**BS EN 61784-3-12:2010**



BSI Standards Publication

# **Industrial communication networks — Profiles -**

Part 3-12: Functional safety fieldbuses — Additional specifications for CPF 12

NO COPYING WITHOUT BSI PERMISSION EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW



#### **National foreword**

This British Standard is the UK implementation of EN 61784-3-12:2010.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee AMT/7, Industrial communications: process measurement and control, including fieldbus.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© BSI 2010

ISBN 978 0 580 72032 1

ICS 25.040.40; 35.100.05

#### **Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 September 2010.

#### **Amendments issued since publication**

Date Text affected

## EUROPEAN STANDARD **[EN 61784-3-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30230465U)** NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM August 2010

ICS 25.040.40; 35.100.05

English version

## **Industrial communication networks - Profiles - Part 3-12: Functional safety fieldbuses - Additional specifications for CPF 12**  (IEC 61784-3-12:2010)

Réseaux de communication industriels - Partie 3-12: Bus de terrain à sécurité fonctionnelle - Spécifications complémentaires pour le CPF 12 (CEI 61784-3-12:2010)

 Industrielle Kommunikationsnetze - Profile - Teil 3-12: Funktional sichere Übertragung bei Feldbussen - Zusätzliche Festlegungen für die Kommunikationsprofilfamilie 12 (IEC 61784-3-12:2010)

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2010-07-01. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the Central Secretariat or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the Central Secretariat has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

## **CENELEC**

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

**Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B - 1000 Brussels** 

© 2010 CENELEC - All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CENELEC members.

#### **Foreword**

The text of document 65C/591A/FDIS, future edition 1 of [IEC 61784-3-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30230465U), prepared by SC 65C, Industrial networks, of IEC TC 65, Industrial-process measurement, control and automation, was submitted to the IEC-CENELEC parallel vote and was approved by CENELEC as [EN 61784-3-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30230465U) on 2010-07-01.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN and CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The following dates were fixed:



Annex ZA has been added by CENELEC.

### **Endorsement notice**

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The text of the International Standard IEC 61784-3-12:2010 was approved by CENELEC as a European Standard without any modification.

In the official version, for Bibliography, the following notes have to be added for the standards indicated:

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 



## **Annex ZA**

### (normative)

### **Normative references to international publications with their corresponding European publications**

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE When an international publication has been modified by common modifications, indicated by (mod), the relevant EN/HD applies.





## **CONTENTS**













#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>**0 Introduction**

#### **0.1 General**

The IEC 61158 fieldbus standard together with its companion standards IEC 61784-1 and IEC 61784-2 defines a set of communication protocols that enable distributed control of automation applications. Fieldbus technology is now considered well accepted and well proven. Thus many fieldbus enhancements are emerging, addressing not yet standardized areas such as real time, safety-related and security-related applications.

This standard explains the relevant principles for functional safety communications with reference to IEC 61508 series and specifies several safety communication layers (profiles and corresponding protocols) based on the communication profiles and protocol layers of IEC 61784-1, IEC 61784-2 and the IEC 61158 series. It does not cover electrical safety and intrinsic safety aspects.

[Figure 1](#page-10-0) shows the relationships between this standard and relevant safety and fieldbus standards in a machinery environment.



(dashed yellow) this standard

NOTE Subclauses 6.7.6.4 (high complexity) and 6.7.8.1.6 (low complexity) of IEC 62061 specify the relationship between PL (Category) and SIL.

#### **Figure 1 – Relationships of IEC 61784-3 with other standards (machinery)**

<span id="page-11-0"></span>[Figure 2](#page-11-0) shows the relationships between this standard and relevant safety and fieldbus standards in a process environment.



(blue) fieldbus-related standards

(dashed yellow) this standard

a For specified electromagnetic environments; otherwise IEC 61326-3-1.

b EN ratified.

#### **Figure 2 – Relationships of IEC 61784-3 with other standards (process)**

Safety communication layers which are implemented as parts of safety-related systems according to IEC 61508 series provide the necessary confidence in the transportation of messages (information) between two or more participants on a fieldbus in a safety-related system, or sufficient confidence of safe behaviour in the event of fieldbus errors or failures.

Safety communication layers specified in this standard do this in such a way that a fieldbus can be used for applications requiring functional safety up to the Safety Integrity Level (SIL) specified by its corresponding functional safety communication profile.

The resulting SIL claim of a system depends on the implementation of the selected functional safety communication profile within this system – implementation of a functional safety communication profile in a standard device is not sufficient to qualify it as a safety device.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>This standard describes:

- $-$  basic principles for implementing the requirements of IEC 61508 series for safetyrelated data communications, including possible transmission faults, remedial measures and considerations affecting data integrity;
- ⎯ individual description of functional safety profiles for several communication profile families in [IEC 61784-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/03101355U) and [IEC 61784-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30101776U);
- ⎯ safety layer extensions to the communication service and protocols sections of the IEC 61158 series.

#### **0.2 Patent declaration**

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) draws attention to the fact that it is claimed that compliance with this document may involve the use of patents concerning the functional safety communication profiles for family 12 as follows, where the [xx] notation indicates the holder of the patent right:



IEC takes no position concerning the evidence, validity and scope of these patent rights.

The holders of these patents rights have assured the IEC that they are willing to negotiate licences under reasonable and non-discriminatory terms and conditions with applicants throughout the world. In this respect, the statement of the holders of these patent rights are registered with IEC.

Information may be obtained from:

[BE] Beckhoff Automation GmbH Eiserstrasse 5, 33415 Verl GERMANY

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights other than those identified above. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>**INDUSTRIAL COMMUNICATION NETWORKS – PROFILES –**

### **Part 3-12: Functional safety fieldbuses – Additional specifications for CPF 12**

#### **1 Scope**

This part of the [IEC 61784-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30101780U) series specifies a safety communication layer (services and protocol) based on CPF 12 of [IEC 61784-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30101776U) and IEC 61158 Type 12. It identifies the principles for functional safety communications defined in [IEC 61784-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30101780U) that are relevant for this safety communication layer.

NOTE 1 It does not cover electrical safety and intrinsic safety aspects. Electrical safety relates to hazards such as electrical shock. Intrinsic safety relates to hazards associated with potentially explosive atmospheres.

This part<sup>1</sup> defines mechanisms for the transmission of safety-relevant messages among participants within a distributed network using fieldbus technology in accordance with the requirements of IEC 61508 series<sup>2</sup> for functional safety. These mechanisms may be used in various industrial applications such as process control, manufacturing automation and machinery.

This part provides guidelines for both developers and assessors of compliant devices and systems.

NOTE 2 The resulting SIL claim of a system depends on the implementation of the selected functional safety communication profile within this system – implementation of a functional safety communication profile according to this part in a standard device is not sufficient to qualify it as a safety device.

#### **2 Normative references**

—————————

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

[IEC 60204-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/00295095U), *Safety of machinery – Electrical equipment of machines – Part 1: General requirements*

[IEC 61000-6-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/01840406U), *Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) – Part 6-2: Generic standards – Immunity for industrial environments* 

IEC 61131-2, *Programmable controllers – Part 2: Equipment requirements and tests*

[IEC 61158-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/01173281U), *Industrial communication networks – Fieldbus specifications – Part 2: Physical layer specification and service definition*

[IEC 61158-3-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30175910U), *Industrial communication networks – Fieldbus specifications – Part 3-12: Data-link layer service definition – Type 12 elements*

<span id="page-13-1"></span>In the following pages of this standard, "this part" will be used for "this part of the [IEC 61784-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30101780U) series".

<span id="page-13-2"></span><sup>2</sup> In the following pages of this standard, "IEC 61508" will be used for "IEC 61508 series".

<span id="page-14-0"></span>[IEC 61158-4-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30175966U), *Industrial communication networks – Fieldbus specifications – Part 4-12: Data-link layer protocol specification – Type 12 elements* 

[IEC 61158-5-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30176030U), *Industrial communication networks – Fieldbus specifications – Part 5-12: Application layer service definition – Type 12 elements*

[IEC 61158-6-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30176150U), *Industrial communication networks – Fieldbus specifications – Part 6-12: Application layer protocol specification – Type 12 elements*

[IEC 61326-3-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30114883U), *Electrical equipment for measurement, control and laboratory use – EMC requirements – Part 3-1: Immunity requirements for safety-related systems and for equipment intended to perform safety related functions (functional safety) – General industrial applications*

[IEC 61326-3-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30144699U), *Electrical equipment for measurement, control and laboratory use – EMC requirements – Part 3-2: Immunity requirements for safety-related systems and for equipment intended to perform safety related functions (functional safety) – Industrial applications with specified electromagnetic environment*

IEC 61508 (all parts), *Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic safety-related systems*

[IEC 61784-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30101776U), *Industrial communication networks – Profiles – Part 2: Additional fieldbus profiles for real-time networks based on [ISO/IEC 8802-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/00327038U)*

[IEC 61784-3:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30176211)[3](#page-14-1), *Industrial communication networks – Profiles – Part 3: Functional safety fieldbuses – General rules and profile definitions* 

[IEC 61918](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30111460U), *Industrial communication networks – Installation of communication networks in industrial premises*

#### **3 Terms, definitions, symbols, abbreviated terms and conventions**

#### **3.1 Terms and definitions**

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

#### **3.1.1 Common terms and definitions**

#### **3.1.1.1**

**availability** 

probability for an automated system that for a given period of time there are no unsatisfactory system conditions such as loss of production

#### **3.1.1.2**

**black channel** 

*communication channel* without available evidence of design or validation according to IEC 61508

#### **3.1.1.3**

#### **communication channel**

logical connection between two end-points within a *communication system*

<span id="page-14-1"></span><sup>—————————</sup>  3 In preparation.

#### **3.1.1.4**

#### **communication system**

arrangement of hardware, software and propagation media to allow the transfer of *messages* ([ISO/IEC 7498](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISOIEC7498) application layer) from one application to another

#### **3.1.1.5**

#### **connection**

logical binding between two application objects within the same or different devices

#### **3.1.1.6**

#### **Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC)**

<value> redundant data derived from, and stored or transmitted together with, a block of data in order to detect data corruption

<method> procedure used to calculate the redundant data

NOTE 1 Terms "CRC code" and "CRC signature", and labels such as CRC1, CRC2, may also be used in this standard to refer to the redundant data.

NOTE 2 See also [\[34](#page-99-0)], [\[35\]](#page-99-0) [4](#page-15-0).

#### **3.1.1.7**

#### **error**

discrepancy between a computed, observed or measured value or condition and the true, specified or theoretically correct value or condition

[[IEC 61508-4:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30143466)[5\]](#page-15-1), [IEC 61158]

NOTE 1 Errors may be due to design mistakes within hardware/software and/or corrupted information due to electromagnetic interference and/or other effects.

NOTE 2 Errors do not necessarily result in a *failure* or a *fault*.

#### **3.1.1.8**

#### **failure**

termination of the ability of a functional unit to perform a required function or operation of a functional unit in any way other than as required

NOTE 1 The definition in [IEC 61508-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02530708U) is the same, with additional notes.

[[IEC 61508-4:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30143466), modified], [ISO/IEC 2382-14.01.11, modified]

NOTE 2 Failure may be due to an *error* (for example, problem with hardware/software design or message disruption)

#### **3.1.1.9**

#### **fault**

abnormal condition that may cause a reduction in, or loss of, the capability of a functional unit to perform a required function

NOTE IEV 191-05-01 defines "fault" as a state characterized by the inability to perform a required function, excluding the inability during preventive maintenance or other planned actions, or due to lack of external resources.

[[IEC 61508-4:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30143466), modified], [ISO/IEC 2382-14.01.10, modified]

—————————

<span id="page-15-1"></span><span id="page-15-0"></span><sup>4</sup> Figures in square brackets refer to the bibliography.

#### **3.1.1.10**

#### **fieldbus**

*communication system* based on serial data transfer and used in industrial automation or process control applications

#### **3.1.1.11**

#### **fieldbus system**

system using a *fieldbus* with connected devices

#### **3.1.1.12 frame**

denigrated synonym for DLPDU

#### **3.1.1.13**

#### **Frame Check Sequence (FCS)**

redundant data derived from a block of data within a DLPDU (frame), using a hash function, and stored or transmitted together with the block of data, in order to detect data corruption

NOTE 1 An FCS can be derived using for example a CRC or other hash function.

NOTE 2 See also [\[34](#page-99-0)], [\[35\]](#page-99-0).

#### **3.1.1.14**

#### **hash function**

(mathematical) function that maps values from a (possibly very) large set of values into a (usually) smaller range of values

NOTE 1 Hash functions can be used to detect data corruption.

NOTE 2 Common hash functions include parity, checksum or CRC.

[[IEC/TR 62210](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02846046U), modified]

#### **3.1.1.15**

#### **hazard**

state or set of conditions of a system that, together with other related conditions will inevitably lead to harm to persons, property or environment

#### **3.1.1.16**

#### **master**

active communication entity able to initiate and schedule communication activities by other stations which may be masters or slaves

#### **3.1.1.17**

#### **message**

ordered series of octets intended to convey information [ISO/IEC 2382-16.02.01, modified]

#### **3.1.1.18**

#### **performance level (PL)**

discrete level used to specify the ability of safety-related parts of control systems to perform a safety function under foreseeable conditions [[ISO 13849-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30086351U)]

#### **3.1.1.19**

#### **protective extra-low-voltage (PELV)**

electrical circuit in which the voltage cannot exceed a.c. 30 V r.m.s., 42,4 V peak or d.c. 60 V in normal and single-fault condition, except earth faults in other circuits

NOTE A PELV circuit is similar to an SELV circuit that is connected to protective earth.

[IEC 61131-2]

#### **3.1.1.20 redundancy**

existence of means, in addition to the means which would be sufficient for a functional unit to perform a required function or for data to represent information

NOTE The definition in [IEC 61508-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02530708U) is the same, with additional example and notes.

[[IEC 61508-4:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30143466), modified], [ISO/IEC 2382-14.01.12, modified]

## **3.1.1.21**

#### **reliability**

probability that an automated system can perform a required function under given conditions for a given time interval (t1,t2)

NOTE 1 It is generally assumed that the automated system is in a state to perform this required function at the beginning of the time interval.

NOTE 2 The term "reliability" is also used to denote the reliability performance quantified by this probability.

NOTE 3 Within the MTBF or MTTF period of time, the probability that an automated system will perform a required function under given conditions is decreasing.

NOTE 4 Reliability differs from availability.

[IEC 62059-11, modified]

#### **3.1.1.22**

**risk** 

combination of the probability of occurrence of harm and the severity of that harm

NOTE For more discussion on this concept see Annex A of [IEC 61508-5:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30193475)[6](#page-17-0).

[[IEC 61508-4:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30143466)], [ISO/IEC Guide 51:1999, definition 3.2]

#### **3.1.1.23**

#### **safety communication layer (SCL)**

communication layer that includes all the necessary measures to ensure safe transmission of data in accordance with the requirements of IEC 61508

#### **3.1.1.24**

#### **safety data**

data transmitted across a safety network using a safety protocol

NOTE The Safety Communication Layer does not ensure safety of the data itself, only that the data is transmitted safely.

## **3.1.1.25**

#### **safety device**

device designed in accordance with IEC 61508 and which implements the functional safety communication profile

#### **3.1.1.26**

#### **safety extra-low-voltage (SELV)**

electrical circuit in which the voltage cannot exceed a.c. 30 V r.m.s., 42,4 V peak or d.c. 60 V in normal and single-fault condition, including earth faults in other circuits

NOTE An SELV circuit is not connected to protective earth.

<span id="page-17-0"></span><sup>—————————</sup>  6 To be published.

[IEC 61131-2]

#### **3.1.1.27 safety function**

function to be implemented by an E/E/PE safety-related system or other risk reduction measures, that is intended to achieve or maintain a safe state for the EUC, in respect of a specific hazardous event

NOTE The definition in [IEC 61508-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02530708U) is the same, with an additional example and reference.

[[IEC 61508-4:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30143466), modified]

#### **3.1.1.28**

#### **safety function response time**

worst case elapsed time following an actuation of a safety sensor connected to a fieldbus, before the corresponding safe state of its safety actuator(s) is achieved in the presence of errors or failures in the safety function channel

NOTE This concept is introduced in [IEC 61784-3:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30176211)<sup>7</sup>, 5.2.4 and addressed by the functional safety communication profiles defined in this part.

#### **3.1.1.29**

#### **safety integrity level (SIL)**

discrete level (one out of a possible four), corresponding to a range of safety integrity values, where safety integrity level 4 has the highest level of safety integrity and safety integrity level 1 has the lowest

NOTE 1 The target failure measures (see [IEC 61508-4:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30143466), 3.5.17) for the four safety integrity levels are specified in Tables 2 and 3 of [IEC 61508-1:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30143454)<sup>8</sup>.

NOTE 2 Safety integrity levels are used for specifying the safety integrity requirements of the safety functions to be allocated to the E/E/PE safety-related systems.

NOTE 3 A safety integrity level (SIL) is not a property of a system, subsystem, element or component. The correct interpretation of the phrase "SILn safety-related system" (where n is 1, 2, 3 or 4) is that the system is potentially capable of supporting safety functions with a safety integrity level up to n.

[[IEC 61508-4:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30143466)]

#### **3.1.1.30 safety measure**

<this standard> measure to control possible communication *errors* that is designed and implemented in compliance with the requirements of IEC 61508

NOTE 1 In practice, several safety measures are combined to achieve the required safety integrity level.

NOTE 2 Communication *errors* and related safety measures are detailed in [IEC 61784-3:2010,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30176211) 5.3 and 5.4.

#### **3.1.1.31**

#### **safety-related application**

programs designed in accordance with IEC 61508 to meet the SIL requirements of the application

## **3.1.1.32**

#### **safety-related system**

system performing *safety functions* according to IEC 61508

<span id="page-18-1"></span><span id="page-18-0"></span>8 To be published.

#### <span id="page-19-0"></span>**3.1.1.33**

#### **slave**

passive communication entity able to receive messages and send them in response to another communication entity which may be a master or a slave

#### **3.1.2 CPF 12: Additional terms and definitions**

#### **3.1.2.1**

**fail-safe data** 

expression for data that are set to a predefined value in case of initialization or error

NOTE In this part, the value of the fail-safe data should always be set to "0".

#### **3.1.2.2**

#### **FSoE Connection**

unique relationship between the FSoE Master and an FSoE Slave

#### **3.1.2.3**

**FSoE Cycle** 

communication cycle with one Safety Master PDU and the corresponding Safety Slave PDU

#### **3.1.2.4**

#### **SafeInput**

safety process data transferred from the FSoE Slave to the FSoE Master

#### **3.1.2.5**

#### **SafeOutput**

safety process data transferred from the FSoE Master to the FSoE Slave

#### **3.1.2.6**

#### **Safety Master PDU**

safety PDU transferred from the FSoE Master to the FSoE Slave

#### **3.1.2.7**

**Safety Slave PDU** 

safety PDU transferred from the FSoE Slave to the FSoE Master

#### **3.2 Symbols and abbreviated terms**

#### **3.2.1 Common symbols and abbreviated terms**



<span id="page-20-0"></span>

#### **3.2.2 CPF 12: Additional symbols and abbreviated terms**



#### **3.3 Conventions**

—————————

The conventions used for the descriptions of objects services and protocols are described in [IEC 61158-3-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30175910U), [IEC 61158-4-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30175966U), [IEC 61158-5-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30176030U) and IEC 61118-6-12.

As appropriate, this part uses flow charts and UML Sequence Diagrams to describe concepts.

In state diagrams states are represented as boxes, state transitions are shown as arrows. Names of states and transitions of the state diagram correspond to the names in the textual listing of the state transitions.

The textual listing of the state transitions is structured as follows, see also [Table 1.](#page-20-0)

The first row contains the name of the transition. The second row contains the condition for the transition. The third row contains the action(s) that shall take place. The last row contains the next state.

#### **Table 1 – State machine description elements**



Each state with its transitions is described in a separate subclause. For each event that can occur in a state a separate subclause is inserted.

#### **4 Overview of FSCP 12/1 (Safety-over-EtherCAT™)**

Communication Profile Family 12 (commonly known as EtherCAT™[9](#page-20-1)) defines communication profiles based on [IEC 61158-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/01173281U) Type 12, [IEC 61158-3-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30175910U), [IEC 61158-4-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30175966U), [IEC 61158-5-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30176030U) and [IEC 61158-6-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30176150U).

<span id="page-20-1"></span><sup>9</sup> EtherCAT™ and Safety-over-EtherCAT™ are trade names of Beckhoff, Verl. This information is given for the convenience of users of this International Standard and does not constitute an endorsement by IEC of the trade name holder or any of its products. Compliance to this standard does not require use of the trade names EtherCAT™ or Safety-over-EtherCAT™. Use of the trade names EtherCAT™ or Safety-over-EtherCAT™ requires permission of Beckhoff, Verl.

<span id="page-21-0"></span>61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E) – 19 – BS EN 61784-3-12:2010

The basic profile(s) CP 12/1 and CP 12/2 are defined in [IEC 61784-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30101776U). The CPF 12 functional safety communication profile FSCP 12/1 (Safety-over-EtherCAT™<sup>9</sup>) is based on the CPF 12 basic profiles in [IEC 61784-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30101776U) and the safety communication layer specifications defined in this part.

FSCP 12/1 describes a protocol for transferring safety data up to SIL3 between FSCP 12/1 devices. Safety PDUs are transferred by a subordinate fieldbus that is not included in the safety considerations, since it can be regarded as a black channel. The Safety PDU exchanged between two communication partners is regarded by the subordinate fieldbus as process data that are exchanged cyclically.

FSCP 12/1 uses a unique master/slave relationship between the FSoE Master and an FSoE Slave; it is called FSoE Connection ([Figure 3](#page-21-0)).In the FSoE Connection, each device only returns its own new message once a new message has been received from the partner device. The complete transfer path between FSoE Master and FSoE Slave is monitored by a separate watchdog timer on both devices, in each FSoE Cycle.

The FSoE Master can handle more than one FSoE Connection to support several FSoE Slaves.



**Figure 3 – Basic FSCP 12/1 system** 

The integrity of the safety data transfers is ensured as follows:

- $-$  session-number for detecting buffering of a complete startup sequence;
- $-$  sequence number for detecting interchange, repetition, insertion or loss of whole messages;
- ⎯ unique connection identification for safely detecting misrouted messages via a unique address relationship;
- ⎯ watchdog monitoring for safely detecting delays not allowed on the communication path
- ⎯ cyclic redundancy checking for data integrity for detecting message corruption from source to sink.

State transitions are initiated by the FSoE Master and acknowledged by the FSoE Slave. The FSoE state machine also involves exchange and checking of information for the communication relation.

#### <span id="page-22-0"></span>**5 General**

#### **5.1 External document providing specifications for the profile**

The following document is useful in understanding the design of FSCP 12/1 protocol:

• GS-ET-26 [\[33](#page-99-0)]

#### **5.2 Safety functional requirements**

The following requirements shall apply to the development of devices that implement the FSCP 12/1 protocol. The same requirements were used in the development of FSCP 12/1.

- The FSCP 12/1 protocol is designed to support Safety Integrity Level 3 (SIL 3) (see IEC 61508).
- Implementations of FSCP 12/1 shall comply with IEC 61508.
- The basic requirements for the development of the FSCP 12/1 protocol are defined in [IEC 61784-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30101780U).
- FSCP 12/1 protocol is implemented using a black channel approach; there is no safety related dependency on the standard CPF 12 communication profiles. Transmission equipment such as controllers, ASICs, links, couplers, etc. shall remain unmodified.
- Environmental conditions shall be according to general automation requirements mainly [IEC 61326-3-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30114883U) for the safety margin tests, unless there are specific product standards.
- Safety communication and non safety relevant communication shall be independent. However, non safety relevant devices and safety devices shall be able to use the same communication channel.
- Implementation of the FSCP 12/1 protocol shall be restricted to the communication end devices (FSoE Master and FSoE Slave).
- There shall always be a 1:1 communication relationship between an FSoE Slave and its FSoE Master.
- The safety communication shall not restrict the minimum cycle time of the communication system.

#### <span id="page-23-0"></span>**5.3 Safety measures**

The safety measures used in the FSCP 12/1 to detect communication errors are listed in [Table 2](#page-23-0). The safety measures shall be processed and monitored within each safety device.

	<b>Safety measures</b>				
Communication errors	Sequence number (see 7.1.3.4)	<b>Time</b> expectation (see 6.2) $a$	Connection authentication (see $7.2.2.4$ ) b	Feedback Message (see 7.2.1)	Data integrity assurance (see 7.1.3)
Corruption					X
Unintended repetition	X				X
Incorrect sequence	X				X
Loss	X	$\times$		X	X
Unacceptable delay		X		X	X
Insertion	X				X
Masquerade		X		X	X
Addressing			X		
Revolving memory failures within switches	X				X
a In this standard the instance is called "FSoE Watchdog".					
<sup>b</sup> In this standard the instance is called "FSoE Connection ID".					

**Table 2 – Communication errors and detection measures** 

## **5.4 Safety communication layer structure**

The FSCP 12/1 protocol is layered on top of the standard network protocol. [Figure 4](#page-23-0) shows how the protocol is related to the CPF 12 layer. The safety layer accept safety data from the safety-related application and transfers these data via the FSCP 12/1 protocol.



**Figure 4 – FSCP 12/1 software architecture** 

<span id="page-24-0"></span>A safety PDU containing the safety data and the required error detection measures is included in the communication process data objects (PDO). The mapping in the process data of the communication system and the start-up of the communication state machine is not part of the safety protocol.

The calculation of the residual error probability for the FSCP 12/1 protocol takes no credit of the error detection mechanisms of the communication system. This means that the protocol can also be transferred via other communication systems. Any transmission link can be used, including fieldbus systems, Ethernet or similar transfer routes, optical fibre cables, copper cables, or even radio links.

#### **5.5 Relationships with FAL (and DLL, PhL)**

#### **5.5.1 General**

This safety communication layer is designed to be used in conjunction with CPF 12 communication profiles. But it is not restricted to this communication profile.

#### **5.5.2 Data types**

Profiles defined in this part support all the CPF 12 data types as defined in [IEC 61158-5-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30176030U).

#### **6 Safety communication layer services**

#### **6.1 FSoE Connection**

The connection between two FSCP 12/1 communication partners (FSCP 12/1 nodes) is referred to as FSoE Connection. In an FSoE Connection one communication partner is always the FSoE Master, the other one the FSoE Slave.

The FSoE Master initialises the FSoE Connection after power-on or after a communication fault, while the FSoE Slave is limited to responses. The FSoE Master sets the safety-related communication parameters and optionally the safety-related application parameters of the FSoE Slave.

The safety process data transferred from the FSoE Master to the FSoE Slave are referred to as SafeOutputs. The safety data transferred from the FSoE Slave to the FSoE Master are referred to as SafeInputs.

The Safety PDU transferred from the FSoE Master to the FSoE Slave is referred to as Safety Master PDU. The Safety PDU transferred from the FSoE Slave to the FSoE Master is referred to as Safety Slave PDU.

#### **6.2 FSoE Cycle**

The FSoE Master sends the Safety Master PDU to the FSoE Slave and starts the FSoE Watchdog.

After checking the integrity of the Safety PDU, the FSoE Slave transfers the SafeOutputs to the Safety Application. It calculates the Safety Slave PDU with the SafeInputs from the Safety Application and sends this PDU to the FSoE Master. The FSoE Slave also starts its FSoE watchdog. This is shown in [Figure 5.](#page-25-0)

After receiving a valid Safety Slave PDU an FSoE Cycle is finished.

<span id="page-25-0"></span>

**Figure 5 – FSoE Cycle** 

#### **6.3 FSoE services**

For each FSoE Connection, the FSoE Master shall support an FSoE Master handler to control the associated FSoE Slave.

For FSoE Master to FSoE Master Communication, the FSoE Master should support one or several FSoE Slave handler. [Figure 6](#page-25-0) shows the possible FSoE functionalities in the FSoE Master and FSoE Slave devices.



**Figure 6 – FSCP 12/1 communication structure** 

#### <span id="page-26-0"></span>**7 Safety communication layer protocol**

#### **7.1 Safety PDU format**

#### **7.1.1 Safety PDU structure**

[Figure 7](#page-26-0) shows the structure of one safety PDU embedded in a Type 12 PDU. In [Table 3](#page-26-0) the general structure of the Safety PDU is listed.



**Figure 7 – Safety PDU for CPF 12 embedded in Type 12 PDU** 

The Safety PDU is cyclically transferred via the subordinate fieldbus. Each FSCP 12/1 node detects a new Safety PDU if at least one bit within the Safety PDU has changed.

The Safety PDU has a variable length specified in the device description of the FSoE Slave. The safety data length can be 1 octet or an even number of octets. The safety data length can be different in input and output direction.

The shorter of the two safety data lengths in the Safety Master PDU and the Safety Slave PDU determines how many safety data are used during the initialisation phase of the FSoE Connection with parameter information. In the longer of the two PDUs the remaining safety data are assigned zero.



#### **Table 3 – General Safety PDU**

<span id="page-27-0"></span>The Safety PDU can transfer n safety data octets. Two octets of data are transferred by a 2 octet CRC.

The shortest Safety PDU consists of 6 octets, which can be used to transfer 1 octet of safety data, as shown in [Table 4.](#page-27-0)



#### **Table 4 – Shortest Safety PDU**

#### **7.1.2 Safety PDU command**

The Safety PDU command determines the meaning of the safety data based on the scheme shown in [Table 5.](#page-27-0)





#### **7.1.3 Safety PDU CRC**

#### **7.1.3.1 CRC calculation**

Two octets of safety data are transferred by a corresponding two octet CRC.

In addition to the transferred data (command, data, ConnID), the CRC\_0 of the Safety PDU also includes a virtual sequence number, the CRC\_0 of the last received Safety PDU and three additional zero octets. If only one octet safety data is transferred, the SafeData[1] is skipped in the calculation.

CRC 0 := f(received CRC 0, ConnID, Sequence Number, command, SafeData[0], SafeData[1], 0x000000)

[Table 6](#page-28-0) shows the calculation sequence for CRC\_0.

<span id="page-28-0"></span>

#### **Table 6 – CRC\_0 calculation sequence**

The CRC  $i$  (0 <  $i$  < = ((n-2)/2)) of the Safety PDU additionally includes the index i of the CRC.

CRC\_i := f(received CRC\_0, ConnID, Sequence\_Number, command, i, SafeData[i × 2], SafeData[i × 2 + 1], 0)

[Table 7](#page-28-0) shows the calculation sequence for CRC\_i.





#### **7.1.3.2 CRC polynomial selection**

The polynomial 0x139B7 is used for calculating the CRCs and is referred to as the Safety polynomial.

In order to allow the Safety PDU to be transported via a black channel whose transfer characteristics are not included in the safety considerations, a bit fault rate of 10-2 shall be

<span id="page-29-0"></span>used for determining the residual error probability. The residual error probability shall not exceed 10-9.

Safety is ensured based on the FSoE Master and the FSoE Slave switching to the reset state (i.e. safe state) as soon as an error is detected.

All CRC calculation factors except safety data have a fixed expected value, so that only safety data have to be considered in the calculation of the residual error probability.

The mathematical proof showing that the residual error probability with the Safety polynomial for 16-bit safety data and a bit fault rate of 10-2 does not exceed 10-9 is included in separate document covering quantitative fault detection.

#### **7.1.3.3 CRC inheritance**

Inclusion (inheritance) of the CRC\_0 of the last received telegram in the CRC calculation ensures that two consecutive Safety Master PDUs or Safety Slave PDUs differ, even if the other data remain unchanged.

Inheritance of CRC\_0 also ensures safe and consistent transfer of data that are distributed over several Type 12 PDUs due to their length.

The CRC\_0 of the received Safety PDU is included in the calculation of all CRC i for the Safety PDU to be sent.

[Table 8](#page-29-0) shows an example for CRC\_0 inheritance.

<b>FSoE Cycle</b>	<b>FSoE Master</b>		<b>FSoE Slave</b>	
	old CRC 0	new CRC 0	old CRC 0	new CRC 0
j-1	CRC $0(2 \times i - 3)$	CRC $0(2 \times i - 2)$	CRC 0 $(2 \times i - 2)$	CRC $0(2 \times i - 1)$
	CRC $0(2 \times i - 1)$	CRC $0(2 \times i)$	CRC $0(2 \times i)$	CRC 0 $(2 \times i + 1)$
$i+1$	$CRC_0 (2 \times j + 1)$	$CRC_0 (2 \times j + 2)$	$CRC_0 (2 \times j + 2)$	CRC 0 $(2 \times i + 3)$

**Table 8 – Example for CRC\_0 inheritance** 

In FSoE Cycle j the FSoE Master receives a Safety Slave PDU with CRC  $0$  (2  $\times$  j - 1). Since the value of CRC  $0$  (2 × j - 2), which was included in the calculation of CRC  $0$  (2 × j - 1), was calculated by the FSoE Master in FSoE Cycle  $(i - 1)$ , the FSoE Master can check CRC  $0$  (2  $\times$  j - 1) in the Safety Slave PDU.

In turn, in FSoE Cycle j, the FSoE Slave receives the Safety Master PDU with CRC  $0$  (2  $\times$  j) and is also able to check this PDU, since CRC  $0$  (2  $\times$  j - 1) calculated by the FSoE Slave in FSoE Cycle  $(j - 1)$  was included in the calculation.

#### **7.1.3.4 Sequence number**

In [Table 8](#page-29-0) CRC  $0$  (2  $\times$  j) may equal CRC  $0$  (2  $\times$  j - 2). With short Safety PDUs this could lead to the Safety Master PDU in FSoE Cycle  $(i - 1)$  being the same as the Safety Master PDU in FSoE Cycle j, with the result that the FSoE Slave would not recognise the Safety Master PDU as a new PDU in FSoE Cycle j and the FSoE Watchdog would be triggered.

The CRCs of the Safety Master PDU therefore includes a virtual 16-bit master sequence number, which the FSoE Master increments with each new Safety Master PDU. The CRC of the Safety Slave PDU also includes a virtual 16-bit slave sequence number, which is incremented by the FSoE Slave with each new Safety Slave PDU.

<span id="page-30-0"></span>If CRC<sub>\_0</sub> (2  $\times$  j) equals CRC\_0 (2  $\times$  j - 2) despite these measures, the master sequence number is incremented further until CRC\_0  $(2 \times j)$  is not equal CRC\_0  $(2 \times j - 2)$ . This algorithm is used both for generating the Safety Master PDU by the FSoE Master and for checking the Safety Master PDU by the FSoE Slave.

If CRC\_0  $(2 \times j + 1)$  equals CRC\_0  $(2 \times j - 1)$ , the slave sequence number is incremented further until CRC\_0 (2  $\times$  j + 1) is not equal CRC\_0 (2  $\times$  j - 1). This algorithm is used both for generating the Safety Slave PDU by the FSoE Slave and for checking the Safety Slave PDU by the FSoE Master.

The sequence number can assume values between 1 and 65 535. After 65 535 the sequence starts again with 1, i.e. 0 is left out.

#### **7.1.3.5 CRC index**

If more than 2 octets of safety data and therefore 2 or several CRCs (for example CRC\_0 and CRC\_1) are transferred, the measures described above are not sufficient for detecting all reversal options within a Safety PDU, see example in [Table 9](#page-30-0).

Octet	Name	<b>Description</b>
0	Command	Command
	SafeData[2]	safety data, octet 2
2	SafeData[3]	safety data, octet 3
3	CRC 1 Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC 1
4	CRC 1 Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 1
5	SafeData[0]	safety data, octet 0
6	SafeData[1]	safety data, octet 1
7	CRC_0_Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC 0
8	CRC_0_Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 0
9	Conn Id Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the unique connection ID
10	Conn Id Hi	low octet (bits 0-7) of the unique connection ID

**Table 9 – Example for 4 octets of safety data with interchanging of octets 1-4 with 5-8** 

Index i (2 octect value) is therefore also included in the respective CRC i. This enables detection of reversal of octets 1-4 and 5-8.

#### **7.1.3.6 Additional zero octets**

The residual error probability is calculated via the ratio of detected errors and undetected errors. Undetected errors are essentially errors already detected by the CRC polynomial for the black channel (standard polynomial), since these errors are not apparent in the Safety layer due to the fact that they are filtered out beforehand. The worst case ratio between detected errors (errors that are not detected by the standard polynomial but by the CRC polynomial of the Safety layer) and undetected errors (errors already detected by the standard polynomial) occurs if the standard polynomial is divisible by the Safety polynomial.

Three zero octets are included in the calculation in order to ensure adequate independence between the two polynomials in this case.

#### **7.1.3.7 Session ID**

Particularly in fieldbuses transferred via Ethernet, a faulty device storing telegrams (for example a switch) may lead to a correct sequence of telegrams being inserted at the wrong time. CRC inheritance means that a Safety PDU sequence always depends on the history.

<span id="page-31-0"></span>Transferring a randomly generated session ID during setup of the FSoE Connection ensures that two Safety PDU sequences differ after power-on.

The session ID can assume values between 0 and 65 535.

#### **7.2 FSCP 12/1 communication procedure**

#### **7.2.1 Message cycle**

FSCP 12/1 communication operates with an acknowledged message cycle (FSoE Cycle), i.e. the FSoE Master sends a Safety Master PDU to the FSoE Slave and expects a Safety Slave PDU back. Only then is the next Safety Master PDU generated.

#### **7.2.2 FSCP 12/1 node states**

#### **7.2.2.1 General**

While the FSoE Connection is established, the FSCP 12/1 nodes take on different states before the safety data become valid and the safely state is exited.

<span id="page-32-0"></span>[Figure 8](#page-32-0) shows the FSoE node states.



**Figure 8 – FSCP 12/1 node states** 

After a power-on or an FSoE communication error the FSoE Master and Slave are in the reset state. The FSoE nodes also switch to reset-state if they detect an error in the communication or the local application. After an FSoE Reset command from the FSoE Slave, the FSoE Master switches to session state (transitions in orange). After a reset command from the FSoE Master, the FSoE Slave switches to reset state. The data state can then be assumed via the session, connection and parameter states. The safe output state can only be exited in the data state.

#### <span id="page-33-0"></span>**7.2.2.2 Reset state**

The reset state is used to re-initialise the FSoE Connection after the power-on or an FSoE communication error. The FSoE Master exits the reset state when it sends a Safety Master PDU with the Session command to the FSoE Slave. The FSoE Slave exits the reset state when it receives a valid Safety Master PDU with the Session command.

In the reset state the sequence number and the CRC of the last telegram used in the CRC calculation are reset.

[Table 10](#page-33-0) shows an example of the Safety Master PDU for 4 octets of safety data with the reset command.

Octet	<b>Name</b>	<b>Description</b>
0	Command	Reset
	SafeData[0]	error code (bit 0-7), 0 for restart
2	SafeData[1]	unused $(= 0)$
3	CRC 0 Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC 0
4	CRC_0_Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 0
5	SafeData[2]	unused $(= 0)$
6	SafeData[3]	unused $(= 0)$
7	CRC 1 Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC_1
8	CRC 1 Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 1
9	Conn Id Lo	unused $(= 0)$
10	Conn Id Hi	unused $(= 0)$

**Table 10 – Safety Master PDU for 4 octets of safety data with command = Reset after restart (reset connection) or error** 

The FSoE Slave acknowledges Reset command by setting the SafeData to 0.

[Table 11](#page-33-0) shows an example of the Safety Slave PDU for 4 octets of safety data with the reset command.

**Table 11 – Safety Slave PDU for 4 octets of safety data with command = Reset for acknowledging a Reset command from the FSoE Master** 

Octet	<b>Name</b>	<b>Description</b>
$\Omega$	Command	Reset
	SafeData[0]	0
2	SafeData[1]	$\Omega$
3	CRC 0 Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC 0
4	CRC 0 Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 0
5	SafeData[2]	unused $(= 0)$
6	SafeData[3]	unused $(= 0)$
7	CRC_1_Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC_1
8	CRC_1_Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 1
9	Conn_Id_Lo	unused $(= 0)$
10	Conn Id Hi	unused $(= 0)$

The FSoE Slave also sends a Safety PDU with the Reset command during a restart (reset connection) or in the event of an error. This is shown in [Table 12](#page-34-0) as an example for 4 octets of safety data.

<span id="page-34-0"></span>

#### **Table 12 – Safety Slave PDU for 4 octets of safety data with command = Reset after restart (reset connection) or error**

The FSoE Master acknowledges the Reset command by sending a Safety Master PDU with the Session command.

#### **7.2.2.3 Session state**

During the transition to or in the session state, a 16-bit Master Session ID is transferred from the FSoE Master to the FSoE Slave, which in turn responds with its own Slave Session ID. Both FSoE nodes generate the Session ID as a random number that is only used to differentiate multiple Safety PDU sequences in the event of several restarts of the FSoE Connection.

[Table 13](#page-34-0) shows an example of the Safety Master PDU for 4 octets of safety data with the Session command.

Octet	<b>Name</b>	<b>Description</b>	
0	Command	Session	
	SafeData[0]	Master Session Id, octet 0	
$\overline{2}$	SafeData[1]	Master Session Id, octet 1	
3	CRC_0_Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC 0	
$\overline{4}$	CRC_0_Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 0	
5	SafeData[2]	unused $(= 0)$	
6	SafeData[3]	unused $(= 0)$	
$\overline{7}$	CRC 1 Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC_1	
8	CRC 1 Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 1	
9	Conn Id Lo	unused $(= 0)$	
10	Conn Id Hi	unused $(= 0)$	

**Table 13 – Safety Master PDU for 4 octets of safety data with command = Session** 

The FSoE Slave acknowledges Session command by sending back the Slave Session ID. [Table 14](#page-35-0) shows an example of the Safety Slave PDU for 4 octets of SafeData with the Session command.

<span id="page-35-0"></span>

#### **Table 14 – Safety Slave PDU for 4 octets of safety data with command = Session**

If the Safety PDU contains at least 2 octets of safety data, the Session ID can be transferred with one FSoE Cycle. If, on the other hand, the Safety PDU only contains 1 octet of safety data, the Session ID shall be transferred with two FSoE Cycles.

The value of the Session ID has no safety relevance, i.e. a switch in the FSoE Node receiving the Safety PDU does not need to be examined from a safety perspective. The Connection ID for the Session command is therefore set to 0.

The FSoE Master exits the session state once it has transferred the complete session ID and received the associated acknowledgements from the FSoE Slave by sending a Safety PDU with the Connection command to the FSoE Slave. The FSoE Slave exits the session state when it receives a Safety PDU with the Connection command from the FSoE Master.

Both the FSoE Master and the FSoE Slave would also exit the Session state if they detect an FSoE communication error.

In the FSoE Master, after receipt of a RESET command, both the sequence number and the CRC of the last telegram used in the CRC calculation are reset.

#### **7.2.2.4 Connection state**

In the connection state, a 16-bit Connection ID is transferred from the FSoE Master to the FSoE Slave. The Connection ID shall be unique and is generated by the safety configurator of the FSoE Master. If several FSoE Masters are present in the communication system, the user shall ensure that the Connection IDs used are unique.

In addition to the 16-bit Connection ID the unique FSoE Slave Address is also transferred. [Table 15](#page-35-0) shows the content of the safety data transferred in the connection state.

SafetyData Octet	<b>Description</b>
	low octet (bits 0-7) of the connection ID
	high octet (bits 8-15) of the connection ID
	low octet (bits 0-7) of the FSoE Slave Address
	high octet (bits 8-15) of the FSoE Slave Address

**Table 15 – Safety data transferred in the connection state**
<span id="page-36-0"></span>Depending on the length of the safety data, up to 4 FSoE Cycles are required. For a Safety PDU with 4 octets of safety data only one FSoE Cycle is required, this is shown in [Table 16](#page-36-0) and [Table 17](#page-36-0).

Octet	<b>Name</b>	<b>Description</b>
$\Omega$	Command	Connection
	SafeData[0]	Connection Id, low octet
2	SafeData[1]	Connection Id, high octet
3	CRC_0_Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC_0
4	CRC_0_Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 0
5	SafeData[2]	FSoE Slave Address, low octet
6	SafeData[3]	FSoE Slave Address, high octet
7	CRC 1 Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC 1
8	CRC 1 Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 1
9	Conn Id Lo	Connection Id, low octet
10	Conn Id Hi	Connection Id, high octet

**Table 16 – Safety Master PDU for 4 octets of safety data in Connection state** 

The FSoE Slave acknowledges the Connection command by sending back the safety data.





The FSoE Slave Address shall be unique in the communication system. It can be set at the respective FSoE Slave device. By transferring the FSoE Slave Address together with the Connection ID, the FSoE Slave can check whether it was actually addressed, so that invalid addressing would be detected. Since the Connection ID is also unique in the communication system, the Connection ID is always sent in the following Safety PDUs, so that both the FSoE Master and the FSoE Slave can detect whether they are addressed with the telegram. The unique Connection ID therefore enables 65 535 FSoE Connections to be realised in the communication system (Connection ID = 0 is not permitted).

#### <span id="page-37-0"></span>**7.2.2.5 Parameter state**

In the parameter state, safety-related communication and device specific safety-related application parameters are transferred. The latter can have any length. CRC inheritance ensures safety and consistent parameter transfer.

[Table 18](#page-37-0) shows the content of the safety data transferred in the parameter state.





The number of FSoE Cycles in the parameter state depends on the length of the safetyrelated application parameters and the length of the safety data in the Safety PDU. If not all safety data octets are required in the last FSoE Cycle, they shall be transferred as 0.

Two FSoE Cycles are required for a Safety PDU with 4 octets of safety data and 2 octets of safety-related application parameter; this is shown in [Table 19](#page-37-0) to [Table 22](#page-39-0).

Octet	<b>Name</b>	<b>Description</b>
0	Command	Parameter
	SafeData[0]	low octet (bits 0-7) length of the communication parameters in octets $(= 2)$
2	SafeData[1]	high octet (bits 8-15) length of the communication parameters in octets $(= 0)$
3	CRC_0_Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC 0
4	CRC 0 Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 0
5	SafeData[2]	low octet (bits 0-7) of the FSoE watchdog (in ms)
6	SafeData[3]	high octet (bits 8-15) of the FSoE watchdog (in ms)
7	CRC 1 Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC 1
8	CRC 1 Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC_1
9	Conn Id Lo	Connection Id, low octet
10	Conn Id Hi	Connection Id, high octet

**Table 19 – First Safety Master PDU for 4 octets of safety data in parameter state** 

The FSoE Slave acknowledges a correct Parameter command by sending back the safety data.



#### **Table 20 – First Safety Slave PDU for 4 octets of safety data in parameter state**

The FSoE Master sends the second Safety Master PDU once it has correctly received the first Safety Slave PDU.

7 CRC\_1\_Lo low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC\_1 8 CRC\_1\_Hi high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC\_1

9 Conn\_Id\_Lo Connection Id, Iow octet 10 Conn\_Id\_Hi connection Id, high octet





The FSoE Slave acknowledges a correct Parameter command by sending back the safety data.

<span id="page-39-0"></span>

#### **Table 22 – Second Safety Slave PDU for 4 octets of safety data in parameter state**

The FSoE Watchdog and the safety-related application parameters are configured via a safety configurator of the FSoE Master.

#### **7.2.2.6 Data state**

#### **7.2.2.6.1 Valid data**

While in the previous states the number of FSoE Cycles was fixed, in the data state FSoE Cycles are transferred until either a communication error occurs or an FSoE node is stopped locally. The FSoE Master sends SafeOutputs to the FSoE Slave.

[Table 23](#page-39-0) shows an example of the Safety Master PDU for 4 octets of SafeOutputs with the ProcessData command.

Octet	<b>Name</b>	<b>Description</b>
$\Omega$	Command	ProcessData
	SafeData[0]	1 <sup>st</sup> octet of SafeOutputs
2	SafeData[1]	2 <sup>nd</sup> octet of SafeOutputs
3	CRC 0 Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC 0
$\overline{4}$	CRC 0 Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 0
5	SafeData[2]	3 <sup>rd</sup> octet of SafeOutputs
6	SafeData[3]	4 <sup>th</sup> octet of SafeOutputs
$\overline{7}$	CRC 1 Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC 1
8	CRC 1 Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC_1
9	Conn Id Lo	Connection Id, low octet
10	Conn Id Hi	Connection Id, high octet

**Table 23 – Safety Master PDU for 4 octets of ProcessData in data state** 

The FSoE Slave acknowledges the Safety Master PDU and sends SafeInputs to the FSoE Master.

[Table 24](#page-40-0) shows an example of the Safety Slave PDU for 4 octets of SafeInputs with the ProcessData command.

<span id="page-40-0"></span>

#### **Table 24 – Safety Slave PDU for 4 octets of ProcessData in data state**

#### **7.2.2.6.2 FailSafeData command**

If the FSoE Master locally detects that the SafeOutputs are not valid or are to be switched to safe state, it sends the FailSafeData command.

[Table 25](#page-40-0) shows an example of the Safety Master PDU for 4 octets of FailsafeData with the FailsafeData command.

Octet	<b>Name</b>	<b>Description</b>
- 0	Command	FailSafeData
	SafeData[0]	Fail-safe Data = $0$
2	SafeData[1]	Fail-safe Data = $0$
3	CRC_0_Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC_0
$\overline{4}$	CRC 0 Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 0
- 5	SafeData[2]	Fail-safe Data = $0$
6	SafeData[3]	Fail-safe Data = $0$
$\overline{7}$	CRC_1_Lo	low octet (bits 0-7) of the 16-bit CRC 1
- 8	CRC 1 Hi	high octet (bits 8-15) of the 16-bit CRC 1
9	Conn Id Lo	Connection Id, low octet
10	Conn Id Hi	Connection Id, high octet

**Table 25 – Safety Master PDU for 4 octets of fail-safe data in data state** 

If the FSoE Slave locally detects that the SafeInputs are not valid or are to be switched to safe state, it sends the FailSafeData command.

[Table 26](#page-41-0) shows an example of the Safety Slave PDU for 4 octets of FailsafeData with the FailsafeData command.

<span id="page-41-0"></span>

# **Table 26 – Safety Slave PDU for 4 octets of fail-safe data in data state**

The transfer of ProcessData or FailSafeData is independent of the command of the received Safety PDU. It only depends on local circumstances.

#### **7.3 Reaction on communication errors**

An FSoE node can detect the errors listed in [Table 27](#page-41-0).

#### **Table 27 – FSoE communication error**



If an FSoE node detects a communication error, a Reset command is sent, as well as the associated error code in SafeData[0] for diagnostic purposes. The FSoE Master then switches to the Session state, the FSoE Slave to the Reset state. The FSoE communication error codes are listed in [Table 28](#page-42-0).

<span id="page-42-0"></span>

## **Table 28 – FSoE communication error codes**

### **7.4 State table for FSoE Master**

#### **7.4.1 FSoE Master state machine**

#### **7.4.1.1 Overview**

Depending on the communication procedure, the FSoE Master can have the states listed in [Table 29.](#page-42-0)



#### **Table 29 – States of the FSoE Master**

The state diagram for the FSoE Master is shown in [Figure 9.](#page-43-0)

<span id="page-43-0"></span>

**Figure 9 – State diagram for FSoE Master** 

For each state, the following sections analyse the events that can occur in the FSoE Master. Each event is considered under conditions with different actions or subsequent states.

# **7.4.1.2 Events**

An event can include different parameters, which are referred to in the state tables. [Table 30](#page-44-0) lists the used events.

<span id="page-44-0"></span>

#### **Table 30 – Events in the FSoE Master state table**

# **7.4.1.3 Actions**

Depending on different conditions, certain actions are carried out if an event occurs. In the state tables the actions are shown as function calls or variable assignments.

[Table 31](#page-44-0) lists the used functions in the FSoE Master state table.





[Table 32](#page-45-0) lists the used variables in the FSoE Master state table.

<span id="page-45-0"></span>

## **Table 32 – Variables in the FSoE Master state table**

#### **7.4.1.4 Macros**

Certain functionalities are consolidated in macros in order to keep the state tables transparent.

[Table 33](#page-45-0) lists the used macros in the FSoE Master state table.



#### **Table 33 – Macros in the FSoE Master state table**



# **7.4.2 Reset state**

# **7.4.2.1 Frame received event**





# **7.4.2.2 Watchdog expired event**

# **7.4.2.3 Reset connection event**



# **7.4.2.4 Set Data Command event**



# **7.4.3 Session state**

# **7.4.3.1 Frame received event**





BS EN 61784-3-12:2010

61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E) – 47 –



# **7.4.3.2 Watchdog expired event**





#### **7.4.3.3 Reset connection event**

#### **7.4.3.4 Set Data Command event**



# **7.4.4 Connection state**

# **7.4.4.1 Frame received event**



BS EN 61784-3-12:2010







# **7.4.4.2 Watchdog expired event**

## **7.4.4.3 Reset connection event**



#### **7.4.4.4 Set Data Command event**



# **7.4.5 Parameter state**

# **7.4.5.1 Frame received event**



61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E) – 53 – BS EN 61784-3-12:2010





# **7.4.5.2 Watchdog expired event**





## **7.4.5.3 Reset connection event**

## **7.4.5.4 Set Data Command event**



# **7.4.6 Data state**

# **7.4.6.1 Frame received event**





61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E) BS EN 61784-3-12:2010





# **7.4.6.2 Watchdog expired event**





#### <span id="page-60-0"></span>**7.4.6.3 Reset connection event**

#### **7.4.6.4 Set Data Command event**



#### **7.5 State table for FSoE Slave**

#### **7.5.1 FSoE Slave state machine**

#### **7.5.1.1 Overview**

Depending on the communication procedure, the FSoE Slave can have the states listed in [Table 34.](#page-60-0)



#### **Table 34 – States of the FSoE Slave**

The state diagram for the FSoE State is shown in [Figure 10.](#page-61-0)

<span id="page-61-0"></span>

**Figure 10 – State diagram for FSoE Slave** 

For each state, the following sections analyse the events that can occur in the FSoE Slave. Each event is considered under conditions with different actions or subsequent states.

# **7.5.1.2 Events**

An event can include different parameters, which are referred to in the state tables. [Table 35](#page-62-0) lists the used events.

<span id="page-62-0"></span>

#### **Table 35 – Events in the FSoE Slave state table**

## **7.5.1.3 Actions**

Depending on different conditions certain actions are carried out if an event occurs. In the state tables, the actions are shown as function calls or variable assignments.

[Table 36](#page-62-0) lists the used functions in the FSoE Slave state table.





<span id="page-63-0"></span>[Table 37](#page-63-0) lists the used variables in the FSoE Slave state table.



# **Table 37 – Variables in the FSoE Slave state table**

# **7.5.1.4 Macros**

Certain functionalities are consolidated in macros in order to keep the state tables transparent.

[Table 38](#page-63-0) lists the used macros in the FSoE Slave state table.

Macro	<b>Description</b>
IS CRC CORRECT(frame, lastCrc, segNo, oldCrc, bNew)	This macro checks whether the CRCs of the received Safety Master PDU are correct
	Parameters:
	frame: received PDU
	last Crc: CRC 0 of the last sent Safety Slave PDU included in the CRC calculations for the received frame
	segNo: Pointer to the Master Sequence Number used in the CRC calculations for the received frame. The incremented (perhaps several times) segNo is returned
	oldCrc: Pointer to the CRC 0 of the last received Safety Master PDU. The

**Table 38 – Macros in the FSoE Slave state table** 



# **7.5.2 Reset state**

## **7.5.2.1 Frame received event**



BS EN 61784-3-12:2010



# **7.5.2.2 Watchdog expired event**

Cannot occur in this state because the watchdog has not yet been started.



### **7.5.2.3 Reset connection event**

# **7.5.2.4 Set Data Command event**



# **7.5.3 Session state**

## **7.5.3.1 Frame received event**



BS EN 61784-3-12:2010

61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E) –

$$
-65- \nonumber\\
$$





SlaveSeqNo := 1;

BS EN 61784-3-12:2010

61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E) –

$$
- 67 -
$$



# **7.5.3.2 Watchdog expired event**

Cannot occur in this state because the watchdog has not yet been started.





# **7.5.3.4 Set Data Command event**



# **7.5.4 Connection state**

## **7.5.4.1 Frame received event**



61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E)  $-69 -$ BS EN 61784-3-12:2010




 FALSE); SlaveSeqNo := 1;

BS EN 61784-3-12:2010

61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E) – 71 –





 $SlaveseqNo := 1;$ 

## **7.5.4.2 Watchdog expired event**

Cannot occur in this state because the watchdog has not yet been started.

**7.5.4.3 Reset connection event** 

<b>Transition</b>	<b>Condition</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Next State</b>
CONN RESET3		LastCrc $:= 0;$	Reset
		OldMasterCrc $:= 0$ ;	
		$0ldSlawedrc := 0;$	
		MasterSeqNo $:= 1;$	
		$SlaveseqNo := 1;$	
		DataCommand := $FailsafeData;$	
		CommFaultReason $:= 0$ ;	
		SendFrame (Reset,	
		ADR (CommFaultReason),	
		LastCrc,	
		0,	
		ADR(SlaveSeqNo),	
		ADR(OldSlaveCrc),	
		FALSE);	
		$SlaveseqNo := 1;$	





#### **7.5.5 Parameter state**

#### **7.5.5.1 Frame received event**





BS EN 61784-3-12:2010

61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E) – 75 –





61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E) BS EN 61784-3-12:2010

$$
-77-
$$



## **7.5.5.2 Watchdog expired event**

Cannot occur in this state because the watchdog has not yet been started.





#### **7.5.5.4 Set Data Command event**



#### **7.5.6 Data state**

#### **7.5.6.1 Frame received event**



61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E) – 79 – BS EN 61784-3-12:2010





SlaveSeqNo := 1;

Process*Data*)



#### **7.5.6.2 Watchdog expired event**

#### **7.5.6.3 Reset connection event**



#### **7.5.6.4 Set Data Command event**



#### **8 Safety communication layer management**

#### **8.1 FSCP 12/1 parameter handling**

The FSCP 12/1 protocol supports an inherent download of the FSoE Slave parameter from the FSoE Master in the Parameter State.

#### **8.2 FSoE communication parameters**

The FSoE communication between the FSoE Master and the FSoE Slave uses the FSoE communication parameters defined in [Table 39.](#page-84-0)

<span id="page-84-0"></span>

#### **Table 39 – FSoE Communication parameters**

## **9 System requirements**

#### **9.1 Indicators and switches**

#### **9.1.1 Indicator states and flash rates**

The indicator states and flash rates are defined in [Table 40](#page-84-0) and in [Figure 11.](#page-85-0) The times listed shall be met with a tolerance of less than +/- 20%.



#### **Table 40 – Indicator States**

<span id="page-85-0"></span>

**Figure 11 – Indicator flash rates** 

#### **9.1.2 Indicators**

#### **9.1.2.1 Required indicators**

Devices supporting the FSCP 12/1 protocol should have a status indicator to support visual inspection and troubleshooting of the FSoE Connection. If a device supports any of the indicators described here, they shall adhere to the specifications.

Additional indicators may be implemented.

#### **9.1.2.2 FSoE STATUS indicator**

The FSoE STATUS indicator shall show the status of the FSoE Connection. The colour shall be green.

In order to meet space constraints, labelling of the FSoE STATUS indicator may be omitted. If it is labelled, it shall be labelled with one of the following (no case sensitivity):

- FS
- FSoE
- FSoE Status

The FSoE STATUS indicator states are specified in [Table 41](#page-85-0).



#### **Table 41 – FSoE STATUS indicator states**

<span id="page-86-0"></span>

The indication of an error (flickering) shall last until the FSoE Connection changes from Reset to Session.

At least one full sequence of a flickering with *n* flashes indication shall be shown.

#### **9.2 Installation guidelines**

This part specifies a protocol and services for a safety communication system based on IEC 61158 Type 12. However, usage of safety devices with the safety protocol specified in this part requires proper installation. All devices connected to a safety communication system defined in this part shall fulfil SELV/PELV requirements, which are specified in the relevant IEC standards such as [IEC 60204-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/00295095U). Further relevant installation guidelines are specified in [IEC 61918](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30111460U).

#### **9.3 Safety function response time**

#### **9.3.1 General**

To determine the safety function response time, the safety function is decomposed into several components shown in [Figure 12.](#page-86-0)



**Figure 12 – Components of a safety function** 

Not all components need to be present in a system. The sensor (for example light curtain or Emergency Stop button) converts the physical signal into an electrical signal. This signal can be connected to an input device (for example safety input) that converts the signal into logical information. This logical information is transferred via the safety communication network to the safety logic. The safety logic (for example safety PLC) combines this and/or other input information to logical output information. The output information is transferred to the output device (for example safety output) and converted into an electrical signal. This signal is connected to the actuator, which performs the physical reaction, for example switch off the power of a drive.

<span id="page-87-0"></span>General assumptions regarding communication errors are as follow:

- All components work asynchronous.
- The processing of the input signals / information is independent to the processing of the output signals / information. This means that each side can have its own time behaviour.
- In order to calculate the safety function response time, only one error or failure shall be assumed in the overall system. This error or failure shall be assumed to occur in that part of the signal path, which contributes the maximum difference time between its worst case delay time and its watchdog time. This means that concurrent failures are not considered.

[Table 42](#page-87-0) defines the times of the components.

Time	<b>Name</b>	<b>Description</b>	
T SFR	<b>Safety Function Response</b> Time	Safety function response time from the physical input signal to the reaction on the actuator	
T InCon	Input connection time	Time to transfer the physical input signal to the safety logic	
T OutCon	Output connection time	Time to transfer the calculated output signal from the safety logic to the actuator	
$T_S$	Sensor-Time	Conversion time of the safety sensor	
$T_{-}$	Input-Time	Delay time of the safety input device	
T_Com	<b>Communication Time</b>	Communication cycle time of the communication network	
T_L	Logic Time	Delay time of the logic (cycle)	
$T_0$	Output Time	Delay time of the safety output device	
$T_A$	<b>Actuator Time</b>	Conversion time of the safety actuator	
T_WD_In	Input Watchdog time	FSoE Watchdog time of the input connection	
T_WD_Out	Output Watchdog time	FSoE Watchdog time of the output connection	
$\Delta T$	Watchdog margin	Additional margin on minimum watchdog time	

**Table 42 – Definition of times** 

Because of the assumption that all components work asynchronously, the worst case time for each component is twice the delay of the component. This is the case, if the proceeding information becomes available just after the process has started. The worst case times are marked with a *\_wc* suffix.

#### **9.3.2 Determination of FSoE Watchdog time**

In [Figure 13](#page-87-0) the basic schema for the calculation of the FSoE Watchdog time for the input and the output connection is shown.



**Figure 13 – Calculation of the FSoE Watchdog times for input and output connections** 

<span id="page-88-0"></span>To determine the watchdog time of the input connection T\_WD\_In, Equation [\(1\)](#page-88-0) can be used:

$$
T_WD_ln = T_lw + T_Com_w + T_Lw + T_Com_w + \Delta T
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \times T_l + 4 \times T_Com + 2 \times T_L + \Delta T
$$
\n(1)

By analogy, Equation [\(2\)](#page-88-0) calculates the watchdog time of the output connection T\_WD\_Out:

$$
T_WD_Out = T_Com_wc + T_L_wc + T_Com_wc + T_O_wc + \Delta T
$$
\n
$$
= 4 \times T_Com + 2 \times T_L + 2 \times T_O + \Delta T
$$
\n(2)

#### **9.3.3 Calculation of the worst case safety function response time**

In [Figure 14,](#page-88-0) the basic schema for the calculation of the worst case safety function response time is shown.



**Figure 14 – Calculation of the worst case safety function response time** 

The time to transfer the sensor signal information to the safety logic T\_InConn can be calculated as:

$$
T\_InConn = T_S_wc + T_l_wc + T_Com_wc + T_l_wc
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \times T_S + 2 \times T_l + 2 \times T_Com + 2 \times T_l
$$
\n(3)

The worst case time to get the safe state information from the sensor signal to the safety logic T\_InConn\_wc occurs when the input communication is interrupted and the input connection watchdog time expires. In this case the fail-safe values of the Input signals are used in the safety-logic. It can be calculated as:

$$
T_{lnConn\_wc} = T_{S_{inc}} + T_{WD\_ln}
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \times T_{S} + T_{WD\_ln}
$$
\n(4)

The time to get the calculated output signal from the safety logic to the actuator T\_OutConn can be calculated as:

T\_OutConn = T\_L\_wc + T\_Com\_wc + T\_O\_wc + T\_A\_wc (5) = 2 × T\_L + 2 × T\_Com + 2 × T\_O + 2 × T\_A

The worst case time to get the calculated output signal from the safety logic to the actuator T\_OutConn\_wc occurs when the output communication is interrupted and the output  $\overline{c}$  connection watchdog time expires. In this case the fail-safe values in the output device are activated. It can be calculated as:

$$
T\_OutConn\_wc = T\_L\_wc + T\_WD\_Out + T\_A\_wc
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \times T_L + T\_WD\_In + 2 \times T_A
$$
\n(6)

<span id="page-89-0"></span>In order to calculate the safety function response time one error or failure shall be assumed in that signal path, which contributes the maximum difference time between its worst case delay time and its watchdog time.

To determine the worst case safety function response time  $T$  SFR wc, Equation [\(7\)](#page-89-0) can be used:

$$
T\_SFR\_wc = max\{T\_InConn\_wc + T\_OutConn ; T\_OutConn\_wc + T\_InConn\}
$$
 (7)

System manufacturers shall provide their individual adapted calculation method if necessary.

#### **9.4 Duration of demands**

The duration of demand by the safety-related application to the safety communication layer may be present as long as, or, longer than, the Process Safety Time or the FSCP 12/1 timeout (FSoE Watchdog).

#### **9.5 Constraints for calculation of system characteristics**

#### **9.5.1 General**

The FSCP 12/1 makes no restrictions regarding:

- minimum communication cycle time;
- number of safety data per FSoE Device;
- underlying communication system.

All devices shall provide electrical safety SELV/PELV.

Safety devices are designed for normal industrial environment according to [IEC 61000-6-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/01840406U) or IEC 61131-2 and provide increased immunity according to [IEC 61326-3-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30114883U) or [IEC 61326-3-2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30144699U)

The communication path is arbitrary; it can be a fieldbus system, Ethernet or similar paths, fibre optics, CU-wires or even wireless transmission. There are no restrictions or requirements on bus coupler or other devices in the communication path.

The additional insertion of three zero octets in the CRC calculation, together with the CRC inheritance, guarantee the independence of the underlying communication even if the same CRC polynomial is used.

The communication interface in the Safety Devices can be a one channel interface. It may be a redundant interface due to availability.

The connection between the FSoE Devices is a Master to Slave Connection. The FSoE Master has one or several FSoE Connections to one or several FSoE Slaves. The FSoE Slave only reacts on the FSoE Master. Up to 65 535 FSoE Connections can be distinguished in a system.

#### **9.5.2 Probabilistic considerations**

Every detected error in the safety communication shall initiate a transition in the reset state, i.e. in a safe state. This transition shall not occur more than once in 5 hours, i.e. the residual error rate shall be better than 10-2/h.

It is proved that the CRC Polynomial with the insertion of three zero octets (so called virtual bits) guarantees the independence to the underlying standard check.

<span id="page-90-0"></span>The Type 12 PDU consists of a safety and a standard part. The safety part is embedded in the standard part. [Figure 15](#page-90-0) shows the PDU consisting of the SafetyData ND<sub>safety</sub>, the virtual Bits with length  $d_{\text{safe}} = 24$  bit, the Safety FCS<sub>safety</sub>, the standard payload data ND<sub>standard</sub> and the standard FCS<sub>standard</sub>.



**Figure 15 – Safety PDU embedded in standard PDU** 

The following requirements have been derived:

- $-\frac{1}{x}$  x<sup>dsafety</sup>+1 and the Generator polynomial are prime to each other;
- $-$  the number of virtual bits d<sub>safety</sub> is lower or equal the number of bits for the standard part  $(d_{\text{safe}} \leq n_{\text{standard}})$ ;
- $-$  the residual error rate is below 10<sup>-9</sup>/h.

With the primitive Safety Polynomial 139B7h these requirements are fulfilled under the following conditions:

- the number of safety data bits is 8 or 16 (ND<sub>safety</sub> = 8 or ND<sub>safety</sub> = 16);
- the number of virtual bits is 24 ( $d_{\text{safe}}$  = 24);
- the minimum number of standard bits is 16 (ND<sub>standard</sub> ≥ 16);
- the maximum number of standard bits is 12 144 (ND<sub>standard</sub> ≤ 12 144);

NOTE Proof has been provided for up to 12 144 bits (1 518 octets) as used for Ethernet as a maximum DPDU length.

- the standard bits can contain again safety data blocks, consisting of safety data and FCS<sub>safety</sub>.

In [Figure 16,](#page-91-0) the residual error rate for 8, 16, and 24 bit safety data is shown. With a maximum bit error probability of  $10^{-2}/h$ , the residual error rate is below  $10^{-9}/h$  for 8 and 16 bit safety data. 24 bit safety data is not used within this protocol.

<span id="page-91-0"></span>

**Figure 16 – Residual error rate for 8/16/24 bit safety data and up to 12 144 bit standard data** 

#### **9.6 Maintenance**

There are no special maintenance requirements for this protocol.

#### **9.7 Safety manual**

Implementers of this part shall supply a safety manual with following information, at a minimum:

- The safety manual shall inform the users of constraints for calculation of system characteristics, see [9.5](#page-89-0).
- The safety manual shall inform the users of their responsibilities in the proper parameterization of the device.

In addition to the requirements of this clause the safety manual shall follow all requirements in IEC 61508.

#### **10 Assessment**

It is highly recommended that implementers of FSCP 12/1 obtain verification from an independent competent assessment body for all functional safety aspects of the product, both the protocol and any application. It is highly recommended that implementers of FSCP 12/1 obtain proof that a suitable conformance test has been performed by an independent competent assessment body.

#### **Annex A**  (informative)

## **Additional information for functional safety communication profiles of CPF 12**

#### **A.1 Hash function calculation**

The following code for a Safety PDU represents an example of how to calculate the CRCs of the Safety PDU. The three trailing zeros are already taken into account in the tables.

```
**************************************************************** 
** Parameter: psPacket - FSCP12/1 Safety PDU 
** startCrc - Startvalue of CRC Calculatoin 
                * seqNo - SeqNo<br>
seqNo - SeqNo
** oldCRC - CRC_0 of the last received/send Safety Slave PDU 
** bRcvDir - bRcvDir = True: calc of CRCs of the received Frame<br>** bRcvDir = False: calc of CRCs for the send Frame
** bRcvDir = False: calc of CRCs for the send Frame 
                size - size of Safety PDU
** 
** Return: bSuccess - TRUE: CRC korrekt 
** 
***************************************************************/ 
UINT8 CalcCrc(SAFETY_PDU *psPacket, UINT16 startCrc, UINT16 * seqNo, UINT16 oldCrc,
UINT8 bRcvDir, UINT8 size)
{ 
        UINT8 bSuccess = FALSE; 
       UINT16 w1, w2; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} // temporary values
       UINT16 crc;<br>UINT16 crc common;
                                    // common part of CRC calculation,
                                    // includes CRC 0, Conn-ID, Sequence-No., Cmd
        UINT8 *pCrc = &psPacket->au8Data[2]; // pointer to CRC Low-Byte 
        UINT8 *pSafeData // pointer to SafeData Low-Byte 
if ( size > 6 ) // that means 2 or a multiple of two safety data 
       pCrc++; // \rightarrow Crc0 Low-Byte at Byteoffset 3 instead of 2
do 
{ 
       \text{circ} = 0; // reset crc
// Sequence for calcultaion: 
// old CRC-Lo, old CRC-Hi, ConnId-Lo, ConnId-Hi, SeqNo-Lo, SeqNo-Hi, Command, 
// (Index,) Data 
        // CRC-Lo 
       w1 = \text{aCRCTab1}[(UINT8 \star) \& Crc)[HI_BYTE]], // look at the CRC-table w2 = \text{aCRCTab2}[(UINT8 \star) \& SstartCrC)[0]], // look at the CRC-table
       w2 = \text{aCRCTab2}[(UINT8 \star) \& \text{startCrC})[0]];w1 = w1 XOR w2;
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                         &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
       ((UINT8 *) \& crc) [LO BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) \& w1) [LO BYTE]; // CRC-Hi 
       w1 = \text{aCRCTab1}((\text{UINT8 } *) \text{ \&crc}) [\text{HI BYTE}]];w2 = \text{aCRCTab2} ((UINT8 *) \&\text{startCrC}) [1]];
       w1 = w1 XOR w2;
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                         &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[LO_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[LO_BYTE];
        // ConnId-Lo 
       w1 = \text{aCRCTab1}((\text{UINT8 } *) \text{ \&crc)} [\text{HI BYTE}]],w2 = \text{aCRCTab2}[\text{psPacket->au8Data}[\text{size-2}]];
       w1 = w1 XOR w2;
```

```
((UINT8 *) &crc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                          &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[LO_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[LO_BYTE];
        // ConnId-Hi 
       w1 = \text{aCRCTab1}((\text{UINT8 } *) \text{ acrc}) [\text{HI} \text{BYTE} ];
        w2 = aCRCTab2[psPacket->au8Data[size-1]]; 
       w1 = w1 XOR w2;
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                          &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[LO_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[LO_BYTE];
        // SeqNo-Lo 
       w1 = \alphaCRCTab1((UINT8 *) \& crc)[HI_BYTE]];
       w2 = \alphaCRCTab2[( (UINT8 *) seqNo)[LO BYTE]];
       w1 = w1 XOR w2;
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                          &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
       ((UINT8 *) \& crc) [LO_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) \& w1) [LO_BYTE]; // SeqNo-Hi 
       w1 = \overline{a}CRCTab1[((UINT8 *) &crc)[HI_BYTE]];
       w2 = \alphaCRCTab2[((UINT8 *) seqNo)[HI_BYTE]];
       w1 = w1 XOR w2;
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                          &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[LO_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[LO_BYTE];
        // Command 
       w1 = \text{aCRCTab1}((\text{UINT8 }*) \& \text{crc}) [\text{HI BYTE}]],w2 = \alphaCRCTab2 [psPacket->au8Data [OFFS_COMMAND]];
       w1 = w1 XOR w2;
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                          &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[LO_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[LO_BYTE];
        // CRC part that is common for all other crc-calculations is saved 
       \bar{c} crc common = \bar{c}rc;
        // Data [0] 
       w1 = \text{aCRCTab1}((\text{UINT8 *}) \& \text{crc}) [\text{HI BYTE}]];
       w2 = aCRCTab2[psPacket->au8Data[OFFS_DATA]];
       w1 = w1 XOR w2;
       ((UINT8 *) &crcc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                          &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
       ((UINT8 *) &crc)[LO_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[LO_BYTE];
       // if 2 Byte Safety data \rightarrow calculate next Byte into the crc
       if ( size > 6 )
        { 
                // Data [1] 
              w1 = \text{aCRCTab1}((\text{UINT8 }*) \& \text{crc}) [\text{HI BYTE}]];
              w2 = \alphaCRCTab2[psPacket->au8Data[OFFS_DATA+1]];
              w1 = w1 XOR w2;
               ((UINT8 *) &crcc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                                 &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
               ((UINT8 *) \& Crc) [LO_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) \& W1) [LO_BYTE]; } 
        // UPDATE_SEQ_NO 
       seqNo[0]+;
       if (seqNo[0] == 0)seqNo[0]+;
} while ( \text{crc} == \text{old} \text{CrC} & (\text{bRcvDir} & NEW CRC) != 0 );
               // as long as resulting crc is the same like oldCrc 
if (bRcvDir) // for receive direction 
\{ if ( ((UINT8 *) &crc)[HI_BYTE] == pCrc[OFFS_CRC_HI-OFFS_CRC_LO]
             \&& ((UINT8 *) &crc) [LO BYTE] == pCrc[0] )
```

```
 { // for receive direction 
 // CRC is correct 
             bSuccess = TRUE; } 
else // for send direction
       // insert Checksum 
      pCrc[OFFS CRC_HI-OFFS_CRC_LO] = ((UINT8 *) &cerc)[HI_BYTE];
      pCre[0] = ((UINT8 *) & cerc)[LO BYTE];// if more than 2 Byte Safety Data are transferred, 
// CRC_1 and so forth must be calculated 
if (\sin z = 10)
      UINT16 i = 1;<br>pSafeData = pCrc+2;
      pSafeData = pCrc+2; // set pSafeData to the SafeData Low-Byte
                                  // of the next part = SafeData[2]pCrc + = 4; // set pCre to CRC i Low-Byte
      size - 7; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} substract first part of the frame
      while ( size >= 4 ) // as long as other parts follow
\{ // Start-CRC 
             \text{crc} = \text{crc} common; // this part is already calculated above
// i (Bit 0-7) // calculate index
w1 = \text{aCRCTab1}((\text{UINT8 *}) \& \text{crc}) [\text{HI} \quad \text{BYTE}]];
             w2 = \alphaCRCTab2[((UINT8 *) &i)[LO_BYTE]];
             w1 = w1 XOR w2;
              \hbox{((UINT8 ~*)~\&crc) [HI\_BYTE] = ((UINT8 ~*)~\&w1) [HI\_BYTE] ~XOR ~((UINT8 ~*) &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
              ((UINT8 *) &crc)[LO_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[LO_BYTE];
              // i (Bit 8-15) 
             w1 = \text{aCRCTab1}((\text{UINT8 }*) \& \text{crc}) [\text{HI BYTE}]],w2 = \alphaCRCTab2[((UINT8 *) &i)[HI_BYTE]];
             w1 = w1 XOR w2;
              ((UINT8 *) &crc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                             &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
              ((UINT8 *) \& Crc) [LO BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) \& W1) [LO BYTE]; // Data 2*i 
              w1 = \text{aCRCTab1}((UINT8 *) \&\text{crc})[HI BYTE]], w2 = aCRCTab2[pSafeData[0]]; 
             w1 = w1 XOR w2;
              ((UINT8 *) &crcc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                             &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
              ((UINT8 *) &crc)[LO_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[LO_BYTE];
               // Data 2*i+1 
             w1 = \text{aCRCTab1}((\text{UINT8 } *) \text{ \&crc)} [\text{HI BYTE}]],w2 = \text{aCRCTab2}[p\text{SafeData}[1]];
             w1 = w1 XOR w2;
              ((UINT8 *) &crc)[HI_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[HI_BYTE] XOR ((UINT8 *)
                                              &crc)[LO_BYTE]; 
              ((UINT8 *) &crc)[LO_BYTE] = ((UINT8 *) &w1)[LO_BYTE];
              if ( (UINT8 *) &crc) [HI BYTE] == pCrc [1]
               \&\& ((UINT8 *) \&crc) [LO BYTE] == pCrc [0] )
\{ // CRC is correct 
 } 
               else 
\{ bSuccess = FALSE; 
                    if ( bRcvDir == 0) // for send direction
\{ // insert Checksum
```
}

{

}

{

```
61784-3-12 © IEC:2010(E) – 93 –
                    pCrc [1] = ((UINT8 *) \& crc) [HI BYTE];pCrc [0] = ((UINT8 *) \& crc) [LO BYTE]; } 
 } 
          size - = 4; // substract this part of the frame
 pSafeData += 4; // set to next SafeData Low Byte 
pCrc0 += 4; // set to next CRC i Low Byte
           i++; // increment Index 
      } 
} 
      return bSuccess; 
} 
BS EN 61784-3-12:2010
```
#### The following two tables are used:

 $aCrcTab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

aCrcTab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

#### **A.2 …**

Void

#### **Annex B**

#### (informative)

#### **Information for assessment of the functional safety communication profiles of CPF 12**

Information about test laboratories which test and validate the conformance of FSCP 12/1 products with [IEC 61784-3-12](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30230465U) can be obtained from the National Committees of the IEC or from the following organization:

EtherCAT Technology Group Ostendstrasse 196 90482 Nuremberg GERMANY

Phone: +49-911-54056-20 Fax: +49-911-54056-29 E-mail: [info@ethercat.org](mailto:info@ethercat.org) URL: [www.ethercat.org](http://www.ethercat.org/)

#### **Bibliography**

<span id="page-98-2"></span>[1] IEC 60050 (all parts), *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary*

NOTE See also the IEC Multilingual Dictionary – Electricity, Electronics and Telecommunications (available on CD-ROM and at <http://www.electropedia.org>)

- [2] [IEC/TS 61000-1-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30170920U)*, Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) Part 1-2: General Methodology for the achievement of the functional safety of electrical and electronic equipment with regard to electromagnetic phenomena*
- [3] [IEC 61131-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30252631U)[10](#page-98-0), *Programmable controllers Part 6: Functional safety*
- [4] IEC 61158 (all parts), *Industrial communication networks Fieldbus specifications*
- [5] IEC 61496 (all parts), *Safety of machinery Electro-sensitive protective equipment*
- [6] [IEC 61508-1:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30143454)[11](#page-98-1), *Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic safety-related systems – Part 1: General requirements*
- [7] [IEC 61508-4:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30143466)<sup>[11](#page-98-2)</sup>, *Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic safety-related systems – Part 4: Definitions and abbreviations*
- [8] [IEC 61508-5:2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30193475)<sup>[11](#page-98-2)</sup>, *Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic safety-related systems – Part 5: Examples of methods for the determination of safety integrity levels*
- [9] IEC 61511 (all parts), *Functional safety Safety instrumented systems for the process industry sector*
- [10] [IEC 61784-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/03101355U), *Industrial communication networks Profiles Part 1: Fieldbus profiles*
- [11] IEC 61784-4[12](#page-98-3), *Industrial communication networks Profiles Part 4: Secure communications for fieldbuses*
- [12] IEC 61784-5 (all parts), *Industrial communication networks Profiles Part 5: Installation of fieldbuses – Installation profiles for CPF x*
- [13] [IEC 61800-5-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30134107U), *Adjustable speed electrical power drive systems Part 5-2: Safety requirements – Functional*
- [14] [IEC/TR 62059-11,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02544441U) *Electricity metering equipment Dependability Part 11: General concepts*
- [15] [IEC 62061,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/03253831U) *Safety of machinery Functional safety of safety-related electrical, electronic and programmable electronic control systems*
- [16] [IEC/TR 62210](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02846046U), *Power system control and associated communications Data and communication security*
- [17] IEC 62280-1, *Railway applications Communication, signalling and processing systems – Part 1: Safety-related communication in closed transmission systems*
- [18] IEC 62280-2, *Railway applications Communication, signalling and processing systems – Part 2: Safety-related communication in open transmission systems*
- [19] IEC 62443 (all parts), *Industrial communication networks Network and system security*
- [20] ISO/IEC Guide 51:1999, *Safety aspects Guidelines for their inclusion in standards*
- [21] ISO/IEC 2382-14, *Information technology Vocabulary Part 14: Reliability, maintainability and availability*
- [22] ISO/IEC 2382-16, *Information technology Vocabulary Part 16: Information theory*
- [23] [ISO/IEC 7498](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISOIEC7498) (all parts), *Information technology Open Systems Interconnection Basic Reference Model*

<span id="page-98-0"></span><sup>—————————</sup>  10 In preparation.

<span id="page-98-1"></span><sup>11</sup> To be published.

<span id="page-98-3"></span><sup>12</sup> Proposed new work item under consideration.

- [24] [ISO/IEC 19501](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30039396U), *Information technology Open Distributed Processing Unified Modeling Language (UML) Version 1.4.2*
- [25] [ISO 10218-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30122369U), *Robots for industrial environments Safety requirements Part 1: Robot*
- [26] [ISO 12100-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02925544U), *Safety of machinery Basic concepts, general principles for design Part 1: Basic terminology, methodology*
- [27] [ISO 13849-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30086351U), *Safety of machinery Safety-related parts of control systems Part 1: General principles for design*
- [28] [ISO 13849-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02873064U), *Safety of machinery Safety-related parts of control systems Part 2: Validation*
- [29] [ISO 14121](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO14121), *Safety of machinery Principles of risk assessment*
- [30] [EN 954-1:1996](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/01048553)[13](#page-99-0), *Safety of machinery Safety related parts of control systems General principles for design*
- [31] ANSI/ISA-84.00.01-2004 (all parts), *Functional Safety: Safety Instrumented Systems for the Process Industry Sector*
- [32] VDI/VDE 2180 (all parts), *Safeguarding of industrial process plants by means of process control engineering*
- [33] GS-ET-26[1](#page-99-1)4, *Grundsatz für die Prüfung und Zertifizierung von Bussystemen für die Übertragung sicherheitsrelevanter Nachrichten*, May 2002. HVBG, Gustav-Heinemann-Ufer 130, D-50968 Köln ("*Principles for Test and Certification of Bus Systems for Safety relevant Communication*")
- [34] ANDREW S. TANENBAUM, *Computer Networks*, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall, N.J., ISBN-10:0130661023, ISBN-13: 978-0130661029
- [35] W. WESLEY PETERSON, *Error-Correcting Codes*, 2nd Edition 1981, MIT-Press, ISBN 0- 262-16-039-0
- [36] BRUCE P. DOUGLASS, *Doing Hard Time*, 1999, Addison-Wesley, ISBN 0-201-49837-5
- [37] *New concepts for safety-related bus systems*, 3rd International Symposium "Programmable Electronic Systems in Safety Related Applications ", May 1998, from Dr. Michael Schäfer, BG-Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.
- [38] DIETER CONRADS, *Datenkommunikation*, 3rd Edition 1996, Vieweg, ISBN 3-528-245891
- [39] German IEC subgroup DKE AK 767.0.4: *EMC and Functional Safety*, Spring 2002
- [40] NFPA79 (2002), *Electrical Standard for Industrial Machinery*
- [41] GUY E. CASTAGNOLI, *On the Minimum Distance of Long Cyclic Codes and Cyclic Redundancy-Check Codes*, 1989, Dissertation No. 8979 of ETH Zurich, Switzerland
- [42] GUY E. CASTAGNOLI, STEFAN BRÄUER, and MARTIN HERRMANN, *Optimization of Cyclic Redundancy-Check Codes with 24 and 32 Parity Bits*, June 1993, IEEE Transactions On Communications, Volume 41, No. 6
- [43] SCHILLER F and MATTES T: *An Efficient Method to Evaluate CRC-Polynomials for Safety-Critical Industrial Communication*, Journal of Applied Computer Science, Vol. 14, No 1, pp. 57-80, Technical University Press, Łódź,Poland, 2006
- [44] SCHILLER F and MATTES T: *Analysis of CRC-polynomials for Safety-critical*  Communication by Deterministic and Stochastic Automata, 6<sup>th</sup> IFAC Symposium on Fault Detection, Supervision and Safety for Technical Processes, SAFEPROCESS 2006, pp. 1003-1008, Beijing, China, 2006

 $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

—————————

<span id="page-99-0"></span><sup>13</sup> To be replaced by [ISO 13849-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30086351U) and/or [IEC 62061.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/03253831U)

<span id="page-99-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> This document has been one of the starting points for this part. It is currently undergoing a major revision.

# British Standards Institution (BSI)

BSI is the independent national body responsible for preparing British Standards and other standards-related publications, information and services. It presents the UK view on standards in Europe and at the international level. It is incorporated by Royal Charter.

# Revisions

British Standards are updated by amendment or revision. Users of British Standards should make sure that they possess the latest amendments or editions. It is the constant aim of BSI to improve the quality of our products and services. We would be grateful if anyone finding an inaccuracy or ambiguity while using this British Standard would inform the Secretary of the technical committee responsible, the identity of which can be found on the inside front cover.

**Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 9001 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001**

BSI offers Members an individual updating service called PLUS which ensures that subscribers automatically receive the latest editions of standards.

**Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7669 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001 Email: plus@bsigroup.com**

# Buying standards

You may buy PDF and hard copy versions of standards directly using a credit card from the BSI Shop on the website **www.bsigroup.com/shop.** In addition all orders for BSI, international and foreign standards publications can be addressed to BSI Customer Services.

#### **Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 9001 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001 Email: orders@bsigroup.com**

In response to orders for international standards, it is BSI policy to supply the BSI implementation of those that have been published as British Standards, unless otherwise requested.

# Information on standards

BSI provides a wide range of information on national, European and international standards through its Knowledge Centre.

**Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7004 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7005 Email: knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com**

Various BSI electronic information services are also available which give details on all its products and services.

#### **Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7111 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7048 Email: info@bsigroup.com**

BSI Subscribing Members are kept up to date with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards. For details of these and other benefits contact Membership Administration.

#### **Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7002 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001 Email: membership@bsigroup.com**

Information regarding online access to British Standards via British Standards Online can be found at **www.bsigroup.com/BSOL**

Further information about BSI is available on the BSI website at **www.bsigroup.com/standards**

# Copyright

Copyright subsists in all BSI publications. BSI also holds the copyright, in the UK, of the publications of the international standardization bodies. Except as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 no extract may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without prior written permission from BSI. This does not preclude the free use, in the course of implementing the standard of necessary details such as symbols, and size, type or grade designations. If these details are to be used for any other purpose than implementation then the prior written permission of BSI must be obtained. Details and advice can be obtained from the Copyright & Licensing Manager.

**Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7070 Email: copyright@bsigroup.com**

#### **BSI Group Headquarters**

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL UK

Tel +44 (0)20 8996 9001 Fax +44 (0)20 8996 7001 www.bsigroup.com/standards

