

First edition
1999-02-15

AMENDMENT 1
2003-07-01

**Microbiology of food and animal feeding
stuffs — Horizontal method for the
enumeration of coagulase-positive
staphylococci (*Staphylococcus aureus*
and other species) —**

Part 2:

**Technique using rabbit plasma fibrinogen
agar medium**

AMENDMENT 1: Inclusion of precision data

*Microbiologie des aliments — Méthode horizontale pour le
dénombrement des staphylocoques à coagulase positive
(*Staphylococcus aureus* et autres espèces) —*

*Partie 2: Technique utilisant le milieu gélosé au plasma de lapin et au
fibrinogène*

AMENDEMENT 1: Inclusion des données de fidélité



Reference number
ISO 6888-2:1999/Amd.1:2003(E)

© ISO 2003

PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

© ISO 2003

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Amendment 1 to ISO 6888-2:1999 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 34, *Food products*, Subcommittee SC 9, *Microbiology*.

Copyright International Organization for Standardization

Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs — Horizontal method for the enumeration of coagulase-positive staphylococci (*Staphylococcus aureus* and other species) —

Part 2: Technique using rabbit plasma fibrinogen agar medium

AMENDMENT 1: Inclusion of precision data

Page iv

Introduction, Subclause 0.2

Replace part of the second paragraph by the following text.

“Both parts of ISO 6888 are given equivalent status. Nevertheless, it is recommended to use the procedure described in ISO 6888-2 for the foods (such as cheeses made from raw milk and certain raw meat products) likely to be contaminated by:”

Page 6

Replace Clause 11 by the following text.

11 Precision

11.1 General

The precision of quantitative methods can be expressed in terms of repeatability and reproducibility, as defined in ISO 5725-2. However, the method of calculation used in ISO 5725-2, based on the mean, is not always appropriate for microbiological analyses, which do not always show a normal (Gaussian) distribution. Therefore ISO 16140, which has been especially developed for microbiological methods and which uses robust estimators for calculating repeatability and reproducibility, has been followed. These statistics have the advantage of being less sensitive to extreme values, thus permitting outliers by statistical tests to be retained. These estimators are therefore used in this part of ISO 6888.

Details of an interlaboratory test on the precision of the method are summarized in Annex A. The values derived from this interlaboratory test may not be applicable to concentration ranges and matrices other than those given. Precision data were determined using three types of food contaminated at various levels and for reference materials. Factors such as the strain considered, the competitive flora and the physiological status of target and competitors have an influence on the precision values.

11.2 Repeatability

11.2.1 Repeatability limit

The absolute difference between two single (\log_{10} -transformed) test results (number of coagulase-positive staphylococci per gram or per millilitre) or the ratio of the higher to the lower of the two test results on the normal scale, obtained using the same method on identical test material by the same operator using the same apparatus within the shortest feasible time interval, will in not more than 5 % of cases be greater than the repeatability limit (r).

11.2.2 Overall values

As a general indication of the repeatability limit (r), the following values can be used when testing food samples in general. These values of r are general means for all matrices considered:

$r = 0,22$ (expressed as an absolute difference between \log_{10} -transformed test results), or

$r = 1,7$ (expressed as a ratio of the higher to the lower of the two test results on the normal scale).

For reference materials (capsules containing approximately 5 000 CFU, see Annex A), the following values can be used:

$r = 0,17$ (expressed as an absolute difference between \log_{10} -transformed test results), or

$r = 1,5$ (expressed as a ratio of the higher to the lower of the two test results on the normal scale).

EXAMPLE A first test result of 10 000 or $1,0 \times 10^4$ of coagulase-positive staphylococci per gram of food was observed. Under repeatability conditions, the ratio of the higher to the lower test result should not be greater than 1,7. Therefore the second result should be between 5 882 ($= 10\,000/1,7$) and 17 000 ($10\,000 \times 1,7$) coagulase-positive staphylococci per gram.

11.3 Reproducibility

11.3.1 Reproducibility limit

The absolute difference between two single (\log_{10} -transformed) test results (number of coagulase-positive staphylococci per gram or per millilitre) or the ratio of the higher to the lower of the two test results on the normal scale, obtained using the same method on identical test material in different laboratories with different operators using different apparatus, will in not more than 5 % of cases be greater than the reproducibility limit (R).

11.3.2 Overall values

As a general indication of the reproducibility limit (R), the following values can be used when testing food samples in general. These values of R are general means for all matrices considered:

$R = 0,33$ (expressed as a difference between \log_{10} -transformed test results), or

$R = 2,2$ (expressed as a ratio of the higher to the lower of the two test results on the normal scale).

For reference materials (capsules containing approximately 5 000 CFU, see Annex A), the following values can be used:

$R = 0,31$ (expressed as a difference between \log_{10} -transformed test results), or

$R = 2,0$ (expressed as a ratio of the higher to the lower of the two test results on the normal scale).

EXAMPLE 1 A test result of $1,0 \times 10^4$ coagulase-positive staphylococci per gram of food product was obtained by a first laboratory. Under reproducibility conditions, the ratio of test results of the first and second laboratory should not be greater than 2,2. Therefore the result of the second laboratory should be between $4,5 \times 10^3$ ($= 1,0 \times 10^4/2,2$) and $2,2 \times 10^4$ ($= 1,0 \times 10^4 \times 2,2$) coagulase-positive staphylococci per gram.

EXAMPLE 2 A laboratory wants to know the maximum level it may find that is still in compliance with a pre-set limit (for example a limit of 10^5 or 5 in \log_{10}). For this the R value (on the log scale) has to be multiplied by a factor of 0,59. This value is 0,19 ($0,33 \times 0,59$) as a difference between \log_{10} -transformed test results or $10^{0,19}$ as a ratio between test results. Therefore results up to $\log_{10} 5,19$ ($\log_{10} 5 + \log_{10} 0,19$) or $1,55 \times 10^5$ do not indicate non-compliance with the limit. The factor 0,59 reflects the fact that a test with a one-sided 95 % interval is used to test whether the limit is exceeded. The factor 0,59 is obtained from the following formula:

$$0,59 = \frac{1,64}{1,96 \times \sqrt{2}}$$

Page 6

Add the following Annex A after Clause 12.

.....

Annex A (informative)

Results of the interlaboratory study

An international interlaboratory study was organized by the Laboratory for Study and Research on Hygiene and Quality of Food (LERHQA) of the French Food Safety Agency (AFSSA) in 1999, in the frame of the European project SMT CT 96 2098 (see reference [7]). This study involved 24 laboratories in 16 countries in Europe and was carried out on cheese, meat, egg powder and a reference material. The food samples were each tested at three different levels of contamination with coagulase-positive *Staphylococcus*, plus a negative control.

The precision data derived from this interlaboratory study are shown with respect to each sample type in Tables A.1 to A.4. They have been calculated using robust statistics, as described in ISO 16140. Data obtained by some laboratories have been excluded from the calculations when it was known that they deviated from the specified study protocol.

Table A.1 — Results of data analysis obtained with cheese samples

Sample/level of contamination	Cheese low level	Cheese medium level	Cheese high level
Number of laboratories having returned results	19	19	19
Number of samples per laboratory	2	2	2
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers	18	18	18
Number of accepted samples	36	36	36
Median value (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	3,25	5,03	6,00
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,09	0,04	0,06
Repeatability relative standard deviation (%)	2,74	0,88	0,98
Repeatability limit (r), as difference on log ₁₀ scale (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,25	0,13	0,17
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,09	0,12	0,11
Reproducibility relative standard deviation (%)	2,94	2,33	1,91
Reproducibility limit (R), as difference on log ₁₀ scale (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,27	0,33	0,32

Table A.2 — Results of data analysis obtained with meat samples

Sample/level of contamination	Meat low level	Meat medium level	Meat high level
Number of laboratories having returned results	20	20	20
Number of samples per laboratory	2	2	2
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers	17	17	17
Number of accepted samples	34	34	34
Median value (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	3,16	4,13	6,08
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,09	0,07	0,07
Repeatability relative standard deviation (%)	2,85	1,79	1,22
Repeatability limit (r), as difference on log ₁₀ scale (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,25	0,21	0,21
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,11	0,10	0,12
Reproducibility relative standard deviation (%)	3,66	2,38	1,96
Reproducibility limit (R), as difference on log ₁₀ scale (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,32	0,28	0,33

Table A.3 — Results of data analysis obtained with egg powder samples

Sample/level of contamination	Egg powder low level	Egg powder medium level	Egg powder high level
Number of laboratories having returned results	20	20	20
Number of samples per laboratory	2	2	2
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers	19	19	19
Number of accepted samples	38	38	38
Median value (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	3,25	4,20	5,32
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,12	0,06	0,09
Repeatability relative standard deviation (%)	3,66	1,41	1,68
Repeatability limit (r), as difference on log ₁₀ scale (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,33	0,17	0,25
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,14	0,11	0,14
Reproducibility relative standard deviation (%)	4,20	2,74	2,64
Reproducibility limit (R), as difference on log ₁₀ scale (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,38	0,32	0,39

Table A.4 — Results of data analysis obtained with reference materials

Sample/level of contamination	Reference material ^a (capsules containing around 5 000 CFU)
Number of laboratories having returned results	20
Number of samples per laboratory	2
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers	19
Number of accepted samples	38
Median value (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	3,85
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,06
Repeatability relative standard deviation (%)	1,52
Repeatability limit (r), as difference on log ₁₀ scale (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,17
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,11
Reproducibility relative standard deviation (%)	2,81
Reproducibility limit (R), as difference on log ₁₀ scale (in log ₁₀ CFU/g)	0,31
^a Reference material was prepared by RIVM, Netherlands.	

Page 7

Add the following to the Bibliography.

- [5] ISO 5725-2, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results — Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method*
- [6] ISO 16140, *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs — Protocol for the validation of alternative methods*
- [7] DE BUYSER, M.L., LOMBARD, B., SHULTEN, S.M., IN'T VELD, P.H., SCOTTER, S.L., ROLLIER, R., LAHELLEC, C. Validation of EN ISO standard methods 6888 part 1 and part 2:1999, Enumeration of coagulase-positive staphylococci in foods. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, **83**(2), 2003, pp. 185-194

ICS 07.100.30

Price based on 6 pages