PD IEC/TR 62921:2016

BSI Standards Publication

Quantification methodology for greenhouse gas emissions for computers and monitors

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of IEC/TR 62921:2016. It supersedes PD IEC/TR 62921:2015 which is withdrawn.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee EPL/100, Audio, video and multimedia systems and equipment.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

Published by BSI Standards Limited 2016

ISBN 978 0 580 92418 7
ICS 13.020.20; 35.160

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 Octobر 2016.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected

IEC TR 62921

Edition 2.0 2016-10

TECHNICAL REPORT

colour inside

Quantification methodology for greenhouse gas emissions for computers and monitors

INTERNATIONAL
ELECTROTECHNICAL
COMMISSION

ICS 13.020.20; 35.160

ISBN 978-2-8322-3647-5

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

CONTENTS

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

QUANTIFICATION METHODOLOGY FOR GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS FOR COMPUTERS AND MONITORS

FOREWORD

The main task of IEC technical committees is to prepare International Standards. However, a technical committee may propose the publication of a technical report when it has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard, for example "state of the art".

IEC TR 62921, which is a Technical Report, has been prepared by technical area 13: Environment for AV and multimedia equipment, of IEC technical committee 100: Audio, video and multimedia systems and equipment.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition published in 2015.

The text of this Technical Report is based on the following documents:

Enquiry draft	Report on voting
100/2598/DTR	100/2717/RVC

Full information on the voting for the approval of this technical report can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

A bilingual version of this publication may be issued at a later date.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

INTRODUCTION

Many organizations are looking to adopt product greenhouse gas emissions reporting mechanisms, including:

- computer and monitor manufacturers, as well as their suppliers and downstream users;
- governmental agencies including France, China, Japan, Korea and the European Commission;
- retailers and non-regulatory agencies.

There have been several international and regional efforts to provide guidance for calculating product greenhouse gas emissions. Some of these efforts include IEC TR 62725, ITU-T L.1410, ETSI TS 103 199, and Greenhouse Gas Protocol ICT Sector Supplement.

Unfortunately, some lack of specificity within these documents allows for variability that can create a significant difference in product greenhouse gas emission results, depending on how a practitioner interprets the information. Throughout the process of developing IEC TR 62725, there was significant discussion regarding the need for further specificity, transparency and pragmatism in methodology guidance for products covered under IEC TC 100, including computers and monitors. There is an urgent need to enable methodologies that offer accurate and defensible estimates of impact in a rapid and effective manner. This Technical Report aims to fill in some of those gaps.

This Technical Report builds upon the structure laid out by IEC TR 62725. Its goal is to support universal streamlined product greenhouse gas methodologies for practitioners, with a further goal of harmonizing the various regional efforts currently in progress.

This Technical Report's quantification methodology aims to be compliant with, and therefore be used within, a number of these broader standards efforts. It will provide detailed guidance for estimating greenhouse gas emissions for computers and monitors, in order to obtain consistent, accurate results. The benefit of consistent results is that they can assist multiple efforts, including but not limited to:

- supporting customer enquiries;
- instituting sustainable design practices;
- initiating conversations around emissions reduction strategies with suppliers and downstream users;
- targeting data collection within the supply chain in order to address data quality issues.

QUANTIFICATION METHODOLOGY FOR GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS FOR COMPUTERS AND MONITORS

1 Scope

This Technical Report outlines detailed guidance to streamline the quantification of greenhouse gas emissions for computers and monitors. Other audio, video and multimedia products, such as e-readers, phones, and storage equipment, can be included in future revisions of this Technical Report.

For this Technical Report, computers and monitors include notebooks, desktops, integrated desktop computers, tablets, thin clients, workstations and monitors.

This Technical Report provides specific guidance for the use of streamlining techniques that minimize cost and resources needed to complete greenhouse gas emissions quantifications. In addition, the product category rules (PCR) section of this Technical Report recommends "state-of-the-art" process and data assumptions in order to reduce uncertainty. Lastly, this Technical Report provides an example of how a calculation could be performed.

2 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

2.1
carbon footprint of a product
CFP
sum of greenhouse gas emissions and removals in a product system, expressed as CO_2 equivalents and based on a life cycle assessment using the single impact category of climate change

Note 1 to entry: The CO_2 equivalent of a specific amount of a greenhouse gas is calculated as the mass of a given greenhouse gas multiplied by its global warming potential.

Note 2 to entry: Results of the quantification of the CFP are documented in the CFP study report expressed in mass of CO_2e per functional unit.

[SOURCE: ISO/TS 14067:2013, 3.1.1.1, modified – Notes 2 and 3 have been removed and Note 4 has been renumbered as Note 2.]

2.2
comprehensive carbon footprint of a product
carbon footprint of a product (2.1) that is product-specific and includes the carbon impacts for every component and process in that product's life cycle

2.3
computer
device which performs logical operations and processes data

Note 1 to entry: Computers are composed of, at a minimum:

a) a central processing unit (CPU) to perform operations;

b) user input devices such as a keyboard, mouse, digitizer or game controller; and

c) a computer display screen to output information.

[SOURCE: ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements for Computers]

2.4
desktop
computer whose main unit is designed to be located in a permanent location, often on a desk or on the floor

Note 1 to entry: Desktops are not designed for portability and are designed for use with an external monitor, keyboard, and mouse. Desktops are intended for a broad range of home and office applications, including point of sale applications.

[SOURCE: ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements for Computers]

2.5
greenhouse gas emissions
GHG emissions
total mass of greenhouse gases released to the atmosphere over a specified period of time

[SOURCE: ISO 14064-1:2006, 2.5, modified – Use of the plural in the terms.]

2.6
integrated desktop computer
computer in which the computing hardware and monitor are integrated into a single housing, and which is connected to a.c. mains power through a single cable

Note 1 to entry: Integrated Desktop Computers come in one of two possible forms:

a) a system where the monitor and computer are physically combined into a single unit; or

b) a system packaged as a single system where the monitor is separate but is connected to the main chassis by a d.c. power cord and both the computer and monitor are powered from a single power supply.

As a subset of desktops, integrated desktop computers are typically designed to provide similar functionality as desktops.

[SOURCE: ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements for Computers]

2.7
monitor
product with a display screen and associated electronics, often encased in a single housing, that as its primary function produces visual information from a computer, workstation, or server

Note 1 to entry: Visual information input can be received via one or more inputs (e.g., VGA, DVI, HDMI, DisplayPort, IEEE 13994, USB), external storage (e.g. USSB flash drive, memory card), or a network connection.

[SOURCE: ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements for Displays]

2.8
notebook computer
computer designed specifically for portability and to be operated for extended periods of time both with and without a direct connection to AC mains power source

Note 1 to entry: Notebooks include an integrated monitor, a non-detachable, mechanical keyboard (using physical, moveable keys) and pointing devices.

[SOURCE: ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements for Computers]

2.9
primary data
data collected from specific processes in the studied product’s life cycle

[SOURCE: GHG Protocol Product standard:2011]

2.10
primary aggregated data
data that are collected directly from suppliers or industry associations on a product type (not specific product) and aggregated

Note 1 to entry: This is an approach in which single components can be sourced from multiple suppliers each with multiple facilities and multiple downstream suppliers. Primary data for every item is impossible.

2.11
product category rules
PCR
set of specific rules, requirements and guidelines for quantification and communication on the carbon footprint of a product for a specific product category

[SOURCE: ISO TS 14067:2013, 3.1.4.12, modified — “for developing Type III environmental declarations for one or more product categories” has been replaced by "for quantification and communication on the carbon footprint of a product for a specific product category".]

2.12
secondary data
process data that are not from specific processes in the studied product’s life cycle

[SOURCE: GHG Protocol Product standard:2011]

2.13
state-of-the-art
<data and processes> developed stage of technical capability at a given time as regards products, processes and services, based on the relevant consolidated findings of science, technology and experience

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC Guide 2:2004, 1.4, modified — The domain “<data and processes>” has been added before the definition.]

2.14
streamlined carbon footprint of a product
carbon footprint of a product (2.1) that involves some level of simplification compared to a comprehensive carbon footprint

Note 1 to entry: Typical approaches to streamlining a product carbon footprint calculation consist of simplifying data collection and/or reducing the number of data inputs required.

2.15
slate
tablet
computer designed for portability that meets all of the following criteria:

a) includes an integrated display with a diagonal size greater than 1 650 mm (6,5 inches) and less than 4 420 mm (17,4 inches);
b) lacking an integrated, physical attached keyboard in its as-shipped configuration;
c) includes and primarily relies on touchscreen input (with optional keyboard);
d) includes and primarily relies on a wireless network connection (e.g., Wi-Fi, 3G, etc.); and
e) is primarily powered by an internal battery (with connection to the mains for battery charging, not primary powering of the device)

[SOURCE: ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements for Computers]

2.16
thin client
independently-powered computer that relies on a connection to remote computing resources (e.g., computer server, remote workstation) to obtain primary functionality

Note 1 to entry: Main computing functions (e.g. program execution, data storage, interaction with other Internet resources) are provided by the remote computing resources.

Note 2 to entry: Thin clients covered by this Technical Report are

a) limited to devices with no rotational storage media integral to the computer and

b) designed for use in a permanent location (e.g. on a desk) and not for portability.

[SOURCE: ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements for Computers]

2.17
uncertainty analysis
systematic procedure to quantify the uncertainty introduced in the results of a life cycle inventory analysis due to the cumulative effects of model imprecision, input uncertainty and data variability

Note 1 to entry: Uncertainty information typically specifies quantitative estimates of the likely dispersion of values and a qualitative description of the likely causes of the dispersion.

[SOURCE: ISO 14040:2006, 3.33, modified – The note has been changed.]

2.18
workstation
high-performance, single-user computer typically used for graphics, CAD, software development, financial and scientific applications among other compute intensive tasks

[SOURCE: ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements for Computers]

3 Symbols and abbreviations

AC	alternating current
CAD	computer-aided design
CFP	carbon footprint of a product
CPU	central processing unit
DQI	data quality inventory
DR	distinction rate
DVI	digital visual interface
EE product	electrical and electronic product
EoL	end-of-life
FS	false signal rate
HDD	hard disk drive
HDMI	high-definition multimedia interface
ICs	integrated circuits
ICT	information and communications technology
IEEE	institute of electrical and electronics engineers
kg CO_2e	kilograms of carbon dioxide equivalent
LCA	like cycle assessment
LCD	liquid crystal display

LCI	life cycle inventory
LCIA	life cycle impact assessment
LCT	life cycle thinking
ODD	optical disk drive
PAIA	product attribute to impact algorithm
PCR	product category rules
PSU	power supply unit
PWB	printed wiring board
SSD	solid state drive
TEC	typical energy consumption
USB	universal serial bus
VGA	video graphics array
VT	validation team

4 Principles

4.1 Comparing streamlined CFP to comprehensive CFP

4.1.1 General

The carbon footprint of a product estimates the total potential contribution of a product to global warming by quantifying all significant greenhouse gas emissions and removals over the product's life cycle. Comprehensive CFPs are product-specific and include the carbon impacts for every component and process in that product’s life cycle. A comprehensive CFP takes a significant amount of resources, time, and data-demands to complete.

Given these challenges, streamlined CFP approaches are critical, particularly in industries such as the information and communications technology (ICT) industry, which have complex products and rapid product-development cycles. The streamlined approach reduces the amount of time and resources needed for data gathering and calculation in order to achieve the needed level of accuracy. Therefore, the streamlining approach follows the rule that only the materials, components and processes that are associated with the most significant product carbon impacts are included in the analysis.

While many different definitions of a streamlined CFP exist, the common characteristic is that they all involve some level of simplification, as compared to a comprehensive CFP. With comprehensive CFPs rarely being executed, it is this collection of streamlined CFP approaches that represent a common approach to CFP. These streamlined approaches, when executed according to recognized practices, reduce the burden of a CFP, while still allowing the necessary goals of the CFP to be achieved (see Figure 1).



Figure 1 – Depiction of how streamlined CFP fits into comprehensive CFP

4.1.2 Level of streamlining

While streamlined CFPs are clearly less resource-intensive, the extent of streamlining that is possible is entirely dependent on the goal of the CFP and, more specifically, the questions that the CFP is attempting to answer. Typically, the more general the questions are that need to be answered, the more streamlined the CFP can be.

For example, high-level product CFPs, focused on understanding the overall impact of a product or which life cycle phases dominate product impact, can be completed using a streamlined CFP. For such cases, the additional resolution and specificity provided through a more comprehensive CFP are not needed.

However, if information is needed to assess specific materials or design choices around a product (i.e. evaluating materials used in packaging, or evaluating trade-offs in product design), then a more specific and detailed analysis is warranted. In this case, improved data collection and more primary data input can be required, leading to a more comprehensive CFP. In general, the more specificity that is required in the CFP results, the more comprehensive the CFP will need to be.

4.2 Viability of streamlined CFP

4.2.1 Streamlining in IEC TR 62725

Streamlined methodologies that apply a trial estimation approach are described in IEC TR 62725:2013, 6.4, 6.5, Annex B and Annex D. Rather than applying a quantitative cut-off threshold (e.g. less than 5 % of the total estimated emissions can be excluded from the CFP analysis) as described in IEC TR 62725, in the streamlined approach a high level statistical analysis using available data and Monte Carlo simulations is performed to determine the life cycle activities that are the biggest contributors to impact and uncertainty. Targeted data collection is then performed, based on this analysis, to confirm impacts and further reduce uncertainty to desired levels. Use of a streamlined approach informs the appropriate cut-off criteria in view of the workability and availability of the process data.

4.2.2 Metrics for streamlining

In order to determine whether the result of a CFP analysis is sufficient given the degree of uncertainty present in all aspects of the calculation (see 4.2.4), measures of resolution are often calculated. This can be done as part of a sensitivity check in order to determine the ability of the CFP analysis to find significant differences between different studied alternatives, as described in ISO 14044.

One common way to assess whether a result is "good enough" to answer the question posed is to determine how much overlap there is between the sampled distribution corresponding to the product of interest and a variety of related alternatives. (For example, this could be a comparison of the product of interest with another product utilizing a different set of materials or architecture.) When the uncertain CFP of the product of interest overlaps considerably with the uncertain CFP of the alternative, then it would be inappropriate to declare that the two have different CFPs. If there is little overlap, then one could confidently identify a difference and therefore which of the two alternatives had the lower CFP.

There are several terms used to describe this degree of overlap (i.e. between the estimated CFP of the product of interest and the estimated CFP of an alternative) including the comparison indicator, distinction rate (DR), or false signal rate (defined below). For this discussion, distinction rate will be used to signify how distinct the product of interest is from a selected baseline. Distinction rate essentially quantifies the frequency at which one of the alternatives has a distinctly lower CFP than the other alternative or a prescribed benchmark (described subsequently).

To calculate the distinction rate between the product of interest (B) and the baseline or benchmark (A), the expected distribution for CFP_{B} as well as for CFP_{A} should be sampled via statistical simulation, such as through Monte Carlo sampling. The formulation for this distinction rate (DR) is then expressed as:

$$DR = P\ (CFP_{\mathrm{B}} < CFP_{\mathrm{A}})$$

where

DR is the distinction rate;

P is the probability;

CFP_{B} is the CFP for the product of interest;

CFP_{A} is the CFP for some baseline comparison product.

The probability of $CFP_{\mathrm{B}} < CFP_{\mathrm{A}}$, can be estimated directly from the Monte Carlo simulation results by comparing scenario pairs CFP_{A} and CFP_{B} and calculating the relative frequency where $CFP_{\mathrm{B}} < CFP_{\mathrm{A}}$. The false signal rate (FS) is a specific version of the distinction rate defined as the frequency of observing a result where the CFPs of the products are comparatively different (higher than, or lower than the other) than would be expected based on the relative position of the mean CFP (μ, μ_{A} for product A and μ_{B} for product B). That is:

$$FS = \begin{cases} P\left(CFP_{\mathrm{B}} < CFP_{\mathrm{A}}\right), \text{where } \mu_{\mathrm{A}} < \mu_{\mathrm{B}} \\ P\left(CFP_{\mathrm{A}} < CFP_{\mathrm{B}}\right), \text{where } \mu_{\mathrm{A}} > \mu_{\mathrm{B}} \end{cases}$$

It is important to note that there is often correlation among life cycle activities across a product of interest and a baseline, particularly for downstream activities such as the grid mixes associated with use phase.

In assessing the difference between the uncertain impact of a product of interest and a baseline, therefore, consider correlation (i.e. the degree to which two or more variables are related in some fashion) to avoid statistical bias. To that end, the analysis should probably be conducted simultaneously for both the product and baseline such that for each Monte Carlo run the same sample sets are used for the correlated activities and parameters.

Another form of resolution metric which is often reported is referred to as the comparison indicator (β), which is defined as the probability that the ratio between the product of interest and the baseline is less than one, that is

$$\beta = P\left(\frac{CFP_\mathrm{B}}{CFP_\mathrm{A}} < 1\right)$$

where

β is the comparison indicator;

P is the probability;

CFP_B is the CFP for the product of interest;

CFP_A is the CFP for some baseline comparison product.

This enables characterization of the likelihood that the baseline has lower impact than the product of interest.

A decision regarding the sufficiency of the analysis can then be made when β is greater than a prescribed threshold. This threshold is a decision parameter that controls the level of risk that a decision-maker is willing to take and should be set by the decision-maker for a given context. If the metric of interest does not indicate that there is high statistical confidence in the comparison result (i.e. there is high risk that a conclusion drawn on this result will be directionally incorrect), the analyst has the option either to declare the products not differentiable or to attempt to collect additional more precise data to improve the resolution of the analysis.

An example of a hypothetical benchmark could be the use of the same data distribution for a product of interest displaced by a difference threshold established in the goals of the study. This difference threshold distance could be defined as a percentage of the magnitude of the mean, i.e. shifting the mean of A (μA) by 10 %. The reason for this sort of a benchmark would be if data for another product were not available. Another example could be a product analysis for a larger or smaller screen size in the case of a laptop.

An example of the above calculations for a hypothetical comparison is shown in Table 1.

Table 1 – Depiction of how streamlined CFP fits into comprehensive CFP

Monte Carlo trial	**Footprint of product of interest (CFP_B)**	**Footprint of alternative product (CFP_A)**	**Is $CFP_\mathrm{B} < CFP_\mathrm{A}$?**	**Is $CFP_\mathrm{A} < CFP_\mathrm{B}$?**	**Ratio $CFP_\mathrm{B}/CFP_\mathrm{A}$**
1	73	71	–	Y	1,02
2	77	131	Y	–	0,59
3	90	92	Y	–	0,99
4	92	122	Y	–	0,76
5	98	74	–	Y	1,32
6	103	122	Y	–	0,85
7	81	90	Y	–	0,90
8	96	105	Y	–	0,91
9	104	87	–	Y	1,19
10	100	154	Y	–	0,65
	91 Average CFP_B (μ_B)	105 Average CFP_A (μ_A)	0,7 Distinction rate (DR)	0,3 False signal rate (FS)	0,70 Comparison indicator (β)

4.2.3 Principles of CFP from IEC TR 62725

4.2.3.1 Life cycle thinking (LCT)

In the development of methodology to quantify the greenhouse gas emissions throughout an electrical and electronic (EE) product's life cycle, take all stages of the life cycle of a product into consideration.

4.2.3.2 Relevance

Select and use data, methods, criteria and assumptions that are appropriate to the assessment of greenhouse gas emissions and removals from the goal and scope definition being studied.

4.2.3.3 Completeness

Include all greenhouse gas emissions and removals that provide a significant contribution to the assessment of greenhouse gas emissions and removals arising from the goal and scope definition being studied.

4.2.3.4 Consistency

Apply assumptions, methods and data in the same way throughout the greenhouse gas emissions for an EE product's life cycle to arrive at conclusions in accordance with the goal and scope definition.

4.2.3.5 Accuracy

Reduce bias and uncertainties as far as is appropriate to the goal of the study.

4.2.3.6 Transparency

Address and document all relevant issues in an open, comprehensive and understandable presentation of information. Fully disclose any relevant assumptions and limitations and make appropriate references to the methodologies and data sources used. Clearly explain any estimates and avoid bias so that the greenhouse gas emissions throughout an EE product's life cycle study report faithfully represent what it purports to represent.

4.2.4 Uncertainty

4.2.4.1 General

There is an extensive literature characterizing sources and types of uncertainty in life cycle assessment and methods for analysing the impact of uncertainty on life cycle impact assessment. A good summary of this literature can be found in a review article by Lloyd and Reis. Existing literature and footprinting standards have discussed terminology for types of uncertainty for both life cycle inventories (LCI) and life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) methods including parameter, scenario, and model uncertainty. Although LCI and LCIA have the same types of uncertainty, the sources of and methods for evaluating uncertainty will probably be different.

- Parameter uncertainty refers to the uncertainty in observed or measured values, and provides a measure of how close the data and calculated emissions are to the real data and emissions. For LCI and LCIA this applies to the input data used in the inventory or impact assessment method, respectively.
- Scenario uncertainty refers to the variation of results depending on methodological choices (e.g. CFP modelling principles, allocation procedures), and represents the choices that are made when conducting a Life-Cycle Assessment (LCA). These choices are made in order to manage the scope of the analysis and because of the inherent variation in conditions under which products and processes operate (e.g. different locations or types of user).

- Model uncertainty refers to insufficient knowledge of the studied system (e.g. emissions from the supply chain for transportation), leading to omission of data or incorrect assumptions. It results in uncertainty in the mathematical relationships used to develop LCIs and LCIAs.

There are several significant sources of uncertainty related to computers and monitors. Though not subjected to uncertainty analysis in most LCA studies, there are significant variations in the bill of materials and parts for IT products.

While disassembly of a particular machine reveals the materials and parts for that model, the purpose of most LCA studies is to understand a type of product (e.g. a laptop computer) rather than a particular model (e.g. a Dell Latitude E5450[1]). Without information on how materials and parts vary among models, it is difficult to judge how to generalize results from a sample model to a product class.

Other sources of data variability and uncertainty include variability in suppliers for various components over different time scales, uncertainty in secondary data for components, and uncertainty in overhead of production (e.g. waste management). One critical source of variation stems from geographical variability in production facility energy efficiency and electricity grid mix, and variability in delivery distances and supply chain freight movement.

In addition to model variations among brands within a given year, computer and monitor products evolve significantly over time. Thus trends in the bill of materials, parts and manufacturing burden can significantly affect the product carbon footprint. This critical source of uncertainty stems from the rapid change in processes through advancement and innovation.

Detailed modelling is recommended around all of these aspects of uncertainty – each quantity and impact has an associated uncertainty which allows the user to get total product uncertainty. For example, there is uncertainty on quantity information (including bill of materials, process, user profile) and type (e.g. mode of transport, type of steel). Where empirical data exist, distributions can be fitted accordingly. Having enough data to fit a distribution can be quite rare. Therefore, other approaches are necessary to make this effort manageable.

One framework proposed in the academic literature to accommodate limited data, including cases where only one data point is available, is to estimate uncertainty through a secondary data quality indicator method. Where multiple data are available, simple distributions can be used to model uncertainty (e.g. uniform distribution in the case where two points are available, triangular distribution where a central tendency can be estimated). Data quality indicator (DQI)-type approaches are useful because they only require a single data point for a unit process or elementary flow of a unit process. An example of a DQI approach is found in the pedigree matrix published for the *ecoinvent*[2] life cycle inventory database. Data points are evaluated along metrics of reliability, completeness, as well as temporal and geographic representativeness. Lack of data for electronics makes it difficult to ascertain empirical underlying uncertainty distributions in most of the unit processes and inventories used.

4.2.4.2 Examples of application of uncertainty analysis

Example 1: CFP is assessed in two different studies for two laptop products made by a manufacturer, products A and B. The calculated difference in the CFP between A and B is 25 %. The estimated uncertainty of the analysis is 50 %. In this case it is not possible to judge if A or B is a better product with respect to CFP, although the result value indicates a clear difference.

---

1 This is an example of a suitable product available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by IEC of this product.

2 Ecoinvent is an example of a suitable product available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by IEC of this product.

Example 2: CFP is assessed for two desktop computer products that use different architectures and materials (new product versus business-as-usual scenario). The estimated uncertainty of the CFP analysis is 50 % in this case as well, but the calculated improvement in the CFP when applying the new architecture and materials is a factor of ten. In this case it can be concluded that the new product clearly has the best performance even though the uncertainty associated with the analysis impacts the absolute value of the CFP.

The above examples illustrate that both uncertainty analysis and sensitivity analysis (distinction rate) are important tools to understand the results of a study and what conclusions can be made.

5 Approaches to streamlined CFP

5.1 General

While there are different approaches to streamlining a CFP, the two most common approaches include:

- simplifying the data collection process, or
- reducing the number of data inputs required to do the CFP calculation.

Some streamlined methods use one or the other of these simplification processes, others use both.

5.2 Streamlining of data collection

5.2.1 General

Data collection involves obtaining underlying data for the analysis. There are several types of data that can be used in a CFP analysis: primary, primary aggregated, and secondary. Primary data are typically the most difficult to obtain; secondary typically the simplest.

- Primary data are expected to be fully representative of the particular product being analysed. Primary data are often thought to be the best representation of that specific item, and are often the most resource-intensive approach to data collection. For an industry such as the ICT industry, in which single components can be sourced from multiple suppliers each with multiple facilities and multiple downstream suppliers, primary data for every item are impossible to collect.
- Primary aggregated data are representative of a class of products or processes as opposed to an individual product or process. Primary aggregated data can be less resource intensive to obtain than product-specific primary data and are often more consistently up-to-date than secondary data. In this process, data on a product type, not a specific product, (i.e. data collected on integrated circuits (ICs) used in computer products rather than data collected on a particular IC) are obtained from suppliers and/or industry associations and then aggregated to obtain an “average” CFP value.
- Secondary data are not specific to the studied product as a substitute for product-specific primary data. Secondary data are not necessarily less accurate than primary data, although less representative of the specific process or product being evaluated. Typically, secondary data, particularly when sourced from commercially-available databases, are much less resource-intensive to collect, and thus represent a widely-used approach to streamlining CFPs.

5.2.2 Approaches to streamlining data collection

Streamlined methodologies use two main approaches to simplifying data collection for assemblies/components/processes:

- secondary data available in industry databases; and/or
- primary industry data that have been aggregated.

This often means that more work is done upfront to collect and analyse data, but results in a reduced burden for the user of the methodology. As with the data input reduction process, an initial CFP is often done to understand the largest impacts and thus where to focus additional data collection efforts.

5.3 Streamlining of data inputs

5.3.1 General

Reducing the number of data inputs is the second aspect by which CFPs are often streamlined. While a comprehensive CFP attempts to characterize all inputs and outputs to a process/product, etc., streamlined CFPs often apply rules to reduce the number of items to track. This reduction in inputs can be achieved through various cut-off rules and/or parameterization, both of which exclude certain items that have less effect on the overall impact.

5.3.2 Approaches to streamlining data inputs (processing)

Streamlined methodologies use a similar approach in which product environmental impacts are calculated using fewer direct inputs by users. Two main approaches to streamlining data input include:

- using algorithms that automatically relate attributes of a product (display size, printed wiring board dimension, energy use, etc.) to a product carbon footprint value; and
- using standard impact data for common components.

Often, a preliminary comprehensive CFP is performed in order to understand the life cycle processes with the greatest impacts so that these can be further evaluated and included in the streamlined calculation.

For the algorithm approach, data are collected from LCA databases and/or directly from suppliers, aggregated, and then used to develop algorithms embedded within a methodology. This allows users to simply enter information on a product into an assessment tool; the tool then uses the embedded allocations to estimate a carbon footprint value for each component and process. These are then summed up in order to obtain a total product carbon footprint.

The common component approach has embedded within the methodology, environmental impacts of common assemblies, components and/or processes for ICT products. These can be product specific or can be based on average product data. Someone calculating the CFP of multiple products could use the same “product-type” data, selecting a process or component and its associated carbon footprint, instead of having to collect product-specific data. These values are likewise added together to get a total CFP.

6 Comparative study on existing CFP methodologies

6.1 Examples of current worldwide streamlined CFP methodologies

6.1.1 General

Summarized results of a comparative study on existing relevant, worldwide streamlined CFP methodologies are described in 6.1.2 to 6.1.6. More detailed information on these methodologies can be found in Annex A. Each of these methodologies involves some level of streamlined data collection or data inputs.

6.1.2 Product attribute to impact algorithm (PAIA)[3]

This is a streamlined carbon footprinting approach that maps product attributes to their environmental impact through the use of algorithms. Unlike most methodologies, PAIA includes uncertainty in the results. PAIA currently covers notebooks, desktops and monitors; tablets and all-in-ones are in development.

6.1.3 iNEMI eco-impact evaluator[4]

This is a streamlined "building block" approach to assessing the environmental impact of ICT products. The environmental impacts of assets/sub-assemblies for ICT products are embedded within the methodology. These are summed up to give the entire product environmental footprint. The iNEMI eco-impact methodology includes other environmental impacts besides carbon.

6.1.4 Orange Telecom environmental methodology[5]

This is a streamlined methodology that measures the environmental performance of mobile phones. Output impacts include a carbon footprint of a product (manufacture, transport, use, etc.), energy efficiency, resource preservation and recyclability. This methodology is similar to PAIA in that product attributes are mapped to their environmental impact.

6.1.5 Japan CFP method

This is a product-specific methodology which requires the user to assess the entire environmental footprint of a product. Primary data less than 12 months old have to be used and greater than 95 % of the impact has to be included. However, it also requires approaches that use algorithms that automatically relate attributes of a product to an environmental impact. This allows users to simplify data collection and/or reduce the number of data inputs while still allowing the necessary goals of the CFP to be achieved.

6.1.6 China CFP method

This is a CFP methodology being developed by industry, consulting and academia with funding from regulatory agencies. This methodology, which is product-specific and based on a full CFP, is still in the research phase. Current focus is on the assessment of supply chain data collection. This method has the potential to become a streamlined methodology depending on research results.

7 CFP product category rules

7.1 General

Clause 7 defines comprehensive product rules for computers and monitors. Detailed rules for other audio, video and multimedia products can be added in future revisions of this Technical Report.

Clause 7 covers the following product category (PCR) topics:

---

3 PAIA is an example of a suitable product available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by IEC of this product.

4 The iNEMI eco-impact evaluator is an example of a suitable product available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by IEC of this product.

5 The Orange Telecom environmental methodology is an example of a suitable product available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by IEC of this product.

- goal;
- scope (including uncertainty and allocation);
- functional unit;
- life cycle stages (production, distribution, use and end of life).

For each topic, this Technical Report recommends current "state-of-the-art" data and processes that users can employ in order to determine the streamlined CFP of computers and monitors. The input recommendations outlined in this clause are the current best methods for calculating streamlined CFPs. Other methods can be used to do the calculations.

State-of-the-art data and processes are best practice processes and data recommendations for determining the CFP of computer products as viewed and researched by the industry for the development of this Technical Report (refer to Clause 6). Because these are state-of-the-art, they can recommend different methods for performing calculations (e.g. using area- or mass-based emission factors). These are based on the current best known estimations for each specific subassembly. The state-of-the-art recommendations described in Clause 7 could change over time, as the industry gets better at collecting and analysing data and product information.

Clause 7 also includes guidelines if a user wants to include additional input data (e.g. 7.8.2, 7.9.2).

7.2 Goal

The objective of a CFP is primarily to build knowledge regarding the environmental performance of a product. Although this Technical Report gives detailed guidance for estimating greenhouse gas emissions for computers and monitors, it is important to understand what it can be used for and what not.

This Technical Report can be used to:

- provide supporting data for identification of a life cycle stage, subassembly or process that have significant greenhouse gas emissions (hot spot);
- prioritize reduction efforts across the product life cycle;
- create a basis for quantifying and reporting CFP performance over time, etc.

At this time, only computers and/or monitors within a company should be compared using information in Clause 7. However, it is a step towards being able to eventually compare all similar computers and/or monitors.

7.3 Covered products

7.3.1 In scope

Notebooks, desktops, integrated desktop computers, slates/tablets, thin clients, workstations and monitors, including their packaging.

NOTE Other audio, video and multimedia products (e-readers, phones, storage equipment, etc.) can be added during a future revision of this Technical Report.

7.3.2 Out of scope

Accessories/peripherals (external keyboard, mouse, docking station, camera, speakers, external storage, e.g. hard disk drives (HDD), etc.) and consumables (e.g. batteries) as well as manuals/CDs.

Any other audio, video and multimedia product.

7.4 Use of primary, primary aggregated and secondary data

7.4.1 General

This Technical Report recommends using primary or aggregated primary data for liquid crystal displays (LCDs), printed wiring boards (PWBs), and integrated circuits (ICs). It also recommends using secondary data for all other data needs.

7.4.2 Allocation methods

IEC TR 62725 recommends avoiding allocation, but if done use the following methods (in order of preference):

- subdivide according to distinct processes;
- subdivide according to physical relationships (mass, energy, etc.) tied to the product's functional unit;
- subdivide according to economic value;
- subdivide according to a combination of the rules listed above.

Suggestions on how to perform allocations of overall facility carbon data for LCDs, PWBs, and ICs can be found in the scientific article titled “Product Carbon Footprinting Allocation Project Results” located on the EICC website.

7.5 Relevant emission factors and databases

When feasible, the most current and applicable emission factors should be used. A list of relevant databases of emission factors for the IT industry can be found in Annex C.

7.6 Functional unit

7.6.1 General

Full life cycle of a notebook, desktop, integrated desktop computer, slate/tablet, thin client, workstation or monitor with its designated life time.

7.6.2 Life cycle stages included

The following life cycle stages are included:

- product manufacturing (including raw materials extraction, production of components and subassemblies, and final product assembly);
- distribution;
- product use;
- end of life (EoL).

7.6.3 Life cycle stages excluded

Life cycle stages that are excluded from this PCR include maintenance, refurbishment, and second use.

7.7 Production

7.7.1 General

Production covers all stages from raw material extraction to the product leaving the final assembly site. It therefore includes raw material extraction, manufacturing of components and subassemblies including final product packaging, and assembly into the finished product. The items mentioned below should be included in the calculation. To go beyond state-of-the-art, additional components and processes should be included in the CFP.

7.7.2 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.7.2.1 General

The state-of-the-art method for calculating carbon emissions from manufacturing processes for notebooks, desktops, integrated desktop computers, slates/tablets, thin clients, workstations and monitors requires summing up all of the subassembly and process impacts associated with that product's production stage.

7.7.2.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The carbon footprints of the component/process listed below are summed to obtain the total production carbon footprint:

- raw material extraction (included in the manufacturing of each subassembly);
- chassis manufacturing;
- populated printed wiring board (PWB) manufacturing (excluding integrated circuits);
- integrated circuit (IC) manufacturing;
- display manufacturing;
- data storage device manufacturing (hard disk drive or solid state drives);
- optical disk drive (ODD) manufacturing;
- power supply manufacturing (PSU, internal or external);
- battery manufacturing;
- final assembly;
- final packaging manufacturing.

NOTE If a product does not include a particular component, it can be excluded from the calculation (e.g. display manufacturing can be excluded for desktop computers).

7.7.2.3 Additional considerations for input data

Other major components/processes can be included in the calculation.

7.8 Chassis

7.8.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.8.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that the summed carbon footprints of all major chassis materials are equal to the total product chassis impact. Major chassis materials should include steel, aluminium, magnesium, thermoplastic and copper. For notebooks, the keyboard and touchpad should be included in the chassis.

7.8.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The carbon footprints of the component/process listed below are summed to obtain the total chassis carbon footprint:

- mass of main chassis materials, including scrap (main chassis materials include steel, aluminium, magnesium, thermoplastic, carbon fibre and copper);
- emission factor of each chassis material.

7.8.2 Additional considerations for input data

To move beyond state-of-the-art with chassis materials, additional input data to consider include the following:

- specific emission factors for chassis material which include details on
  - material production approach, including the actual material production process used,
  - energy sources used at that material production facility (e.g. fuel source, electricity grid mix),
  - material losses at the material production facility,
  - scrap in raw material production, including disposal of scrap;
- energy sources for manufacturing;
- additional materials and process chemicals used in manufacturing;
- additional emissions generated during manufacturing;
- scrap in manufacturing, including disposal of scrap;
- yield of chassis parts.

7.9 Populated printed wiring board (PWB) (excluding integrated circuits)

7.9.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.9.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that the summed carbon footprints of all significant PWBs are equal to the total product PWB impact. Area should be used for calculating the impact of printed wiring board.

This calculation excludes both printed wiring boards associated with a subassembly, as well as integrated circuits. Printed wiring boards that are associated with a subassembly are included in that subassembly's calculation. Integrated circuits are not included due to their large impact and unique method for calculating their impact (see 7.10).

7.9.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the carbon footprint calculation to obtain the PWB carbon footprint:

- area of motherboard;
- areas of all other printed wiring boards not associated with a subassembly;
- number of layers;
- emission factor of motherboard/printed wiring board.

PWBs smaller than 1 cm^2 or those that are associated with a subassembly are excluded.

7.9.2 Additional considerations for input data

To move beyond state-of-the-art with the PWB, both for this PWB and for all subassemblies in which PWBs are used, additional input data to consider include the following:

- number of sides used on the PWB;
- scrap in PWB production;
- number of components on the PWB, including
  - integrated circuits not included elsewhere,
  - capacitors,
  - resistors,
  - connectors, including information on contact materials and contact coating materials,
  - heat sinks,

  - other electronic components;
- manufacturing methods used to produce PWB;
- energy sources for manufacturing;
- additional materials and process chemicals used in manufacturing;
- additional emissions generated during manufacturing;
- scrap in manufacturing, including disposal of scrap;
- yield of PWB parts.

7.10 Integrated circuits (ICs)

7.10.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.10.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that area is the best method for calculating the impact of integrated circuits. This calculation includes all integrated circuits that are not associated with a subassembly. ICs that are associated with a subassembly are included in that subassembly’s calculation.

7.10.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the carbon footprint calculation to obtain the IC carbon footprint:

- area of all integrated circuits;
- emission factor for integrated circuit manufacturing.

ICs associated with a subassembly are excluded.

7.10.2 Additional considerations for input data

To move beyond state-of-the-art with ICs, both with these ICs and with all subassemblies in which ICs are used, additional input data to consider include the following:

- number of dies in an IC package;
- package size;
- mask layers (reflects complexity of IC manufacturing);
- feature size on dies;
- wire material type;
- IC type;
- manufacturing methods used to produce ICs;
- energy sources for manufacturing;
- additional materials and process chemicals used in manufacturing;
- additional emissions generated during manufacturing;
- scrap in manufacturing, including disposal of scrap;
- yield of IC.

7.11 Display

7.11.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.11.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that area is the best method for calculating the impact of displays.

7.11.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the carbon footprint calculation to obtain the display carbon footprint:

- area of display;
- type of backlight technology;
- screen resolution;
- emission factor for display manufacturing (dependent on backlight technology, screen resolution, PFC abatement);
- total mass of the populated display PWB, including ICs;
- emission factor of a populated PWB, including ICs;
- fugitive emissions during manufacturing, including fluorinated greenhouse gases.

NOTE Impacts from fugitive emissions during manufacturing are appreciable due to fluorinated greenhouse gas emissions.

7.11.2 Additional considerations for input data

To move beyond state-of-the-art with displays, additional input data to consider include the following:

- manufacturing methods used to produce displays;
- energy sources for manufacturing;
- additional materials and process chemicals used in manufacturing;
- additional emissions generated during manufacturing;
- scrap in manufacturing, including disposal of scrap;
- yield of displays.

7.12 Data storage device

7.12.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.12.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that mass is the best method for calculating the impact of a data storage device.

NOTE Data storage devices can be hard disk drives or solid state drives or other data storage devices.

7.12.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the carbon footprint calculation to obtain the data storage device carbon footprint:

- mass of the data storage device;
- emission factor of data storage device manufacturing;
- total mass of the data storage device populated PWB, including ICs;

- emission factor of the populated PWB, including ICs.

7.12.2 Additional considerations for input data

To move beyond state-of-the-art with data storage device, additional input data to consider include the following:

- type of storage (hard disk drive (HDD) or solid state drive (SSD)). In the case of an SSD, the input data relevant to ICs are critical and the mass-based approach of the state-of-the-art method should not be used;
- number of dies in an IC package;
- yield of data storage device.

7.13 Optical disk drive (ODD)

7.13.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.13.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that mass is the best method for calculating the impact of an optical drive.

7.13.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the carbon footprint calculation to obtain the optical drive carbon footprint:

- mass of the optical disk drive;
- emission factor for optical disk drive manufacturing;
- total mass of the populated PWB, including ICs;
- emission factor of the populated PWB, including ICs.

7.13.2 Additional considerations for input data

To move beyond state-of-the-art with ODD, additional input data to consider include the following:

- manufacturing methods used to produce ODD;
- energy sources for manufacturing;
- additional materials and process chemicals used in manufacturing;
- additional emissions generated during manufacturing;
- scrap in manufacturing, including disposal of scrap;
- yield of ODDs.

7.14 Power supply unit (PSU, internal or external)

7.14.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.14.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that mass is the best method for calculating the impact of a power supply unit.

7.14.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the carbon footprint calculation to obtain the power supply unit carbon footprint:

- mass of the power supply unit;
- emission factor of power supply unit manufacturing;
- total mass of the power supply unit populated PWB, including ICs;
- emission factor of the populated PWB, including ICs.

7.14.2 Additional considerations for input data

To move beyond state-of-the-art with PSU, additional input data to consider include the following:

- cords included with PSU;
- manufacturing methods used to produce PSU;
- energy sources for manufacturing;
- additional materials and process chemicals used in manufacturing;
- additional emissions generated during manufacturing;
- scrap in manufacturing, including disposal of scrap;
- yield of PSUs.

7.15 Battery

7.15.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.15.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that mass is the best method for calculating the impact of a battery.

7.15.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the carbon footprint calculation to obtain the battery carbon footprint:

- mass of the battery;
- emission factor of battery manufacturing;
- total mass of the populated PWB, including ICs;
- emission factor of the populated PWB, including ICs.

7.15.2 Additional considerations for input data

To move beyond state-of-the-art with the battery, additional input data to consider include the following:

- battery chemistry;
- material composition of battery (e.g. cathode, anode, electrolyte, separator, passive components);
- manufacturing methods used to produce battery;
- energy sources for manufacturing;
- steam use in manufacturing;
- additional materials and process chemicals used in manufacturing;
- additional emissions generated during manufacturing;
- scrap in manufacturing, including disposal of scrap;
- yield of batteries.

7.16 Final assembly

7.16.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.16.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that the largest impact is due to the electricity used in assembling the product.

7.16.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the carbon footprint calculation to obtain the final assembly carbon footprint:

- total electricity used to perform final assembly and testing of a product;
- emission factor of grid electricity in the final assembly country.

The impact due to transport of a subassembly to final assembly should only be taken into account in the case of air transport.

7.16.2 Additional considerations for input data

To move beyond state-of-the-art with the final assembly, additional input data to consider include the following:

- additional materials and process chemicals used in the final assembly;
- scrap in the final assembly, including disposal of scrap;
- transport distances of components from production to the final assembly;
- transport modes of components from production to the final assembly.

7.17 Final product packaging

7.17.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.17.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that mass is the best method for calculating the impact of the final product packaging.

7.17.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the carbon footprint calculation to obtain the final product packaging carbon footprint:

- mass of cardboard/paper packaging;
- emission factor of cardboard/paper packaging;
- mass of plastic/polystyrene packaging;
- emission factor of plastic/polystyrene packaging.

7.17.2 Additional considerations for input data

To move beyond state-of-the-art with packaging, additional input data to consider include the following:

- mass of all packaging components, including non-cardboard and non-polystyrene packaging (e.g. other natural fibres, other polymers);
- mass of all packaging components, including overboxes/shipping boxes, instruction manuals, etc.;

- emission factors for each specific packaging material, including specific emission factors for recycled materials as compared to virgin materials;
- manufacturing methods used to produce packaging;
- energy sources for manufacturing;
- additional materials and process chemicals used in manufacturing;
- additional emissions generated during manufacturing;
- scrap in manufacturing, including disposal of scrap;
- yield of packaging.

7.18 Distribution

7.18.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.18.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that final product distribution covers the following transportation processes:

- distribution of products from final assembly to a central hub within the region where the product is being used;
- transport from the regional hub to the country where the product is being used.

7.18.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the calculation to obtain the carbon footprint from the final product distribution:

- mass of final product and its packaging;
- transport distances from final assembly to regional hub;
- transport distances from regional hub to country where the product is used;
- transport modes for each transport route;
- emission factors of different modes of transport (air, ship, ground, etc.).

7.18.2 Additional considerations for input data

To move beyond state-of-the-art with distribution, additional input data to consider include the following:

- increasing the number of countries considered, thus increasing the specificity of the calculations.

7.19 Use

7.19.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.19.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that product energy consumption during its service life should consider:

- use profile consisting of time spent in different operating modes, based on the actual or estimated usage patterns;
- power consumption corresponding to the different modes.

7.19.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the calculation to obtain the carbon footprint from product use:

- yearly power usage of the product (see Annex D).
- lifetime of the product;
- emission factor of the electricity grid in country or region of use.

7.19.2 Additional considerations for input data

The most accurate use stage assessment will seek to represent actual usage patterns, and utilize greenhouse gas emissions associated with the national grid where the use stage occurs. IEC 62623 gives a standardized testing protocol for the measurement of computer power consumption.

The determination of the use profile, i.e. the duty cycle scenario (time spent in on/active, idle, sleep and off modes) and the assumed product lifetime can be based on:

- a manufacturer's own use phase studies and service life information;
- published industry use phase studies and service life information;
- published national or industry guidelines that specify guidance for development of scenarios and product lifetime for the use stage for the product being assessed;
- technology changes that impact the power consumed during the use phase – for example, proxy network connectivity or connected standby technologies can be assessed and appropriate modifications made to use phase assessment guidelines.

7.20 End of life (EoL)

7.20.1 State-of-the-art calculation recommendations

7.20.1.1 General

State-of-the-art recommendations for this calculation assume that EoL covers transport of the product to the recycling facility, the impacts by recycling the product and impacts by landfilling of those materials that cannot be recycled. Any material credits for recycled/recovered materials are not included at EoL. Credits are included in product manufacturing via the use of recycled material.

7.20.1.2 Recommended input data for calculation

The data listed below should be included in the calculation to obtain the carbon footprint due to the product's final disposal, such as:

- estimated transport distance to recycling/final disposal facilities;
- recycling percentage of the product and packaging mass;
- disposal of materials that cannot be recycled;
- emission factors for material disposal (for recycled/recovered product and packaging materials, for materials that cannot be recycled, etc.).

7.20.2 Additional considerations for input data

The recyclability rate as determined by IEC TR 62635 should be taken into account.

8 Documentation

8.1 General

See IEC TR 62725 for guidance on documentation of CFP studies.

8.2 CFP database

Once an IEC standard is published, it can be 3 to 5 years before a maintenance or update cycle begins. In the environmental field, where regulations, state-of-the-art processes and industry technology advances are evolving rapidly, this maintenance schedule is insufficient to keep pace with global requirements. In response to the challenge, IEC has developed a “database” process that enables technical committees to maintain relevant information on a more frequent basis (e.g. 1 to 3 updates per year).

The procedures for establishing and maintaining an IEC standard in database format are outlined in Annex SL of the ISO/IEC Directives Supplement:2016. Typically, a database will be established in conjunction with an IEC standard and a Validation Team (VT) is established to maintain the database. The standard will contain the business process rules or requirements, which are expected to remain constant over a long time frame (3 to 5 years), while the database will contain information, calculations or data that require more frequent updates (e.g. 1 to 3 updates per year).

The specific information contained in the standard versus the database is left to the discretion of the technical committee (TC) that is developing the standard and is based on the specific needs of the standard being supported. For example, IEC TC 111: Environmental standardization for electrical and electronic products and systems has piloted this database concept with IEC 62474, where the standard contains the business requirements for product content reporting and electronic data exchange rules, while the database contains the declarable substance list, which is updated approximately once or twice per year depending on the changes in global regulations.

A database process cannot be set up for a Technical Report such as this one. However, a standard should be pursued for streamlined carbon footprint methodologies, with an accompanying IEC database. This would be very useful in maintaining the relevance of streamlined CFP methodologies due to their rapidly evolving state-of-the-art processes and data, as well as expanding product category rules.

9 Communication and verification

See IEC TR 62725 for guidance on communication and verification of CFP studies.

Annex A
(informative)

Results of a comparative study on existing relevant streamlined product carbon footprinting methodologies

Table A.1 gives the results of a comparative study on existing relevant streamlined product carbon footprinting methodologies.

Table A.1 – Comparison of "streamlined" product carbon footprinting methodologies

Criteria	Streamlined methodologies				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	**Orange**	**PAIA**	**Japan PCF**	**China PCF**
Modelling topics					
Modelling approach	The approach follows the ISO 14040 methodology. Uses simplified techniques and algorithms for estimating GHG emissions. The following LCA stages are evaluated in the ICT estimator: – Manufacturing / Assembly of ICT Products – Transport, Distribution and Installation of ICT Products – Use and Servicing of ICT Products – End-of-Life Treatment of ICT Products The following steps define the basic principles in the simplified ICT LCA estimator: – Since the tool is product specific, the goal and scope are pre-defined. Define the functional unit and system boundaries. Set the base flow for the functional unit, e.g. one ICT product/asset that operates over a given lifetime. – Break down the ICT product/asset into a structure that describes how the different parts fit together, and identify the component list based on the pre-defined factors in the tool. – Group the component list according to common ICT component categories.	Looks at raw materials, manufacturing, distribution, use and end of life of a mobile/smart phone. Focus on PWB (area, # layers), ICs (are of silicon), display (area), battery (mass), charger (mass), housing (mass/materials). Transport taken into account if by air for upstream (PWB, battery, etc.) and for downstream (until warehouse). Output (PCF) is calculated in "black box", possibly with ADEME/Ecoinvent LCA data and primary data collected by Orange at their suppliers' premises.	The overall methodology has been developed for displays, desktops, laptops and televisions and will be developed for all-in-ones and tablet/slates (mobile compute devices). Life cycle stages included: materials, manufacturing, transportation, use and end-of-life. For each product the method begins by developing a high level assessment of "industry average" product assembled from existing data on global warming potential for that product. The bill of materials is essentially an average of products within that category (15" laptops, for example). Uncertainty is quantified as explained below and then statistical trials are run to determine where more precise data are needed. Data quality and primary data are explained below. Based on the statistical analyses run in the uncertainty analysis data are refined, where possible. Then equations that map characteristics of the product to environmental impact are developed for the most relevant attributes and activities that contribute to impact.	The entire life cycle of the product is included: – raw materials – manufacturing – logistics – use phase – disposal/recycling) Object: main body, peripherals, package.	Raw materials, Parts/components manufacture, Logistics (raw materials to parts/components, parts/ components to brand companies), Assembly, Logistics from assembly site to customer, Use phase, Recycling. The research phase focuses on the entire product life-cycle; intent is to require primary data for the different phases. Streamlined methodology and criteria will be developed according to the research.

Criteria	**Streamlined methodologies**				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	**Orange**	**PAIA**	**Japan PCF**	**China PCF**
	– Obtain LCIA parameter information for key components defined (e.g. for printed wiring boards: board size, laminate layers, surface finish type, etc.). – Determine the transportation distances from the ICT product / asset assembly location to the warehousing / distribution (logistics) centre, and then from the logistics centre to the customer’s / end-user’s point of usage. – Determine the energy consumption during the “use phase” of the ICT product / asset over its intended or design service life. – Determine the probable distribution of end-of-life treatment methods; use the total approximate material declaration for the ICT product / asset as the input for such treatment. – Calculate the eco-environmental impacts per the estimator tool. – Evaluate the estimator tool results and perform a sensitivity analysis on the results to confirm its validity.				

Criteria	Streamlined methodologies				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	**Orange**	**PAIA**	**Japan PCF**	**China PCF**
Unit of analysis	The LCA estimator tool will evaluate a product unit consisting of individual hardware equipment or an asset level. The product unit will be attributed to a functional unit as defined by the product manufacturer. The functional unit will be defined to have a specified capacity or deliver a certain type of functionality or service over a given period. – Cradle-to-grave.	Make and receive calls for a total of 5,5 h of communication per month for 2 years.	The product of interest (laptop, desktop, display, etc.) for its first lifetime, where the lifetime in years is part of the assumptions described in the methodology.	Functional unit. Sales unit.	Road test being done on a desktop product with mouse/keyboard/ packaging, without display. Use phase: 5 years. For different product categories, the owners need to define the functional units separately.

Criteria	Streamlined methodologies				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	**Orange**	**PAIA**	**Japan PCF**	**China PCF**
Use phase modelling	No set values defined; left up to the evaluator. Location where product is being used – global or by region. Power consumption – per typical product configuration and feature set. Function of how the product is used (e.g. active, idle / sleep modes, etc.). Include power to cool equipment internally and externally – transfer heat, control humidity levels, and cool the surrounding equipment location / environment, e.g. CRAC unit within CO / server facility / apportionment of energy needed to maintain typical temperature / humidity requirements. Power usage per annum – this can be an average daily power usage based on a typical pattern of usage that includes sleep modes and other power saving features. Product operating life (e.g. typical operating life or design life). Servicing – eco-impact associated with servicing of ICT product (significant for network equipment; may be insignificant for personal ICT products).	(Geared towards mobile / smart phones) Communication time: 5,5 h per month. Average lifetime of the product: 2 years. After each charge, it is assumed that the charger is left plugged in for 5 h with the mobile connected and fully charged, and 5 h with the mobile disconnected. Step 1: Calculation of the number of charges per month, based on the use scenario and the autonomy of the mobile. Step 2: Calculation of the energy consumed during charge, and by the charger when the charge is completed. Step 3: Conversion into CO_2 emissions with the country's energy mix.	There are several elements, including power, duty cycle, location, and lifetime. Duty cycle: For desktop and laptop, Energy Star version 5 or 6 (see Bibliography) depending on region of interest. For display, on and off power only. Power: Numbers are entered or default based on Energy Star data. The location of the grid can be specified and lifetime specified or default assumed based on literature.	Common rule is used. Japanese electrical products industry defines a common rule for quantifying via the "voluntary action plan".	Energy Star 5.2, see Bibliography.

Criteria	Streamlined methodologies				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	**Orange**	**PAIA**	**Japan PCF**	**China PCF**
Cut-off rules	Several cut-off criteria are used in LCA practice to decide which inputs are to be included in the assessment, such as mass, energy and environmental significance. For all three of these criteria, total cumulated flows of less than 5 % of the benchmark flow can be excluded (Technical standard: BP X30-323).	None. Based on previous LCA by ADEME, most significant aspects are identified.	None, assessment should include all of the life cycle inventory, but the level of specificity in data depends on the goal of the study.	Cut off ≤5 % of the mass of the reference flow. (http://www.cfp-japan.jp/english/rules/pdf/C-09-03.pdf) of the Japan Environmental Management Association for Industry.	Following PAS 2050 criteria in the road test. This has not yet been defined in China PCF methodology but will be discussed in the future development.
Allocation	A co-product is defined as "any of two or more products coming from the same unit process or product system" (ISO 14044:2006). The allocation of environmental impacts between products and co-products shall be performed according to one of the following procedures, listed in order of priority: 1) subdivide according to distinct processes; 2) subdivide according to physical relationships (mass, energy, etc.) tied to the product's functional units; 3) subdivide by extending the system's boundaries to include the co-products function when it is possible to assess some impacts that have been avoided by producing the co-product. 4) subdivide according to economic value; 5) subdivide according to a combination of the rules listed above.	No co- or sub-product.	Very dependent on the component. Allocation is avoided where possible and then done primarily by physical unit such as printed wiring board area, input sheet of glass, units, etc. Transportation calculated by mass allocation. End-of-life processing allocated to the product – no credit for recycling.	Discretionally.	1) Try to avoid allocation. 2) Physical allocation, such as by amount. 3) Other allocation methods according to a different status.

Criteria	Streamlined methodologies				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	**Orange**	**PAIA**	**Japan PCF**	**China PCF**
Uncertainty	Uncertainty in eco-impact assessment comes from two sources: technical uncertainty and natural variability. Technical uncertainty is created by limited data quality, ineffective sampling, wrong assumptions, incomplete modelling and other flaws in the assessment calculation itself. Natural variability can be accounted for in the definition of the LCA estimator methodology framework as an average, or representative figure, so it does not need to be quantified. Because the nature of this estimator methodology involves estimates and judgment, there will be some degree of uncertainty associated with it. A recommended approach for calculating uncertainty is to perform a Monte Carlo analysis of the algorithms created within the estimator tool.	Not included.	First of all, uncertainty is modelled around both the quantity and type/impact aspects of the analysis. Quantity includes amount of materials, amount of transportation, power, etc. Type includes the specificity of the material (non-ferrous versus aluminium), mode of transport (road versus air), location (China versus Asia), etc. and impact data refer to the impact associated with the type. Uncertainty is represented quantitatively where sufficient information exists, or quantitative accounting of qualitative uncertainty is made based on data quality indicators. Uncertainty is typically log normally or uniformly distributed, occasionally other distributions are modelled where enough data exist. This uncertainty information is used to prioritize where more data should be collected.	Database of basic unit quality is not defined.	Should evaluate the data sources, completeness and accuracy of the information.

Criteria	Streamlined methodologies				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	**Orange**	**PAIA**	**Japan PCF**	**China PCF**
Comparability	Products cannot be compared based on this tool.	Not defined in the methodology. Each user can define its own way of using the indicators, raw value, staged rating, absolute or relative.	The metric that this method has emphasized for determining whether or not the data are of sufficient quality to enable comparison is the "false signal rate" or the number of times that a product whose mean is higher than another product actually appears lower in impact for each individual statistical trial. The more narrow the distribution (or certain the result), the lower this number becomes. Another important element of this is the difference in the means of the two products. So, while comparison is not recommended, metrics for evaluating whether comparison is possible has been the emphasis.	There is no rule for comparing products.	Not included. Since the life cycle analysis and scope/boundary could be defined differently, it doesn't support comparison.
Data topics					
Data quality	Current data are from public or private databases so not high quality data.		Data quality is evaluated on metrics of: sample size, age of data and data source. Data quality is noted for all relevant data but prioritization is made on data that are of lowest quality but are of greatest importance to the overall analysis.	Primary data – Ideally want to use data less than 12 months old; however, collection of all data is very difficult. Secondary data – Use a common database.	Focusing on the time-related coverage, geographical specificity, technology coverage and data accuracy. On-site audit is required in the road test. The auditor would check the data quality on site.

Criteria	Streamlined methodologies				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	**Orange**	**PAIA**	**Japan PCF**	**China PCF**
General steps for collecting data	Data from public and non-public databases was used to develop the algorithms embedded in the tool through regression analysis. For basic components (like capacitors, resistors, ICs) whose data exists in an LCI database, LCIA is performed and is allocated based on the associated scale factor (weight, area, package type, etc.) For modules, data were extracted from complete LCAs of modules (like a hard drive) and allocated by product features (i.e. size, weight, etc. of the components).	Primary data needed on "critical few", e.g. mass of housing, size of PWB.	1) For tool development: high level assessment from existing data gathered from public sources, commercially available datasets, and market information. Then primary data collection for relevant high impact components. 2) For use of tool: gather information on product attributes, for example screen size, resolution, PWB area, chassis materials percentages.	Use common database for secondary data.	1) Define product scope. 2) Collect primary data. 3) Perform initial calculation to help define the key parts/phases. 4) Obtain better data for the key parts/phases. 5) Secondary data where primary activity data have not been obtained.

Criteria	Streamlined methodologies				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	**Orange**	**PAIA**	**Japan PCF**	**China PCF**
Definition of primary and secondary data	Secondary data – Provided from public and private sources.	Primary data: Quantized value from a direct measurement or calculation from direct measurements of an activity or process life cycle of the product. This value, when multiplied by an emission factor or characterization, to calculate an indicator of impact category. Secondary data – Quantized value of an activity or process life cycle of the product obtained from sources other than direct measurement or calculation from direct measurements. The fact that data are secondary does not mean they do not remain influential. Example for the use phase: Primary data: autonomy in standby and in communication, time and energy for a charge from 0 % to 100 %, power consumed by the charger in no-load condition, and with load charged at 100 %. Secondary data: kg CO_2e/kWh	Primary data: Site-average or site-specific data from direct measurement or calculation from direct measurement, if from several facilities, with 18 months, representative of technology used in product, including uncertainty is considered primary data. Secondary data: arising from relevant sources, but maybe industry association, published, LCA databases, government sources, etc.		Primary data: collected directly from the supply chain, including tier one/two/three suppliers. The data is directly collected from the active unit processes. Secondary data: data arising from competent sources, such as LCA database, industry association, national government, official, United Nations publications.
Transparency		Some "black box" calculation formulae are disclosed.			
Data	Algorithms are very transparent – Uses physical characteristics of the product.	Input data need to be primary, supplied by manufacturer. LCA data in "black box", possibly ADEME or Ecoinvent data.	Aggregated component and materials means and standard deviations are transparent. Broken down by regions in most cases.	It should be shown if data are primary or secondary.	The input is more likely the primary data. For the road test, data come from China CLCD, NDRC, Ecoinvent database.
Methodology	Very transparent.	Black box.	Explained throughout MS Excel[a)] tool and in separate MS Word[a)] documents.	All PCR information should be disclosed.	Black box.

Criteria	Streamlined methodologies				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	**Orange**	**PAIA**	**Japan PCF**	**China PCF**
Communication	Communication of results is dependent on the practitioner.	Results reported in kg CO_2. Assumptions or reference to LCA data not included.	Results reported as a range in kg CO_2 by phase and by module within the product. Statistical results are presented for 5th and 95th percentiles as well as one standard deviation.		Businesses choose their own ways to communicate results. China PCF would not encourage or limit the specific communication method in the criteria.
Practicality					
Ease of use of methodology	Very easy to use. Uses algorithms to obtain a carbon footprint for each component and process and then adds them up to get the total product PCF.	Easy, only primary data need to be entered.	Easy, only primary data need to be entered.	It should be easy. However, a certain level of expertise is required.	Not so easy because full LCA. Need expert to guide.
Cost to complete one PCF	Very inexpensive.		Very inexpensive.	Validation/registration cost. Data collection cost.	17 000 to 20 000 USD for the certification and software. Depends on different product categories and third parties.
Time needed to complete one PCF	Very quick – Just need to gather data on the product. Once this is done, you calculate the PCF of each process and component using the algorithms and then add them up. Maybe 1 h once the product data are obtained. Also due to basic parameters, companies can build automation capabilities.	Questionnaire includes 42 primary data elements to be provided. Let's say 6 h to 8 h per model.	Once the methodology has been developed, the time needed to complete one PCF will depend on the level of resolution required, but is meant to be no more than a few hours.	According to personal ability.	About 3 to 6 months per product.
Limitations	Easily scalable. Data are limiting due to age and accuracy. Also, the algorithms may be limiting if a process is done differently at a certain facility.	Easily scalable across products. Black box includes different parameters for 3 categories of mobile phones – low end, mid range, high end –, mainly based on flash memory capacity. Local versus worldwide: changes CO_2e/kWh coefficient and distribution distances.	Methodology development required for each broad product class (desktop, etc.). Each individual tool is set up to look at industry averages rather than specific products, so, if specific product numbers are required, adjustments may be necessary. Data quality is always an ongoing issue so probably a limitation of this method as well as all the others.		The methodology is mature (LCA thinking). And it could also be used domestically and worldwide.

Criteria	Streamlined methodologies				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	**Orange**	**PAIA**	**Japan PCF**	**China PCF**
Consistency and accuracy within model	Since the algorithms are defined and simple product data are the only input, the results between users should be consistent.	Model will be very consistent, as focus is on critical few primary data needed.	Should be consistent within the model if the same inputs are chosen. Statistical simulation will result in some deviation depending on the numbers of trials that are run.	Same as long as using common database.	Depends on the product scope, boundary and supplier data.
Relevance	Hotspots can be detected. Unsure how close it is to reality. The algorithms are probably close to reality, although again the data are old.				Use LCA method, very close to reality.
Accessibility	Currently members of iNEMI can use the tool, however, the methodology is publicly available. It is planned to make it free for anyone to use.	Method is required to be used by suppliers to Orange.	Currently method only accessible to members, should move to more open access in the future.	Disclose PCR; easy access of result.	Not mentioned.
Updatability					
Data	Easily updatable. Recommend updating every other year.	Mentioned but not specified.	For areas where primary data are included, annual updates should be straightforward.	Update of data is required.	Under development. Not defined yet.
Methodology	Easily updatable.	Not addressed.	Methodology should be revisited as significant technology advances are made around 3 years minimum.	In case quality of data is damaged.	The China PCF is under development; not yet specified.
External communication					
Assurance/ verification	Communication of results is dependent on the practitioner.	None. Method is validated between BIO Intelligence service, CODDE and WWF. The method is proposed for validation by the ADEME/AFNOR platform for the Grenelle environmental labelling.	Statistical analysis of the uncertainty helps with assurance and variance. Model review by third party.	Third party verification.	Depends on the data quality. For the road test, it is limited assurance.
Comparative assertions	Not to be used to make comparative assertions between competitive products.	It is the main goal. Each mobile phone model is labelled with 3 indicators (CO_2, RMD and a qualitative rating of ecodesign) on Orange website and shops in France and a few other countries).	Has not been part of external communication to date.	It is acceptable to compare multiple own products based on the same PCR.	Not supported.
Other items					

Criteria	Streamlined methodologies				
	iNEMI eco-impact evaluator	Orange	PAIA	Japan PCF	China PCF
[a] MS Excel and MS Word are examples of suitable products available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by IEC of these products.					

Annex B
(informative)

Generic example of streamlined CFP process for ICT products

B.1 Initial analysis

Annex B provides an example process for completing a streamlined CFP in accordance with Clause 7). A notebook product was selected as the example product.

Prior to calculating a streamlined CFP, several steps should be taken. The first step in performing a streamlined CFP for an ICT product is to define the goal and scope of the study (see 7.1 and 7.2) in order to determine if a streamlined CFP is appropriate. If the goal and scope of the analysis can be supported by a streamlined CFP, the next step is to understand what data are needed to complete the calculation. The best way to do this is to perform a prioritization CFP to understand the biggest product impacts and therefore where to focus data collection and analysis.

B.2 Example calculation for a notebook computer

Once the largest impacts are understood, a calculation can be developed that includes the necessary information. Below is an example streamlined CFP calculation for a notebook product based on the information in the PCR (all carbon footprint results in kg CO_2e):

$$CF_{\mathrm{PNB}} = CF_{\mathrm{FPA}} + [CF_{\mathrm{Ch}} + CF_{\mathrm{PWB}} + CF_{\mathrm{IC}} + CF_{\mathrm{LCD}} + CF_{\mathrm{HDD}} + CF_{\mathrm{ODD}} + CF_{\mathrm{PSU}} + CF_{\mathrm{B}} + CF_{\mathrm{Pkg}}] + CF_{\mathrm{TP}} + CF_{\mathrm{U\text{-}N}} + CF_{\mathrm{EOU}}$$

where

CF_{PNB} is the total carbon footprint of notebook product;

CF_{FPA} is the total carbon footprint from final assembly;

CF_{Ch} is the carbon footprint of chassis manufacturing;

CF_{PWB} is the carbon footprint of populated printed wiring board manufacturing (excluding integrated circuits);

CF_{IC} is the carbon footprint of integrated circuit manufacturing;

CF_{LCD} is the carbon footprint of display manufacturing;

CF_{HDD} is the carbon footprint of hard disk drive manufacturing (or data storage device and including solid state drives);

CF_{ODD} is the carbon footprint of optical disk drive manufacturing;

CF_{PSU} is the carbon footprint of power supply manufacturing, could be internal or external;

CF_{B} is the carbon footprint of battery manufacturing;

CF_{Pkg} is the carbon footprint of final packaging manufacturing;

CF_{TP} is the total carbon footprint of distribution of product plus packaging;

$CF_{\mathrm{U\text{-}N}}$ is the total carbon footprint of use of a notebook;

CF_{EOU} is the total carbon footprint of end of life of a notebook.

This calculation can further be broken down into more detailed calculations. An example of one of these more detailed calculations is the use phase calculation:

$$CF_{\mathrm{U\text{-}N}} = TEC_{\mathrm{N}} \times L_{\mathrm{U\text{-}N}} \times EF_{\mathrm{U}}$$

where

CF_{U-N} is the total carbon footprint of lifetime usage of a notebook (kg CO_2e);

TEC_N is the ENERGY STAR 6.1 annual typical energy consumption of a notebook (kWh per year);

L_{U-N} is the lifetime of the notebook (years);

EF_U is the emission factor of grid in country of use (kg CO_2e/kWh).

B.3 Data collection

Once the calculations are developed, data should be collected in order to complete the calculation. For modules that are considered to have a significant impact on CFP results (display panel, memory chips, ICs, etc.), representativeness of data should be analysed. If datasets are outdated or not representative, it is recommended to collect more representative data and to create up-to-date datasets that better represent important modules.

Several types of data should be collected:

- Input data – this is information relating directly to the product being analysed, including such things as display size, energy usage, transport distances, product mass, etc. This can often be done using a bill of materials or by performing a product disassembly.
- Background data – this is data on the environmental impacts of the materials, subcomponents, and processes related to the product. This information can be obtained from secondary databases or from suppliers (material impacts, manufacturing processes, power mixes, transport impacts, etc.).

For the use phase calculation in our example, the data is as follows:

- Input data:
  - Energy used in the product's lifetime – in this case we use the ENERGY STAR 6.1 Total Annual Energy Consumption (TEC) formulas found in the ENERGY STAR v6.1 Computers Program Requirements. Power consumption information is obtained by directly testing the product.

    Assumed TEC: 20 kWh per year

  - The assumed lifetime of the product – this information can be obtained by analysing customer product usage or by using common assumptions. In this case we will use established lifetime usage assumptions developed by Lawrence Berkeley National Lab (LBL) for the EPA's ENERGY STAR program.

    Assumed Lifetime: 4 years

- Background data:
  - Emission factor of grid in country of use – this information can be found in various databases. In this case we will use data from the International Energy Agency.

    Assumed Emission Factor in US: 0,528 kg CO_2e/kWh

- Calculation:

  $CF_{U-N} = 20 \times 4 \times 0{,}528 = 42{,}2$ kg CO_2e

This information is then used in the use phase calculation above. A similar process is done for the other life cycle phases; these are then summed up to get the total carbon footprint of the notebook product over its lifetime.

Annex C
(informative)

Examples of relevant databases for the IT industry

C.1 Ecoinvent

Ecoinvent is an LCA database used by approximately 4 500 users in more than 40 countries, worldwide. This database contains international industrial life cycle inventory data on energy supply, resource extraction, material supply, chemicals, metals, agriculture, waste management services and transport services. Ecoinvent is developed by the Ecoinvent Centre in Switzerland[6].

C.2 US Life Cycle Inventory

The US Life Cycle Inventory[7] (LCI) is a database that provides individual gate-to-gate, cradle-to-gate and cradle-to-grave accounting of the energy and material flows into and out of the environment that are associated with producing a material, component, or assembly in the US. The US LCI database was developed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory.

C.3 GaBi

GaBi[8] is one of the largest LCA databases in the world and is mainly based on primary data collection. It addresses several industries from agriculture to electronics and retail, through to textiles or services. GaBi was created by PE INTERNATIONAL.

C.4 ELCD (European Reference Life Cycle Data System)

ELCD[9] contains Life Cycle Inventory data from front-running EU-level business associations and other sources for key materials, energy carriers, transport, and waste management. The database was developed by the European Platform on Life Cycle Assessment through the European Commission's Joint Research Centre.

C.5 PAIA (Product Attribute to Impact Algorithm) Data

PAIA is a specific set of data based on integration of several of the above-mentioned databases, as well as primary data from the IT industry. It is specific to IT products and was developed by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

---

6 www.ecoinvent.org

7 The US Life Cycle Inventory is an example of a suitable product available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by IEC of this product.

8 GaBi is an example of a suitable product available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by IEC of this product.

9 ELCD is an example of a suitable product available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by IEC of this product.

Annex D
(informative)

Recommended sources for product energy consumption

Yearly power consumption of the product, calculated according to an internationally recognized specification, for instance, the most recent U.S. ENERGY STAR® Specification applicable for the product type being assessed:

- The scope of Version 6.1 of the ENERGY STAR® Product Specification for Computers covers the following products: Desktop Computers, Integrated Desktop Computers, Notebook Computers, Slates/Tablets, Portable All-In-One Computers, Workstations, and Thin Clients.
- The scope of Version 6.0 of the ENERGY STAR® Product Specification for Displays covers the following products: Computer Monitors, and Signage Displays;
- Version 6.1 of the ENERGY STAR® Product Specification for Computers provides a Power value (i.e. W/h) and conversion method to get a yearly power usage value (kW/year): 8 760 / 1 000 for Desktop Computers, Integrated Desktop Computers, Notebook Computers, Slates/Tablets, Portable All-In-One Computers, and Thin Clients.

Bibliography

IEC 62430, *Environmentally conscious design for electrical and electronic products*

IEC 62474, *Material declaration for products of and for the electrotechnical industry*

IEC 62623, *Desktop and notebook computers – Measurement of energy consumption*

IEC TR 62635, *Guidelines for end-of-life information provided by manufacturers and recyclers and for recyclability rate calculation of electrical and electronic equipment*

IEC TR 62725, *Analysis of quantification methodologies for greenhouse gas emissions for electrical and electronic products and systems*

ISO/IEC Guide 2:2004, *Standardization and related activities – General vocabulary*

ISO/IEC Directives Supplement:2016, Procedures specific to IEC

ISO 14040, *Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework*

ISO 14044, *Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Requirements and guidelines*

ISO 14064-1, *Greenhouse gases – Part 1: Specification with guidance at the organization level for quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions and removals*

ISO TS 14067, *Greenhouse gases – Carbon footprint of products – Requirements and guidelines for quantification and communication*

ITU-T L.1410, *Methodology for environmental life cycle assessments of information and communication technology goods, networks and services*
Available at http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-L.1410-201412-I

ETSI TS 103 199 V1.1.1, *Environmental Engineering (EE); Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of ICT equipment, networks and services; General methodology and common requirements*. Available at:
http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_ts/103100_103199/103199/01.01.01_60/ts_103199v010101p.pdf.

DEGHER, AB., HAAS, W., MALIAN, J., OLIVETTI, E. and STENCLOVA, S. *Standardizing Methods for Performing Allocation of Supplier Carbon Data for IT Products: Product Carbon Footprinting Allocation Project Results*. EICC, March 2014. Available at: http://www.eiccoalition.org/news-and-events/publications/standardizing-methods-for-performing-allocation-of-supplier-carbon-data-for-it-products/

FRISCHKNECHT, R. and JUNGBLUTH, N. (eds.). *The Ecoinvent Database System: Overview and Methodology*. Dübendorf, Switzerland: Swiss Centre for Life Cycle Inventories, 2007, ecoinvent report No. 1. Available at:
http://www.ecoinvent.org/fileadmin/documents/en/01_OverviewAndMethodology.pdf

KOOMEY, J., CRAMER, M., PIETTE MA. and ETO J. *Efficiency Improvements in U.S. Office Equipment: Expected Policy Impacts and Uncertainties*. New York, USA: Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, December 1995, LBL-37383

LLOYD, SM.; REIS, R. Characterizing, propagating, and analyzing uncertainty in life-cycle assessment – A survey of quantitative approaches. *J. Ind. Ecol.* 2007, Vol. 11, Part 1, p. 161-179

ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements Product Specification for Computers Eligibility Criteria Version 5.0. Available at:
https://www.energystar.gov/

ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements Product Specification for Computers Eligibility Criteria Version 5.2. Available at:
https://www.energystar.gov/

ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements Product Specification for Computers Eligibility Criteria Version 6.0. Available at:
https://www.energystar.gov//

ENERGY STAR® Program Requirements Product Specification for Computers Eligibility Criteria Version 6.1. Available at:
https://www.energystar.gov/

Greenhouse Gas Protocol: 26 January 2013, *GHG Protocol Product Life Cycle Accounting and Reporting Standard ICT Sector Guidance (Draft).* Available at: http://www.ghgprotocol.org/files/ghgp/GHGP-ICT-Introduction-Chapter-v1-3-26-JAN-2013.pdf

PAS 2050:2011, *Specification for the assessment of the life cycle greenhouse gas emissions of goods and services.* Available at:
http://shop.bsigroup.com/en/forms/PASs/PAS-2050/

The Carbon Footprint of Products Calculation and Labeling Pilot Program. Product Category Rule of “IT equipments” (Approved PCR ID: PA-CI-01)Available at:
http://www.cfp-japan.jp/english/pcr/pcrs.html

BP X30-323-0: Mai 2015, *Principes généraux pour l'affichage environnemental des produits de grande consommation – Partie 0 : principes généraux et cadre méthodologique* (withdrawn early 2016)

This page deliberately left blank

This page deliberately left blank

British Standards Institution (BSI)

BSI is the national body responsible for preparing British Standards and other standards-related publications, information and services.

BSI is incorporated by Royal Charter. British Standards and other standardization products are published by BSI Standards Limited.

About us

We bring together business, industry, government, consumers, innovators and others to shape their combined experience and expertise into standards -based solutions.

The knowledge embodied in our standards has been carefully assembled in a dependable format and refined through our open consultation process. Organizations of all sizes and across all sectors choose standards to help them achieve their goals.

Information on standards

We can provide you with the knowledge that your organization needs to succeed. Find out more about British Standards by visiting our website at bsigroup.com/standards or contacting our Customer Services team or Knowledge Centre.

Buying standards

You can buy and download PDF versions of BSI publications, including British and adopted European and international standards, through our website at bsigroup.com/shop, where hard copies can also be purchased.

If you need international and foreign standards from other Standards Development Organizations, hard copies can be ordered from our Customer Services team.

Copyright in BSI publications

All the content in BSI publications, including British Standards, is the property of and copyrighted by BSI or some person or entity that owns copyright in the information used (such as the international standardization bodies) and has formally licensed such information to BSI for commercial publication and use.

Save for the provisions below, you may not transfer, share or disseminate any portion of the standard to any other person. You may not adapt, distribute, commercially exploit, or publicly display the standard or any portion thereof in any manner whatsoever without BSI's prior written consent.

Storing and using standards

Standards purchased in soft copy format:

- A British Standard purchased in soft copy format is licensed to a sole named user for personal or internal company use only.
- The standard may be stored on more than 1 device provided that it is accessible by the sole named user only and that only 1 copy is accessed at any one time.
- A single paper copy may be printed for personal or internal company use only.

Standards purchased in hard copy format:

- A British Standard purchased in hard copy format is for personal or internal company use only.
- It may not be further reproduced – in any format – to create an additional copy. This includes scanning of the document.

If you need more than 1 copy of the document, or if you wish to share the document on an internal network, you can save money by choosing a subscription product (see 'Subscriptions').

Reproducing extracts

For permission to reproduce content from BSI publications contact the BSI Copyright & Licensing team.

Subscriptions

Our range of subscription services are designed to make using standards easier for you. For further information on our subscription products go to bsigroup.com/subscriptions.

With **British Standards Online (BSOL)** you'll have instant access to over 55,000 British and adopted European and international standards from your desktop. It's available 24/7 and is refreshed daily so you'll always be up to date.

You can keep in touch with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards, both in single copy and subscription format, by becoming a **BSI Subscribing Member**.

PLUS is an updating service exclusive to BSI Subscribing Members. You will automatically receive the latest hard copy of your standards when they're revised or replaced.

To find out more about becoming a BSI Subscribing Member and the benefits of membership, please visit bsigroup.com/shop.

With a **Multi-User Network Licence (MUNL)** you are able to host standards publications on your intranet. Licences can cover as few or as many users as you wish. With updates supplied as soon as they're available, you can be sure your documentation is current. For further information, email subscriptions@bsigroup.com.

Revisions

Our British Standards and other publications are updated by amendment or revision.

We continually improve the quality of our products and services to benefit your business. If you find an inaccuracy or ambiguity within a British Standard or other BSI publication please inform the Knowledge Centre.

Useful Contacts

Customer Services
Tel: +44 345 086 9001
Email (orders): orders@bsigroup.com
Email (enquiries): cservices@bsigroup.com

Subscriptions
Tel: +44 345 086 9001
Email: subscriptions@bsigroup.com

Knowledge Centre
Tel: +44 20 8996 7004
Email: knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com

Copyright & Licensing
Tel: +44 20 8996 7070
Email: copyright@bsigroup.com

BSI Group Headquarters

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL UK