  $L_{\rm c} = 87 \text{ dB}$ 

$$
n = \left(\frac{2.93 \sigma_{\rm M}}{\Delta L}\right)^2 \qquad \qquad \dots (13)
$$

Figure 2 gives this relation in graph form.  $A = L_c - k \sigma_M = 87 - (0.564 \times 2) = 85.9 \text{ dB}$ 

The operating characteristic curve is now fixed by  $n$  (see figure 1).

**Example** 

Given

$$
\sigma_{\mathsf{M}} = 2 \, \mathsf{dB}
$$

$$
\Delta L = 3 \, \mathsf{dB}
$$

Then

$$
n = \left(\frac{2,93 \times 2}{3}\right)^2 = 3,83 \approx 4
$$

### $\mathcal{L}$  . The finite order of  $\mathcal{L}$  is a single singlet of the single and  $\mathcal{L}$ sequential sampling inspection

### A.4.1 Example of single sampling inspection (see 6.2)

The following specifications are laid down for batches of a specific family of machines:

$$
\sigma_{\mathbf{M}} = 2 \text{ dB}
$$
  

$$
n = 3
$$
  
Labelled value is *l*  
From table 1:

$$
k = 0.564
$$
  

$$
A = I_{1} - k \sigma_{11} = 87 - 10.564 \times 21 - 85.9 \text{ dB}
$$



Figure 2 – Relation between  $\frac{\Delta L}{\sigma_{\mathsf{M}}}$  and sample size n

 $L_1 = 84.6$  dB  $L_2 = 85,4$  dB  $L_3 = 87,0$  dB  $\overline{L}$  = 85,67 dB  $\overline{L}$  < A

The labelled value is confirmed as verified for the batch.

#### A.4.2 Example of double sampling inspection (see 6.3)

The following specifications are laid down for a batch from a specific family of machines:

 $\sigma_{\mathsf{M}} = 2$  dB  $n_1 = 2$  $n_2 = 3 (n = 5)$ 

Labelled value is  $L_c = 87$  dB

From table 2:

 $k_a = 1,649$  $k_r = -0.130$  $k_d = 0.774$  $A = L_c - k_a \sigma_M = 87 - (1,649 \times 2) = 83,702$  dB  $B = L_c - k_r \sigma_M = 87 + (0.130 \times 2) = 87,26 \text{ dB}$ 

Measured values for the first sample  $(n_1 = 2)$ 

 $L_1 = 85.3$  dB  $L_2 = 86,7$  dB  $\overline{L}$  = 86.0 dB is a contract of the state  $\mathbf{r}$  $A < \overline{L} < B$ 

Take a second sample of size  $n_2 = 3$ .

Measu red values Measu red values for the second sample

$$
L_3 = 84,4 \text{ dB}
$$
  
\n
$$
L_4 = 88,0 \text{ dB}
$$
  
\n
$$
L_5 = 83,6 \text{ dB}
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{L}_t = \frac{1}{n_1 + n_2} \sum_i L_i = 85,6 \text{ dB}
$$
  
\n
$$
C = L_c - k_d \sigma_M = 87 - (0,774 \times 2) = 85,452 \text{ dB}
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{L}_t > C
$$

The labelled value is not confirmed as verified for the batch.

#### $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  . The sequence of sequence of sequence of sequence in  $(see 6.4)$

The following specifications are laid down for a batch from a specific family of machines:

$$
\sigma_{\mathsf{M}} = 2 \text{ dB}
$$
  

$$
n_{\text{max}} = 5 (n = 3)
$$

Labelled value is  $L_c = 87$  dB ( $L_{WA} = 87$  dB)

 $3)$ 

From table 3:

$$
a = -1,552 \times \sigma_M = -3,104 \text{ dB}
$$
  
\n $b = L_c - 0,564 \times \sigma_M = 85,87 \text{ dB}$   
\n $r = -1,552 \times \sigma_M = 3,104 \text{ dB}$ 

First measured value  $(n^* = 1)$ 

$$
L_1 = 83.0 \text{ dB}
$$
  

$$
S_{n^*} = 83.0 - 85.87 = -2.87 \text{ dB}
$$

Decision: continue since  $\alpha < S_{n^*} < r$ 

Second measured value  $(n^* = 2)$ 

 $L_2 = 85.0 \text{ dB}$  $\blacksquare$  $S_{n^*} = (83.0 - 85.87) + (85.0 - 85.87) = -3.74$  dB

Decision: since  $S_n \le a$ , the labelled value is confirmed as verified for the batch.

## **Annex B**

### Guidelines for estimating standard deviations and for the use of operating characteristic curves characterist in the control of the control of the control of the cut of the cut of the cut of the control of the cont

(This annex does not form part of the standard.)

### **B.1** General

Acoustic labelling of products usually needs extensive preliminary investigation of the parameters which potentially influence the labelled value.

Acoustic measurements are generally expensive. Therefore, the sample size for compliance testing should be as small as possible. This part of ISO 7574 enables small sample sizes to be used.

Small sample sizes make statistical sense only if the standard deviation of the population is known. For many reasons this may not be available. It is therefore necessary for the standard deviation to be otherwise specified before the procedures in this part of ISO 7574 can be used. It should be noted that the value  $\sigma_M$  takes into account the dispersion of the measurement values caused by both the errors inherent in the specific measurement method (see standard deviation of reproducibility) and the unavoidable differences of the noise emission of the different machines in the batch (see standard deviation of production).

The more measured values from different machines that are available to a manufacturer, the better he can estimate the mean,  $\mu$ , and the total standard deviation,  $\sigma_t$ , of the noise emission values of his products. He is able, therefore, to compare his values with the specified value  $\sigma_M$ . He should, however, remember that  $\sigma_M$  can be influenced significantly by the standard deviation of reproducibility. If the actual total standard deviation,  $\sigma_t$ , differs from  $\sigma_M$ , the consequences that ensue are discussed in clauses 5 and B.3.

The sample sizes for inspection by variables are smaller than for inspection by attributes, because measurement values contain more information than the yes/no-decisions obtained when testing by attributes. To enable small samples to be used this part of ISO 7574 therefore adopts inspection by variables. therefore adopts inspection by variables.

### B.2 Example of the estimation of the standard deviation of reproducibility, the standard deviation of production and the total standard deviation in order to specify the reference standard deviation of the family of machines under consideration

To illustrate the use of the different standard deviations, the following practical example is given.

#### B.2.1 Determination of the standard deviation of reproducibility

If given, the standard deviation of reproducibility given in the specific test code for the family of machines being considered shall be used. If not, the standard deviation which may be given in the basic measurement test code (see ISO 3741, ISO 3742, ISO 3743, ISO 3744 and ISO 3745) can be used. If these are not available or acceptable, the following method for the determination of the stan-IS0 3745) can be used . I f these are not available or acceptable or acceptable or the determination of the stan -

Measurements on one machine are to be carried out in accordance with the specific measurement test code in four different laboratories under repeatability conditions (two determinations within each laboratory) and under reproducibility conditions (two<br>determinations in each of four laboratories).

Example Example

(See 14.8 in ISO 5725.)



#### Table 4 - Measures , Li, and original data in the second contract of the second cont

In table 4

- $w_i$  is the absolute value of the difference between the first and second determinations;
- $\bar{y}_i$  is the mean value of the first and second determinations.





The standard deviation of reproducibility is  $s_R = 1$  dB.

#### In table 5

- $s<sub>r</sub>$  is the standard deviation of repeatability;
- $s_L$  is the inter-laboratory standard deviation.

### B.2.2 Determination of the standard deviation of production

Measurements of seven machines from one batch are carried out in accordance with the specific measurement test code in one laboratory under conditions as identical as possible (repeatability conditions).

NOTE - Each value given in table 6 is a mean value for the same machine from measurements made under repeatability conditions.

Example



### Table 6 - Measured values

According to 3.18 in ISO 7574/4, the standard deviation of production for these data given in table 6 is  $s_p = 1.1$  dB.

#### B.2.3 Determination of the overall standard deviation

The overall standard deviation,  $s_t$ , is given by

$$
s_{\rm t} = \sqrt{s_{\rm R}^2 + s_{\rm p}^2}
$$

For the data given in B.2.1 and B.2.2,  $s_t$  is approximately 1,5 dB. After further investigation on many products in the family of machines, a reference standard deviation,  $\sigma_M$ , could be specified, for example  $\sigma_M = 2$  dB.

 $... (14)$ 

#### Example of the use of the operating characteristic curves (OCs) when labelling (see figure 3)  $B.3$

The distribution function of the noise emission values of three batches of vacuum cleaners from different manufacturers may be given as cumulative frequency curves on probability paper. The mean noise emission value of all three batches may be identical (u = 84 dB), while the total standard deviations  $\sigma_t$  may have the three different values: 1 dB, 2 dB and 4 dB. The specified reference standard deviation is  $\sigma_{\text{M}} = 2$  dB.

Let the specified sample size for the inspection of batches taken from this family of machines be  $n = 3/\Delta L \approx 3.4$  dB, in accordance with clause A.3). The operating characteristic curve (b) (taken from figure 1, for  $n = 3$ ) therefore applies. This operating characteristic curve is only valid for  $\sigma_t = \sigma_M$ .

From the probability paper, the relevant sound power levels for the different proportions  $p$  are entered as abcissae in figure 3 for the operating characteristic curves.

The operating characteristic curve in the case where the total standard deviation,  $\sigma_t$ , is known from the manufacturer, but is not the same as the specified reference standard deviation  $\sigma_M$  ( $\sigma_t \neq \sigma_M$ ), can be computed by the following equation:

$$
u_{P_a} = (u_{1-p} \sigma_t - k \sigma_M) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma_t} \tag{15}
$$

where  $P_a$  is computed for different p values [all other parameters are either known or specified:  $\sigma_t$ ,  $\sigma_M = 2$  dB,  $n = 3$ ,  $k = 0.564$ (see table 1)] using the quantiles,  $u$ , of the standardized normal distribution given in table 7.





A manufacturer who has a known mean,  $\mu$ , and a known standard deviation,  $\sigma_i$ , which is not the same as the specified reference standard deviation  $\sigma_M$  ( $\sigma_t \neq \sigma_M$ ), and who wants to run a risk which may deviate from the specified value  $\alpha = 5$  %, will label his product as follows:

$$
L_{\rm c} = \mu + k \sigma_{\rm M} + \frac{u_{P_{\rm a}}}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma_{\rm t} \tag{16}
$$

where  $L_c$  is given as a function of  $P_a$  ( $u_{P_a}$  from table 7), and where all the other parameters are either known or specified:

 $\mu, \sigma_{\rm t}, n = 3, k = 0.564$  (see table 1),  $\sigma_{\rm M} = 2$  dB

NOTE - Equation (15) is derived from the following three equations, equation (16) is derived from the first and the third of the following equations:

 $A = L_c - k \sigma_M$  (test criterion in accordance with 6.2)

$$
L_{\rm c} = \mu + u_{1-p} \sigma_{\rm t}
$$

 $\mu + - + \sigma_t = A$ 

The three different cases can now be compared.

i) Case  $\overline{(\mathbf{b})}$  :  $\sigma_{\mathbf{r}} = \sigma_{\mathbf{M}} = 2$  dB

The manu factu rer of the batch @ has a total standard deviation crt wh ich is equal to the speci fied reference standard deviation or, ,+ The appropriate OC cu rve is cu rve @ . When label l ing wi th L, = 67 dB [see equation (21 <sup>1</sup> , the acceptance probabi l i ty, P, , is equal to the speci fied value 1 - a = 95 %.

ii) Case  $\left(\overline{a}\right) : \sigma_t < \sigma_M \left(\sigma_t = 1 \text{ dB}\right)$ 

The manufacturer of batch  $\overline{a}$  has a total standard deviation ( $\sigma_t$  = 1 dB) which is lower than the reference standard deviation  $\sigma_{\mathsf{M}} = 2 \text{ dB}.$ 

From equation (15), the appropriate OC curve (curve  $\binom{a}{b}$ ) is derived. In accordance with equation (16), he should label his product with

$$
L_{\rm c} = 84 + 0.563 \, 4 \times 2 + \frac{1,645}{\sqrt{3}} \times 1
$$

$$
= 86,08 \approx 86 \, \text{dB}
$$

if he accepts a risk of  $1-P_a$  of  $\alpha=5$  %.

iii) Case (c) : 
$$
\sigma_t > \sigma_M (\sigma_t = 4 \text{ dB})
$$

The manufacturer of batch  $\widehat{c}$  has a higher total standard deviation ( $\sigma_t = 4$  dB).

From equation (1), the appropriate OC curve (curve  $(C)$ ) is derived. In accordance with equation (2), he should label his product with

$$
L_c = 84 + 0.564 \times 2 + \frac{1.645}{\sqrt{3}} \times 4
$$
  
= 88.93 \approx 89 dB

if he accepts a risk of  $1-P_a$  of  $\alpha = 5$  %.

The operating characteristic curves can also be used to check the effect of

- a constant labelled value  $L_c$  on the probabilities of acceptance and/or the proportions p of noise emission values of the batch exceeding the labelled value, or

 $-$  a constant proportion  $p$  or mose emission values of the batch exceeding the labelled value on the probabilities of acceptance and/or the labelled values.



Figure 3 – Change of the operating characteristic curve for  $\sigma_t \neq \sigma_M$ 

## Annex C

## List of symbols

(This annex forms an integral part of the standard.)



## **Bibliography**

STURHAN, J. Doppelstichprobenpläne für messende Prüfung, Dissertation, RWTH Aachen, 1976.

WALD, A. Sequential Analysis, Wiley, New York, 1959.

WILRICH, P.-Th. Berechnung eines äquivalenten sequentiellen Stichprobenplans zu einem gegebenen Einfach-Stichprobenplan für Variablenprüfung, FB 10, WE 1, Freie Universität Berlin, 1980.