

BS ISO 13033:2013



BSI Standards Publication

**Bases for design of structures
— Loads, forces and other
actions — Seismic actions on
nonstructural components for
building applications**

bsi.

...making excellence a habit.™

National foreword

This British Standard is the UK implementation of ISO 13033:2013.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee B/525/1, Actions (loadings) and basis of design.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2013. Published by BSI Standards Limited 2013

ISBN 978 0 580 73842 5

ICS 91.080.01; 91.120.25

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 August 2013.

Amendments issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD

ISO
13033

First edition
2013-08-01

**Bases for design of structures —
Loads, forces and other actions —
Seismic actions on nonstructural
components for building applications**

*Bases du calcul des constructions — Charges, forces et autres actions
— Actions sismiques sur les composants non structurels destinés aux
applications du bâtiment*



Reference number
ISO 13033:2013(E)

© ISO 2013



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2013

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
1.1 General.....	1
1.2 Relationship with ISO 3010.....	1
1.3 Components requiring evaluation.....	1
1.4 Components excluded.....	2
2 Normative references	2
3 Terms and definitions	2
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms	3
5 Seismic design objectives and performance criteria	4
6 Sources of seismic demand on NSCS	5
6.1 General.....	5
6.2 Inertial demand.....	5
6.3 Relative displacement demand.....	5
6.4 Impact demand.....	6
7 General conditions for determining seismic demand on NSCS	6
7.1 General.....	6
7.2 Determining seismic demand assuming NSCS does not influence building response.....	6
7.3 Determining seismic demands assuming NSCS influences building response.....	7
8 Quantification of elastic seismic demand on NSCS	7
8.1 General.....	7
8.2 Inertial force demands determined by dynamic analysis.....	7
8.3 Inertial elastic force demands determined by equivalent static analysis.....	8
8.4 Seismic relative displacement demands.....	10
8.5 Impact demand.....	11
9 Verification of NSCS	11
9.1 Performance acceptance criteria.....	11
9.2 Verification by design analysis.....	12
9.3 Verification by seismic qualification testing.....	13
9.4 Verification by documented performance in past earthquakes (experience data).....	15
9.5 Verification by a combination of procedures.....	15
10 Verification of seismic load path between NSCS and building structural system	15
11 Quality assurance and enforcement	16
Annex A (informative) Identification of NSCS requiring seismic evaluation	17
Annex B (informative) Principles for choosing importance factors for NSCS	20
Annex C (informative) Principles for choosing the floor response amplification factor (height factor)	22
Annex D (informative) Principles for choosing the component amplification factor (resonance factor)	24
Annex E (informative) Principles for determining response modification factors	26
Annex F (informative) Principles for determining seismic relative displacements for drift-sensitive components	27
Annex G (informative) Floor response spectra	29
Annex H (informative) Methods for verifying NSCS by design analysis	32

Annex I (informative) NSCS verification by shake table testing	35
Annex J (informative) NSCS verification through use of experience data	37
Annex K (informative) Principles of seismic anchorage of NSCS	38
Annex L (informative) Quality assurance in design and construction	42
Bibliography	43

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2. www.iso.org/directives

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received. www.iso.org/patents

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 98, *Bases for design of structures*, Subcommittee SC 3, *Loads, forces and other actions*

Introduction

This International Standard presents basic principles for the evaluation of seismic actions on architectural, mechanical and electrical components and systems (i.e. nonstructural components) in building applications. The seismic actions described are fundamentally compatible with ISO 2394.^[1]

This International Standard is intended to be a companion document to ISO 3010, *Basis for design of structures — Seismic actions on structures*. It includes not only principles of seismic design but also procedures for the verification of component and system capacity to ensure that those capacities exceed seismic demands. Full verification of components and systems adequacy generally includes other actions not addressed by this International Standard in combination with seismic actions.

This International Standard includes limit states associated with post-earthquake functionality of nonstructural components. Some of these limit states address the overall safety of the building occupants, while others address the safety of the surrounding community impacted by functional failure of the facility. It therefore includes requirements for equipment and systems that demonstrate that they will function as needed to achieve the overall safety goals following the earthquake.

The approach used in this International Standard is to first define the goals and performance objectives and then determine the seismic demands on nonstructural components and systems. The seismic demands, which are complex in nature, are initially described in a general way. Then, based on reasonable assumptions, seismic demands are quantified in a simple manner that is efficient for use in most situations. The simplified demands are based on the assumption that the seismic response of nonstructural components and systems have a negligible effect on the primary response of the structure. A series of annexes included with this International Standard provide informative guidance on determining simplified coefficients, performing evaluation of components, and implementing alternative testing/empirical procedures used for verification including those needed to demonstrate functionality to achieve the overall post-earthquake safety goals.

Bases for design of structures — Loads, forces and other actions — Seismic actions on nonstructural components for building applications

1 Scope

1.1 General

This International Standard establishes the means to derive seismic actions on nonstructural components and systems (NSCS) supported by or attached to new or existing buildings. It also provides procedures for the verification of NSCS seismic capacities. NSCS include architectural elements, mechanical and electrical systems, and building contents.

This International Standard is not a legally binding and enforceable code. It is a source document that is utilized in the development of codes of practice by the competent authority responsible for issuing structural design regulations. This International Standard is intended for application by regional and national standards committees when preparing standards for the seismic performance of NSCS.

This International Standard does not specifically cover industrial facilities, including nuclear power plants, since these are dealt with separately in other International Standards. However, the principles in this International Standard can be appropriate for the derivation of seismic actions for NSCS in such facilities.

NOTE 1 This International Standard has been prepared mainly for NSCS associated with engineered buildings. The principles are, however, applicable to non-engineered buildings.

NOTE 2 Procedures for the verification of the supporting building structure for gravity and seismic actions applied by the NSCS are outside the scope of this International Standard and are provided in ISO 3010.

1.2 Relationship with ISO 3010

This International Standard is a companion document to ISO 3010, *Basis for design of structures — Seismic actions on structures*. ISO 3010 and its annexes provide basic seismic design criteria to be used in the design of structures but they do not provide design criteria for NSCS (except for those that can influence the structural response). For consistency, the terms and definitions that are in common with ISO 3010 are also used in this International Standard.

The same ground motion criteria specified in ISO 3010 are also used in this International Standard. The demand on NSCS is directly related to the response of the building in which they are located. Therefore, the procedures used to determine the design ground motion and building seismic response are directly referenced by this International Standard.

1.3 Components requiring evaluation

Evaluation of NSCS for seismic actions is required where any of the following apply:

- a) the NSCS poses a falling hazard;
- b) the failure of the NSCS can impede the evacuation of the building;
- c) the NSCS contains hazardous materials;
- d) the NSCS is necessary to the continuing function of essential facilities after the event; and
- e) damage to the NSCS represents a significant financial loss.

Guidance for identification of NSCS that require seismic evaluation is provided in [Annex A](#).

NOTE Pre-assembled modular mechanical and electrical units (e.g. heating and cooling modules) may be treated as an assembly of components supported by the modular unit housing structure (see [9.5](#)).

1.4 Components excluded

The requirements of this International Standard are not intended for application to furnishings, or temporary or relocatable components (see [Annex A](#)).

With the exception of parapets (as described in [Annex A](#)), application of this International Standard to components in buildings subject to low levels of seismic hazard may not be warranted.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 3010:2001, *Basis for design of structures — Seismic actions on structures*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 3010 and the following apply.

3.1 ductility
ability to deform beyond the elastic limit under cyclic loading without significant reduction in strength or energy absorption capacity

3.2 interstorey drift
lateral displacement within a storey

3.3 moderate earthquake ground motion
moderate ground motion caused by earthquakes which can be expected to occur during the service life of the building

3.4 overstrength
increase in strength of a structural element above that designed or specified

Note 1 to entry: For nonstructural components, overstrength is used to provide an additional margin in the design of anchorage and bracing to prevent premature failure of these elements. Overstrength factors are based on judgment.

3.5 restoring force
force exerted on the deformed component which tends to move the component to the original position following earthquake motions

3.6 seismic hazard zone factor
factor to express the relative seismic hazard of the region

3.7 serviceability limit state
limit state beyond which the serviceability criteria of NSCS are no longer satisfied

3.8 structural factor

factor to reduce seismic design forces, taking into account ductility, acceptable deformation, restoring force characteristics and overstrength (overcapacity) of the structure

3.9 ultimate limit state

limit state beyond which NSCS collapse, overturning, release of hazardous contents, or, in the case of critical facilities, loss of function is expected to occur

Note 1 to entry: See [Clause 5 a\)](#) for NSCS performance criteria for ultimate limit states.

4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

A_{Di}	acceleration at level i obtained from a dynamic analysis (see Annex G)
A_i	ordinate of the normalized floor response spectrum at level i
$A_{flexible}$	parameter defining the normalized floor response spectrum for flexible components
A_{rigid}	parameter defining the normalized floor response spectrum for rigid components
f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3	frequencies defining floor response spectrum (see Annex G)
$F_{D,p,u,i}$ ($F_{D,p,s,i}$)	design lateral seismic force of the NSCS attached at level i of the building structure for ULS (SLS)
$F_{E,p,u,i}$ ($F_{E,p,s,i}$)	elastic lateral seismic force of the NSCS attached at level i of the building structure for ULS (SLS)
$F_{G,p}$	weight of the NSCS
H	average roof elevation of the structure relative to grade elevation
i	level in the building structure of the point of attachment of the NSCS relative to grade elevation
$k_{D,p}$	nonstructural component response modification factor, to be specified according to its ductility and overstrength
$k_{H,i}$	floor response amplification factor for attachment location at level i
$k_{I,u}$ ($k_{I,s}$)	ground motion intensity factor to be provided by regional and national standards;
$k_{R,p}$	component amplification factor considering the effect of the natural periods of the NSCS and the building
$k_{R,p,flexible}$	NSCS amplification factor for flexible systems ($k_{R,p,i,flexible} > 1,0$)
$k_{R,p,i,rigid}$	NSCS amplification factor for rigid systems ($k_{R,p,i,rigid} = 1,0$)
$R_{p,s}$	inverse of the nonstructural factor $k_{p,s}$ (see Annex E)
$R_{p,u}$	inverse of the nonstructural factor $k_{p,u}$ (see Annex E)
T_C	component period
T_j	j th modal period of the building structure

z_i	elevation of level i relative to grade elevation
α	parameter to account for increase in floor acceleration response over the height of the building, that can be a function of the type of lateral-load resisting system
β	ratio of vertical response to horizontal response
$\gamma_{n,E,p}$	importance factor related to the required seismic reliability of the NSCS

5 Seismic design objectives and performance criteria

The fundamental seismic design objectives for NSCS are, in the event of an earthquake:

- to prevent human casualties associated with falling hazards and blockage of egress paths;
- to ensure post-earthquake continuity of life-safety functions within the building (e.g. sprinkler piping);
- to ensure continued post-earthquake operation of essential facilities (e.g. hospitals, fire stations);
- to maintain containment of hazardous materials;
- to minimize damage to property

To achieve the seismic design objectives, this International Standard establishes the following basic performance criteria.

- a) NSCS subjected to the severe earthquake ground motions that are specified at the building site (ultimate limit state: ULS) should be designed, qualified by testing or qualified by experience data to demonstrate that:
- 1) NSCS will not collapse, detach from the building structure, overturn or experience other forms of structural failure, breakage or excessive displacement (sliding or swinging) that could cause a life safety hazard;
 - 2) NSCS will perform as required to maintain continuity of life safety functions (e.g. fire-fighting systems, elevators, and other similar vital life safety systems);
 - 3) NSCS will remain leak tight as required to prevent unacceptable release of hazardous materials (e.g. vessels, tanks and piping and gas circulation systems that contain hazardous materials);
 - 4) NSCS will operate as necessary immediately following the earthquake event to ensure continued post-earthquake function of essential facilities.
- b) NSCS subjected to the moderate earthquake ground motions specified at the building site (serviceability limit state: SLS), will perform within accepted limits including limitation of financial loss.

NOTE 1 Recommendations for determining the severe (ULS) and moderate (SLS) design ground motions for a given build site are provided in ISO 3010.

NOTE 2 It is recognized that complete protection against earthquake damage is not economically feasible for most types of NSCS.

NOTE 3 Following an earthquake, earthquake-damaged buildings may need to be evaluated for safe occupation during a period of time when aftershocks occur. This International Standard, however, does not address actions on NSCS that can be expected due to aftershocks. In this case a model of the damaged building and components is required to evaluate seismic actions.

6 Sources of seismic demand on NSCS

6.1 General

The following three sources of seismic demand should be considered when evaluating NSCS:

- a) inertial acceleration demands;
- b) relative displacement demands between points of attachment;
- c) impact force demands resulting from interactions with other components or structural members.

These seismic demands are described in more details in [6.2](#) through [6.4](#) below. Principles for determining these seismic demands are provided in [Clause 7](#) and quantification of these seismic demands in terms of recommended force equations are provided in [Clause 8](#).

NOTE NSCS are generally classified as acceleration-sensitive or relative displacement-sensitive depending upon which demand causes the most damage to the component during an earthquake. Anchored mechanical equipment is typically considered acceleration-sensitive while building cladding is typically considered sensitive to relative displacements (drift sensitive). For some NSCS, both demands are significant.

6.2 Inertial demand

All NSCS attached to buildings or their foundations are subjected to inertial demands. These inertial demands are most generally described as acceleration motions at the points of attachment of the NSCS and the structure. For points of attachment at the ground level or foundation level, these acceleration motions are generally taken for design purposes as the earthquake design ground motions. At points of attachment above the ground, the acceleration motions are modified by the dynamic response of the building structural system to the earthquake ground motions. The modifications that have an influence on these acceleration motions include the fundamental dynamic characteristics of the building structural system (natural periods, damping, etc.), the relative location of the point of attachment within the structure and the level and type of nonlinear behaviour that the building structural system experiences during the earthquake. Most generally, the acceleration motion demand for NSCS are characterized in terms of floor acceleration response spectra of the structural element (e.g. floor) to which the NSCS is attached. For the most general case, both horizontal and vertical floor acceleration response spectra are defined.

In structural design, inertial demands are usually expressed in terms of force. The inertial force demand on an individual component is a function of inertial acceleration demand at the points of attachment and the dynamic properties of the components itself including its mass, stiffness, and nonlinear response properties. For design purposes, this is often simplified as the product of a seismic coefficient and the component weight.

A primary assumption of the inertial acceleration demand is that the dynamic response of the NSCS has a negligible effect on the building response. If the effect is significant more complex methods are required to determine the demand. See [7.3](#).

6.3 Relative displacement demand

Relative displacement demands occur during earthquake motions when the NSCS attachment (or support) points experience unequal displacements (e.g. see [Figure F.1](#)). Sources of relative displacements are:

- a) relative displacements of attachment points that are located at different floor levels of a building;
- b) relative displacements of attachment points that are located on independent, seismically separated buildings;
- c) relative displacements of attachment points that are located on two NSCS attached to the same or different floors, including components on vibration isolators;
- d) relative displacements of attachment points located on NSCS and the building;

- e) relative displacements of attachment points that are located on seismically isolated building and its foundation or between seismically isolated floors.

Relative displacement demands are predominately horizontal in nature although vertical relative displacement demands are also possible. Inter-storey drifts of a building are transformed into relative displacement demands by multiplying the earthquake-caused drift ratio by the vertical distance between points of attachment. As with the inertial demand, the relative displacement demands are a function of the earthquake displacement response of the building structure to which the NSCS is attached and for some situations, the earthquake displacement response of the NSCS is also important.

Stresses from relative displacement demands are typically determined from static analysis where points of attachment are displaced. Stresses in NSCS induced by relative displacement demands should be within acceptable limits.

Relative displacement demands may also result in loss of bearing support. Bearing seat width should be adequate to accommodate relative displacement demands.

A primary assumption of the above approach for determining relative displacement demand is that the strength, mass and stiffness of the connecting NSCS will have a negligible effect on the building response. If the effect is significant, the NSCS should be included in the structural model or more complex methods are required to determine the demand (see [7.2](#)).

6.4 Impact demand

Impact demands on NSCS are the result of collisions with the structural system or other NSCS. These collisions occur when the clearance between adjoining NSCS or between NSCS and the building is insufficient. To avoid impact demands of NSCS with other NSCS or structural systems, either adequate clearance or seismic restraints should be provided. In some instances this impact is unavoidable, as in the case of seismic snubbers supporting vibrating equipment. Where adequate clearance or restraint cannot be provided, it may be necessary to accept the damage from impact. The determination of impact demands requires higher order analysis and testing. The determination of adequate clearances to avoid damaging impact demands also requires special evaluation.

See [8.5](#) for additional discussion.

7 General conditions for determining seismic demand on NSCS

7.1 General

The determination of seismic demands imposed on NSCS should consider the response of the supporting building to ground motion and the interaction of the structure and the NSCS. In this International Standard, it is generally assumed that the response of the NSCS has a negligible effect on the building structure earthquake response. This assumption is valid if certain conditions specified in [7.2](#) are satisfied.

7.2 Determining seismic demand assuming NSCS does not influence building response

For NSCS which are primarily inertial demand sensitive, it is acceptable to treat the NSCS as a secondary component

- a) if the mass of the NSCS is small relative to the building mass or the mass of that portion of the building structure to which the component is attached, or
- b) if the participation of the NSCS mass in the overall seismic response (even though relatively large compared to the building mass) is distributed uniformly over the building structure or a larger part of the building structure (e.g. cladding, piping systems).

If the NSCS is not directly attached to the building structure (e.g. attached to ground floor slab) or is attached in a manner that prevents it from influencing the overall building seismic response it is always acceptable to exclude the NSCS dynamic model from the building dynamic model.

For NSCS which are primarily sensitive to relative displacements of the building, it is acceptable to assume that the NSCS does not influence the building response

- a) if the strength and/or stiffness of NSCS which are attached at more than one level of a building are small relative to the strength and stiffness of the lateral force resisting system of the building, or
- b) if the presence of the NSCS does not alter the boundary conditions of the building structure or its structural components that would have unfavourable effects on the seismic response of the building or the NSCS itself.

7.3 Determining seismic demands assuming NSCS influences building response

For situations where the NSCS response can influence the building response, the potential influence of the NSCS on the building seismic response should be considered. NSCS that influence the overall building seismic response whether due to mass, strength or stiffness contribution, or change to boundary condition should be included in the building structural model as required by 6.3 of ISO 3010:2001.

NOTE In general, the mass of NSCS should be included in the building structural analysis, either explicitly or as an allowance regardless if the nonstructural response does not influence the building response.

8 Quantification of elastic seismic demand on NSCS

8.1 General

Seismic demands on NSCS are typically quantified as design seismic forces and or design seismic relative displacements. This section quantifies the determination of elastic baseline seismic demands in terms of:

- a) accelerations;
- b) relative displacements between different floors of the supporting building;
- c) relative displacements between supporting buildings or other items to which NSCS are attached;
- d) interactions with other NSCS.

Elastic baseline inertial force demands (see 8.2) may be modified for needed reliability as expressed through importance factors ([Annex B](#)) and overstrength and energy-dissipation characteristics of NSCS as represented by response modification factors ([Annex E](#)). These modifications are addressed in 9.2 of this International Standard.

NOTE The term elastic seismic demand implies that demand is determined assuming the NSCS remains elastic and that the response is not modified to account for factors such as required reliability (importance), overstrength or energy-dissipation characteristics of the NSCS. It is not meant to imply that the building structure also remains elastic when determining the demand.

8.2 Inertial force demands determined by dynamic analysis

Inertial force demands on NSCS should be quantified as the product of the acceleration demand and the component mass. It is always acceptable to obtain acceleration demands on NSCS by dynamic analysis of supporting building(s). The acceleration demands are expressed either in terms of the peak floor acceleration, peak inertial acceleration of the component, floor response spectra or acceleration time histories of the floor motion. The type of demand should be consistent with the method of verification as specified in [Clause 9](#) of this International Standard.

Floor response spectra may be established for a specific case or generically for a wide range of buildings. Specific floor response spectra are developed from dynamic analysis of supporting buildings, while generic spectra are typically determined using static coefficient values derived from the simplified equivalent static force equations (see 8.3.2). For specific floor response spectra, nonlinear response of the supporting buildings should be considered due to the possibility of modification of seismic demands on NSCS resulting from the building inelastic response. See further discussion of floor response spectra

in [Annex G](#), and more details of performing dynamic analysis of supporting buildings in Clause 9 of ISO 3010:2001.

Dynamic analysis of both the building and NSCS in a combined single model can be required for certain cases. Specifically, where there can be significant interaction between more massive components and the supporting structure, such a procedure is recommended. (See [7.3](#) and [Annex G](#) of this International Standard.) In this case detailed modelling of the connection to the structure as well as the local structural members that support the NSCS, should be considered to develop the inertial force demands. The design of the structure for the combined effects should be evaluated in accordance with 6.3 and 9.5 of ISO 3010:2001.

8.3 Inertial elastic force demands determined by equivalent static analysis

8.3.1 General

It is permitted to determine inertial elastic force demands on NSCS using equivalent static analysis force procedures. The equivalent static force elastic demand may be computed directly from a combined dynamic analysis of the building and NSCS. For those NSCS which may be assumed to not influence the building response, the equivalent static elastic force demands may be determined from:

- a) floor response spectra using the ratio of natural frequencies of NSCS and supporting building; or
- b) the elastic equivalent static force in [8.3.2](#).

See [9.2](#) for more information on static coefficients and [Annex G](#) for more information on floor response spectra.

8.3.2 Basic elastic equivalent static forces

The elastic equivalent static seismic forces for ULS and SLS earthquake levels are given as follows:

- a) ULS (Ultimate Limit State);

The elastic seismic force on NSCS attached at the i th level of the building structure for ULS, $F_{E,p,u,i}$ is given by

$$F_{E,p,u,i} = k_{I,u} \cdot k_{H,i} \cdot k_{R,p} \cdot F_{G,p}$$

- b) SLS (Serviceability Limit State);

The elastic seismic force on NSCS attached at the i th level of the building structure for SLS, $F_{E,p,s,i}$ is given by

$$F_{E,p,s,i} = k_{I,s} \cdot k_{H,i} \cdot k_{R,p} \cdot F_{G,p}$$

where

$F_{E,p,u,i}$ ($F_{E,p,s,i}$) is the elastic lateral seismic force on the NSCS attached at the i th level of the building structure for ULS (SLS);

$k_{I,u}$ ($k_{I,s}$) is the ground motion intensity factor to be provided by regional and national standards;

$k_{H,i}$ is the floor response amplification factor at the attachment at level i (see [Annex C](#));

$k_{R,p}$ is the component amplification factor considering the effect of the natural periods of the NSCS and the building (see [Annex D](#));

$F_{G,p}$ is the weight ($m \cdot g$) on the NSCS.

8.3.3 Horizontal acceleration

The horizontal equivalent static elastic acceleration demand is the product of the ground motion intensity factor ($k_{I,u}$, $k_{I,s}$), floor response amplification factor ($k_{H,i}$), and the component amplification factor ($k_{R,p}$). The procedures for determining these factors are given in [8.3.3.1](#), [8.3.3.2](#) and [8.3.3.3](#).

8.3.3.1 Ground motion intensity factor (normalized peak ground acceleration)

The ground motion intensity factor ($k_{I,u}$, $k_{I,s}$) is related to the regional seismicity, local site effects, and the designated earthquake levels. In general, peak ground acceleration values are represented by the ground motion intensity factor normalized to gravitational acceleration (in units of g). If the peak ground velocity or other spectral ordinates are given, those values should be transformed into acceleration and normalized to develop the value of the ground motion intensity factor.

The ground motion intensity factor corresponds to that used for the supporting building. In accordance with ISO 3010, the ground motion intensity factor ($k_{I,u}$, $k_{I,s}$) is given by:

$$k_{I,u} = k_Z \cdot k_{E,u}$$

$$k_{I,s} = k_Z \cdot k_{E,s}$$

where

k_Z is the seismic zoning factor;

$k_{E,u}$ is the seismic ground motion intensity for ULS

$k_{E,s}$ is the seismic ground motion intensity for SLS

8.3.3.2 Floor response amplification factor (height factor)

The floor response amplification factor ($k_{H,i}$) represents the dynamic amplification of the specified floor acceleration response over the height of the building with respect to the ground acceleration. The floor response amplification factor is generally determined as a function of the ratio of the height of the point of attachment of the NSCS to the average height of the building in which the component is located.

Considering effects from higher modes of the supporting building, the floor response amplification factor can be determined using a modal analysis of the supporting building. Alternatively, the floor response modification factor may be determined using simplified analysis procedures as described in [Annex C](#).

8.3.3.3 Component amplification factor (resonance factor)

The component amplification factor ($k_{R,p}$) represents the dynamic amplification of NSCS response as a function of the ratio of the natural frequencies of the NSCS and supporting building. Component amplification factors can be obtained from a floor response spectrum based on the natural frequencies of the NSCS. The natural frequencies of supporting building can be obtained from a representative model of the building developed in accordance with ISO 3010. The natural frequencies of NSCS may be obtained by calculation, pull-and-release tests, impact tests, or shake table tests. The method used should be appropriate to the type of component being assessed. Nevertheless, considering that the natural frequencies of the supporting building and the NSCS are usually unavailable in practice, component amplification factors of NSCS may be tabulated according to the rigid or flexible characteristics of the NSCS. See [Annex D](#) for more information.

8.3.4 Vertical acceleration

Similar to horizontal acceleration demands, vertical acceleration demands for NSCS should be quantified using analogous factors for the vertical direction: the ground motion intensity factor, floor response amplification factor, and component amplification factor.

For the vertical ground motion intensity factor, the normalized vertical peak ground acceleration may be used. Where this information is not available, it may be taken as 1/2 to 2/3 of the normalized horizontal peak ground acceleration (see [Annex G](#)), except in the near-field of shallow focus earthquakes where vertical accelerations can be significantly higher. See Clause 8 and Annex E of ISO 3010:2001 for more information about vertical ground acceleration.

For NSCS design, in general, dynamic amplification of floor response with respect to ground acceleration in the vertical direction can be limited. Therefore, the component amplification factor $k_{R,p}$ for vertical floor response is generally taken as 1,0.

NOTE The out-of-plane response associated with certain types of flexible floors and roofs can amplify vertical response. In these cases, consideration of additional response amplification may be warranted. In addition, research indicates that vertical floor accelerations can be amplified in seismically isolated buildings. Finally, the attachment design of vertical acceleration-sensitive equipment, such as vibration-isolated equipment, should consider the possibility of additional resonant vertical seismic demands that exceed the vertical ground motion.

8.4 Seismic relative displacement demands

8.4.1 General

The NSCS relative displacement demands should be quantified for displacement-sensitive components as the seismic relative displacement expected between points of attachment of the component for both ULS and SLS earthquake levels. For NSCS connected between floors, such as glazing, the relative displacement demands are the relative displacements between floors of the same building. For NSCS which span across seismic separation joints, the relative displacement demand is the displacement (considering all applicable degrees of freedom) between the NSCS attachment points on each structure. For NSCS which span horizontally between flexible equipment on the same floor or different floors, such as piping, the seismic demand is the relative displacement between points of attachment to the equipment. Relative displacement demands are typically determined by elastic equivalent static analysis and are the demands expected for ULS and SLS ground motion levels unmodified by inelastic reduction factors.

NOTE The effect of seismic relative displacements should be considered in combination with displacements caused by other loads as appropriate. Specific details on determining relative displacement seismic demands are provided in [Annex F](#).

8.4.2 Connection points within a single building

For two connection points at different levels in the same supporting building, the seismic relative displacement demands should be determined from the seismic drift ratio between the upper and lower attachment points of the NSCS obtained from the design structural analysis. Alternatively, if the structural analysis is unavailable, the seismic relative displacement demands are conservatively determined from the allowable storey drift as specified by regional and national standards for the ULS. Where the allowable storey drifts in regional and national standards are specified only for the SLS, they may still be used to establish displacement demands provided the NSCS displacement capacities have been appropriately adjusted for SLS demand levels.

For connection points that cross an isolation plane within a building the relative displacement demand should be determined from the design analysis of the building and isolation system at the ULS.

8.4.3 Connection points between two buildings

For NSCS which span between seismically separated building structures, the seismic relative displacement should be expressed in terms of displacement that must be accommodated both longitudinally and

transversally. It should be typically assumed that the structures do not move in-phase. The relative displacement demand between structures should be determined as the square root of the sum of the squares of the horizontal displacement of each structure at the floor level under consideration by structural analysis. Alternatively, if the structural analysis is unavailable for both buildings, the seismic relative displacement demands for two connection points between the two buildings should be determined from the allowable storey drift following the same approach as noted in [8.4.2](#).

NOTE Conservatively, the relative displacement demand between structures may be taken as the sum of the absolute value of the displacements of each structure at the floor level under consideration.

8.4.4 Connection points between flexible equipment

For NSCS which span between flexible equipment, the relative seismic displacement demand should be based on rational seismic analysis. It is acceptable to determine the relative displacement demand between equipment items as the sum of the absolute values of the horizontal displacements of each equipment item at the connection point under consideration.

NOTE Displacement demands for flexible equipment in buildings are generally determined on the basis on observations from past earthquakes (experience data) and judgment.

8.5 Impact demand

The potential for impact between components that are in contact with or in close proximity to other structural elements or NSCS should be considered if it is judged to be both credible and significant. A credible impact is one that is likely to occur during a seismic event. A significant impact is one that will result in unacceptable damage to an NSCS or in inadequate performance of a critical NSCS, or of the impacted component/structure.

Where feasible, impact demand on NSCS should be avoided by providing adequate clearance or restraint. Required clearances to avoid impact are generally determined on the basis of observations from past earthquakes (experience data) and judgment. In cases where restraint is provided, connections should be provided with adequate flexibility to accommodate differential displacements.

Where impact cannot be avoided, impact force demands are determined by energy methods with consideration of mass, impact velocity, and inelastic behaviour of the impacting components.

9 Verification of NSCS

9.1 Performance acceptance criteria

The behaviour of NSCS should be evaluated and verified against performance objectives specified for the ULS and SLS in as required by this clause. The following four methods of verification are permitted:

- a) verification by design analysis;
- b) verification by seismic qualification testing;
- c) verification by consensus procedures that determine acceptable seismic capacity on the basis of documented experience from past earthquakes (experience data);
- d) a combination of a), b) and c).

Verification provides evidence that the performance objectives specified in [Clause 5](#) are achieved by demonstrating that the capacity exceeds the demand. Depending on the importance of the facility (i.e. essential, non-essential) and the importance of the NSCS for achievement of post-earthquake performance expectations, demands are adjusted and enhanced levels of verification can be required.

To differentiate the demand and capacities that are required for NSCS, each NSCS is assigned a component category as described in [Annex B](#). Adjustments to the ULS and SLS demands and specific capacity

acceptance criteria (e.g. design requirements) which are to be satisfied for each component category are also provided in [Annex B](#).

Verification by design analysis is typically used to evaluate the NSCS, bracing and anchorage for adequate structural capacity. Verification by testing or through the use of experience data are used to demonstrate that either acceleration or relative displacement capacity is greater than the acceleration or relative displacement demand. Experience data consists of substantiated evidence showing that similar NSCS have been subjected to equal or stronger seismic demands and have acceptably maintained their function following the earthquake. Specifics of these verification procedures are described in [9.2](#), [9.3](#) and [9.4](#).

NOTE Verification exclusively by analysis is insufficient to demonstrate that essential active components in the mechanical and electrical systems will maintain function following an earthquake.

9.2 Verification by design analysis

To provide verification of the adequacy of a NSCS by design analysis, a structural analysis is performed of the NSCS including its anchorage and bracing using the design lateral forces for the ULS and SLS as determined below in this clause. Each member and connection force resulting from the analysis is compared with the design capacity of the NSCS individual member, connection brace or anchorage provided in regional and national standards to verify that the capacity exceeds the demand. See [Annex H](#) for recommendations for performing design analysis evaluations.

To determine the design lateral force demand to be applied to NSCS in the design analysis, the elastic lateral force demand determined in [Clause 8](#), whether determined from static or dynamic analysis, is modified as follows:

a) ULS (Ultimate Limit State);

The design lateral seismic force of NSCS attached at level i of the building structure for ULS, $F_{D,p,u,i}$ is determined by

$$F_{D,p,u,i} = \gamma_{n,E,p} \cdot k_{D,p} \cdot F_{E,p,u,i}$$

b) SLS (Serviceability Limit State);

The design lateral seismic force of NSCS attached at level i of the building structure for SLS, $F_{D,p,s,i}$ is determined by

$$F_{D,p,s,i} = \gamma_{n,E,p} \cdot F_{E,p,s,i}$$

where

$F_{D,p,u,i}$ is the design lateral seismic force of the NSCS attached at level i of the building structure ($F_{D,p,s,i}$) for ULS (SLS);

$F_{E,p,u,i}$ is the elastic lateral seismic force of the NSCS attached at level i of the building structure ($F_{E,p,s,i}$) for ULS (SLS);

$(\gamma_{n,E,p})$ is the importance factor related to the required seismic reliability of the NSCS (see [Annex B](#));

$k_{D,p}$ is the NSCS response modification factor to be specified according to its ductility and overstrength (see [Annex E](#)).

9.3 Verification by seismic qualification testing

9.3.1 General

Seismic qualification testing to verify NSCS capacities includes shake table testing, quasi-static displacement testing or quasi-static force testing. Detailed requirements for each testing approach are provided in [9.3.2](#) and [9.3.3](#).

NOTE 1 Production quality control testing is distinct from the testing discussed in this section, see [Clause 11](#).

NOTE 2 Boundary conditions in verification testing (e.g. attachment to test fixture) should reflect the expected installed condition.

NOTE 3 Verification testing should be performed by an accredited testing laboratory to ensure that the qualification results are unbiased.

9.3.2 Verification by shake table testing

9.3.2.1 General

Verification of the capacity of NSCS by shake table testing is accomplished by subjecting the component to either computed or simulated elastic demand floor motions that are compatible with the floor response spectra determined in accordance with [Annex G](#). The testing is intended to verify that the NSCS, their attachments and subassemblies satisfactorily meet the ULS and SLS performance objectives as specified in [Clause 5](#).

NOTE 1 Shake table testing using motions compatible with floor response spectra permits capacities of NSCS to be generically determined irrespective of site- and building-specific response. Use of site- and building-specific demand parameters may also be used to establish table motions, but this approach is impractical for most cases.

NOTE 2 Rationalized test unit configurations permit verification of variable equipment product lines independent of how the equipment is attached to the shake table.

9.3.2.2 Shake table testing and qualification protocols

The development or selection of shake table testing and qualification protocols includes as a minimum (see also [Annex I](#)):

- a) description of how the protocol meets the intent of the reference standard requirements and relevant interpretations of the standard;
- b) definition of a test input motion with a response spectrum that meets or exceeds the ULS and SLS spectrum for project specific site requirements as established by the reference seismic design standard;
- c) accounting for dynamic amplification due to above-grade equipment installations. Consideration of the actual dynamic characteristics of the primary support structure is permitted, but not required;
- d) definition of how shake table input demands are derived and how they establish a qualification requirement which is relevant to the reference design standard;
- e) definition and establishment of a verifiable pass/fail acceptance criterion for the seismic qualification based upon the equipment importance factor ($\gamma_{n,E,p}$) as established by the ULS and SLS performance objectives of the reference design standard.

9.3.2.3 Requirements for testing documentation

To document that the tested capacity satisfies the relevant regional or national standard, a manufacturer's certificate of conformity (also known as a certificate of compliance) is provided by the supplier of the NSCS to the design professional with a concise description of how it was tested and how the tested capacity was determined.

The certificate should address:

- a) class of product enveloped by the testing;
- b) regional and national standards for which compliance was evaluated and how the test protocol is relevant to the dynamic requirements of the reference seismic design standard;
- c) testing standard used and, in those cases where the test criteria are not directly associated with the seismic design standard, a description of how the dynamic test criteria used for the testing meets the intent;
- d) the acceptance criteria used to evaluate the shake table test response data and establish tested capacity;
- e) performance objective and corresponding importance factor ($\gamma_{n,E,p}$);
- f) tested seismic capacity for which the component is certified, including code and/or standard design parameters used to calculate seismic demand ($k_{L,u}$, $k_{H,i}$, etc.);
- g) installation restrictions, if any.

NOTE Typically, shake table testing is performed on acceleration-sensitive NSCS and active electrical and mechanical equipment which are an integral part of an essential system and which should be capable of returning to operation after the earthquake. The qualification of mechanical and electrical components for seismic demands alone is not always sufficient to achieve performance objectives. Establishing a high degree of confidence that performance goals will be met requires consideration of the performance of structures, systems (fluid, mechanical, electrical, instrumentation, etc.), and their interactions (for example interaction of seismic and other loads) as well as compliance with installation requirements.

9.3.3 Verification by quasi-static cyclic seismic testing

9.3.3.1 General

Quasi-static cyclic seismic testing is either based on the imposition of either displacement or force demands. The common procedure for this type of testing begins with relatively small demands (force or relative displacement demands) that are applied cyclically to the test specimen. The demands are gradually increased until the test specimen is subject to the maximum test demand. Verification is pass-fail based on performance expectations at maximum test demand. Alternatively, NSCS capacity is established as the test demand at which performance expectations are still met.

NOTE These tests are typically performed at slow test speeds relative to actual seismic demand speeds. It has been observed that for relative displacement-sensitive NSCS, slow speed displacement tests adequately determine capacities associated with earthquake ground motions.

9.3.3.2 Displacement testing

To verify the adequacy of the capacity of NSCS by the quasi-static cyclic relative displacement testing, a relative displacement test (often referred as a racking test) is performed that subjects NSCS to a maximum seismic relative displacement demand equal to or greater than that determined in [8.4](#). The testing verifies that NSCS, their attachments and subassemblies satisfy their ULS and SLS performance objectives as specified in [Clause 5](#).

NOTE NSCS tested in this manner are glazing (e.g. window glass), cladding, stairs and piping systems. Generally, NSCS of this type are tested to maximum allowable drifts permitted for structural systems.

9.3.3.3 Force testing

To provide verification of the adequacy of a NSCS by quasi-static cyclic force testing, a cyclic force test is performed that subjects the component to at least the maximum force demand determined by analysis

as determined in [8.2](#) or [8.3](#). The testing verifies that NSCS, their attachments and subassemblies satisfactorily meet their ULS and SLS performance objectives as specified in [Clause 5](#).

NOTE NSCS tested in this manner are post-installed anchor bolts.

9.4 Verification by documented performance in past earthquakes (experience data)

To provide verification of the adequacy of a NSCS by experience data, a documented procedure is followed. The performance of NSCS is documented along with the estimated earthquake motions associated with that performance. From this procedure, the seismic capacity should be estimated with some margin for uncertainty. The capacity is provided in terms of a bounding response spectra and presumed installation conditions. The bounding spectra is upper limit capacity of the component and the installation conditions are the specific conditions regarding details of the component and its installation under which the bounding spectra is considered valid. The verification procedure consists of confirmation that the component satisfies all of the relevant installation conditions and that the elastic demand response spectra is less than the bounding spectra. To verify the seismic load path, a design analysis as specified in [8.2](#) or [8.3](#) is performed. The experience data procedure verifies that NSCS, their attachments and subassemblies satisfactorily meet their ULS and SLS performance objectives as specified in [Clause 5](#). See [Annex J](#) for more specific recommendations regarding experience data procedures.

9.5 Verification by a combination of procedures

Verification of large NSCS can be accomplished using a combination of the procedures provided in [9.2](#), [9.3](#) and [9.4](#).

In the case of NSCS consisting of a large housing containing multiple subassemblies, large scale shake table testing may not be feasible. For such cases, a permissible approach is to analyse the structural system of the NSCS, to obtain localized demands at the support locations of the vulnerable subassemblies and to verify the capacity of the components to withstand the localized demands by either shake table testing or experience data.

The subassemblies along with their interconnecting process piping and electrical wireways may be analysed for seismic demands listed in [Clause 8](#). The subassemblies, housing and its seismic anchorage should be evaluated by the most appropriate methods (see [Annex K](#)). The installation and attachment of the active components should also be evaluated to ensure adequate seismic capacity.

The cumulative performance assessment of the subassemblies, their anchorages, associated conduits, wireways or process piping, skid structural system (or housing) and their seismic anchorage should be combined for an aggregate assessment of the performance objectives of the overall pre-assembled system to the ULS and SLS objectives specified in [Clause 5](#).

NOTE Some portions of a facility system may be supplied in a pre-assembled modular housing or on as a skid mounted assembly of components. Examples would be a small scale water purification system, alternative on-site power source or a cooling tower. For those pre-assembled units where ULS functionality following an earthquake should be demonstrated and the complete assembly is too large or heavy to qualify by shake table testing it is acceptable for these systems to be divided into their vulnerable subassemblies, which may then be qualified by a combination of verification by testing, design or experience. Pre-assembled modular mechanical units may be considered as building structures supporting NSCS. The entire system (all modules assembled) can be assessed (e.g. by testing on a shake table) or the individual components may be assessed separately, whereby an analysis of the modular housing structure is required to assess overall stability.

10 Verification of seismic load path between NSCS and building structural system

A continuous load path of sufficient strength and stiffness between NSCS and the supporting building structure should be provided. Guidance for design of anchorage of NSCS to concrete is provided in [Annex K](#). Local elements of the structure including connections are designed and constructed for the

NSCS demands where they control the design of the elements or their connections. The NSCS demands are those determined in [9.2](#).

NOTE Items of particular concern with regard to continuous load path are housekeeping pads for equipment, shallow topping slabs, concrete on metal deck floors, and attachments to light wood or metal partition framing.

11 Quality assurance and enforcement

Acceptable seismic performance of NSCS includes implementation of a detailed quality assurance (QA) program and enforcement of proper design and installation by government regulatory bodies. The QA and enforcement programs include assignment of responsibilities for:

- a) seismic design of NSCS and review of qualification procedures and documentation used to verify that seismic capacity meets site seismic demands;
- b) coordination of NSCS anchorage and bracing installation;
- c) field inspection to verify that NSCS and their anchorage and bracing are installed in accordance with the contract documents and the applicable standards.

See [Annex L](#) for more details on these programs.

NOTE Historically, the earthquake resistance of NSCS is not given the same attention, both in terms of design and construction, as that accorded to the seismic detailing of building structural systems. The successful implementation of NSCS anchorage and bracing provisions is therefore largely dependent on appropriate inspection and enforcement provisions. See [Annex L](#).

Annex A (informative)

Identification of NSCS requiring seismic evaluation

A.1 General

This Annex provides guidance for identifying NSCS that require seismic evaluation. Guidance is also provided for specifically excluding certain NSCS.

A.1.1 Falling hazards

Suspended or attached NSCS that could detach either in full or in part from the structure during an earthquake are referred to as falling hazards and can represent a serious threat to property and life safety. Critical attributes that influence the hazards posed by these components include their weight, their attachment to the structure, their failure or breakage characteristics (e.g. as with certain types of glass), and their location relative to occupied areas (e.g. over an entry or exit, a public walkway, an atrium, or a lower adjacent structure). Architectural components that pose potential falling hazards include parapets, cornices, canopies, marquees, glass, large ornamental elements (e.g. chandeliers), and building cladding. In addition, suspended mechanical and electrical components (e.g. air handling plenums, piping, and ductwork) can represent serious falling hazards. Examples of components that generally do not pose a significant falling hazard include awnings and canopies made of fabric.

NOTE Equipment should be evaluated if it is permanently attached to utility services (electricity, gas, and water).

A.1.2 Egress blockage

Components whose collapse during an earthquake could result in blockage of the means of egress should be evaluated. The term “means of egress” is used commonly in building codes, e.g. with respect to fire hazard exiting. Consideration of egress may include intervening aisles, doors, doorways, gates, corridors, exterior exit balconies, ramps, stairways, pressurized enclosures, horizontal exits, exit passageways, exit courts, and yards. Items whose failure could jeopardize the means of egress include walls around stairs and corridors, veneers, cornices, canopies, heavy partition systems, ceilings, architectural soffits, light fixtures, and other ornaments above building exits or near fire escapes. Architectural, mechanical, and electrical components that, if separated from the structure, will fall in areas that are not accessible to the public (e.g. into a mechanical shaft or light well) pose little risk to egress routes.

A.1.3 Release of hazardous materials

Components whose failure can lead to the uncontrolled release of contents can pose an immediate life safety risk and should be evaluated. Examples include fractured or leaking pipes, ruptured tanks, ruptured pressure vessels or storage bins and shattered laboratory equipment (e.g. reagent bottles). Where hazardous materials have been identified, the performance objectives for the containing structures (pipes, pumps, vessels, etc.) may be characterized broadly as “leak tightness”. It is generally not necessary that the systems associated with the hazardous materials remain functional following an earthquake.

A.1.4 Disruption of operability of essential facilities

The term “essential facilities” is intended to encompass all buildings and associated structures that are necessary to post-disaster response. Included are most medical care facilities (hospitals, clinics, etc.) as well as fire, police and disaster response control stations.

Those components and systems whose failure can disrupt the post-earthquake performance of essential facilities should be evaluated. It may be acceptable for the function of certain NSCS to be temporarily

interrupted during an earthquake (e.g. for the length of time required for emergency power to come on line) where the interruption of function does not disrupt critical data flows or cause other consequential damage.

NOTE Experience with earthquake damage in population centers indicates that grocery stores and supermarkets can constitute essential facilities for post-earthquake response, at least with respect to the orderly sale and distribution of food to the population. This may include provision for the protection of contents on racks as well as continuity of electrical power, e.g. for refrigeration.

A.1.5 Excessive financial loss

While not associated with the general goal of life safety, prevention of disproportionate financial loss can be critical to post-earthquake recovery.

Components whose failure can lead to disproportionate financial loss should be evaluated. Examples include failure of components housing or supporting irreplaceable museum artefacts, damage to data storage systems crucial to stability of the monetary system, and sprinkler pipe failures leading to flooding of valuable commercial facilities. Costs associated with extended loss of function, e.g. as in the case of critical exports, should also be included in the assessment of financial loss.

A.2 Identification of NSCS not requiring seismic evaluation

This International Standard applies only to permanently attached components. It is not applicable to furnishings, or temporary or relocatable components. Non-massive furnishings can slide during strong ground motion but generally pose minimal hazards provided they do not obstruct emergency egress routes.

NOTE 1 Storage cabinets, tall bookshelves, and other items of significant mass (e.g. large conference tables) do not fall into this category and should be evaluated.

Permanent components do not typically include items that remain in place only for short periods of time (e.g. months).

NOTE 2 Components equipped with wheels or mounted on skids that otherwise appear to be temporary in nature may require evaluation under this International Standard if they are intended to remain in place for a significant time period.

A.3 Example of rules for identifying NSCS requiring seismic evaluation

A.3.1 NSCS included

The following NSCS are included in the scope of these requirements unless specifically excluded in A.3.2:

- a) architectural items permanently attached to the building, as well as their supports and attachments;
- b) storage cabinets, tall bookshelves, and items of significant mass;
- c) parapets;
- d) mechanical, plumbing, heating, ventilating and electrical/communication systems and their supports and attachments;
- e) fire suppression equipment and sprinkler systems;
- f) egress stairs, elevators, and escalators.

A.3.2 NSCS excluded

The following NSCS are excluded from the scope of these requirements:

- a) unless specifically noted in A.3.1, contents of buildings including furnishings, temporary items, and mobile units.

- b) architectural components of normal importance in buildings subject to low seismic demand;
- c) mechanical and electrical components of normal importance in buildings subject to low seismic demand;
- d) mechanical and electrical components of normal importance in buildings subject to high seismic demand provided that the component is positively attached to the structure and
 - 1) the component weighs less than 0,1 kN, or
 - 2) the component is part of a distributed system (e.g. piping, conduit, bus duct, ventilation system) having a weight of 0,075 kN/m or less; or
 - 3) the component weighs less than 1,5 kN and has a centre of mass located 1 m or less above the adjacent floor level.

Annex B (informative)

Principles for choosing importance factors for NSCS

B.1 General

Each NSCS has its own function in a building. The required degree of reliability of the NSCS after an earthquake depends on its importance to the continuous operation of the building in which the NSCS is located, as well as on the hazard to life safety that failure of the component may pose.

In determining the seismic demand on the NSCS its importance level should be chosen properly.

As a simplification, the degree of seismic reliability and performance are presumed to be proportional to the design capacity of the structure and the NSCS.

NOTE In some circumstances, reliability may be increased by improving the ductility of the component or attachments.

B.2 Example for establishment of importance factors $\gamma_{n,E,p}$

Importance factors are introduced into several calculation methods for designing building structures and are determined based on judgement. An example for how to approach the establishment of importance factors is given below in [Table B.1](#) as a function of NSCS categories which are provided below in [Table B.2](#):

Table B.1 — Example for table of importance factors

Importance of building structure	Importance factors, $\gamma_{n,E,p}$, by NSCS category				
	A	B	C	D	E
Low (barns, sheds)	0,8	1,0	not applica- ble	not applica- ble	not applica- ble
Normal (office, residential)	1,0	1,0	1,5	1,5	not applica- ble
Important (schools, auditoriums)	1,0	1,5	1,5	2,0	not applica- ble
Highly important (essential facilities)	1,0	1,5	2,0	2,5	3,0

Table B.2 — Example of table of NSCS category definitions

NSCS category	Definition	Examples
A	NSCS not listed below	partitions, glazing over unoccupied spaces, low storage cabinets
B	components that pose a hazard to individuals	suspended mechanical equipment, piping containing non-hazardous substances
C	building systems necessary for life safety	fire sprinklers, egress stairs
D	components that pose a hazard to crowds or whose failure would cause disproportionate financial loss	vessels and piping containing toxic, explosive or otherwise hazardous substances (e.g. biological hazards), suspended ceiling over an auditorium, stadium scoreboard, significant art works
E	components necessary for continued operability of essential facilities	electrical, mechanical, plumbing and ventilation systems, uninterruptable power supplies, emergency generators

Annex C (informative)

Principles for choosing the floor response amplification factor (height factor)

C.1 General

The floor response amplification factor of the building structure at attachment location at level i ($k_{H,i}$) relates the maximum floor acceleration over the height of the building to the zero-period acceleration at the base of the building. This factor is primarily a function of:

- a) the natural periods of vibration of the building structure;
- b) the type of building lateral-load resisting system;
- c) the relative location of the point of attachment of the NSCS to the average roof elevation of the structure with respect to grade elevation; and
- d) the inherent damping and degree of inelastic behaviour of the building structure which are dependent on the severity of the ground motion.

NOTE The maximum accelerations of the floor and ground are best characterized as the average spectral acceleration over a range of periods such as 0,1 to 0,6 s adjusted with an amplification factor, e.g. 2,5.

C.2 Determination using dynamic analysis

The floor response amplification factor may be determined by performing a modal analysis of the linear elastic building structure. For linear elastic low-rise buildings, the floor response amplification factor tends to increase with height. Linear elastic mid-rise and high-rise buildings exhibit a more uniform distribution of floor acceleration response factors over the height of the building structure.

C.3 Determination using simplified static analysis

A trapezoidal distribution of floor accelerations within the supporting building may be assumed when simplified static analysis procedures are implemented. This trapezoidal distribution is of the form:

$$k_{H,i} = \left[1 + \alpha \left(\frac{z_i}{H} \right) \right] \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where

- α is a parameter that is a function of the type of lateral-load resisting system ($\alpha \leq 2,5$)
- i is the level in the building structure of the point of attachment of the NSCS relative to grade elevation ($0 \leq i/H \leq 1,0$)
- z_i is the elevation of level i relative to grade elevation
- H is the average roof elevation of the structure relative to grade elevation.




Figure C.1 — Example of trapezoidal variation of floor response amplification factor with building height (Formula C.1 with $\alpha = 2,0$)

NOTE 1 Inelastic behaviour of the building structure tends to limit the magnitude of the floor response amplification factor. In some cases, e.g. when the inelastic behaviour of a building structure is limited to one or just a few adjacent stories, the floor response amplification factor can increase with respect to the value obtained for a linear elastic building structure.

NOTE 2 Additional refinements of the trapezoidal representation have been proposed for differing structural systems and building heights. Additional research is necessary to provide more representative simplified expressions for floor response amplification factors that explicitly account for the primary issues identified in this Annex.

Annex D (informative)

Principles for choosing the component amplification factor (resonance factor)

D.1 General

The component amplification factor ($k_{R,p}$) relates the NSCS acceleration response to the floor acceleration at the points of attachment of the component. It is applicable to cases where the NSCS has not been explicitly integrated into the building model. This factor is primarily a function of:

- a) the component period (T_C) relative to the significant natural periods of the building structure;
- b) the NSCS damping ratio;
- c) the general configuration of the NSCS;
- d) the method of attachment of the NSCS to the building structure; and
- e) the inherent damping and degree of inelastic behaviour of the building structure which are dependent on the severity of the ground motion.

Maximum component amplification factors are generally obtained when the component period is in the vicinity of one of the modal periods of the building structure, i.e. $T_C/T_j \approx 1,0$. (where T_j represents the j th modal period of the building structure). If the component is rigid (stiff) and rigidly attached to the supporting building, the component amplification factor is taken as 1,0 since the NSCS response is assumed to be unaffected by the building response.

For the purpose of determining the amplification factor where the building natural period is known, a reasonable assumption for the boundary between rigid and flexible components is as follows:

$$\frac{T_1}{T_C} \geq 5 \quad \text{stiff}$$









$$\frac{T_1}{T_C} < 5 \quad \text{flexible}$$

Where the building natural period is unknown, it may be assumed that stiff components are those with a natural frequency, including supports, greater than 10 Hz.

Component amplification factors for flexible components and/or components with flexible attachment are given in [Table D.1](#). The value of 2,5 in the table accounts for the possibility of resonant response but assumes some inelastic behaviour of the building structure. If elastic behaviour is assumed, the amplification factor corresponding to resonance can be significantly greater than 2,5 depending on the level of damping.

Table D.1 — Examples of component amplification factors ($k_{R,p}$) for 5 %-damped NSCS

Typology see Figure D.1	Flat (plate) element			Linear element		
Method of attachment to building structure	All face fixed (either front side or back side)	Fixed along upper and lower edges, right and left edges, or all edges	Fixed along one edge only	Fixed along length of component	Both ends fixed	One end fixed
Stiff NSCS	1,0	1,0	1,5	1,0	1,0	1,5
Others	1,0	1,5	2,5 or more	1,0	1,5	2,5 or more

Type	1	2	3	4	5
Connection type between structural and nonstructural	○ 1 point - 1 line	○ ○ ○ ○ multiple points	 two lines	 four lines	 plane
Actual connection	 NSCS	 NSCS structure	 NSCS slab	 NSCS surrounding structure	 structure NSCS
Example of nonstructural elements	suspended ceiling suspended lighting fixture	curtain wall	partition wall	window door	wall tile cement mortar finishing
Dominant external force	inertial force (two direction)	storey drift inertial force	storey drift inertial force	deformation of surrounding wall	strain and/or deformation of structural wall

Effect of inertial force of structure

Effect of strain and/or deformation of structure

Figure D.1 — Typology of the connection between structural members and NSCS

Annex E (informative)

Principles for determining response modification factors

E.1 General

Seismic force demands for NSCS may be reduced by a NSCS response modification factor ($k_{D,p}$). NSCS damping, ductility, overstrength characteristics, and NSCS connection details influence NSCS response modification factor values. For example, piping components made of high ductility materials such as steel or copper can accommodate relative displacements inelastically. However, piping with threaded or compression coupling connections exhibit moderate ductility under inelastic displacements and should be assigned a larger NSCS response modification factor value.

NOTE NSCS response modification factor values may be adjusted due to the detailing, construction or functionality of NSCS. For example, cladding elements, which are often very stiff in-plane, should be isolated so that they do not restrain and are not loaded by drift of the supporting building due to thermal movements. Therefore, connection systems are generally detailed to be statically determinate. Since the resulting support systems often lack redundancy, exacerbating the consequences of a single connection failure, fasteners of the connecting system, such as bolts or anchors, should be designed for amplified forces. On the other hand, connecting members, such as steel plates or angles, should be ductile. The intent is to restrict inelastic behaviour to the connecting members while the less ductile fasteners remain essentially elastic. To achieve this intent, the NSCS response modification factor value should be adjusted to make fastener design forces larger than connecting members.

E.2 Development of response modification factors

Considering that most NSCS lack the desirable attributes of supporting buildings (such as ductility, toughness, and redundancy) that permit the use of greatly reduced lateral design forces, values for the NSCS response modification factor, $k_{D,p}$, are generally smaller than structure response modification factor values for supporting buildings, i.e. structural factor, k_D , in ISO 3010. The analogous parameter for supporting buildings, structural factor (k_D), is expressed as the product of $k_{D,\mu}$ and $k_{D,s}$, where $k_{D,\mu}$ is related to ductility, acceptable deformation and restoring force characteristics, and $k_{D,s}$ is related to overstrength. NSCS response modification factors may be determined utilizing collective wisdom and experience. In general, the benchmark values given in [Table E.1](#) may be used to address both deformation capacity and reserve strength:

Table E.1 — Inverse of NSCS response modification factors

Level of reserve capacity ^a	$R_{p,\mu}$ ^b	$R_{p,s}$ ^b	R_p
Low	1,0	1,0	1,0
Medium	2,0	1,5	3,0
High	3,0	2,0	6,0

^a Deformation and strength.

^b $R_{p,\mu}$ and $R_{p,s}$ are the inverse of the NSCS factors $k_{p,\mu}$ and $k_{p,s}$, respectively:

$$k_{D,p} = k_{p,\mu} \cdot k_{p,s} \quad (\text{E.1})$$

$$k_{D,p} = \frac{1}{R_{p,\mu}} \cdot \frac{1}{R_{p,s}} = \frac{1}{R_p} \quad (\text{E.2})$$

NOTE Examples of low reserve capacity systems include piping made of glass and ceramic electrical isolators. Examples of high reserve capacity systems are welded steel piping and ductwork.

Annex F (informative)

Principles for determining seismic relative displacements for drift-sensitive components

F.1 Seismic relative displacements

The demands on drift-sensitive components are based on the relative movement of the attachment points. The relative movements can arise in a variety of ways:


- a) interstorey drift;
- b) displacement between buildings;
- c) displacement between NSCS;
- d) displacement between NSCS and building elements;
- e) displacements across the isolation plane.

These are discussed in more detail below.

F.2 Interstorey drift

Interstorey drift is the relative horizontal displacement between two adjacent storeys. Interstorey drift is not necessarily uniform across a given floor level depending on the type of structural system and the stiffness of the floor slab. The interstorey drift used for design of NSCS should correspond to the displacement of the points of attachment at the floor levels above and below. The interstorey drift should reflect the inelastic behaviour of the structure (best estimate of actual building drift at the ULS). For further discussion see ISO 3010:2001, Annex B. The maximum drift demand is usually associated with the allowable building drift at the ULS as established by regional and national standards. Interstorey drift may also be determined at the SLS.

Where NSCS comprised of stiff and flexible elements extend between floors, interstorey drift is distributed to the elements of the NSCS according to relative stiffness. When a relatively flexible or weak NSCS is located between two stiff NSCS (hatched areas) as shown in [Figure F.1](#), the interstorey drift (δ) needs to be accommodated by the more flexible or weak component. For example, a glazing system installed between two rigid precast concrete spandrel panels will be required to accommodate the entire displacement demand in the remaining storey height. In this case, this is accomplished by detailing the containment for the glazing.



Key

1 target NSCS

Figure F.1 — Special case of distribution of the interstorey drift to a nonstructural cladding component

F.3 Displacement between buildings

When NSCS extend between two adjacent buildings or sections of a building that are seismically separated, the relative displacement between the two buildings or portions of the building should be accommodated in the design of the NSCS.

Displacement between buildings may be conservatively estimated as the absolute sum of the horizontal displacements of two adjacent buildings at the points of attachment. Alternatively, it may be taken as the square root of the sum of the squares (SRSS) of the calculated displacements. The calculated displacements should include the inelastic behaviour of both structures. For further discussion see ISO 3010, Annex B.

F.4 Displacement between NSCS

When linear NSCS such as piping extend between NSCS on the same floor, the relative displacement between the points of attachment resulting from response of the NSCS should be accommodated in the design of the linear connecting NSCS. Where the points of attachment of the linear NSCS occur at different floor levels or between buildings, the interstorey drift and/or inter-building drift should be included in the calculation of relative displacement as well. See F.2 and F.3.

Displacement between NSCS may be conservatively estimated as the absolute sum of the horizontal displacements of two components at the points of attachment. Alternatively, it may be taken as the square root of the sum of the squares (SRSS) of the calculated displacements. The calculated displacements should include the inelastic behaviour of the NSCS (e.g. by increasing the elastic displacements by the response modification factor). Where the NSCS are mounted on vibration isolators, the potential increase in the relative displacements should be accounted for.

Annex G (informative)

Floor response spectra

G.1 General

The floor response spectrum is the acceleration response spectrum at the point of NSCS attachment. It may be used to perform generic dynamic analyses or to conduct shake table testing of NSCS with natural frequencies greater than a minimum value, f_0 .

G.2 Estimation of floor response spectrum

G.2.1 General

A floor response spectrum is typically obtained from a dynamic analysis of the building structure as described in 8.2. Alternatively, for a given component frequency, the floor response spectrum may be estimated as the ratio of seismic force at level i of the building structure ($F_{E,p,i}$) to operating weight of the component ($F_{G,p}$), where these forces are obtained from the simplified equations in 8.3, and where the importance factor ($\gamma_{n,E,p}$) and the component nonstructural response modification factor ($k_{D,p}$) are taken as unity.

NOTE The importance factor should be taken as unity because it should not modify the seismic test input motion. When a shake table test is conducted, the component will respond to the shaking and the inelastic behaviour and component response modifications will occur naturally. Thus, a component nonstructural response modification factor equal to one is appropriate.

Where the simplified equations of 8.3 are used, the ordinates of the normalized horizontal floor response spectrum for a component located at level i of the building structure are determined as given by Formula (G.1):

$$A_i = F_{E,p,i} / F_{G,p} = k_{I(u \text{ or } s)} \cdot k_{H,i} \cdot k_{R,p} \quad (\text{G.1})$$

where

$A_i \leq A_{flexible}$ for components with first-mode frequencies less than f_2 ;

$A_i \geq A_{rigid}$ for components with first-mode frequencies greater than f_2 ;

$k_{I(u \text{ or } s)}$ is the ground motion hazard level of interest for ULS and SLS (see 8.3.3.1).

For vertical response, a fraction of the values from Formula (G.1) may be used with $k_{H,i}$ evaluated at grade level for all elevations. For a given component frequency, the ratio of vertical to horizontal response can be represented by the parameter β where β is typically assumed to vary from 1/2 to 2/3.

Figure G.1 depicts the general shape of the floor response.




Figure G.1 — Floor response spectrum

Typical assigned values for the control points for the floor response spectrum are:

$$f_0 = 1,3 \text{ to } 2,5 \text{ Hz}$$

$$f_1 = 7,5 \text{ to } 8,3 \text{ Hz}$$

$$f_2 = 10 \text{ to } 16,67 \text{ Hz}$$

$$f_3 = 33,3 \text{ Hz}$$

A representative damping ratio for this spectrum is 0,05.

The parameters $A_{flexible}$ and A_{rigid} are determined based on information on the building and NSCS dynamic characteristics.

G.2.2 Building-generic floor response spectrum – horizontal motion

When the building dynamic characteristics are not known,

$$A_{flexible} = k_{I(u \text{ or } s)} \cdot k_{H,i} \cdot k_{R,p,flexible} \quad (G.2)$$

$$A_{rigid} = k_{I(u \text{ or } s)} \cdot k_{H,i} \cdot k_{R,p,rigid} \quad (G.3)$$

where

$k_{H,i}$ is the floor response amplification factor given by Formula C.1 (see [Annex C](#));

$k_{R,p,flexible}$ is the NSCS amplification factor for flexible systems ($k_{R,p,i,flexible} > 1,0$, see [Annex D](#)); and

$k_{R,p,i,rigid}$ is the NSCS amplification factor for rigid systems ($k_{R,p,i,rigid} = 1,0$, see [Annex D](#)).

G.2.3 Building-specific floor response spectrum – horizontal motion

When the building dynamic characteristics are known,

$$A_{flexible} = A_{D,I} \cdot k_{R,p,i,flexible} \quad (G.4)$$

$$A_{rigid} = A_{D,I} \cdot k_{R,p,i,rigid} \quad (G.5)$$

where

$A_{D,i}$ is the acceleration at level i obtained from a dynamic analysis procedure (including torsional response) that utilizes an elastic ground motion response spectrum or time-history analysis.

G.3 Additional considerations

The mass of the NSCS should always be included in the building model and it is always permissible to include the NSCS explicitly in the building model. When the weight of a flexible NSCS (i.e. having a frequency less than f_2) exceeds 20 % of the total seismic weight of the supporting floor level including the weight of the component, it is recommended that interaction effects between the structure and the NSCS be considered in the development of floor response spectra.

Annex H (informative)

Methods for verifying NSCS by design analysis

H.1 Methods of evaluation

Methods of evaluating NSCS response to seismic demand include analysis, qualification testing, experience data, or a combination thereof. The selection of the appropriate method, including type of analysis, type of qualification tests, or type and scope of experience data, depends on the nature, complexity and performance objective of the NSCS.

H.2 Evaluation by analysis

The seismic actions and effects of NSCS in buildings shall be determined either by dynamic analysis or by equivalent static analysis. In both cases the dynamic properties of the supporting structure and the interaction effects between the dynamic responses of the supporting structures and the NSCS shall be taken into consideration.

Appropriate nonlinear post-elastic behaviour and the behaviour sequence of the supporting building structure for a NSCS during severe earthquakes shall be considered in determining the seismic force and imposed deformation or relative displacement demands on the NSCS.

For NSCS that provide essential services (e.g. mechanical and electrical equipment and piping) required to remain functional during and after severe or moderate earthquake ground motions, it may be adequate to perform equivalent static analysis using enhanced force levels. For some special cases, the design of NSCS can require a more rigorous analysis to demonstrate acceptable performance.

H.2.1 Modelling

The type of modelling suitable for a NSCS as a secondary system in relation to the primary building structure system depends on the properties and characteristics of the NSCS, including its mass, stiffness (or flexibility), ductility, and boundary conditions.

NOTE A secondary system is an NSCS that does not influence the building response.

For a rigid NSCS, the analysis for the seismic demand can be performed using rigid body mechanics. For some standardized NSCS, such as some electrical or mechanical equipment, no modelling of the NSCS is necessary and the ability of the NSCS to withstand the seismic demand can be assessed by prescriptive methods, by use of experience data, or by testing (force, relative displacement or shake table testing).

NSCS that are flexible should be modelled as secondary systems to assess the effect of the seismic action on the component. For slender, tall, or other flexible NSCS that possess unique vibration characteristics and behaviour, the modelling of the secondary NSCS should include any interaction effects between the primary building and secondary system, including modification to the response of either system due to the interaction and torsional sensitivity where significant. The complexity, value and importance of the NSCS versus cost of modelling should be considered in selecting the level of modelling detail and analysis approach. It may be preferable to make conservative assumptions to facilitate a more simplified and practical design approach.

H.2.2 Equivalent static analysis

For the case of a NSCS with mass that is small relative to the supporting building, the seismic force demand may be determined by the equivalent static load method using conventional linear elastic

analysis. Where the local supporting members are flexible, their mass and stiffness properties should be included in the determination of the equivalent static load.

H.2.3 Dynamic analysis

To more accurately determine the interaction effects between the supporting building structure and an attached or supported NSCS, dynamic analysis of the NSCS subjected to the floor response motion at attachment points may be performed. The dynamic analysis of the NSCS can be carried out by floor response spectrum analysis or time-history analysis of a complete structural model of the building structure with the attached or supported NSCS included in the model. For example, in the case of heavy mechanical or electrical equipment where the dynamic response can impart a significant seismic force feedback to the supporting structure or a sensitive NSCS, a dynamic analysis of the complete building and NSCS combined system is recommended.

For non-massive NSCS secondary systems, dynamic analysis by the floor response spectrum method with appropriate modal combination procedures is recommended. Conventional floor response spectrum methods derived from the vibration of the building structure alone neglect the dynamic interaction between the building and NSCS, and do not account for modification to the responses of the combined primary-secondary system due to interaction.

NOTE In some cases, inelastic behaviour of the building structure can result in an additional amplification of maximum NSCS acceleration demands. This is particularly important for NSCS that are located at the bottom floors of the building structure (except at ground level) with periods that are close to the higher modal periods of the building.

H.2.4 Seismicity and soil conditions

The determination of seismicity and soil conditions should be in accordance with ISO 3010.

H.2.5 Dynamic properties of the building structure

Where a dynamic model of the building is used to determine the seismic demands for NSCS, that model should be in accordance with ISO 3010.

H.2.6 Dynamic interaction between the secondary and primary systems

NSCS attached to a building respond to base excitation from the floor acceleration of the structure at the point where the component is attached. If the component is rigid and rigidly attached to the structure, it will undergo acceleration of the same magnitude as that of the structure at the attachment point. Flexible components and/or components with flexible attachment to the structure will undergo different response because of the modification, amplification or filtering effects associated with the dynamic response of the supporting structures, and of the attachment devices and the components. In general, the ground acceleration levels are amplified in the building response to the earthquake ground motion, and the level of vibration increases with height within the building with highest accelerations occurring at the roof level.

As some NSCS are sensitive to damage from higher mode effects from the supporting building, these should be considered especially when the natural frequencies of the NSCS are close to vibration periods of the building structure.

H.2.7 Boundary condition of NSCS

The flexibility, strength and reserve capacity of the attachment or supporting devices of NSCS to the building structure, including isolation devices, should be considered in the determination of the magnitude and characteristics of the seismic load demands for the seismic design and performance evaluation of the NSCS. For examples of attachment geometry, see [Annex D](#).

H.2.8 Imposed relative displacements or deformations

For NSCS attached to the supporting building at multiple support points that undergo spatially varying out-of-phase support motion, an additional pseudo-static deformation demand is imposed on the NSCS. The NSCS should be designed to have the strength, flexibility or ductility capacity to accommodate the imposed relative displacement or deformation demands. For example, a glass panel on the exterior building façade with attachment points on separate structural members of the building frame should be designed to accommodate the relative movement of the support points in addition to the dynamic load effects.

Annex I (informative)

NSCS verification by shake table testing

I.1 General

To conduct an effective shake table test program it is essential to develop and review a test plan with the test facility well in advance of testing. Selection of the appropriate industry recognized shake table test protocol is the foundation of the test plan. Once requirements are defined and the shake table test standard has been selected, the next step is to begin the technical evaluation of the equipment to be tested.

I.2 Basic steps for preparaton and conducting a shake table testing program

The basic steps in the preparation and conducting of a shake tabe testing program are provided in the following flow chart.

Technical Analysis (preparatory work items done prior to developing program)	
a)	Finite element method (FEM) studies of the designs of structural, modal and spectral characteristics
b)	Modal hammer studies and investigative shake table testing to validate the FEM simulations
c)	Engineering insights from previous qualification or investigative testing
d)	Research studies



Test plan (items to be include in plan)	
a)	Test plan and referenced test standard
b)	Verification that the facility will be able to comply
c)	Review of the shake table RRS (required response spectrum)
d)	Establishment of contingency plans
e)	Format and contents of test report
f)	Pass/fail criteria
g)	Data collection and documentation requirements
h)	Requirements for design professional sign-off on test reports
i)	Data structure for digital, photographic or video data
j)	Testing to be conducted and time allocated
k)	Prioritization for testing
l)	Facility security requirements and safety precautions
m)	Shipping and storage requirements both to and from the lab
n)	Advance preparations to increase testing efficiency



Test report (items to be included in report developed after testing)

- a) A copy of the referenced shake table test standard
- b) A copy of the manufacturer's test plan
- c) Seismic parameters and how they were determined
- d) Manufacture's contact information
- e) Test laboratory's contact information
- f) Test specimen description
- g) Pass/fail criteria
- h) Description of the test sequence
- i) All data required by the test standard
- j) All information requested by a third party reviewer if appropriate
- k) Photographs of the test setup
- l) Sign-off by independent registered design professional in charge of test

Annex J (informative)

NSCS verification through use of experience data

J.1 General

Procedures for establishing seismic functional capacity through the use of experience data, including limits on the extrapolation from observations, may be used to verify NSCS where substantiated performance data are available together with verifiable ground motion and in structure demands to which the NSCS was subjected.

Valid use of experience data requires satisfaction of rules that address physical characteristics, manufacturer's classification and standards, and findings from testing, analysis, and expert consensus opinion.

NOTE 1 Such procedures have been developed for use in commercial nuclear facility applications in the US by the Seismic Qualification Utility Group (SQUG) of the Electric Power Research Institute. This alternative method of compliance was created in the early 1980s in response to better understand the seismic performance of electrical equipment installed in commercial nuclear power plants prior to a formal standard for qualification by performance testing in the 1960s.

NOTE 2 The SQUG rules for implementing the use of experience data are described in a proprietary Generic Implementation Procedure (GIP) database. It is a collection of findings from detailed engineering studies by experts for equipment from a variety of utility and industrial facilities. This experience data should be used with care, since the design and manufacture of components may have changed considerably in the intervening years.

NOTE 3 The use of experience data is limited by the relative rarity of reliable strong motion instrument records associated with the corresponding equipment experience data.

J.2 Criteria

Four criteria are used to establish seismic functional capacity by experience, as follows:

- a) Seismic capacity versus demand (comparison with a bounding spectrum);
- b) Conformance with earthquake experience database cautions and inclusion rules;
- c) Verification of the NSCS anchorage; and
- d) Verification of seismic interaction potential.

NOTE For additional background on seismic qualification by experience data refer to the International Atomic Energy Agency publication "IAEA-TECDOC-1333" dated January, 2003.

Annex K (informative)

Principles of seismic anchorage of NSCS

K.1 General

This annex provides general guidance for design of anchorage to the structure.

NOTE Regional and national standard committees may consider incorporating the information in this Annex in appropriate regional and national standards, e.g. structural concrete design.

K.2 Anchorage

The design of NSCS anchorage requires consideration of the demands imposed on the NSCS as defined in [Clause 8](#) and the transfer of forces to the structure.

K.2.1 Anchors

The transfer of force from the NSCS to the supporting structure is usually accomplished via discrete anchorage points. Where the supporting structure is concrete or masonry, these discrete anchorage points generally consist of either cast-in or post-installed (drilled-in) anchors. Anchorage points can either be single anchors or groups of anchors connected by a common fixture, e.g. a baseplate.

K.2.1.1 Qualification of cast-in anchors

Acceptable cast-in anchor types are those that either fulfill the requirements of regional and national standards or have been shown by test to fulfill those requirements.

K.2.1.2 Qualification of post-installed anchors

Post-installed anchors should be qualified to resist seismic demands in accordance with regional and national standards. Qualification should include assessment of the sensitivity of the anchor response to concrete cracking, crack width cycling, load cycling and other parameters important to fulfill the structural design requirements.

NOTE Testing at high strain rates is not required.

K.2.2 Anchor force demand transfer in concrete

Anchors loaded in tension or shear generate tensile stresses in the concrete. These stresses can amplify tensile stresses associated with, e.g. reinforcing bond development or beam shear. Where these tensile stresses are not resisted by reinforcement, checks should be performed to determine that they do not result in premature failure of the anchorage or the structural member.

K.2.2.1 Assumed condition of concrete

Concrete should be assumed to be cracked for the purposes of anchorage design unless it can be shown that the concrete will remain uncracked for the ULS.

NOTE Regardless of the assumption made for the condition of the concrete for the design of the connection, only anchors that have been qualified for use in cracked concrete and to resist seismic demands should be used.

K.2.3 Anchor interaction with reinforcement

Reinforcement is effective for prevention of concrete breakout failure provided it

- a) is parallel to the direction of force,
- b) is fully anchored on both sides of the presumed failure plane, and
- c) is designed to resist the force.

Reinforcement that is not parallel to the direction of force should not be relied upon for prevention of concrete breakout.

Reinforcement oriented perpendicular to the direction of force is effective for prevention of splitting and side-face blowout failure modes provided it

- a) is located at or near the location of maximum stress, and
- b) is designed to resist the induced splitting and/or side-face blowout forces.

K.2.4 Requirements on anchors

The anchors should be capable of transferring seismic demands as required to maintain the stability and, where applicable, the functionality of the attached NSCS.

K.2.4.1 Anchor design controlled by force demands

Discrete components (e.g. motors, pumps, electrical switch boxes) require anchors to resist forces generated by the dynamic interaction of the NSCS with the floor response. While it is possible that anchor response can alter the dynamic response of the anchored component, the potential for unstable increases in dynamic response as a function of increasing anchor displacement dictates that anchors remain relatively stiff in their response. Exceptions are round tanks and vessels, which historically have performed well when equipped with anchors provided with sufficient stretch length (in the form of anchor chairs) to ensure ductile response.

K.2.4.2 Anchors controlled by displacement requirements

Distributed components and in particular components that are attached at multiple floor levels (e.g. façade elements) require anchorage details that can accommodate the anticipated storey drift in addition to resisting the calculated inertial forces.

K.2.4.3 Requirements on anchor ductility

Where anchors are required to be controlled by ductile response to tension loading, and in the absence of other guidance, the following requirements apply:

- a) Anchor bolts should be fabricated from steel exhibiting a distinctive yield plateau consistent with the stress-strain properties of mild steel.
- b) Anchor bolts should be provided with a minimum length over which uniform elongation can occur under tension loading of 8 bolt diameters.
- c) Anchors should be designed so that the ratio of the bolt minimum specified ultimate strength under tension load to the characteristic tension strength associated with the controlling concrete failure mode (e.g. concrete cone breakout, concrete splitting, concrete side-face blowout) does not exceed 0,7.

The interaction of the anchor with the NSCS base and the NSCS superstructure can be modelled as a chain of interconnected elements, whereby the strength and stiffness of the system is controlled by the

weakest link in the chain. The relationship of the system ductility to the local element ductility (ductility of the weakest link) can be estimated as

$$\mu_{local} = [\mu_{system}(n+1)]^{-n} \quad (K.1)$$

where

n is the number of stiff interconnected elements in the chain (not including the weakest link);

μ_{local} is the ductility demand on the weakest link;

μ_{system} is the system ductility demand.

In this expression, it is assumed that the ductility is accommodated entirely by the weakest link. If this is the anchorage, sufficient ductility should be provided to meet the system demands.

K.3 Design

K.3.1 General

The design of anchorages is intended to protect the anchorage from premature failure. This is accomplished by one of four possible means:

- a) Design of the anchorage for assumed overstrength demand (see K.3.2).
- b) Design of the anchors for ductile yield (see K.3.3).
- c) Design of the anchors to develop the yield strength of a ductile element within the NSCS (e.g. baseplate, equipment base, etc.). See K.3.4.
- d) Design for the maximum force that can be developed by the attached system (see K.3.5).

Where seismic demands are computed on the basis of equivalent static forces and the anchorage cannot be designed to be governed by ductile failure consideration should be given to determination of the $k_{D,p}$ exclusively on the basis of overstrength (see [Annex E](#)).

K.3.2 Design for assumed overstrength demand

Assumed overstrength factors should be determined in accordance with regional and national standards. Where no overstrength factor is available, overstrength of $R_{p,s} = 2,5$ may be assumed. Overstrength factors should be included in the applicable governing load case for the component.

NOTE In some cases regional and national standards may provide reduction in capacities rather than overstrength requirements for anchor design.

K.3.3 Design for anchor yield

Analysis models for the distribution of loads to groups of anchors shall include the effects of anchor yielding. Where appropriate, assessment of impact forces associated with cycling of loading on yielded anchors shall be included. Impact forces shall be determined as 3 times the calculated earthquake force. Where anchors resist shear and the ratio of calculated shear to total load is greater than 0,2, anchors shall not be designed for anchor yield.

K.3.4 Design for yield strength of the NSCS

The yield strength of the connected component should be established either by test or by analysis, whereby allowance shall be made for material overstrength. Prying forces resulting from baseplate yield should be included in the design of the anchors.

K.3.5 Design for NSCS strength

NSCS strength shall be determined by test or by rational analysis.

K.4 Prescriptive requirements for anchors

The following apply.

- a) Anchors, nuts and washers should be fabricated from durable materials which are resistant to corrosion and fatigue for the operating conditions assumed in the design.
- b) Where anchors are installed through thin sheet metal enclosures, anchors should be provided with Belleville washers or otherwise reinforced to prevent the anchor from prematurely pulling through the sheet metal.
- c) Where anchors are subjected to high-cycle fatigue loading they should be provided with lock nuts.

NOTE These recommendations reflect experience with testing of NSCS and observations from past earthquakes.

Annex L **(informative)**

Quality assurance in design and construction

L.1 General

A quality assurance and quality control program for the design and installation of NSCS that resist seismic demands should be developed by the responsible engineer and included in the construction documentation. This program should include appropriate reviews by regional and national agencies responsible for permitting construction in their jurisdiction.

The quality assurance and quality control program should include timely inspection during the construction process as required to permit corrective action as necessary.

L.2 Quality assurance during design phase

Design criteria documents and specifications as applicable should be prepared for all NSCS.

For NSCS bracing and anchorage design that is conducted by the responsible engineer, drawings and supporting calculations should be developed that satisfy the design criteria. These documents should contain sufficient detail to permit review for design adequacy by regional and national agencies responsible for permitting construction in their jurisdiction.

For NSCS that are designed by the manufacturer, sufficient documentation should be provided by the manufacturer to permit the concepts for seismic anchorage and bracing to be independently reviewed by the responsible engineer and the regional and national agencies responsible for permitting construction in their jurisdiction.

For NSCS that should retain function in essential facilities, seismic capacity (qualification) documentation should be provided by the manufacturer in sufficient detail to demonstrate that the functional capacity exceeds the specified seismic demand. This documentation should be reviewed for adequacy by the responsible engineer and the regional and national agencies responsible for permitting construction in their jurisdiction.

A review of the documentation for the individual NSCS designs and associated systems should be conducted. The purpose of this review is to verify the conformance of the documentation with the design criteria and specifications and to check for conflicts with other NSCS and structural elements.

L.3 Quality assurance during construction phase

Installation of NSCS should be verified for conformance with approved design drawings and installation instructions.

The level and frequency of independent inspection of the installations should be in conformance with the quality control and quality assurance requirements established for the design.

Inspection should be performed by personnel that are independent, financially and functionally, from the installer.

Timely inspection reports should be provided directly to and reviewed by the responsible engineer and the regional and national agencies responsible for permitting construction in their jurisdiction.

Bibliography

- [1] ISO 2394:1998, *General principles on reliability for structures*

British Standards Institution (BSI)

BSI is the national body responsible for preparing British Standards and other standards-related publications, information and services.

BSI is incorporated by Royal Charter. British Standards and other standardization products are published by BSI Standards Limited.

About us

We bring together business, industry, government, consumers, innovators and others to shape their combined experience and expertise into standards-based solutions.

The knowledge embodied in our standards has been carefully assembled in a dependable format and refined through our open consultation process. Organizations of all sizes and across all sectors choose standards to help them achieve their goals.

Information on standards

We can provide you with the knowledge that your organization needs to succeed. Find out more about British Standards by visiting our website at bsigroup.com/standards or contacting our Customer Services team or Knowledge Centre.

Buying standards

You can buy and download PDF versions of BSI publications, including British and adopted European and international standards, through our website at bsigroup.com/shop, where hard copies can also be purchased.

If you need international and foreign standards from other Standards Development Organizations, hard copies can be ordered from our Customer Services team.

Subscriptions

Our range of subscription services are designed to make using standards easier for you. For further information on our subscription products go to bsigroup.com/subscriptions.

With **British Standards Online (BSOL)** you'll have instant access to over 55,000 British and adopted European and international standards from your desktop. It's available 24/7 and is refreshed daily so you'll always be up to date.

You can keep in touch with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards, both in single copy and subscription format, by becoming a **BSI Subscribing Member**.

PLUS is an updating service exclusive to BSI Subscribing Members. You will automatically receive the latest hard copy of your standards when they're revised or replaced.

To find out more about becoming a BSI Subscribing Member and the benefits of membership, please visit bsigroup.com/shop.

With a **Multi-User Network Licence (MUNL)** you are able to host standards publications on your intranet. Licences can cover as few or as many users as you wish. With updates supplied as soon as they're available, you can be sure your documentation is current. For further information, email bsmusales@bsigroup.com.

BSI Group Headquarters

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL UK

Revisions

Our British Standards and other publications are updated by amendment or revision.

We continually improve the quality of our products and services to benefit your business. If you find an inaccuracy or ambiguity within a British Standard or other BSI publication please inform the Knowledge Centre.

Copyright

All the data, software and documentation set out in all British Standards and other BSI publications are the property of and copyrighted by BSI, or some person or entity that owns copyright in the information used (such as the international standardization bodies) and has formally licensed such information to BSI for commercial publication and use. Except as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 no extract may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without prior written permission from BSI. Details and advice can be obtained from the Copyright & Licensing Department.

Useful Contacts:

Customer Services

Tel: +44 845 086 9001

Email (orders): orders@bsigroup.com

Email (enquiries): cservices@bsigroup.com

Subscriptions

Tel: +44 845 086 9001

Email: subscriptions@bsigroup.com

Knowledge Centre

Tel: +44 20 8996 7004

Email: knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com

Copyright & Licensing

Tel: +44 20 8996 7070

Email: copyright@bsigroup.com



...making excellence a habit.™