

This Standard is confirmed.  
See the BSI Catalogue for details.  
March 1998

**BS 1042 : Section 2.3 : 1984**  
**ISO 7194-1983**

UDC 532.542 : [532.574.23 + 532.574.4]

---

British Standard

# Measurement of fluid flow in closed conduits

Part 2. Velocity area methods

## Section 2.3 Methods of flow measurement in swirling or asymmetric flow conditions in circular ducts by means of current-meters or Pitot static tubes

[ISO title : Measurement of fluid flow in closed conduits – Velocity area methods of  
flow measurement in swirling or asymmetric flow conditions in circular ducts by means of  
current-meters or Pitot static tubes]

---

Mesure de débit des fluides dans les conduites fermées

Partie 2. Méthodes d'exploration du champ des vitesses

Section 2.3 Méthodes de mesure de débit dans les conduites circulaires  
dans le cas d'un écoulement giratoire ou dissymétrique au moyen de  
moulinets ou de tubes de Pitot doubles

Durchflußmessung in geschlossenen Rohrleitungen

Teil 2. Geschwindigkeitsbereichverfahren

Abschnitt 2.3 Verfahren zur Messung von Strömungen in drallbehafteten  
oder asymmetrischen Kreisrohren mit Hilfe von Meßflügeln oder Pitot-Sonden

**BSi**

British Standards

**Contents**

	Page		Page
National foreword	Inside front cover	<b>Figures</b>	
Committees responsible	Back cover	1. Installation of straightener	3
<b>Methods</b>		2. Type A – Zanker straightener	7
0. Introduction	1	3. Type B – Sprengle straightener	8
1. Scope and field of application	1	4. Type C – Tube bundle straightener	9
2. References	1	5. Type D – AMCA straightener	10
3. Symbols	2	6. Type E – Étoile straightener	10
4. Principle	2	7. Wedge-shaped yaw probe	11
5. Choice of measuring plane	2	8. Cylindrical yaw probe	12
6. Devices for improving flow conditions	3	9. $k_\psi$ versus $\psi$ for typical Pitot static tubes	13
7. Measurement of local velocities	4	10. Maximum permissible size of Pitot static tube in method B	14
8. Determination of mean flow velocity	6	11. Examples of directional response of different types of current-meters	15
9. Accuracy of flow-rate estimation	6	12. Non-return valve	16
<b>Annexes</b>		13. Arrangement of non-return valves	16
A. Detection and removal of regular pressure fluctuations	16	14. Device for checking damping of pressure fluctuations in the leads to the manometer	17
B. Damping of manometers	17	15. Radial positions of Pitot static tube in swirling flow when method B is used	19
C. Calculation of Pitot static tube locations for method B	18	16. Pitot static tube	19
D. Corrections to be applied when a Pitot static tube is used	20	17. Example of the effect of axial pulsations on current meter velocity estimation	22
E. Corrections to be applied when a current-meter is used	21	18. Example of the effect of tangential pulsations on current meter velocity estimation	23
F. Errors due to non-axisymmetrical velocity distribution	24		

**National foreword**

This British Standard has been prepared under the direction of the Industrial-process Measurement and Control Standards Committee and is identical with ISO 7194 - 1983 'Measurement of fluid flow in closed conduits – Velocity area methods of flow measurement in swirling or asymmetric flow conditions in circular ducts by means of current-meters or Pitot static tubes', published by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO).

**Terminology and conventions.** The text of the international standard has been approved as suitable for publication as a British Standard without deviation. Some terminology and certain conventions are not identical with those used in British Standards; attention is drawn especially to the following.

The comma has been used as a decimal marker. In British Standards it is current practice to use a full point on the baseline as the decimal marker.

Wherever the words 'International Standard' appear, referring to this standard, they should be read as 'British Standard'.

**Cross-references**

International standards	Corresponding British Standards
ISO 3455 - 1976	BS 3680 Methods of measurement of liquid flow in open channels Part 8C : 1980 Calibration of rotating-element current-meters in straight open tanks (Identical)
ISO 3966 - 1977	BS 1042 Measurement of fluid flow in closed conduits Section 2.1 : 1983 Method using Pitot static tubes (Identical)
ISO 4006 - 1977	BS 5875 : 1980 Glossary of terms and symbols for measurement of fluid flow in closed conduits (Identical)
ISO 5168 - 1978	BS 5844 : 1980 Methods of measurement of fluid flow : estimation of uncertainty of a flow rate measurement (Identical)

The Technical Committee has reviewed the provisions of ISO/TR 3313 and ISO 3354, to which reference is made in the text, and has decided that they are acceptable for use in conjunction with this standard.

**Compliance with a British Standard does not of itself confer immunity from legal obligations.**

British Standard

# Measurement of fluid flow in closed conduits

## Part 2. Velocity area methods

Section 2.3 Methods of flow measurement in swirling or asymmetric flow conditions in circular ducts by means of current-meters or Pitot static tubes

### 0 Introduction

In order to carry out measurements of the flow-rate of single phase fluids in closed pipes by velocity-area methods, using either current-meters or Pitot static tubes, with satisfactory accuracy (of the order of  $\pm 2\%$  for example), it is usually necessary to choose a measuring plane where the velocity distribution approaches that of fully developed flow (see ISO 3354 and ISO 3966).

There are, however, some cases where it is practically impossible to obtain such a flow distribution, but where as good as possible a measurement of the flow-rate is desirable.

### 1 Scope and field of application

This International Standard specifies velocity-area methods for measuring flow in swirling or asymmetric flow conditions in circular ducts by means of current-meters or Pitot static tubes.

It specifies the measurements required, the precautions to be taken, the corrections to apply, and describes the additional uncertainties which are introduced when a measurement in asymmetric or swirling flow has to be made.

Although methods of using velocity-area integration techniques to measure flow-rate under conditions where there is swirl and/or asymmetry in the flow are described, every effort should nevertheless be made to choose a measuring section in the pipe where the swirl or asymmetry is as small as possible.

Only flows with a negligible radial component are considered, however. Furthermore, it is not possible to make a measure-

ment in accordance with this International Standard if, at any point in the measuring cross-section, the local velocity makes an angle of greater than  $40^\circ$  with the axis of the duct, or where the index of asymmetry  $Y$  (defined in annex F) is greater than 0,15.

It should be noted that this International Standard deals only with instruments for measuring local velocity as defined in ISO 3354 and ISO 3966. If Pitot static tubes are used, this International Standard applies only to flows where the Mach number corresponding to local velocities does not exceed 0,25.

### 2 References

ISO/TR 3313, *Measurement of pulsating fluid flow in a pipe by means of orifice plates, nozzles or venturi tubes, in particular in the case of sinusoidal or square wave intermittent periodic-type fluctuations.*

ISO 3354, *Measurement of clean water flow in closed conduits — Velocity-area method using current-meters.*

ISO 3455, *Liquid flow measurement in open channels — Calibration of rotating-element current-meters in straight open tanks.*

ISO 3966, *Measurement of fluid flow in closed conduits — Velocity-area method using Pitot static tubes.*

ISO 4006, *Measurement of fluid flow in closed conduits — Vocabulary and symbols.*

ISO 5168, *Measurement of fluid flow — Estimation of uncertainty of a flow-rate measurement.*

### 3 Symbols (see also ISO 4006)

Symbol	Description	Dimension	SI unit
$D$	Pipe diameter	L	m
$d$	Diameter of the head of a Pitot static tube Diameter of holes or tubes of a straightener	{ L	{ m
$E$	Uncertainty, as a relative value	—	—
$e$	Uncertainty, as an absolute value	*	*
$k_\phi$	Directional calibration coefficient	—	—
$l$	Length of the head of a Pitot static tube	L	m
$R$	Pipe radius	L	m
$r$	Measuring circle radius	L	m
$U$	Mean axial fluid velocity	LT <sup>-1</sup>	m/s
$U_i$	Mean velocity along the $i^{\text{th}}$ radius	LT <sup>-1</sup>	m/s
$v$	Local velocity of the fluid	LT <sup>-1</sup>	m/s
$v_x$	Component of the local velocity parallel to the pipe axis	LT <sup>-1</sup>	m/s
$Y$	Index of asymmetry of the flow	—	—
$y$	Distance between the heel of a Pitot static tube and the wall	L	m
$y_1$	Distance between the nose of a Pitot static tube and the wall	L	m
$\alpha$	Calibration factor of a Pitot static tube	—	—
$\Delta p$	Differential pressure registered by a Pitot static tube	ML <sup>-1</sup> T <sup>-2</sup>	Pa
$\varepsilon$	Expansibility factor	—	—
$\theta$	Angle of the local velocity with the pipe axis	—	rad**
$\rho$	Mass density of the fluid	ML <sup>-3</sup>	kg/m <sup>3</sup>
$\phi$	Angle of the local velocity with the metering device axis	—	rad**

\* The dimensions and units are those of the quantity to which the symbol refers.

\*\* Although the radian is the SI unit, for the purpose of this International Standard, angles are expressed in degrees.

### 4 Principle

This International Standard describes

- methods which minimize the errors in carrying out a traverse in swirling or asymmetric flow;
- corrections which should be applied for certain sources of error;
- methods of determining the increase in uncertainty in the flow-rate measurement when it is not possible to compensate for a particular source of error.

The origins of the errors giving rise to the uncertainties considered in this International Standard are

- a) errors in the determination of local velocities, due to the behaviour of the instruments in a disturbed flow;
- b) errors in the calculated mean pipe velocity, due to the number and position of the measuring points and the methods of integration used.

Corrections are possible for some of these errors, but, in general, the limiting uncertainty in the flow-rate measurement has to be increased according to the characteristics of the flow.

### 5 Choice of measuring plane

When the configuration of the pipe and any fittings installed in it is such that any changes of direction of the flow are all in the same plane (for example, a single bend, a single valve, or two bends in an "S" shape), no significant bulk swirl will be introduced and the disturbance to the flow will result in an essentially asymmetric velocity distribution.

If, however, the pipe configuration is such that the flow changes direction in two or more different planes in rapid succession (for example, two bends at 90° to each other), a bulk swirl will be introduced in addition to the asymmetry which the individual fittings introduce.

Unlike asymmetry, swirl has a big effect on the response of Pitot static tubes and current-meters, and also persists for very much longer distances; whenever possible, therefore, the traverse plane should not be downstream of swirl inducing configurations. Care should also be taken to avoid locating the traverse plane downstream of any adjustable fitting for which the geometry may change (for example, a flow control valve), especially if several different flow-rates have to be measured.

## 6 Devices for improving flow conditions

**6.1** Where asymmetric or swirling flow is to be measured, a device (straightener) for improving flow conditions should be used, if possible. It should be installed as shown in figure 1.

The lengths  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$  shall fulfill the conditions :  $L_1 > 3D$ ;  $L_2 > 5D$ ;  $L_3 > 2D$ .

These distances should be increased whenever possible, and, where a total straight length of more than 10 pipe diameters exists upstream of the traverse plane, it is better to increase the distance between the pipe fitting and the straightener than to increase the distance between the straightener and the traverse plane.

**6.2** The choice of straightener is dependent on the nature of the velocity distribution which has to be corrected and on the head loss which can be tolerated. Five types of straightener are described below.

### 6.2.1 Type A – Zanker straightener (see figure 2)

The purpose of this device is to eliminate both swirl and asymmetry, and has a head loss of approximately five velocity heads. The various plates should be chosen to provide adequate strength, but should not be unnecessarily thick.

### 6.2.2 Type B – Sprengle straightener (see figure 3)

The Sprengle straightener consists of three perforated plates in series, and is particularly effective in eliminating asymmetry. It does however have a high head loss (about 15 velocity heads) but two plates or even one plate (with head losses of about ten and five velocity heads respectively) can be used if such a high head loss is not acceptable. Although they cannot completely eliminate such severe asymmetry as can the three plates, they

are often sufficient for disturbances such as a single bend. Perforated plate straighteners have some effect in reducing swirl, but are not designed for this; if, therefore, swirl is the dominant type of irregularity in the velocity distribution, one of the other straighteners should be used.

### 6.2.3 Type C – Tube bundle straightener (see figure 4)

The basic purpose of the tube bundle straightener is to eliminate swirl, but it also has some effect in reducing asymmetry. There shall be a minimum of 19 tubes, with a length of at least 20 times the diameter of the tubes, and each tube shall have a maximum diameter of one-fifth of the pipe diameter. The head loss of this straightener depends on the size and length of the individual tubes, but is typically about five velocity heads.

### 6.2.4 Type D – AMCA straightener (see figure 5)

The AMCA straightener is useful only in eliminating swirl; it does not improve asymmetric velocity distributions. Its dimensions are given in figure 5, and it has a very low head loss, normally about 0,25 times the velocity head.

### 6.2.5 Type E – Étoile straightener (see figure 6)

The étoile straightener is again designed only to eliminate swirl, and is of no assistance with asymmetric velocity distributions. The eight radial vanes should be chosen to provide adequate strength, but should not be unnecessarily thick. This straightener should have a length equal to two pipe diameters. It has a very low head loss, similar to that of the AMCA straightener, but has the advantage that it is much easier to manufacture. In addition it allows the static pressure to equalize radially as the flow passes through it, unlike the AMCA, tube bundle or Zanker straighteners which can induce significant variation in static pressure across the pipe downstream of them.




Figure 1 – Installation of straightener

## 7 Measurement of local velocities

Unless specific indications are given to the contrary elsewhere in this International Standard, the procedures to be followed and the conditions to be fulfilled by the local velocity measuring instruments shall conform to the specifications of ISO 3354 or ISO 3966.

When swirl occurs to any significant extent, the fact that the flow direction is different from the axial direction has an effect on the measuring instrument which has to be taken into account at each measuring position across the pipe in order to determine the local axial velocities. The procedure for doing this depends on whether a Pitot static tube or a current-meter is used.

### 7.1 Number and position of measuring points

The number and position of measuring points in the measuring section shall conform to the specifications of ISO 3354 or ISO 3966, taking into account the integration technique chosen. However, the minimum number of measurements per radius shall be five (excluding any measurement on the centre line) and, when there is reason to believe that the flow is asymmetric, the minimum number of radii shall be six. Also, at least one measurement of local velocity shall be made in each of the following zones within the pipe on each radius in addition to any measurement which might be made on the centre line :

$$0 < \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 < 0,2$$

$$0,2 < \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 < 0,4$$

$$0,4 < \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 < 0,6$$

$$0,6 < \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 < 0,8$$

$$0,8 < \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 < 1,0$$

This condition is fulfilled automatically when the log-linear or log-Tchebycheff methods of integration are used, but care has to be taken to choose the measuring positions in accordance with this requirement when either the numerical or graphical integration method is used.

Often, especially when there is reason to believe that the flow may be asymmetric, the uncertainty of flow measurement is reduced more by increasing the number of radii along which measurements are made than by increasing the number of points per radius. For example, if 48 current-meters are available for installation in a conduit, it is often slightly better to use six on each of eight radii rather than eight on each of six radii.

### 7.2 Effect of pressure fluctuations

In any conduit subject to flow covered by ISO 3966 or this International Standard, there will be pressure fluctuations directly

linked to the turbulent components of the local velocities superimposed on the mean flow. The traversing Pitot static tube will transmit these to the manometer or pressure transducer as components of the instantaneous differential pressure. Sufficient damping in the manometer circuit will help the operator to estimate the average differential pressure, but such damping shall be symmetrical and linear, in order to avoid an additional error which cannot be assessed. The error in the mean velocity estimated from the time mean differential pressure reading in the presence of turbulence is considered separately in clause 8.

There shall be sufficient symmetrical and linear damping in the manometer circuit to ensure that fluctuations of the manometer reading at each point of measurement do not exceed  $\pm 3\%$  of the average reading at that point.

Recommendations on ensuring that damping is symmetrical and linear are given in annex B.

Pressure fluctuations of acoustic origin, quite unrelated to the local flow velocities, may be present in some conduits, particularly those subject to gas flows. Such pressure fluctuations are usually much greater than those arising from turbulence and the smallest departure from linearity in damping of the manometer circuit inevitably leads to a considerable error in the local velocities estimated from the average manometer reading. Therefore, before measurements can be carried out in accordance with this International Standard, the user shall check that no significant regular pressure fluctuations are present in the conduit and, if there are, shall eliminate them. Advice on detection and removal is given in annex A.

### 7.3 Axial velocity measurement using a Pitot static tube

Guidance on the use of Pitot static tubes is given in annex D. The Pitot static tube used shall be one of those specified in ISO 3966, and measurement may be made by one of the two following methods.

In method A (see 7.3.1), the probe shall be aligned with the axis of the pipe at each measuring position, and use made of a knowledge of the response of the particular Pitot static tube at various angles of inclination to the local flow direction. This method may be used only for swirl angles up to  $20^\circ$ .

In method B (see 7.3.2), the Pitot static tube shall be aligned with the local flow direction at each measuring position; from a knowledge of the measured velocity and the angle the local velocity makes with the pipe axis, the axial velocity can be calculated. This method applies over the whole range covered by this International Standard (that is, up to swirl angles of  $40^\circ$ ).

NOTE — Fewer data are available at present to assess the uncertainty for method B than for method A.

In both cases, a preliminary traverse using a yaw probe is necessary to determine the angle of swirl at each of the measuring positions.

Two types of yaw probe are illustrated in figures 7 and 8; in both cases, the method of use is to rotate them about the axis of their stem until the pressures from the two pressure taps are equal : the probe is at that stage aligned with the local direction of flow. Before use, a test should be made with appropriate facilities (for example, in a wind tunnel) to determine the connection between this direction and a reference plane of the yaw probe itself.

### 7.3.1 Method A

This method may be used only when the angle which the local velocity makes with the axis of the pipe is less than 20° at all the measuring positions across the traverse plane.<sup>1)</sup>

The effect of swirl on the Pitot static tubes specified for use in this International Standard is given in figure 9 for typical probes, but the directional response of the particular probe used for the measurement shall be determined from previous calibration in an appropriate facility (for example, in a wind tunnel) since individual probes have different characteristics. The result of the calibration shall be expressed in terms of

$$k_{\varphi} = \cos \varphi \sqrt{\frac{\Delta p_o}{\Delta p_{\varphi}}}$$

versus the swirl angle  $\varphi$ , where  $\Delta p_o$  and  $\Delta p_{\varphi}$  are, for a given velocity, the values of differential pressure when the angle between the probe and the flow is, respectively, zero and  $\varphi$ .

After determining the angle of swirl with a yaw probe at each of the measuring positions, the head of the Pitot static tube shall be aligned parallel to the axis of the duct at each position at which a measurement of local velocity is required, and the differential pressure noted. From measurements of individual differential pressures  $\Delta p_{\varphi}$  and of individual angles of swirl, the individual point axial velocities  $v_x$  shall be calculated from the equation

$$v_x = k_{\varphi} \alpha (1 - \varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{2 \Delta p_{\varphi}}{\rho}}$$

### 7.3.2 Method B

This method may be used only when the angle which the local velocity makes with the axis of the pipe is less than 40° at all of the measuring positions across the traverse plane.

After determining the angle of swirl with a yaw probe at each of the measuring positions, one of the Pitot static tubes specified in ISO 3966 shall be installed at each measuring position in turn. It shall be installed in such a way that the axis of the head is parallel to the local flow direction in each case. The differential pressures are then noted.

With this method, the radial positions of the nose of the Pitot static tube will be different from those of the yaw probe

whenever swirl is present. They will not be located along a diameter of the duct, but will follow a curved path. This is illustrated in figure 15 which shows typical positions of the Pitot static tube when axi-symmetrical swirl occurs.

When prescribed locations of the Pitot static tube have to be used (as with the log-linear or log-Tchebycheff integration techniques), it is necessary to calculate the positions at which the Pitot static tube heel has to be located in order that the nose is at these radial positions. Conversely, if the numerical or graphical integration technique is used, it is necessary to calculate the radial positions at which the Pitot static tube nose will be located in terms of the radial positions chosen for the heel. The equation for these computations is given in annex C.

When method B is used, the maximum value of the local swirl angle limits the maximum usable diameter of the Pitot static tube head. Figure 10 shows the relationship between the maximum permissible value of the ratio  $d/D$  and the maximum local swirl angle, where  $d$  is the diameter of the Pitot static tube head and  $D$  the diameter of the duct.

The axial velocities shall be computed for each position from :

$$v_x = v \cos \theta$$

where

$v_x$  is the axial velocity;

$v$  is the magnitude of the vector velocity measured by probe calculated as described in ISO 3966;

$\theta$  is the angle that the flow makes with the axis of the duct.

## 7.4 Axial velocity measurement using a current-meter

The effect of swirl on the response of a current-meter is not well known and basically depends (among other things) on the type of propeller. It is, however, possible to relate the response of a given propeller to the angle it makes with the direction of local velocity; such a calibration may be obtained by towing the current-meter in a calibration tank as specified (see ISO 3455), but aligning it successively at different angles with respect to the axis of the channel. Figure 11 shows, as an example, the response obtained in this way for certain specific propellers.

When it is believed that swirl is present at the measuring section, it is generally advisable to use a special "self-compensating" design of propeller, which has been designed to measure directly the axial component,  $v \cos \theta$ , of the local velocity for velocities which make an angle of up to 30° with the propeller axis. In cases where the swirl angle never exceeds 30°, no correction is therefore required for this type of propeller. It should, however, be noted that such propellers have the disadvantage of being particularly sensitive to the influence of the current-meter support and to turbulence in the flow.

1) The AMCA probe may only be used for swirl of up to 15° with Method A, since information is not available on its response to greater yaw angles.

If, for these reasons, the use of a conventional type of propeller is preferred, it is necessary to determine in advance the angle of swirl, for example by traversing the measuring section with a yaw probe as described in 7.3. If  $\theta$  is less than  $5^\circ$ , it can be assumed that a conventional propeller, aligned with the axis of the duct, will give a satisfactorily accurate measurement of the local axial velocity (the error will be less than  $\pm 1\%$ ). If  $\theta$  is between  $5^\circ$  and  $40^\circ$ , then the reading of a given propeller shall be corrected according to a previous calibration of that propeller which has established the response of the instrument to inclinations at different angles to the flow. Above  $40^\circ$ , it is not possible to make accurate measurements. Further guidance on the use of current-meters is given in annex E.

## 8 Determination of mean flow velocity

The mean flow velocity shall be calculated by any of the integration techniques described in ISO 3354 or ISO 3966.

When a Pitot static tube is used, the turbulence of the flow produces an overestimate of the flow-rate (see annex C of ISO 3966) which, taking into account the particular conditions of the flows dealt with in this International Standard, lies generally between 1 and 2%. This overestimate depends not only on the turbulence level, but also on the shape of the Pitot tube nose and it decreases when the Reynolds number increases. The value of the mean flow velocity previously obtained shall therefore be reduced by an amount between 1 and 2%, that the user of this International Standard shall estimate to his best, taking into account the particular conditions of the measurement (see annex D).

When current-meters are used, no correction shall be applied to the measured value since, with these instruments, turbulence can introduce either positive or negative errors (see annex E).

## 9 Accuracy of flow-rate estimation

The uncertainty in the measurement of flow-rate shall be calculated in accordance with ISO 5168. Thus if the independent variables which have to be measured in order to compute the flow-rate are  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$ , the absolute uncertainty  $e_q$  in the flow-rate is given by:

$$e_q^2 = \left[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial X_1} e_1 \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial X_2} e_2 \right]^2 + \dots + \left[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial X_k} e_k \right]^2$$

where  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$ , are the absolute uncertainties of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$ , respectively.

Since flow conditions can vary greatly, it is not possible to state that the flow-rate estimation will always have an uncertainty below some limiting value. It is however possible to give an indication of the order of magnitude of the errors which may arise in most cases.

### 9.1 Uncertainty arising from asymmetry

The percentage uncertainty  $E_\gamma$  which might arise from this source is given by equations in annex F depending on the number of radii along which traverses are made.

### 9.2 Uncertainty arising from swirl

The uncertainty which the existence of swirl might contribute to the flow-rate estimation will depend on the method and instrument used. There is little information on the effect of swirling flow in Pitot static tubes and current-meters.

For Pitot static tubes used with method A (7.3.1), there will be one error due to the determination of directional response of the probe and another error due to the fact that using a Pitot static tube in swirling flow is not exactly equivalent to inclining a Pitot tube in parallel flow: this was the case in the facility used to determine this response.

For Pitot static tubes used with method B (7.3.2), the main source of error due to the swirl is the not insignificant size of the probe and thus the effect of transverse velocity gradient.

For current-meters, the same sources of error as for Pitot tubes arise, increased by the fact that the conditions under which current-meters are calibrated depart still further from the operating conditions, the directional calibration being made generally by towing in still water.

In all cases, the percentage uncertainty  $E_s$  arising from swirl shall be assumed to increase with swirl angles. For the purpose of this International Standard, and for lack of more precise data, the value of  $E_s$  shall be taken as  $\pm 5\%$  of the maximum value (expressed in degrees) of swirl angle observed in the measuring section. For swirl angles above  $20^\circ$ , the assessment of the uncertainty is less reliable.

### 9.3 Uncertainty arising from turbulence

In swirling or asymmetric flow conditions, the level of turbulence is often higher than it usually is for more regular flows, as considered in ISO 3354 or ISO 3966; the uncertainty from this source of error is thereby increased.

For Pitot static tubes, after reducing the observed flow-rate as indicated in clause 8, the value of the percentage uncertainty  $E_T$  arising from turbulence shall be taken as equal to the applied correction (that is, within  $\pm 1$  to  $\pm 2\%$  according to the measuring conditions).

For current-meters, the axial and tangential components of the turbulence have opposite effects on the propellers normally used; these effects may partly compensate one another (see annex E). It is possible, therefore, that turbulence will introduce a smaller error to current-meter results than to Pitot tube results. Nevertheless, as a precaution, the percentage uncertainty  $E_T$  shall again be taken as being within  $\pm 1$  to  $\pm 2\%$  according to the measuring conditions.

### 9.4 Overall uncertainties

The methods for calculating the overall uncertainty of a flow-rate measurement by the velocity-area method, using current-meters or Pitot static tubes, is described, respectively, in ISO 3354, subclause 11.6, and ISO 3966, subclause 12.6.

Furthermore, in asymmetric or swirling flow conditions, the uncertainties listed in 9.1 to 9.3 above shall be taken into account as follows.



— The uncertainty arising from turbulence has already been allowed for in the equation for calculating the uncertainty in the local velocities (see ISO 3354, subclause 11.6.1, or ISO 3966, subclause 12.6.1), but the value to be included in this equation shall be chosen in accordance with 9.3.

— The uncertainties arising from asymmetry and/or from swirl shall be combined by the root-sum-square method with the other component uncertainties already listed in the equation for calculating the overall uncertainty in the flow-rate measurement (see ISO 3354, subclause 11.6.2 or ISO 3966, subclause 12.6.2). According to the flow condi-

tions, one or both of these sources of error shall be taken into account.

As noted earlier, it is not possible to specify precise values for the various uncertainties involved. Nevertheless, as a guide, the overall uncertainty in a flow-rate measurement in asymmetric or swirling flow conditions carried out in accordance with this International Standard will normally be between  $\pm 2$  and  $\pm 4$  %.

Higher uncertainties may result if the condition that there be no significant radial flow (see clause 1) is not fulfilled.




Figure 2 — Type A — Zanker straightener




Figure 3 – Type B – Sprengle straightener




Figure 4 — Type C — Tube bundle straightener

NOTE — In order to decrease the pressure loss, the entrance to the tubes may be bevelled at 45°.




Figure 5 – Type D – AMCA straightener




Figure 6 – Type E – Étoile straightener




Figure 7 – Wedge-shaped yaw probe




Figure 8 — Cylindrical yaw probe




Figure 9 –  $k_\varphi$  versus  $\varphi$  for typical Pitot static tubes




Figure 10 – Maximum permissible size of Pitot static tube in method B






Figure 11 – Examples of directional response of different types of current-meters

## Annex A

## Detection and removal of regular pressure fluctuations

Regular pressure fluctuations in the form of acoustic waves in a conduit can arise as a result of flow separation from fan or pump blades, amplified by organ pipe type resonance in a particular length of duct, or occasionally by the action of ring vortices in a duct with a constriction at each end. In the resulting regular pressure fluctuations, the pressure amplitudes are large, the velocity fluctuations are negligible (of the order  $1/\text{Mach}$  number times smaller than turbulence velocities for the same fluctuation pressure amplitude) and the frequencies can be far below the human audible range and, therefore, difficult to detect in the normal noisy environment of flow measurement. They are best detected by connecting a differential pressure transducer directly to the static pressure connection of the Pitot static tube, and to the total pressure connection through a long capillary tube, using a cathode ray oscilloscope to display the output. Because the velocity fluctuations of interest are so small, hot wire anemometers cannot be relied on to detect the pressure waves.

An alternative device<sup>1)</sup> is a simple, fast response, one-way valve, shown in figure 12, using a thin celluloid disc free to move about 0,1 mm between open and closed positions. A pair of such valves, inserted in the leads of the manometer connected to the traversing Pitot static tube, to permit free movement of the liquid in one direction only, might be expected to change the original manometer reading by about 60 % of the peak fluctuation of pressure in one direction. Reversal of the valves to check displacements in the other direction should show if any unsuspected large pressure fluctuations occur in the conduit.

Advice on the measurement of pulsating flow is given in ISO/TR 3313. In particular, the use of a by-pass in preference to a control valve in the main circuit to regulate the flow is advised since the latter method can generate pulsations in the flow.




Figure 12 — Non-return valve




Figure 13 — Arrangement of non-return valves

1) See R. Houghton, *Engineering*, 16 April 1937 p. 425.

## Annex B

### Damping of manometers

#### B.0 Introduction

It is often necessary, to facilitate reading the manometer or differential pressure measuring devices, for the random pressure fluctuations to be damped without, however, concealing longer term fluctuations or falsifying the time average of the fluctuating pressure. The damping of the apparatus shall therefore be symmetrical and linear. When a sudden change in pressure is applied, the pressure indicator shall register 99 % of that change in not more than 60 s. Damping shall not be used to conceal regular pulsations of the pressure; measurement in such conditions is beyond the scope of this International Standard.

#### B.1 Damping procedure

Damping of the apparatus shall be effected using a resistance which is linear (that is, proportional to the velocity) and symmetrical. Thus, every precaution shall be taken to avoid bending or pinching the rubber connecting pipes, and asymmetric nozzles, needle valves, or gate valves, etc., shall not be inserted between the Pitot static tube and the manometer.

A capillary tube of adequate length (for example 1 mm in diameter and 100 mm in length, if water is used as a manometric fluid) shall be incorporated, preferably in one of the manometer limbs (to ensure complete tightness of the con-

nection) or in one of the leads close to the manometer; care shall be taken to avoid any sudden reduction or expansion of the connecting pipe which would bring about an appreciable head loss in comparison with the loss due to the capillary tube.

#### B.2 Balancing of damping

It is necessary to balance the damping of the two circuits (from the static pressure tapping to the manometer on the one hand and from the total pressure tapping to the manometer on the other).<sup>1)</sup>

Such damping is essential, particularly when the time constant of the manometer is of the same order of magnitude as those of the two circuits connecting it to the Pitot static tube.

Figure 14 shows a device that ensures that the damping in the two leads from the Pitot static tube to the manometer is the same. This is particularly suitable for air flows at pressures close to ambient conditions. If the rubber tube is suddenly squeezed, an equal positive pressure pulse will be applied to both total-pressure and static-pressure holes. An initial positive manometer reading will show the need for more damping in the total-pressure lead; an initial negative reading will indicate the need for more damping in the static-pressure lead. If the rubber tube is suddenly released to show the effect of a negative pressure pulse, the above manometer readings will be reversed.




Figure 14 — Device for checking damping of pressure fluctuations in the leads to the manometer

1) The device described in *The measurement of airflow* by Ower and J. Pankhurst is useful for this purpose.

### B.3 Checking of the damping

To try to ensure that the pressure gauge resistance is linear, that is, that its operation corresponds to a laminar flow in the connections, it can be checked that the observed fluctuations correspond (for sinusoidal pulses) to a maximum fictitious Reynolds number well under 2 000. This fictitious Reynolds number is equal to

$$\frac{\pi S \Delta h d}{s t \nu}$$

where

$S$  is the surface area of the meniscus;

$s$  is the minimum section of the capillary tube used to damp the pressure gauge;

$d$  is the diameter of the capillary tube;

$\Delta h$  is the peak-to-peak magnitude of a fluctuation of the meniscus level;

$t$  is the period of this fluctuation;

$\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid;

In order to verify the damping more precisely, a controllable source of fluctuating pressure (which can cause a sinusoidal pressure difference of sufficient amplitude and of zero mean value) shall be used. The mean position of oscillation of the meniscus then corresponds to the rest position (in the absence of driving pressure) if the resistance is actually symmetrical and linear.

## Annex C

### Calculation of Pitot static tube locations for method B

Method B specifies that the Pitot static tube shall be installed in such a way that the axis of the head of the tube is aligned with the local flow direction at each radial measuring position. Figure 15 shows the nature of the locus of points at which the nose of the probe would be located if a swirling flow were present in the pipe.

These points are denoted by the crosses, and the circles give the corresponding positions of the heel of the Pitot static tube as it is located at successive positions across a diameter of the pipe.

The radial distance  $y_1$  between the nose of the Pitot static tube and the wall may be calculated from :

$$y_1 = R - \left[ (R - y)^2 + l^2 \sin^2 \theta \right]^{1/2}$$

where

$R$  is the radius of the pipe;

$y$  is the distance between the Pitot static tube heel and the wall;

$l$  is the length of the head of the Pitot static tube;

$\theta$  is the angle between the axis of the Pitot static tube head and a line parallel to the pipe axis.

This formula may also be used to calculate the position at which the heel of the Pitot static tube is to be located relative to the wall in order to position the nose of the Pitot static tube at a specified radial position. The equation can then be written :

$$y = R - \left[ (R - y_1)^2 - l^2 \sin^2 \theta \right]^{1/2}$$




Figure 15 – Radial positions of Pitot static tube in swirling flow when method B is used




Figure 16 – Pitot static tube

Licensed copy: Lee Shau Kee Library, HKUST, Version correct as of 03/01/2015, (c) The British Standards Institution 2013

## Annex D

### Corrections to be applied when a Pitot static tube is used

When a Pitot static tube is used to traverse a duct, ISO 3966 lays down several conditions which have to be met if the desired uncertainty of  $\pm 2\%$  on the flow-rate measurement is to be achieved. Many of these conditions — the minimum distance of the probe from a wall and the nature of the fluid for example — are independent of the flow pattern, and where this is the case the requirements of ISO 3966 shall be met. The existence of significant swirl is not considered in ISO 3966, however; the appropriate procedure is dealt with in clause 7 of this International Standard. The other major difference in this International Standard is that the nature and magnitude of the turbulence are not so easily predicted.

Turbulence causes an overestimation of the local velocities measured with a Pitot static tube, but in fully developed flow the turbulence level remains moderate and its approximate range of magnitude at various measuring positions in a pipe is known. Conversely, its value in asymmetric or swirling flow may vary enormously, depending on the upstream pipe configuration and the presence of any obstructions in the flow.

Since asymmetric or swirling flow patterns are caused by the same conditions as those which increase the turbulence level, it is therefore reasonable to assume that the turbulence level in situations for which this International Standard is applicable will be rather high.

In other respects, as stated in annex C of ISO 3966, the effect of a given turbulence level on the Pitot static tube response depends on a number of factors, such as the design characteristics of the probe used (shape of the nose, diameter of the head, position of the pressure tapings, etc.), its sensitivity to velocity orientation, the flow Reynolds number, etc.

For these reasons, it does not appear possible to specify a universally valid numerical value for overestimation of the measured velocities. Nevertheless, in order to go some way towards compensating for this effect, the flow-rate measurement result shall be reduced by 1 to 2% depending on the knowledge the user has of the flow and probe characteristics; the uncertainty arising from this source shall be taken as equal to the correction applied.

## Annex E

### Corrections to be applied when a current-meter is used

Much less is known about the behaviour of current-meters in non-standard conditions than is known about the behaviour of Pitot static tubes. Again, many of the restrictions placed on the use of current-meters in good flow conditions apply equally well to swirling or asymmetric flow, but it is not possible to quantify the effects of swirl and turbulence in the same way as for Pitot static tubes. The question of swirl has been dealt with in 7.4.

Axial velocity fluctuations cause current-meters to overestimate the time average axial velocity, whereas tangential velocity fluctuations cause an underestimation of the time average axial velocity.

The effect of axial fluctuations will vary according to the type of propeller used but the instrument used should have the following characteristics in order to minimize the resulting error.

- a) The aspect ratio  $\frac{(r_1 - r_2)^2}{A}$  should be as large as possible, where
  - $r_1$  is the radius of the circle described by the blade tip;
  - $r_2$  is the radius of the hub;
  - $A$  is the area of each blade surface.
- b) The pitch of the blade should be as large as possible, provided the drag forces remain insignificant by comparison with the lift forces.


- c) The material of the blade should be as light as possible (light alloy or plastic).

- d) The blade should be as thin as possible (which, for strength reasons, limits the diameter of the propeller).

In addition it should be noted that the relative error caused by axial fluctuations increases as the frequency of fluctuation increases or as the time average velocity decreases.

The relative error introduced by transverse turbulence components is effectively independent of their frequency at least up to 10 Hz, but increases as the time average velocity decreases.


Figures 17 and 18 illustrate, as an example, the order of magnitude of the overestimation of the mean velocity caused by axial fluctuations and the underestimation caused by transverse fluctuations for particular current-meters, 30 mm in diameter, when they were tested under laboratory conditions in water. It should be noted firstly that these results are not directly applicable to other types of propeller (particularly the ones more commonly used, which are 100 to 120 mm in diameter) and give only an indication of the error which might be encountered, and secondly, that the turbulence components normally encountered rarely exceed a relative amplitude of 15 % and a frequency of 4 Hz.



NOTE — This example is based on a micro current-meter with three aluminium blades, 30 mm in diameter.

Figure 17 — Example of the effect of axial pulsations on current meter velocity estimation





NOTE — This example is based on a micro current-meter with three aluminium blades, 30 mm in diameter.

Figure 18 — Example of the effect of tangential pulsations on current meter velocity estimation

## Annex F

### Errors due to non-axisymmetrical velocity distribution

Methods are described in clause 7 for assessing the axial velocity when measurements have to be made in swirling flow. For the purposes of this annex, therefore, it will be assumed that the true axial velocity at any traverse position can be measured, and only errors arising from asymmetric flow will be considered.

When flow is non-axisymmetrical, the results of measurements along a number of radii may vary greatly depending on the orientation of the traverse diameters around the pipe circumference. Furthermore, the flow-rate may either be overestimated or underestimated depending on this orientation. It is not possible to predict the direction of an error of this nature, and all that can be done is to relate the anticipated maximum error to some index of the asymmetry. The index of asymmetry to be used is the standard deviation of the mean velocities calculated on each of the radii along which a traverse is made divided by the mean calculated pipe velocity, that is,

$$Y = \frac{\sigma_{U_i}}{U}$$

In other words, if  $U_i$  is the mean velocity calculated from the individual point velocity measurements on the  $i$ th radius<sup>1)</sup>, and  $U$  is the mean axial velocity calculated from all of the individual point velocity measurements, the parameter to be used as an index of asymmetry is given by

$$Y = \frac{1}{U} \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (U_i - U)^2}{n - 1} \right]^{1/2}$$

where  $n$  is the number of radii traversed (4, 6 or 8).

The percentage uncertainty,  $E_Y$ , arising from the asymmetry is given by

$$E_Y = 0,14Y \text{ where } n = 4$$

$$E_Y = 0,07Y \text{ where } n = 6$$

$$E_Y = 0,05Y \text{ where } n = 8$$

1)  $U_i$  is not always the arithmetic average of the individual local velocities, but should be calculated in accordance with the integration technique used.

## **Publications referred to**

See national foreword.

# BS 1042 : Section 2.3 : 1984 ISO 7194-1983

This British Standard, having been prepared under the direction of the Industrial-process Measurement and Control Standards Committee, was published under the authority of the Board of BSI and comes into effect on **31 May 1984**.

© British Standards Institution, 1984  
ISBN 0 580 13852 6

## British Standards Institution

Incorporated by Royal Charter, BSI is the independent national body for the preparation of British Standards. It is the UK member of the International Organization for Standardization and UK sponsor of the British National Committee of the International Electrotechnical Commission.

## Copyright

Users of British Standards are reminded that copyright subsists in all BSI publications. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form without the prior permission in writing of BSI. This does not preclude the free use, in the course of implementing the standard, of necessary details such as symbols and size, type or grade designations. Enquiries should be addressed to the Publications Manager, British Standards Institution, Linford Wood,

Milton Keynes MK14 6LE. The number for telephone enquiries is 0908 320033 and for telex 825777.

## Contract requirements

A British Standard does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users of British Standards are responsible for their correct application.

## Revision of British Standards

British Standards are revised, when necessary, by the issue either of amendments or of revised editions. **It is important that users of British Standards should ascertain that they are in possession of the latest amendments or editions.** Information on all BSI publications is in the *BSI Catalogue*, supplemented each month by *BSI News* which is available to subscribing members of the Institution and gives details of new publications, revisions, amendments and withdrawn standards. Any person who, when making use of a British Standard, encounters an inaccuracy or ambiguity, is requested to notify BSI without delay in order that the matter may be investigated and appropriate action taken.

The following BSI references relate to the work on this standard: Committee reference PCL/2 Draft for comment 82/25605 DC

## Committees responsible for this British Standard

The preparation of this British Standard was entrusted by the Industrial-process Measurement and Control Standards Committee (PCL/-) to Technical Committee PCL/2 upon which the following bodies were represented:

British Compressed Air Society  
British Gas Corporation  
Department of Energy, Gas Standards  
Department of Trade and Industry, National Engineering Laboratory  
Department of Trade and Industry, National Weights and Measures Laboratory  
Electricity Supply Industry in England and Wales  
Energy Industries Council  
Gambica (Beama Ltd.)

Institute of Measurement and Control  
Institute of Petroleum  
Institute of Trading Standards Administration  
Institution of Gas Engineers  
Society of Chemical Industry  
STC Water Regulations and Fittings Scheme  
United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority  
Coopted member

The following bodies were also represented in the drafting of the standard, through subcommittees and panels:

Fan Manufacturers' Association  
Hevac Association  
National Coal Board  
Water Research Centre

## Amendments issued since publication

Amd. No.	Date of issue	Text affected

British Standards Institution · 2 Park Street London W1A 2BS · Telephone 01-629 9000 · Telex 266933