

BS ISO 16698:2013



BSI Standards Publication

Space environment (natural and artificial) — Methods for estimation of future geomagnetic activity

bsi.

...making excellence a habit.™

National foreword

This British Standard is the UK implementation of ISO 16698:2013.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee ACE/68/-/4, Space systems and operations - Space environment (natural and artificial).

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2013. Published by BSI Standards Limited 2013

ISBN 978 0 580 73123 5

ICS 49.140

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 June 2013.

Amendments issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD

ISO
16698

First edition
2013-05-01

Space environment (natural and artificial) — Methods for estimation of future geomagnetic activity

Environnement spatial (naturel et artificiel) — Méthodes d'estimation de l'activité magnétique future



Reference number
ISO 16698:2013(E)

© ISO 2013



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2013

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Symbols and abbreviated terms	1
3 General parameters	1
3.1 Geomagnetic field variations.....	1
3.2 Quiet level and disturbance fields.....	2
3.3 K index (local 3 h range index).....	2
3.4 Kp, ΣKp , ap, and Ap indices (planetary indices).....	2
3.5 aa index (antipodal amplitude index).....	4
3.6 Dst index (storm time disturbance index).....	4
3.7 ASY and SYM indices (mid-latitude disturbance indices).....	5
3.8 AU, AL, AE, and AO indices (auroral electrojet indices).....	5
3.9 Time lag in the derivation and temporal resolution (sampling).....	6
4 Classification of prediction	6
4.1 Short-term prediction.....	6
4.2 Middle-term prediction.....	8
4.3 Long-term prediction.....	8
5 Methods of prediction	9
5.1 Prediction based on statistical models.....	9
5.2 Prediction based on physical principle.....	9
6 Evaluation of prediction efficiency	9
6.1 Definition of prediction error.....	9
6.2 Methods of evaluation.....	9
7 Compliance criteria	10
7.1 Rationale.....	10
7.2 Reporting.....	10
7.3 Documenting.....	10
7.4 Publishing.....	10
7.5 Archiving.....	10
Annex A (informative) Websites where geomagnetic indices are available	11
Annex B (informative) Websites where the space weather predictions and/or “now casting” are presented	12
Annex C (informative) Definition of various skill scores	13
Bibliography	14

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 16698 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 20, *Aircraft and space vehicles*, Subcommittee SC 14, *Space systems and operations*.

Introduction

This International Standard provides guidelines for specifying the process of estimating future geomagnetic activity. Geomagnetic indices describe the variation of the geomagnetic field over a certain time period and provide a measure of the disturbance of the magnetosphere.

The accuracy and method of predicting geomagnetic indices depends on the time scale of prediction. This International Standard presents existing works based on three categories of time scale:

- a) short-term prediction (1 h to a few days);
- b) middle-term prediction (a few weeks to a few months);
- c) long-term prediction (half year to one solar cycle).

These are required as input parameters for the magnetospheric magnetic field (ISO 22009), upper atmosphere (ISO 14222), ionosphere, plasmasphere (ISO/TS 16457), magnetosphere charged particles, and other models of the near-Earth space environment. They also serve as the input parameters for orbital lifetime prediction and worst-case environment analysis of electrostatic charging.

Three International Standards deal with the Earth's magnetic field, including ISO 16695 on the internal magnetic field, ISO 22009 on the magnetospheric magnetic field, and this International Standard.

Space environment (natural and artificial) — Methods for estimation of future geomagnetic activity

1 Scope

This International Standard specifies the methods used for estimating geomagnetic indices for time intervals ranging from the short-term (hours to a few months) to the long-term (months to years).

Geomagnetic indices are used to describe the activity levels of the disturbance of the geomagnetic field. These indices can be used to estimate upper atmospheric and plasmaspheric densities and many other space environment models. They are also used as the input parameters for orbital lifetime prediction and worst-case environment analysis of electrostatic charging.

This International Standard is intended for use to predict future geomagnetic indices and space environment.

2 Symbols and abbreviated terms

Bs	Southward component of the interplanetary field (Bs = 0 when Bz ≥ 0 and Bs = Bz when Bz < 0)
Bz	North-south component of the interplanetary field
F10.7 flux	Measure of the solar radio flux at a wavelength of 10,7 cm at the earth's orbit, given in units of 10 ⁻²² W·m ⁻²
GLat	Geographic latitude
GLon	Geographic longitude
IMF	Interplanetary magnetic field
MLat	Geomagnetic latitude
MLon	Geomagnetic longitude
MHD	Magnetohydrodynamics
Sq	Daily geomagnetic field variations during quiet conditions (Solar quiet)
UT	Universal time

3 General parameters

3.1 Geomagnetic field variations

The geomagnetic field consists of internal and external magnetic fields. The internal (main) magnetic field is produced by source currents that are mostly inside the Earth's core and by induced currents present in the solid Earth and the ocean, caused by the temporal variation of external magnetic fields. The external magnetic field is produced by magnetospheric and ionospheric currents.

The magnetosphere is highly dynamic with time scales ranging from minutes to days. Solar wind is the ultimate source of magnetospheric dynamics. The role played by the IMF (interplanetary magnetic field) north-south component, Bz, is particularly important, and its southward component, Bs, plays a

fundamental role in substorm and magnetic storm activity through the process of magnetic field line reconnection. Solar wind speed also plays an essential role in these dynamics.

3.2 Quiet level and disturbance fields

Five days of every month are selected as the Five International Quietest Days using the Kp index (see 3.4.1). Note that the five quietest days are selected regardless of the absolute level of quietness. Thus, in a disturbed month, the quietest days may not be very quiet.

Derivation: The quietest days (Q-days) of each month are selected using the Kp indices based on three criteria for each day: (1) the sum of the eight Kp values, (2) the sum of squares of the eight Kp values, and (3) the maximum of the eight Kp values. According to each of these criteria, a relative order number is assigned to each day of the month; the three order numbers are then averaged and the days with the first to fifth lowest mean order numbers are selected as the five international quietest days.

Reference: Website of the Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum (http://www-app3.gfz-potsdam.de/kp_index/qddescription.html).

Once the quiet level is determined using the Five International Quietest Days, disturbance fields can be obtained as deviations from the quiet level of geomagnetic field.

3.3 K index (local 3 h range index)

The K index is a number in the range 0 (quiet) to 9 (disturbed) that provides a local classification of the variations of the geomagnetic field observed after subtraction of the regular daily variation (Sq). Each activity level relates almost logarithmically to the corresponding disturbance amplitude of the horizontal field component during a 3 h UT interval. In a day, eight K indices are given in successive 3 h UT (universal time) intervals (0 h to 3 h, 3 h to 6 h, ..., 21 h to 24 h UT).

Derivation: The ranges R for the H and D (or X and Y) components are defined as the expected difference between the highest and lowest deviation, within the three-hour interval, from a smooth curve (a regular daily variation) for that element on a magnetically quiet day. Only the larger value of R, i.e. R for the most disturbed element, is taken as the basis of K. To convert from R to K, a permanent scale prepared for each observatory is used. Table 1 is an example of the permanent scale for the Niemegk observatory.

References: Bartels et al. [1939], Mayaud [1980], Menvielle et al. [2011]

Table 1 — Permanent conversion scale from R to K for Niemegk observatory

Range (nT)	0-5	5-10	10-20	20-40	40-70	70-120	120-200	200-330	330-500	≥500
K value	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

3.4 Kp, ΣKp, ap, and Ap indices (planetary indices)

The planetary indices, Kp, ΣKp, ap, and Ap, are derived from 13 selected mid-latitude observatories (see Table 2). The derivation scheme for each index is described in the corresponding subsection.

Table 2 — Thirteen observatories that contributed to the Kp index

Observatory, country	Code	GLat (°N)	GLon (°E)	MLat (°)	Notes
Meannook, Canada	MEA	54.617	246.667	62.5	
Sitka, USA	SIT	57.058	224.675	60.0	
Lerwick, Shetland Is.,UK	LER	60.133	358.817	58.9	
Ottawa, Canada	OTT	45.400	284.450	58.9	Replaced Agincourt in 1969
Uppsala, Sweden	UPS	59.903	17.353	58.5	Replaced Lovo in 2004
Eskdalemuir, UK	ESK	55.317	356.800	54.3	
Brorfelde, Denmark	BJE	55.625	11.672	52.7	Replaced Rude Skov in 1984
Fredericksburg, USA	FRD	38.205	282.627	51.8	Replaced Cheltenham in 1957
Wingst, Germany	WNG	53.743	9.073	50.9	
Niemegk, Germany	NGK	52.072	12.675	48.8	Replaced Witteveen in 1988
Hartland, UK	HAD	50.995	355.517	50.0	Replaced Abinger in 1957
Canberra, Australia	CNB	-35.317	149.367	-45.2	Replaced Toolangi in 1981
Eyrewell, New Zealand	EYR	-43.424	172.354	-50.2	Replaced Amberley in 1978

3.4.1 Kp index (planetary 3 h range index)

The Kp index is assigned to successive 3 h UT intervals (0 h to 3 h, 3 h to 6 h, ..., 21 h to 24 h UT), giving eight values per UT day, and ranges in 28 steps from 0 (quiet) to 9 (disturbed) with intermediate values denoted by -, o, or +, resulting in 0o, 0+, 1-, 1o, 1+, 2-, 2o, 2+, ..., 8-, 8o, 8+, 9-, and 9o.

Derivation: The K indices at the 13 observatories given in [Table 2](#) are standardized by means of conversion tables that have been established through the rather complicated procedure introduced by Bartels [1949]. The standardized K indices, called the Ks index, are averaged using weighting factors to derive the Kp index.

References: Bartels [1949], Mayaud [1980], Menvielle et al. [2011]

3.4.2 ΣKp index (planetary daily range index)

ΣKp is the sum of the eight Kp values of the day.

3.4.3 ap index (planetary 3 h equivalent amplitude index)

The Kp index is not linearly related to the geomagnetic disturbances measured in the unit of nT. Instead, the ap index is introduced as it is roughly proportional to the geomagnetic disturbances. One ap unit corresponds to approximately 2 nT of geomagnetic variations.

Derivation: The ap index is derived directly from the Kp index by using the conversion table shown in [Table 3](#).

References: Bartels and Veldkamp [1954], Mayaud [1980], Menvielle et al. [2011]

Table 3 — Conversion table from the Kp index to the ap index

Kp	0o	0+	1-	1o	1+	2-	2o	2+	3-	3o	3+	4-	4o	4+
ap	0	2	3	4	5	6	7	9	12	15	18	22	27	32
Kp	5-	5o	5+	6-	6o	6+	7-	7o	7+	8-	8o	8+	9-	9o
ap	39	48	56	67	80	94	111	132	154	179	207	236	300	400

3.4.4 Ap index (planetary daily equivalent amplitude index)

The Ap index is the average of the eight values of the ap index in a UT day.

3.5 aa index (antipodal amplitude index)

The aa index is a simple measure of global geomagnetic activity, which can be traced back continuously to 1868.

Derivation: The aa index is produced from the K indices of two nearly antipodal magnetic observatories in England and Australia, which are listed in [Table 4](#). The K indices at the two observatories are converted back to amplitudes using [Table 5](#). The aa index is computed as an average of the northern and southern values of amplitude using the weighting factors, λ , shown in [Table 4](#).

References: Mayaud [1971]

Table 4 — Observatories in England and Australia contributing to the aa index

Observatory, country	Code	Period	GLat (°N)	GLon (°E)	MLat (°)	λ
Greenwich, England		1868–1925				1,007
Ablinger, England	ABN	1926–1956	51.18	359.62	53.4	0,934
Hartland, England	HAD	1957–	50.97	355.52	54.0	1,059
Melbourne, Australia		1868–1919				0,967
Toolangi, Australia	TOO	1920–1979	–37.53	145.47	–45.6	1,033
Canberra, Australia	CNB	1979–	–35.30	149.00	–42.9	1,084

Table 5 — Conversion table from the K index at the aa observatories to amplitudes

K index	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Amplitude	2,3	7,3	15	30	55	95	160	265	415	667

3.6 Dst index (storm time disturbance index)

The Dst index is a measure of the axially symmetric part of the H component along the geomagnetic equator on the ground, and the main physical source is a combination of the equatorial ring current, the plasma sheet current and the magnetopause current.

Derivation: The Dst index is defined as the average of the disturbance variations of the H component, D_i , at the four observatories ($i = 1$ to 4) listed in [Table 6](#), divided by the average of the cosines of the dipole latitudes at the observatories for normalization to the dipole equator. Dst is computed for each UT hourly interval from the four observatories.

References: Sugiura [1964], Sugiura and Kamei [1991]

Table 6 — Four observatories contributing to the Dst index

Observatory, country	Code	GLat (°N)	GLon (°E)	Dipole Lat (°)
Kakioka, Japan	KAK	36.230	140.190	26.0
San Juan, USA	SJG	18.113	293.850	29.6
Honolulu, USA	HON	21.320	201.998	21.1
Hermanus, South Africa	HER	–34.425	19.225	–33.3

3.7 ASY and SYM indices (mid-latitude disturbance indices)

The disturbance fields in mid- and low latitudes are generally not axially symmetric, in particular in the developing phase of a magnetic storm. To describe the asymmetric and symmetric disturbance fields in mid-latitudes with a high time resolution of 1 min, longitudinally asymmetric (ASY) and symmetric (SYM) disturbance indices were introduced and derived for both the H and D components. The SYM-H index is approximately the same as the Dst index, while its time resolution is 1 min.

Derivation: The ASY/SYM indices are derived from six selected mid-latitude observatories (see [Table 7](#)) in the following four steps: (1) subtraction of the geomagnetic main field and the Sq field to obtain the disturbance field component, (2) coordinate transformation to a dipole coordinate system, (3) calculation of the longitudinally symmetric indices, SYM-H and SYM-D, by taking averages of disturbance fields of the six stations, and (4) calculation of the asymmetric disturbance indices, ASY-H and ASY-D, by computing the range between the maximum and the minimum asymmetric fields.

References: Iyemori et al. [1992], Menvielle et al. [2011]

Table 7 — Six observatories contributing to the SYM/ASY indices

Observatory, country	Code	GLat (°N)	GLon (°E)	Mlat (°)	Mlon (°E)	Rotation angle (°)
Memambetsu, Japan	MMB	43.9	144.2	34.6	210.2	-16.1
Honolulu, USA	HON	21.3	202.0	21.5	268.6	0.5
Tuscon, USA	TUC	32.3	249.2	40.4	314.6	2.7
Fredericksburg, USA	FRD	38.2	282.6	49.1	352.2	0.4
Hermanus, South Africa	HER	-34.4	19.2	-33.7	82.7	-10.1
Urmuqu, China	WMQ	43.8	87.7	34.3	162.5	7.7

3.8 AU, AL, AE, and AO indices (auroral electrojet indices)

The auroral electrojet indices are measures of the intensity of the auroral electrojets and consist of four indices, AU, AL, AE and AO. The AU and AL indices are intended to express the strongest current intensity of the eastward and westward auroral electrojets, respectively. The AE index represents the overall activity of the electrojets, and the AO index provides a measure of the equivalent zonal current.

Derivation: The auroral electrojet indices are derived from geomagnetic variations in the H component observed at 12 selected observatories along the auroral zone in the northern hemisphere (see [Table 8](#)). The AU and AL indices are respectively defined by the largest and the smallest values thus selected. The symbols, AU and AL, derive from the fact that these values form the upper and lower envelopes of the superposed plots of all the data from these stations as functions of UT. The difference, AU minus AL, defines the AE index, and the mean value of the AU and AL, i.e. $(AU+AL)/2$, defines the AO index.

References: Davis and Sugiura [1966], Kamei and Maeda [1981]

Table 8 — Twelve (and obsolete three) observatories contributing to the AE index

Observatory, country	Code	GLat (°N)	GLon (°E)	MLat (°)	MLon (°E)	Notes
Abisko, Sweden	ABK	68.36	18.82	66.06	114.66	
Dixon Island, Russia	DIK	73.55	80.57	64.04	162.53	
Cape Chelyuskin, Russia	CCS	77.72	104.28	67.48	177.82	
Tixie Bay, Russia	TIK	71.58	129.00	61.76	193.71	
Pebek, Russia	PBK	70.09	170.93	63.82	223.31	Opened in 2001/04
Barrow, USA	BRW	71.30	203.25	69.57	246.18	
College, USA	CMO	64.87	212.17	65.38	261.18	
Yellowknife, Canada	YKC	62.40	245.60	68.87	299.53	
Fort Churchill, Canada	FCC	58.80	265.90	67.98	328.36	
Sanikiluaq, Canada	SNK	56.5	280.8	66.6	349.7	Opened in 2007/12
Narssarsuaq, Denmark	NAQ	61.20	314.16	69.96	37.95	
Leirvogur, Iceland	LRV	64.18	338.30	69.32	71.04	
Cape Wellen, Russia	CWE	66.17	190.17	62.88	241.36	Closed in 1996
Great Whale River, Russia	GWR	55.27	282.22	65.45	351.77	Closed in 1984/07
Poste-de-la-Baleine, Canada	PBQ	55.27	282.22	65.45	351.77	Opened in 1984/09 Closed in 2007/11

3.9 Time lag in the derivation and temporal resolution (sampling)

Some of the indices mentioned above have different classes (generations) for operational use. That is, for quasi-real-time derivation, a different naming convention is used to distinguish from the original definition with quality-controlled data. For example, in the case of the Dst index, there are Real-Time (Quick-Look) Dst, Provisional Dst and Final Dst. There are also attempts to increase the temporal resolution of the indices (e.g. Gannon and Love, 2011). (See [Annex A](#).)

4 Classification of prediction

The accuracy and method of predicting geomagnetic indices depends on the time scale of prediction. Subclauses [4.1](#) to [4.3](#) introduce some of the existing works which are based on a classification of three time-scale categories: short-term (1 h to a few days), middle-term (a few weeks to a few months), and long-term (half year to one solar cycle). Some of them are actually used and the results made available online (see [Annex B](#)).

4.1 Short-term prediction

Stimulated by the space weather programmes, there are many proposed methods and related research papers for predicting geomagnetic indices in a time scale of 1 h to a few days. These fall into four categories: (1) linear prediction technique, (2) neural network model, (3) probabilistic prediction with solar wind data, and (4) MHD (magnetohydrodynamics) simulation. Most of the recent techniques need real-time solar wind parameters and near-real-time geomagnetic observations as the input. Predicting solar wind disturbance from solar surface observation may be a key to improving geomagnetic index predictions.

Examples of prediction:

Kp, ap, and Ap indices

McPherron R.L., Predicting the Ap index from past behavior and solar wind velocity, *Phys. Chem. Earth (C)*, **24**, pp. 45-56, 1999. (Type 1)

Boberg F. et al., Real time Kp predictions from solar wind data using neural networks, *Phys. Chem. Earth (C)*, **25**, pp. 275-280, 2000. (Type 2)

Costello K.A., Moving the Rice MSFM into a real-time forecast mode using solar wind driven forecast models, Ph.D. dissertation, Rice Univ., Houston, Texas, 1998 (<http://hdl.handle.net/1911/19251>). (Type 2)

Thomson A.W.P., Nonlinear predictions of Ap by activity class and numerical value, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **146**, pp. 163-193, 1996. (Type 2)

Wing S. et al., Kp forecast models, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, A04203, doi:10.1029/2004JA010500, 2005. (Type 2)

Detman T. and Joselyn J., Real-time Kp predictions from ACE real time solar wind, *Solar Wind Nine*, edited by Habbal et al., AIP Conf. Proc., 271, pp. 729-732, 1999. (Type 2)

McPherron R.L. et al., Probabilistic forecasting of the 3-h ap index, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, **32**, pp. 1425-1438, 2004. (Type 3)

Dst index

Balikhin M.A. et al., Terrestrial magnetosphere as a nonlinear resonator, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **28**, pp. 1123-1126, 2001. (Type 1)

Boaghe O.M. et al., Identification of nonlinear processes in the magnetospheric dynamics and forecasting of Dst index, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106**, pp. 30047-30066, 2001. (Type 1)

Iyemori, T. and Maeda H., Prediction of geomagnetic activities from solar wind parameters based on the linear prediction theory, in *Solar-Terrestrial Predictions Proceedings, Vol. IV*, ed. by R.F. Donnelly, Apr.23-27, 1979, Boulder, 1980. (Type 1)

Lundstedt H., Solar origin of geomagnetic storms and prediction of storms with the use of neural networks, *Surv. Geophys.*, **17**, pp. 561-573, 1996. (Type 2)

Stepanova M.L. et al., Prediction of Dst variations from polar cap indices using time-delay neural network, *J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys.*, **67**, pp. 1658-1664, 2005. (Type 2)

Burton R.K. et al., Empirical relationship between interplanetary conditions and Dst, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **80**, pp. 4204-4214, 1975. (Type 3)

O'Brien T.P. and McPherron R.L., Forecasting the ring current Dst in real time, *J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys.*, **62**, pp. 1295-1299, 2000. (Type 3)

Temerin M. and Li X., A new model for the prediction of Dst on the basis of the solar wind, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **107**, p. 1472, doi:10.1029/2001JA007532, 2002. (Type 3)

Fok M.-C. et al., Comprehensive computational model of the Earth's ring current, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **106**, pp. 8417-8424, 2001. (Type 4)

AE indices

Iyemori, T. and Maeda H., Prediction of geomagnetic activities from solar wind parameters based on the linear prediction theory, in *Solar-Terrestrial Predictions Proceedings, Vol. IV*, ed. by R.F. Donnelly, Apr.23-27, 1979, Boulder, 1980. (Type 1)

Palocchia G. et al., AE index forecast at different time scales through an ANN algorithm based on L1 IMF and plasma measurements, *J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys.*, **70**, pp. 663-668, 2008. (Type 2)

Takalo J. and Timonen J., Neural network prediction of the AE index from the PC index, *Phys. Chem. Earth (C)*, **24**, pp. 89-92, 1999. (Type 2)

Li X. et al., Prediction of the AL index using solar wind parameters, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, A06224, doi:10.1029/2006JA011918, 2007. (Type 3)

Kitamura K. et al., Properties of AE indices derived from real-time global simulation and their implications for solar wind-magnetosphere coupling, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **113**, A03S10, doi:10.1029/2007JA012514, 2008. (Type 4)

4.2 Middle-term prediction

There are only a few research papers that use recurrences of geomagnetic disturbances in a time scale of a few weeks to a few months.

Example of prediction:

Zhou X.-Y. and Wei F.-S., Prediction of recurrent geomagnetic disturbances by using adaptive filtering, *Earth Planets Space*, **50**, pp. 839-845, 1998. (prediction of the Kp index)

4.3 Long-term prediction

There are very few proposed techniques and/or research papers on predicting geomagnetic indices in a time scale of half a year to one solar cycle, as compared with those on solar activities such as sun spot numbers or F10.7 flux. However, the sun spot number or F10.7 flux indicates quite different behaviour from geomagnetic indices such as the aa index during some solar cycles. Therefore, the long-term prediction method of geomagnetic indices is necessary.

Examples of prediction:

Niehuss K.O. et al., Statistical technique for intermediate and long-range estimation of 13-month smoothed solar flux and geomagnetic index, *NASA Technical Memorandum 4759*, 1996. (prediction of the Ap index)

Cliver E.W. et al., A prediction of geomagnetic activity for solar cycle 23, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **104**, pp. 6871-6876, 1999. (prediction of the aa index).

Long-term prediction of solar activities (sun spot number and F10.7 flux) is presented by NOAA/Space Weather Prediction Center (see [Annex B](#)). The possibility of combining the technique of solar activity prediction with the solar-geomagnetic disturbance relationship has been examined in a number of studies.

Examples of solar-geomagnetic disturbance relationship:

Clilverd M.A. et al., Increased magnetic storm activity from 1868 to 1995, *J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys.*, **60**, pp. 1047-1056, 1998.

Stamper R. et al., Solar causes of the long-term increase in geomagnetic activity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **104**, pp. 28325-28342, 1999.

5 Methods of prediction

The prediction methods can be split into two broad categories: (1) those based on a statistical model, and (2) those based on a physical principle.

5.1 Prediction based on statistical models

5.1.1 Prediction filter

This method of prediction uses data from a preceding interval of similar (or longer) length to that of the period to be predicted. Precision of prediction generally depends on the temporal distance between the most recent data and the period to be predicted. There are two types of prediction: one uses the index of the preceding interval as the input data (see Zhou and Wei, 1998) and the other uses the solar wind parameters (see Iyemori and Maeda, 1980; McPherron et al., 2004; Li et al., 2007).

5.1.2 Neural network model

There are several neural-network models. This method is applicable for time scales of several days to one sunspot cycle. It has been concluded that the interplanetary magnetic field and solar wind plasma data are significant components for any of the models (see Thomson, 1996; Wing et al., 2005).

5.1.3 Regression analysis

This method is based on the periodicity of geomagnetic disturbances such as the sun spot cycle, annual or semi-annual variation (see Joselyn, 1995). Predictions made over long time scales (one to ten years) require the prediction of a sunspot number (see Feynman and Gu, 1986). Similar techniques used to predict the F10.7 flux and Ap index (e.g. Niehuss et al., 1996) are also available.

5.2 Prediction based on physical principle

This type of prediction is based on numerical MHD simulation of the magnetospheric process or energy principle. These methods need the solar wind parameters as the input. See, for example, Burton et al. (1975) and Kitamura et al. (2008).

6 Evaluation of prediction efficiency

6.1 Definition of prediction error

For a simple time series, the most popular definition of prediction error is as the average of the square of the differences between the predicted values and the observed values. This provides a reasonable measure of prediction error.

6.2 Methods of evaluation

It has been reported that the accuracy of prediction is different for the sunspot maximum and minimum period. It has also been reported that the accuracy is different for different solar cycles (see Feynman and Gu, 1986). Accuracy is also different depending on the time scale of prediction. The prediction efficiency should therefore be given together with the conditions applied for its evaluation.

A prediction can be evaluated using a skill score. In the case of a dichotomous forecast, the true skill statistics, the Gilbert skill score, the Heidke skill score, and others can be used (see Detman and Joselyn, 1999). If predicting continuous-variables, the mean square skill score can be used (see Murphy, 1988). These skill scores are detailed in [Annex C](#).

7 Compliance criteria

7.1 Rationale

The prediction principle and scheme should be described concisely and clearly. They should be published as scientific articles in refereed/peer-review international journals and their references should be available to the public. Otherwise, journal-style documents suitable for publication in international journals should be accessible to the public.

7.2 Reporting

Prediction results of geomagnetic indices should be made public for evaluation and application by third parties (e.g. individuals or institutes who are interested in the prediction results). As a minimum, digital values of the prediction results should be given in the same data format as the corresponding geomagnetic indices, such as the WDC exchange format.

7.3 Documenting

The following information relating to prediction should be clearly documented or displayed.

a) Input:

- 1) types of data;
- 2) source of data;
- 3) time resolution of data;
- 4) number of data points;
- 5) time of data acquisition.

b) Output:

- 1) types of predicting data;
- 2) time of predicting data;
- 3) time at which prediction was performed.

c) Miscellaneous:

- 1) type of prediction method (choose from the four types listed in [Clause 4](#), otherwise describe briefly);
- 2) point of contact.

7.4 Publishing

When the geomagnetic index becomes available, comparison should be made with the prediction results. Comparison includes calculating the prediction error, skill score, correlation coefficients, and so on, as listed in [Clause 5](#).

7.5 Archiving

The results of prediction should be archived and available to the public for evaluation.

Annex A (informative)

Websites where geomagnetic indices are available

(1) GFZ-Potsdam

http://www-app3.gfz-potsdam.de/kp_index/ (Kp)

(2) Service International des Indices Géomagnétiques (ISGI)

<http://isgi.latmos.ipsl.fr/lesdonne.htm> (aa, am, Kp, AE, Dst, PC)

(3) WDC for Geomagnetism, Kyoto

<http://wdc.kugi.kyoto-u.ac.jp/wdc/Sec3.html> (AE, Dst, ASY/SYM, RT-AE, RT-Dst)

(4) Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute

http://www.aari.nw.ru/index_en.html (PCS)

(5) WDC for Geomagnetism, Copenhagen

<ftp://ftp.space.dtu.dk/WDC/indices/pcn/> (PCN)

(6) US Geological Survey

<http://geomag.usgs.gov/dst/> (RT-USGS-Dst)

Annex B (informative)

Websites where the space weather predictions and/or “now casting” are presented

(1) NOAA Space Environment Center

<http://www.swpc.noaa.gov/>

(2) Magnetospheric Specification and Forecast model (MSFM)

<http://space.rice.edu/ISTP/dials.html>

(3) International Space Weather Service

<http://www.ises-spaceweather.org/>

(4) NiCT Space Environment Information Service

http://www2.nict.go.jp/y/y223/sw_portal/sw_portal-e.html

(5) Belgium SIDC

<http://sidc.oma.be/>

(6) The Australian Space Weather Agency

http://www.ips.gov.au/Space_Weather

(7) WINDMI model

<http://orion.ph.utexas.edu/~windmi/>

(8) Lund space weather model

<http://www.lund.irf.se/rwc/>

(9) CISM forecast model

<http://www.bu.edu/cism/>

<http://lasp.colorado.edu/cism/>

(10) Solar Cycle Progression, NOAA/Space Weather Prediction Center

<http://www.swpc.noaa.gov/SolarCycle/>

Annex C (informative)

Definition of various skill scores

C.1 Dichotomous forecast

In the following contingency table:

		Forecast	
		Yes	No
Observed	Yes	x (hits)	y (misses)
	No	z (false alarm)	w (correct negatives)

The true skill score (TSS) is defined as:

$$\text{TSS} = \frac{xw - yz}{(x + y)(z + w)}$$

The Gilbert skill score (GSS) is defined as:

$$\text{GSS} = \frac{x - c_1}{x + y + z - c_1}$$

$$c_1 = \frac{(x + y)(x + z)}{x + y + z + w}$$

The Heidke skill score (HSS) is defined as:

$$\text{HSS} = \frac{x + w - c_2}{x + y + z + w - c_2}$$

$$c_2 = \frac{(x + y)(x + z) + (w + y)(w + z)}{x + y + z + w}$$

C.2 Continuous variables

The mean-square skill score (SS) is defined as:

$$\text{SS} = 1 - \frac{\text{MSE}(f, x)}{\text{MSE}(x, x)}$$

$$\text{MSE}(f, x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i - x_i)^2$$

where MSE represents “mean square error”; f_i and x_i denote the i th forecast and i th observation, respectively; \bar{x} is the mean value of x over $i = 1 - n$.

Bibliography

- [1] ISO 22009, *Space systems — Space environment (natural and artificial) — Model of the earth's magnetospheric magnetic field*
- [2] ISO 14222¹⁾, *Space environment (natural and artificial) — Earth upper atmosphere*
- [3] ISO/TS 16457, *Space systems — Space environment (natural and artificial) — The Earth's ionosphere model: international reference ionosphere (IRI) model and extensions to the plasmasphere*
- [4] ISO 16695²⁾, *Space environment (natural and artificial) — Earth's internal magnetic reference field models*
- [5] AKASOFU S.I., & FRY C.F. A 1st Generation Numerical Geomagnetic Storm Prediction Scheme. *Planet. Space Sci.* 1986, **34** (1) pp. 77–92
- [6] BAKER D.N., BARGATZE L.F., ZWICKL R.D. Magnetospheric Response to the Imf - Substorms. *J. Geomag. Geoelectr.* 1986, **38** (11) pp. 1047–1073
- [7] Baker D.N., Weigel R.S., Rigler F., McPherron R.L., Vassilladis D., Arge C.N. et al. Sun-to-magnetosphere modeling: CISM forecast model development using linked empirical methods. *J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys.* 2004, **66** pp. 15–16, pp. 1491–1497
- [8] BARGATZE L.F., BAKER D.N., MCPHERRON R.L., HONES E.W. Magnetospheric Impulse-Response for Many Levels of Geomagnetic-Activity. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1985, **90** (NA7) pp. 6387–6394
- [9] BARTELS J. The standardized index, Ks, and the planetary index, Kp. *IATME Bulletin.* 1949, **12b** p. 97
- [10] BARTELS J., HECK N.H., JOHNSTON H.F. The three-hour-range index measuring geomagnetic activity. *Terr. Magn. Atmos. Electr.* 1939, **44** (4) pp. 411–454. DOI: doi:10.1029/TE044i004p00411
- [11] BARTELS J., & VELDKAMP J. International data on magnetic disturbances, first quarter, 1954. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1954, **59** (3) pp. 423–427
- [12] BLANCHARD G.T., & MCPHERRON R.L. Analysis of the Linear-Response Function Relating Al to Vbs for Individual Substorms. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1995, **100** (A10) pp. 19155–19165
- [13] BOAGHE O.M., BALIKHIN M.A., BILLINGS S.A., ALLEYNE H. Identification of nonlinear processes in the magnetospheric dynamics and forecasting of Dst index. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2001, **106** (A12) pp. 30047–30066
- [14] BOBERG F., WINTOFT P., LUNDSTEDT H. Real time Kp predictions from solar wind data using neural networks. *Phys. Chem. Earth (C).* 2000, **25** (4) pp. 275–280
- [15] BURTON R.K., MCPHERRON R.L., RUSSELL C.T. Empirical Relationship between Interplanetary Conditions and Dst. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1975, **80** (31) pp. 4204–4214
- [16] CLAUER C.R. The technique of linear prediction filters applied to studies of solar wind-magnetosphere coupling. In: *Solar Wind-Magnetosphere Coupling*, (KAMIDE Y., & SLAVIN J.A. eds.). Terra Scientific Publishing Co, 1986, pp. 39–57.
- [17] CLAUER C.R., MCPHERRON R.L., SEARLS C., KIVELSON M.G. Solar-Wind Control of Auroral-Zone Geomagnetic-Activity. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1981, **8** (8) pp. 915–918
- [18] DETMAN T., & JOSELYN J.A. Real-time Kp predictions from ACE real time solar wind. In: *Solar Wind Nine*, (HABBAL S.R. et al. eds.). AIP Conf. Proc., **Vol. 471**, 1999, pp. 729–32.

1) To be published.

2) To be published.

- [19] DETMAN T., & VASSILIADIS D. Review of techniques for magnetic storm forecasting. In: *Magnetic Storms*, (TSURUTANI B.T., GONZALEZ A.L.C., KAMIDE Y., ARBALLO J.K. eds.). American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, 1997, pp. 253.
- [20] Doggett K.A. (1993), An operational forecast verification at the Space Environment Laboratory, in *Solar-Terrestrial predictions, IV, Proceedings of a Workshop*, edited by J. Hruska, Ottawa, Canada
- [21] Doggett K.A. (1994), Verification of NOAA Space Environment Laboratory Forecasts: 1 January-31 December 1994, in *NOAA Tech. Memo*, edited, p. 64, Boulder, CO
- [22] DOXAS I., & HORTON W. Magnetospheric dynamics from a low-dimensional nonlinear dynamics model. *Phys. Plasmas*. 1999, **6** (5) pp. 2198–2204
- [23] DOXAS I., HORTON W., SMITH J.P. A physics based nonlinear dynamical model for the solar wind driven magnetosphere-ionosphere system. *Phys. Chem. Earth (C)*. 1999, **24** (1-3) pp. 67–71
- [24] DOXAS I., HORTON W., SMITH J.P. A physics based nonlinear dynamical model for the solar wind driven magnetosphere-ionosphere system. *Phys. Chem. Earth (C)*. 1999, **24** (1-3) pp. 67–71
- [25] DRYER M., AKASOFU S.I., KROEHL H.W., SAGALYN R., WU S.T., TASCIONE T.F. et al. *The solar/interplanetary/magnetosphere/ionosphere connection: a strategy for prediction of geomagnetic storms*. Advances Astro. Sci, 1986, pp. 58.
- [26] ECHER E., ALVES M.V., GONZALEZ W.D. Geoeffectiveness of interplanetary shocks during solar minimum (1995-1996) and solar maximum (2000). *Sol. Phys.* 2004, **221** (2) pp. 361–380
- [27] FAY R.A., GARRITY C.R., MCPHERRON R.L., BARGATZE L.F. Prediction filters for the Dst index and polar cap potential. In: *Solar Wind-Magnetosphere Coupling*, (KAMIDE Y., & SLAVIN J.A. eds.). Terra Scientific Publishing Co, 1986, pp. 111–7.
- [28] FOTI M.A., & ARIZMENDI C.M. Randomness in geomagnetic storms: Chaos or noise? *Fractals. An Interdisciplinary Journal on the Complex Geometry of Nature*. 1997, **5** (1) pp. 169–173
- [29] FRANCO C., & MENVIELLE M. A model for the am (Km) planetary geomagnetic activity index and application to prediction. *Geophys. J. Int.* 1996, **125** (3) pp. 729–746
- [30] Freeman J., Nagai A., Reiff P., Denig W., Gussenhoven-Shea S., Heinemann M. et al. (1993), The use of neural networks to predict magnetospheric parameters for input to a magnetospheric forecast model, in *Proceedings of Artificial Intelligence Applications in Solar-Terrestrial Physics Workshop*, edited by J. A. Joselyn, H. Lundstedt and J. Trolinger, pp. 167-181, Lund, Sweden
- [31] FREEMAN J.W., WOLF R.A., SPIRO R.W., HAUSMAN B.A., BALES B., LAMBOUR R. *A real time magnetospheric specification model: Magnetospheric Specification and Forecast Model (MSFM) final report*. Rice Univ, Houston, Texas, 1994
- [32] GANNON J.L., & LOVE J.J. USGS 1-min Dst index. *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* 2011, **73** pp. 323–334
- [33] GANNON J.L., LOVE J.J., FRIBERG P.A., STEWART D.C., LISOWSKI S.W. USGS Open-File Report, 2011–1030, 10 p. Gavrishchaka, V.V., and Ganguli, S.B. (2001), Support vector machine as an efficient tool for high-dimensional data processing: Application to substorm forecasting. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2011, **106** (A12) pp. 29911–29914. Available at: U.S. Geological Survey Near Real-Time Dst Index
- [34] GAVRISHCHAKA V.V., & GANGULI S.B. Optimization of the neural-network geomagnetic model for forecasting large-amplitude substorm events. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2001, **106** (A4) pp. 6247–6257
- [35] Gehred P.A. (1996), Wang and Sheeley medium-range planetary A index forecast verification statistics, in *NOAA Technical Memorandum ERLSEL-91*, edited, Space Environment Center, Boulder, CO
- [36] Gholipour A., Lucas C., Araabi B.N. Black box modeling of magnetospheric dynamics to forecast geomagnetic activity. *Space Weather - the International Journal of Research and Applications*. 2004, **2** p. 7

- [37] GLEISNER H., & LUNDSTEDT H. Response of the auroral electrojets to the solar wind modeled with neural networks. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1997, **102** (A7) pp. 14269–14278
- [38] GLEISNER H., & LUNDSTEDT H. Ring current influence on auroral electrojet predictions. *Annales Geophysicae-Atmospheres Hydrospheres and Space Sciences.* 1999, **17** (10) pp. 1268–1275
- [39] GLEISNER H., & LUNDSTEDT H. Auroral electrojet predictions with dynamic neural networks. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2001, **106** (A11) pp. 24541–24549
- [40] Gleisner H., Lundstedt H., Wintoft P. Predicting geomagnetic storms from solar-wind data using time-delay neural networks. *Annales Geophysicae - Atmospheres Hydrospheres and Space Sciences* 1996, **14** (7) pp. 679–686
- [41] GLEISNER H., LUNDSTEDT H., WINTOFT P. The response of the auroral electrojets to the solar wind modelled with neural networks. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1996
- [42] GOERTZ C.K., SHAN L.H., SMITH R.A. Prediction of geomagnetic activity. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1993, **98** p. 7673
- [43] GONZALEZ A.L.C. A unified view of solar wind-magnetosphere coupling functions. *Planet. Space Sci.* 1990, **38** pp. 627–632
- [44] GONZALEZ W.D., DAL LAGO A., DE GONZALEZ A.L.C., VIEIRA L.E.A., TSURUTANI B.T. Prediction of peak-Dst from halo CME/magnetic cloud-speed observations. *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* 2004, **66** (2) pp. 161–165
- [45] GONZALEZ W.D., & ECHER E. A study on the peak Dst and peak negative Bz relationship during intense geomagnetic storms. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2005, **32** p. 18
- [46] Harrison R.A., Hapgood M.A., Moore V., Lucek E.A. An Interplanetary Scintillation Activity Index. *Annales Geophysicae - Atmospheres Hydrospheres and Space Sciences.* 1992, **10** (8) pp. 519–526
- [47] Heckman G.R. (1979), A summary of the indices and predictions of the Space Environment Services Center, in *Proceedings of International Solar-Terrestrial Predictions Workshop*, edited by R.F. Donnelly, pp. 322-349, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington DC
- [48] Heinemann M., Maynard N.C., Anderson D.N., Marcos F. (1993), Space Weather Forecasting System, in *Proceedings of Solar-Terrestrial Predictions-IV, Proceedings of a Workshop*, edited, NOAA, Environment Research Laboratories, Boulder, CO, Ottawa, Canada
- [49] HERNANDEZ J.V., TAJIMA T., HORTON W. Neural net forecasting for geomagnetic activity. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1993, **20** p. 2707
- [50] HORTON W., & DOXAS I. A low-dimensional dynamical model for the solar wind driven geotail-ionosphere system. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1998, **103** (A3) pp. 4561–4572
- [51] HORTON W., SMITH J.P., WEIGEL R., CRABTREE C., DOXAS I., GOODE B. et al. The solar-wind driven magnetosphere-ionosphere as a complex dynamical system. *Phys. Plasmas.* 1999, **6** (11) pp. 4178–4184
- [52] HORTON W., SMITH J.P., WEIGEL R., CRABTREE C., DOXAS I., GOODE B. et al. The solar-wind driven magnetosphere-ionosphere as a complex dynamical system. *Phys. Plasmas.* 1999, **6** (11) pp. 4178–4184
- [53] IYEMORI T., ARAKI T., KAMEI T., TAKEDA M. *Mid-latitude geomagnetic indices ASY and SYM (Provisional), No. 1, 1989-1990.* Data Analysis Center for Geomagnetism and Space Magnetism, Kyoto Univ, 1992
- [54] IYEMORI T., MAEDA H., KAMEI T. Impulse-Response of Geomagnetic Indexes to Inter-Planetary Magnetic-Field. *J. Geomag. Geoelectr.* 1979, **31** (1) pp. 1–9

- [55] Iyemori T., Maeda H. (1980), Prediction of Geomagnetic Activities from Solar Wind Parameters Based on the Linear Prediction Theory, in *Solar-Terrestrial Predictions Proceedings, Vol. IV*, ed. by R.F. Donnelly, Apr.23-27 1979, Boulder, CO
- [56] JANKOVICOVA D., DOLINSKY P., VALACH F., VOROS Z. Neural network-based nonlinear prediction of magnetic storms. *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* 2002, **64** pp. 5–6, 651–656
- [57] JOSELYN J.A. Real-time prediction of global geomagnetic activity. In: *Solar Wind-Magnetosphere Coupling*, (KAMIDE Y., & SLAVIN J.A. eds.). Tokyo, Japan, 1986, pp. 127–38.
- [58] JOSELYN J.A. Geomagnetic-Activity Forecasting - the State-of-the-Art. *Rev. Geophys.* 1995, **33** (3) pp. 383–401
- [59] KAMEI T., & MAEDA H. *Auroral electrojet indices (AE) for January-June 1978, World Data Center C2 for Geomagnetism Data Book, No. 3*. Data Analysis Center for Geomagnetism and Space Magnetism, Kyoto Univ, 1981
- [60] KAMIDE Y., BAUMJOHANN W., DAGLIS I.A., GONZALEZ W.D., GRANDE M., JOSELYN J.A. et al. Current understanding of magnetic storms: Storm-substorm relationships. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1998, **103** (A8) pp. 17705–17728
- [61] KANE R.P. How good is the relationship of solar and interplanetary plasma parameters with geomagnetic storms? *J. Geophys. Res.* 2005, **110** p. A2
- [62] KLIMAS A.J., VASSILIADIS D., BAKER D.N. Data-derived analogues of the magnetospheric dynamics. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1997, **102** (A12) pp. 26993–27009
- [63] KLIMAS A.J., VASSILIADIS D., BAKER D.N. Dst index prediction using data-derived analogues of the magnetospheric dynamics. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1998, **103** (A9) pp. 20435–20447
- [64] KLIMAS A.J., VASSILIADIS D., BAKER D.N., ROBERTS D.A. The organized nonlinear dynamics of the magnetosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1996, **101** (A6) pp. 13089–13113
- [65] KLIMAS A.J., VASSILIADIS D., BAKER D.N., VALDIVIA J.A. Data-derived analogues of the solar wind-magnetosphere interaction. *Phys. Chem. Earth (C)*. 1999, **24** (1-3) pp. 37–44
- [66] KUGBLENU S., TAGUCHI S., OKUZAWA T. Prediction of the geomagnetic storm associated D-st index using an artificial neural network algorithm. *Earth Planets Space*. 1999, **51** (4) pp. 307–313
- [67] LATHUILLERE C., MENVIELLE M., LILENSTEN J., AMARI T., RADICELLA S.M. From the Sun's atmosphere to the Earth's atmosphere: an overview of scientific models available for space weather developments. *Ann. Geophys.* 2002, **20** (7) pp. 1081–1104
- [68] LI X., TEMERIN M., BAKER D.N., REEVES E.G.D., LARSON D., KANEKAL S.G. The predictability of the magnetosphere and space weather. *Eos Trans. AGU*. 2003, **84** (37) pp. 369–370
- [69] LOVE J.J., & GANNON J.L. *Ann. Geophys.* 2009, **27** pp. 3101–3131. Available at: Revised Dst and the epicyles of magnetic disturbance: 1958-2007
- [70] LUHMANN J.G., SOLOMON S.C., LINKER J.A., LYON J.G., MIKIC Z., ODSTRCIL D. et al. Coupled model simulation of a Sun-to-Earth space weather event. *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* 2004, **66** pp. 15–16, 1243–1256
- [71] LUNDSTEDT H. Neural Networks and Predictions of Solar Terrestrial Effects. *Planet. Space Sci.* 1992, **40** (4) pp. 457–464
- [72] Lundstedt H. (1992), A trained neural network, geomagnetic activity and solar wind variation, in *Proceedings of Solar-Terrestrial Workshop*, edited by M.A. Shea, pp. 607-610, NOAA, Ottawa, Canada
- [73] LUNDSTEDT H. Solar origin of geomagnetic storms and prediction of storms with the use of neural networks. *Surv. Geophys.* 1996, **17** (5) pp. 561–573

- [74] LUNDSTEDT H. AI techniques in geomagnetic storm forecasting. In: *Magnetic Storms*, (TSURUTANI B.T., GONZALEZ A.L.C., KAMIDE Y., ARBALLO J.K. eds.). American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, 1997, pp. 243–52.
- [75] Lundstedt H. (1998), Lund Space Weather Model: Status and Future Plans, in *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on AI applications in Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, edited, pp. 107-112, ESA WPP-148, Paris, Lund, Sweden
- [76] Lundstedt H. (2002), Forecasting Space Weather and Effects Using Knowledge-Based Neurocomputing, in *Proceedings of ESA Workshop on Space Weather: Looking Towards a European Space Weather Programme*, edited, ESA, Noordwijk, the Netherlands
- [77] LUNDSTEDT H., GLEISNER H., WINTOFT P. Operational forecasts of the geomagnetic Dst index. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2002, **29** p. 24
- [78] LUNDSTEDT H., & WINTOFT P. Prediction of Geomagnetic Storms from Solar-Wind Data with the Use of a Neural-Network. *Annales Geophysicae-Atmospheres Hydrospheres and Space Sciences*. 1994, **12** (1) pp. 19–24
- [79] Lundstedt H., Wintoft P., Wu J.G., Gleisner H. AI methods and space weather forecasting, in *Proceedings of Artificial Intelligence and Knowledge Based System for Space, 5th Workshop, 10-11 Oct. 1995*, edited, ESTEC, ESA
- [80] MACPHERSON K.P., CONWAY A.J., BROWN J.C. Prediction of Solar and Geomagnetic-Activity Data Using Neural Networks. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1995, **100** (A11) pp. 21735–21744
- [81] MARUBASHI K. The Space Weather Forecast Program. *Space Sci. Rev.* 1989, **51** pp. 1–2, 197–214
- [82] MARUYAMA T. Coupling function between the solar wind and the Dst index. In: *Solar Wind-Magnetosphere Coupling*, (KAMIDE Y., & SLAVIN J.A. eds.). Terra Scientific Publishing Co, 1986, pp. 119–26.
- [83] McALLISTER A.H., MARTIN S.F., CROOKER N.U., LEPPING R.P., FITZENREITER R.J. A test of real-time prediction of magnetic cloud topology and geomagnetic storm occurrence from solar signatures. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2001, **106** (A12) pp. 29185–29194
- [84] McPHERRON R.L. Predicting the Ap index from past behavior and solar wind velocity. *Phys. Chem. Earth (C)*. 1999, **24** (1-3) pp. 45–56
- [85] McPHERRON R.L., BAKER D.N., BARGATZE L.F. Linear filters as a method of real time prediction of geomagnetic activity. In: *Solar Wind-Magnetosphere Coupling*, (KAMIDE Y., & SLAVIN J.A. eds.). Terra Scientific Publishing Co, 1986, pp. 85–92.
- [86] McPherron R.L., Fay R.A., Garrity C.R., Bargatze L.F., Baker D.N., Clauer C.R. et al. (1984), Coupling of the solar wind to measures of magnetic activity, in *Proc. Conf. Achievement of the IMS*, edited, pp. 161-170, ESA SP-217, Graz, Austria
- [87] McPHERRON R.L., SISCOE G., ARGE N. Probabilistic forecasting of the 3-h ap index. *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* 2004, **32** (4) pp. 1425–1438
- [88] MENG C.I., & TSURUTANI B.T. Cross-correlation analysis of the AE index and the interplanetary magnetic field Bz component. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1973, **78** p. 617
- [89] MENVIELLE M., IYEMORI T., MARCHAUDON A., NOSÉ M. Geomagnetic indices. In: *Geomagnetic Observations and Models, IAGA Special Sopron Book Series*, (MANDEA M., & KORTE M. eds.). Springer, **Vol. 5**, 2011, pp. 183–228.
- [90] MUGELLES R., & KERRIDGE D.J. Prediction of Solar and Geomagnetic-Activity for Low-Flying Spacecraft. *Esa Journal-European Space Agency*. 1991, **15** (2) pp. 123–134
- [91] MUNSAMI V. Determination of the effects of substorms on the storm-time ring current using neural networks. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2000, **105** (A12) pp. 27833–27840

- [92] MURPHY A.H. Skill scores based on the mean square error and their relationships to the correlation coefficient. *Mon. Weather Rev.* 1988, **116** pp. 2417–2424
- [93] O'BRIEN T.P., & MCPHERRON R.L. Forecasting the ring current index Dst in real time. *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* 2000, **62** pp. 1295–1299
- [94] PRICE C.P., & PRICHARD D. The Nonlinear Response of the Magnetosphere - 30 October 1978. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1993, **20** (9) pp. 771–774
- [95] PRICE C.P., PRICHARD D., BISCHOFF J.E. Nonlinear Input-Output-Analysis of the Auroral Electrojet Index. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1994, **99** (A7) pp. 13227–13238
- [96] PRICHARD D., & PRICE C.P. Spurious dimension estimates from time series of geomagnetic indices. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1992, **19** p. 1623
- [97] ROBERTS D.A., BAKER D.N., KLIMAS A.J., BARGATZE L.F. Indications of Low Dimensionality in Magnetospheric Dynamics. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1991, **18** (2) pp. 151–154
- [98] ROSTOKER G., & FALTHAMMER C.G. Relationship between changes in the interplanetary magnetic field and variations in the magnetic field at the Earth's surface. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1967, **72** p. 5853
- [99] RUCKER H.O., & TRATTNER K.J. Solar-Wind Terrestrial Magnetosphere Coupling - Application of Linear Prediction-Theory. *J. Atmos. Terr. Phys.* 1991, **53** pp. 11–12, 1069–1072
- [100] RUSANOV A.A., & PETRUKOVICH A.A. Influence of solar wind parameters on the level of geomagnetic field fluctuations. *Cosm. Res.* 2004, **42** (4) pp. 354–361
- [101] SHARMA A.S. Assessing the nonlinear behavior of the magnetosphere: Its dimension is low, its predictability is high. *Rev. Geophys.* 1995, **33** p. 645
- [102] SISCOE G., BAKER D., WEIGEL R., HUGHES J., SPENCE H. Roles of empirical modeling within CISM. *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* 2004, **66** pp. 15–16, 1481–1489
- [103] SMITH J.P., & HORTON W. Analysis of the bimodal nature of solar wind-magnetosphere coupling. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1998, **103** (A7) pp. 14917–14923
- [104] SNYDER C.W., & NEUGEBAUER M. Solar Wind Velocity and Its Correlation with Cosmic-Ray Variations and with Solar and Geomagnetic Activity. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1963, **68** (24) p. 6361
- [105] SRIVASTAVA N. Predicting the occurrence of super-storms. *Ann. Geophys.* 2005, **23** (9) pp. 2989–2995
- [106] STEPANOVA M., ANTONOVA E., TROSHICHEV O. Prediction of D-st variations from Polar Cap indices using time-delay neural network. *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* 2005, **67** (17-18) pp. 1658–1664
- [107] SUGIURA M. Hourly values of equatorial Dst for the IGY. *Annals of International Geophysics.* 1964, **35** pp. 9–45 [Pergamon Press, Oxford]
- [108] Sugiura M. and Kamei T. Equatorial Dst index 1957–1986, IAGA Bulletin No. 40, 1991
- [109] TAKALO J., & TIMONEN J. Neural network prediction of AE data. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1997, **24** (19) pp. 2403–2406
- [110] TAKALO J., & TIMONEN J. On the relation of the AE and PC indices. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1998, **103** (A12) pp. 29393–29398
- [111] TAKALO J., & TIMONEN J. Neural network prediction of the AE index from the PC index. *Phys. Chem. Earth (C).* 1999, **24** (1-3) pp. 89–92
- [112] TEMERIN M., & LI X.L. A new model for the prediction of Dst on the basis of the solar wind. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2002, **107** p. A12
- [113] Thomson A.W.P. (1992), Neural Networks and Non-linear Prediction Filters for the Ap Geomagnetic Index. In: *Brit. Geol. Surv. Tech. Rept.*, edited, p. WM/92/33

- [114] Thomson A.W.P. (1993), The use of solar activity data in the short-term prediction of the Ap geomagnetic index. In: *Brit. Geol. Surv. Tech. Rept.*, edited, p. WM/93/19R
- [115] THOMSON A.W.P. Non-linear predictions of Ap by activity class and numerical value. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 1996, **146** (1) pp. 163–193
- [116] TRATTNER K.J., & RUCKER H.O. Linear prediction theory in studies of solar wind-magnetosphere coupling. *Ann. Geophys.* 1990, **8** pp. 733–738
- [117] TSURUTANI B.T., & GONZALEZ W.D. The Future of Geomagnetic Storm Predictions - Implications from Recent Solar and Interplanetary Observationst. *J. Atmos. Terr. Phys.* 1995, **57** (12) pp. 1369–1384
- [118] TSURUTANI B.T., GONZALEZ W.D., GONZALEZ A.L.C., TANG F., ARBALLO J.K., OKADA M. Interplanetary Origin of Geomagnetic-Activity in the Declining Phase of the Solar-Cycle. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1995, **100** (A11) pp. 21717–21733
- [119] UKHORSKIY A.Y., SITNOV M.I., SHARMA A.S., PAPADOPOULOS K. Global and multiscale aspects of magnetospheric dynamics in local-linear filters. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2002, **107** p. A11
- [120] UKHORSKIY A.Y., SITNOV M.I., SHARMA A.S., PAPADOPOULOS K. Combining global and multi-scale features in a description of the solar wind-magnetosphere coupling. *Ann. Geophys.* 2003, **21** (9) pp. 1913–1929
- [121] UKHORSKIY A.Y., SITNOV M.I., SHARMA A.S., PAPADOPOULOS K. Global and multi-scale features of solar wind-magnetosphere coupling: From modeling to forecasting. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2004, **31** p. 8
- [122] VALDIVIA J.A., SHARMA A.S., PAPADOPOULOS K. Prediction of magnetospheric storms by nonlinear dynamical models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1996
- [123] VALDIVIA J.A., VASSILIADIS D., KLIMAS A., SHARMA A.S., PAPADOPOULOS K. Spatiotemporal activity of magnetic storms. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1999, **104** (A6) pp. 12239–12250
- [124] VALLEE M.A., NEWITT L., DUMONT R., KEATING P. Correlation between aeromagnetic data rejection and geomagnetic indices. *Geophysics.* 2005, **70** (5) pp. J33–J38
- [125] Vassiliadis D. (1994), The input-state space approach to the prediction of auroral geomagnetic activity from solar wind variables, in *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Artificial Intelligence Applications in Solar Terrestrial Physics*, edited by J. A. Joselyn, H. Lundstedt and J. Trolinger, pp. 141-151, NOAA, Boulder
- [126] VASSILIADIS D., ANGELOPOULOS V., BAKER D.N., KLIMAS A.J. The relation between the northern polar cap and auroral electrojet geomagnetic indices in the wintertime. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1996, **23** (20) pp. 2781–2784
- [127] VASSILIADIS D., & KLIMAS A.J. On the Uniqueness of Linear Moving-Average Filters for the Solar Wind-Auroral Geomagnetic-Activity Coupling. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1995, **100** (A4) pp. 5637–5641
- [128] Vassiliadis D., Klimas A.J., Baker D.N. (1996), Nonlinear ARMA models for the Dst index and their physical interpretation, in *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Substorms (ICS-3)*, edited, Versailles, France
- [129] VASSILIADIS D., KLIMAS A.J., BAKER D.N. Models of D-st geomagnetic activity and of its coupling to solar wind parameters. *Phys. Chem. Earth (C).* 1999, **24** (1-3) pp. 107–112
- [130] VASSILIADIS D., KLIMAS A.J., BAKER D.N., ROBERTS D.A. A description of solar wind magnetosphere coupling based on nonlinear filters. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1995
- [131] VASSILIADIS D., KLIMAS A.J., BAKER D.N., ROBERTS D.A. Nonlinear predictor error for the vBz-AL coupling. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1996

- [132] VASSILIADIS D., KLIMAS A.J., VALDIVIA J.A., BAKER D.N. The D-st geomagnetic response as a function of storm phase and amplitude and the solar wind electric field. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1999, **104** (A11) pp. 24957–24976
- [133] VASSILIADIS D., KLIMAS A.J., VALDIVIA J.A., BAKER D.N. The nonlinear dynamics of space weather. *Space Weather: Physics and Applications.* 2000, **26** (1) pp. 197–207
- [134] VASSILIADIS D., SHARMA A.S., PAPADOPOULOS K. An Empirical-Model Relating the Auroral Geomagnetic-Activity to the Interplanetary Magnetic-Field. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1993, **20** (16) pp. 1731–1734
- [135] VOROS Z., & JANKOVICOVA D. Neural network prediction of geomagnetic activity: a method using local Holder exponents. *Nonlinear Process. Geophys.* 2002, **9** pp. 5–6, 425–433
- [136] WATANABE S., SAGAWA E., OHTAKA K., SHIMAZU H. Operational models for forecasting Dst. *Adv. Space Res.* 2003, **31** (4) pp. 829–834
- [137] WEI F.S., & LIU S.Q. Prediction of geomagnetic disturbance profile from interplanetary shock wave energy transfer index, F-s, in interplanetary space. *J. Geomag. Geoelectr.* 1996, **48** (3) pp. 291–297
- [138] WEI H.L., BILLINGS S.A., BALIKHIN M. Analysis of the geomagnetic activity of the D-st index and self-affine fractals using wavelet transforms. *Nonlinear Process. Geophys.* 2004, **11** (3) pp. 303–312
- [139] WEI H.L., BILLINGS S.A., BALIKHIN M. Prediction of the Dst index using multiresolution wavelet models. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2004, **109** p. A7
- [140] WEIGEL R.S., HORTON W., TAJIMA T., DETMAN T. Forecasting auroral electrojet activity from solar wind input with neural networks. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1999, **26** (10) pp. 1353–1356
- [141] Weigel, R.S., Klimas A.J., Vassiliadis D. Solar wind coupling to and predictability of ground magnetic fields and their time derivatives, *Journal of Geophysical Research.* 2003, **108** p. A7, doi:10.1029JA009627
- [142] WING S., JOHNSON J.R., JEN J., MENG C.I., SIBECK D.G., BECHTOLD K. et al. Kp forecast models. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2005, **110** p. A4
- [143] WU C.C., & LEPPING R.P. Relationships for predicting magnetic cloud-related geomagnetic storm intensity. *J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys.* 2005, **67** (3) pp. 283–291
- [144] WU J.G., & LUNDSTEDT H. Prediction of geomagnetic storms from solar wind data using Elman recurrent neural networks. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 1996, **23** (4) pp. 319–322
- [145] WU J.G., & LUNDSTEDT H. Neural network modeling of solar wind magnetosphere interaction. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1997, **102** (A7) pp. 14457–14466
- [146] WU J.G., & LUNDSTEDT H. Geomagnetic storm predictions from solar wind data with the use of dynamic neural networks. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1997, **102** (A7) pp. 14255–14268
- [147] YERMOLAEV Y.I., & YERMOLAEV M.Y. Statistical relationships between solar, interplanetary, and geomagnetospheric disturbances, 1976-2000. *Cosm. Res.* 2002, **40** (1) pp. 1–14
- [148] ZHOU X.Y., & WEI F.S. Prediction of recurrent geomagnetic disturbances by using adaptive filtering. *Earth Planets Space.* 1998, **50** (10) pp. 839–845
- [149] MAYAUD P.N. Derivation, Meaning and Use of Geomagnetic Indices. *Geophys. Monogr.* 1980, **22**, American Geophysical Union, Washington DC
- [150] MAYAUD P.N. Une mesure planétaire d'activité magnétique basée sur deux observatoires antipodaux. *Ann. Geophys.* 1971, **27**, pp. 67–70
- [151] DAVIS T.N., & SUGIURA M. Auroral electrojet activity index AE and its universal time variations. *J. Geophys. Res.* 1966, **71**, pp. 785–801

British Standards Institution (BSI)

BSI is the national body responsible for preparing British Standards and other standards-related publications, information and services.

BSI is incorporated by Royal Charter. British Standards and other standardization products are published by BSI Standards Limited.

About us

We bring together business, industry, government, consumers, innovators and others to shape their combined experience and expertise into standards-based solutions.

The knowledge embodied in our standards has been carefully assembled in a dependable format and refined through our open consultation process. Organizations of all sizes and across all sectors choose standards to help them achieve their goals.

Information on standards

We can provide you with the knowledge that your organization needs to succeed. Find out more about British Standards by visiting our website at bsigroup.com/standards or contacting our Customer Services team or Knowledge Centre.

Buying standards

You can buy and download PDF versions of BSI publications, including British and adopted European and international standards, through our website at bsigroup.com/shop, where hard copies can also be purchased.

If you need international and foreign standards from other Standards Development Organizations, hard copies can be ordered from our Customer Services team.

Subscriptions

Our range of subscription services are designed to make using standards easier for you. For further information on our subscription products go to bsigroup.com/subscriptions.

With **British Standards Online (BSOL)** you'll have instant access to over 55,000 British and adopted European and international standards from your desktop. It's available 24/7 and is refreshed daily so you'll always be up to date.

You can keep in touch with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards, both in single copy and subscription format, by becoming a **BSI Subscribing Member**.

PLUS is an updating service exclusive to BSI Subscribing Members. You will automatically receive the latest hard copy of your standards when they're revised or replaced.

To find out more about becoming a BSI Subscribing Member and the benefits of membership, please visit bsigroup.com/shop.

With a **Multi-User Network Licence (MUNL)** you are able to host standards publications on your intranet. Licences can cover as few or as many users as you wish. With updates supplied as soon as they're available, you can be sure your documentation is current. For further information, email bsmusales@bsigroup.com.

BSI Group Headquarters

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL UK

Revisions

Our British Standards and other publications are updated by amendment or revision.

We continually improve the quality of our products and services to benefit your business. If you find an inaccuracy or ambiguity within a British Standard or other BSI publication please inform the Knowledge Centre.

Copyright

All the data, software and documentation set out in all British Standards and other BSI publications are the property of and copyrighted by BSI, or some person or entity that owns copyright in the information used (such as the international standardization bodies) and has formally licensed such information to BSI for commercial publication and use. Except as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 no extract may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without prior written permission from BSI. Details and advice can be obtained from the Copyright & Licensing Department.

Useful Contacts:

Customer Services

Tel: +44 845 086 9001

Email (orders): orders@bsigroup.com

Email (enquiries): cservices@bsigroup.com

Subscriptions

Tel: +44 845 086 9001

Email: subscriptions@bsigroup.com

Knowledge Centre

Tel: +44 20 8996 7004

Email: knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com

Copyright & Licensing

Tel: +44 20 8996 7070

Email: copyright@bsigroup.com



...making excellence a habit.™