BSI Standards Publication

Artificial pollution tests on high-voltage ceramic and glass insulators to be used on a.c. systems

bsi.

...making excellence a habit.™

National foreword

This British Standard is the UK implementation of EN 60507:2014. It is identical to IEC 60507:2013. It supersedes BS EN 60507:1993 which is withdrawn.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee PEL/36, Insulators for power systems.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 March 2014.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected

EUROPEAN STANDARD

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

EN 60507

March 2014

ICS 29.080.10

English version

Artificial pollution tests on high-voltage ceramic and glass insulators to be used on a.c. systems
(IEC 60507:2013)

Essais sous pollution artificielle des isolateurs haute tension en céramique et en verre destinés aux réseaux à courant alternatif
(CEI 60507:2013)

Fremdschichtprüfungen an Hochspannungs-Isolatoren aus Keramik und Glas zur Anwendung in Wechselspannungssystemen
(IEC 60507:2013)

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2014-01-17. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

CENELEC

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B - 1000 Brussels

Ref. No. EN 60507:2014 E

Foreword

The text of document 36/337/FDIS, future edition 3 of IEC 60507, prepared by IEC/TC 36 "Insulators" was submitted to the IEC-CENELEC parallel vote and approved by CENELEC as EN 60507:2014.

The following dates are fixed:

- latest date by which the document has to be implemented at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement   (dop)   2014-10-17

- latest date by which the national standards conflicting with the document have to be withdrawn   (dow)   2017-01-17

This document supersedes EN 60507:1993.

EN 60507:2014 includes the following significant technical changes with respect to EN 60507:1993:

a) Corrections and the addition of explanatory material;
b) The addition of Clause 4.4.2 on atmospheric correction;
c) The change of the upper limit of conductivity of water to 0.1 S/m; and
d) The extension to UHV voltages.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC [and/or CEN] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Endorsement notice

The text of the International Standard IEC 60507:2013 was approved by CENELEC as a European Standard without any modification.

Annex ZA
(normative)
Normative references to international publications
with their corresponding European publications

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE   When an international publication has been modified by common modifications, indicated by (mod), the relevant EN/HD applies.

Publication	Year	Title	EN/HD	Year
IEC 60060-1	-	High-voltage test techniques - Part 1: General definitions and test requirements	EN 60060-1	-
IEC 60071-1	-	Insulation co-ordination - Part 1: Definitions, principles and rules	EN 60071-1	-
IEC/TS 60815-1	-	Selection and dimensioning of high-voltage insulators intended for use in polluted conditions - Part 1: Definitions, information and general principles	-	-
IEC/TS 60815-2	-	Selection and dimensioning of high-voltage insulators intended for use in polluted conditions - Part 2: Ceramic and glass insulators for a.c. systems	-	-

CONTENTS

ARTIFICIAL POLLUTION TESTS ON HIGH-VOLTAGE CERAMIC AND GLASS INSULATORS TO BE USED ON A.C. SYSTEMS

1   Scope

This International Standard is applicable for the determination of the power frequency withstand characteristics of ceramic and glass insulators to be used outdoors and exposed to polluted atmospheres, on a.c. systems with the highest voltage of the system greater than 1 000 V.

These tests are not directly applicable to polymeric insulators, to greased insulators or to special types of insulators (insulators with semiconducting glaze or covered with any organic insulating material).

The object of this International Standard is to prescribe procedures for artificial pollution tests applicable to insulators for overhead lines, substations and traction lines and to bushings

It may also be applied to hollow insulators with suitable precautions to avoid internal flashover. In applying these procedures to apparatus incorporating hollow insulators, the relevant technical committees should consider their effect on any internal equipment and the special precautions which may be necessary.

2   Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 60071-1, *Insulation co-ordination – Part 1: Definitions, principles and rules*

IEC/TS 60815-1, *Selection and dimensioning of high-voltage insulators intended for use in polluted conditions – Part 1: Definitions, information and general principles*

IEC/TS 60815-2, *Selection and dimensioning of high-voltage insulators intended for use in polluted conditions – Part 2: Ceramic and glass insulators for a.c. systems*

IEC 60060-1, *High-voltage test techniques – Part 1: General definitions and test requirements*

3   Terms and definitions

For the purpose of this standard, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1
test voltage
the r.m.s. value of the voltage with which the insulator is continuously energized throughout the test

3.2
short-circuit current (I_{sc}) of the testing plant
the r.m.s. value of the current delivered by the testing plant when the test object is short-circuited at the test voltage

3.3
unified specific creepage distance
USCD
the creepage distance of an insulator divided by the maximum operating voltage across the insulator (for a.c. systems usually $U_m/\sqrt{3}$)

Note 1 to entry: This is generally expressed in mm/kV.

Note 2 to entry: This definition differs from that of Specific Creepage Distance where the phase-to-phase value of the highest voltage for the equipment is used. For phase-to-earth insulation, this definition will result in a value that is $\sqrt{3}$ times that given by the definition of Specific Creepage Distance in IEC/TS 60815 (1986). See Annex J of IEC 60815-1:2008 for details.

3.4
form factor of an insulator
Ff
dimensionless number that presents the length (l) of the partial creepage distance divided by the integrated width (p)

Note 1 to entry: For insulators, the length is in the direction of the creepage distance and the width is the circumference of the insulator.

Note 2 to entry: The form factor is calculated by the formula

$$Ff = \int_{0}^{L} \frac{dl}{p(l)}$$

where

L is the total creepage distance

$p(l)$ $= 2\pi.r(l)$

For graphical estimation of the form factor, the reciprocal value of the insulator circumference ($\frac{1}{p}$) is plotted versus the partial creepage distance l counted from the end of the insulator up to the point reckoned. The form factor is given by the area under this curve.

3.5
salinity
S_a
concentration of the solution of salt in tap water, expressed by the amount of salt divided by the volume of solution

Note 1 to entry: This is generally expressed in kg/m^3.

3.6
pollution layer
a conducting electrolytic layer on the insulator surface, composed of salt plus inert materials

Note 1 to entry: The conductance of the pollution layer on the insulator is measured in accordance with 6.5.1.

3.7
layer conductivity (K)
the conductance of the pollution layer multiplied by the form factor

Note 1 to entry: This is generally expressed in µS.

3.8
salt deposit density
SDD
amount of sodium chloride in an artificial deposit on a given surface of the insulator (metal parts and assembling materials are not to be included in this surface) divided by the area of this surface

Note 1 to entry: This is generally expressed in mg/cm^2.

3.9
degree of pollution
the value of the quantity (salinity, layer conductivity, salt deposit density) which characterizes the artificial pollution applied to the tested insulator

3.10
reference salinity
the value of the salinity used to characterize a test

3.11
reference layer conductivity
the value of the layer conductivity used to characterize a test

Note 1 to entry: This is defined as the maximum value of the conductivity of the wetted layer of an insulator energized only for performing the conductance measurements.

3.12
reference salt deposit density
the value of the salt deposit density used to characterize a test

Note 1 to entry: This is defined as the average of the salt deposit density values measured on a few insulators (or on parts of them), which are chosen for this purpose from among the contaminated ones prior to their submission to any test.

3.13
specified withstand degree of pollution
the reference degree of pollution at which an insulator shall withstand the specified test voltage in at least three tests out of four, under the conditions described in the relevant Clauses 5.6 or 6.8

3.14
maximum withstand degree of pollution
the highest degree of pollution at which at least three withstand tests out of four can be obtained at the specified test voltage, under the conditions described in the relevant clauses 5.6 or 6.8.

3.15
specified withstand voltage
the test voltage at which an insulator shall withstand the specified degree of pollution in at least three tests out of four, under the conditions described in the relevant 5.6 or 6.8

3.16
maximum withstand voltage
the highest test voltage at which at least three withstand tests out of four can be obtained at the specified degree of pollution, under the conditions described in the relevant 5.6 or 6.8

3.17
non-soluble deposit density
NSDD
amount of non-soluble residue removed from a given surface of the insulator, divided by the area of this surface

Note 1 to entry: This is generally expressed in mg/cm^2.

4 General test requirements

4.1 General

Pollution tests can be carried out for two main objectives:

- to obtain information about the pollution performance of insulators e.g. for comparison of different insulator types/profile

- to check the performance in a configuration as close as possible to the in-service one.

To reach the first objective, tests on relatively short insulator sets – if representative of the full set in terms of radial geometry and profile – may be sufficient. Results of such tests on insulators with an arcing length higher than 1 m can be linearly extrapolated up to and including the UHV range, at least for pollution ranging from medium to very heavy.

Tests to reach the second objective may be agreed between the manufacturer and the user whenever optimisation of the design is necessary and/or whenever it is expected that the mounting condition or the inner active parts in apparatus can affect the performance. Such tests shall be made simulating the relevant service conditions as closely as possible. In particular tests in other positions from the vertical (inclined, horizontal) duplicating actual service conditions may be agreed between the supplier and the user.

Tests at higher system voltages (800 kV and above) may present particular requirements as reported in Annex E.

4.2 Test method

The two following categories of pollution test methods are recommended for standard tests:

- the salt fog method (Clause 5) in which the insulator is subjected to a defined ambient pollution;
- the solid layer method (Clause 6) in which a fairly uniform layer of a defined solid pollution is deposited on the insulator surface;

NOTE 1 In these test methods the voltage is held constant for a period of at least several minutes. Variants in which the voltage is raised continuously to flashover are not standardized but may be used for special purposes.

NOTE 2 In testing of full scale insulators for system voltages above 800 kV, the solid layer method may be the preferred choice because of lack of experiences and possible difficulties for the salt fog method. More information on the solid layer method for such insulators is given in Annex E.

4.3 Arrangement of insulator for test

4.3.1 Test configuration

The insulator shall be erected in the test chamber, complete with the metal fittings which are invariably associated with it. The vertical position is in general suggested for comparison of different insulator types. Tests in other positions (inclined, horizontal) duplicating actual service conditions may be carried out when agreed between the manufacturer and the user. When there are special reasons not to test insulators in the vertical position (e.g. wall bushings and circuit-breaker longitudinal insulation), only the service position shall be considered.

The minimum clearances between any part of the insulator and any earthed object other than the structure which supports the insulator and the columns of the nozzles, when used, shall be not less than 0,5 m per 100 kV of the test voltage and in any case not less than 1,5 m.

The configurations of the supporting structure and the energized metal parts, at least within their minimum clearance from the insulator, shall reproduce those expected in service.

As regards the influence of capacitive effects on the test results, the following considerations can be drawn from the available experience:

– fittings are deemed not to affect the results significantly, at least for test voltages up to 450 kV;

– internal high capacitance can have some effect on the external surface behaviour, particularly in tests with solid layer methods at low pollution severity values.

4.3.2 Cleaning of insulator

The insulator shall be carefully cleaned so that all traces of dirt and grease are removed. After cleaning, the insulating parts of the insulator shall not be touched by hand.

The surface of the insulator is deemed to be sufficiently clean and free from any grease if large continuous wet areas are observed after rinsing.

In the case of the solid-layer method, before the first contamination, scrubbing with a slurry of water and inert material such as kaolin shall be done, after which the insulator shall be thoroughly rinsed with tap water. A detergent may be added to the slurry.

Before every subsequent contamination, the insulator shall again be thoroughly washed with tap water only. Hand wiping might be necessary, if either the *SDD*-levels or the test results become inconsistent.

In the case of the salt-fog method, water, preferably heated to about 50 °C, with the addition of trisodium phosphate or other detergent, shall be used, after which the insulator shall be thoroughly rinsed with tap water. Before this final treatment, scrubbing as for the solid-layer method may be done if necessary.

NOTE 1   When the volume conductivity of tap water is higher than 0,1 S/m, the use of demineralized water is recommended.

NOTE 2   If necessary, the metal parts and the assembling materials can be painted with a salt-water resistant paint to ensure that no corrosion products wash down on to the insulating surface during a test.

4.4 Requirements for the testing plant

4.4.1 Test voltage

The frequency of the test voltage shall be between 48 Hz and 62 Hz.

In general the test voltage coincides with the highest voltage (phase to earth value) the insulator is required to withstand under normal operating conditions. For equipment, it is equal to $U_m/\sqrt{3}, U_m$ being the highest voltage for equipment (see IEC 60071-1). It is higher than this value when testing insulators for phase to phase configurations or for isolated neutral systems.

4.4.2 Atmospheric corrections

No humidity correction factor shall be applied. Test voltages shall be corrected for air density according to IEC 60060-1. The coefficient m is however still under investigation.

NOTE 1   The temperature in the test chamber for relative air density calculation is the temperature measured at the height of the test object prior to the test.

NOTE 2   The coefficient m depends on many factors such as pollution severity and insulator characteristics. For the time being provisionally reference can be made to value m=0,5 [1].

NOTE 3   Atmospheric correction factors for polluted insulators are presently under consideration by CIGRE SC D1.

4.4.3   Minimum short-circuit current

In the artificial pollution tests, the testing plant needs a short-circuit current (I_{sc}) higher than in other types of insulator tests to ensure that the voltage drop during the test is small and has no influence on test results. This means that I_{sc} must have a minimum value which varies with the test conditions; moreover there are also requirements on other parameters of the testing plant.

The minimum value of I_{sc} ($I_{sc\ min}$) is given in Figure 1 as a function of the electrical surface stress of the insulator under test, expressed in terms of its unified specific creepage distance.

Besides the above requirement of $I_{sc\ min}$ value, the testing plant shall comply with the two following conditions:

– resistance/reactance ratio (R/X) equal to or higher than 0,1;

– capacitive current/short-circuit current ratio ($\dfrac{I_c}{I_{sc}}$) within the range 0,001 – 0,1.

More information on the criteria followed to assess the above requirements is given in Annex A.

When the value of I_{sc} of the testing plant, although higher than 6 A, does not comply with the limits given in Figure 1, the verification of a specified withstand characteristic of a polluted insulator (see 5.6 and 6.8) or the determination of its maximum withstand characteristic (see Annex B) can still be performed, provided that the source validity is directly ascertained by the following check.

In each individual test of this investigation, the highest leakage current pulse amplitude is recorded and its maximum value ($I_{h\ max}$) determined considering the three tests resulting in withstand, in the withstand conditions.

The $I_{h\ max}$ value shall comply with the expression below:

$$\frac{I_{sc}}{I_{hmax}} \geq 11$$

I_{sc} being given in r.m.s. and $I_{h\ max}$ in peak value.

More details are given in Annex A.

Since the leakage currents can be used for the interpretation of the results, it is recommended that suitable devices be arranged in order to record these currents during artificial pollution tests.

mm/kV	A_{rms}
USCD < 28	$I_{sc\ min} = 6$
$28 \leq USCD \leq 45$	$I_{sc\ min} = |USCD/\sqrt{3}| - 10$

Figure 1 – Minimum short-circuit current, $I_{sc\ min}$, required for the testing plant as a function of the unified specific creepage distance (USCD) of the insulator under test

NOTE   The available experience is deemed insufficient to give $I_{sc\ min}$ values for tests at unified specific creepage distances higher than 45 mm/kV.

5   Salt fog method

5.1   General information

The salt fog test procedure simulates type B pollution (see IEC 60815-1) where a liquid conductive layer covers the insulator surface. In practice, this layer does not contain any significant insoluble material.

The degree of pollution in a test is defined by the salinity of the salt fog expressed in kg of salt (NaCl) per m^3 of water.

The test consists of two parts – preconditioning process (the aim of which is cleaning of the tested insulator surface) and withstand test. The detailed description of both procedures is given in 5.5 and 5.6.

NOTE   The salt fog test method is not recommended for tests of insulator configurations at higher system voltage (800 kV and above). The main reason is that the specified distance between tested insulator and spraying nozzles (5.3) may be not sufficient for higher test voltages; in the frame of the recent revision with respect to the extension to the UVH range the specified distance between tested insulator and spraying nozzles was kept at 3 m in order to maintain the validity of test results with previous version of this standard.

5.2   Salt solution

The salt solution shall be made of sodium chloride (NaCl) of commercial purity and tap water.

NOTE   Tap water with high hardness, for example with a content of equivalent $CaCO_3$ greater than 350 g/m^3, can cause limestone deposits on the insulator surface. In this case the use of deionized water for preparation of the salt solution is recommended. Hardness of tap water is measured in terms of content of equivalent $CaCO_3$).

The salinity used shall have one of the following values: 2,5 – 3,5 – 5 – 7 – 10 – 14 – 20 – 28 – 40 – 56 – 80 – 112 – 160 and 224 kg/m^3.

The maximum permissible tolerance in salinity is ±5 % of the specified value

It is recommended that the salinity be determined either by measuring the conductivity or by measuring the density with a correction of temperature.

Table 1 gives the correspondence between the value of salinity, volume conductivity and density of the solution at a temperature of 20 °C.

When the solution temperature is not at 20 °C, conductivity and density values shall be corrected.

The temperature of the salt solution shall be between 5 °C and 30 °C, since no experience is available to validate tests performed outside this range of solution temperature.

Table 1 – Salt-fog method: correspondence between the value of salinity, volume conductivity and density of the solution at a temperature of 20 °C

Salinity	Volume conductivity	Density
S_a kg/m^3	σ_{20} S/m	Δ_{20} kg/m^3
2,5	0,43	–
3,5	0,60	–
5	0,83	–
7	1,15	–
10	1,6	–
14	2,2	–
20	3,0	–
28	4,1	1 018,0
40	5,6	1 025,9
56	7,6	1 037,3
80	10	1 052,7
112	13	1 074,6
160	17	1 104,5
224	20	1 140,0

The conductivity correction shall be made using the following formula:

$$\sigma_{20} = \sigma_\theta \left[1 - b \left(\theta - 20\right)\right]$$

where:

θ is the solution temperature (°C)

σ_θ is the volume conductivity at a temperature of θ °C (S/m)

σ_{20} is the volume conductivity at a temperature of 20 °C (S/m)

b is the factor depending on solution temperature θ, as obtained by the following equation, and as shown in Figure 2:

$$b = 3{,}200 \times 10^{-8}\theta^3 + 1{,}032 \times 10^{-5}\theta^2 - 8{,}272 \times 10^{-4}\theta + 3{,}544 \times 10^{-2}$$

Figure 2 – Value of factor b as a function of solution temperature

The density correction shall be made using the following formula:

$$\Delta_{20} = \Delta_{\theta} [1 + (200 + 1{,}3\ S_a)(\theta - 20) \times 10^{-6}]$$

where:

θ is the solution temperature (°C)

Δ_{θ} is the density at a temperature of θ °C (kg/m^3)

Δ_{20} is the density at a temperature of 20 °C (kg/m^3)

S_a is the salinity (kg/m^3)

This correction formula is only valid for salinities over 20 kg/m^3.

5.3 Spraying system

The fog is produced in the test chamber by means of the specified number of sprays which atomize the solution by a stream of compressed air flowing at right angles to the solution nozzle. The nozzles consist of corrosion resistant tubes, the internal diameter of the air nozzles being 1,2 mm ± 0,02 mm and the internal diameter of the solution nozzle being 2,0 mm ± 0,02 mm. Both nozzles shall have an outside diameter of 3,0 mm ± 0,05 mm and the ends of the nozzles shall be square-cut and polished.

The end of the solution nozzle shall lie on the axis of the air nozzle to within ±0,05 mm. The distance between the end of the compressed air nozzle and the central line of the solution nozzle shall be 3,0 mm ± 0,05 mm. The axes of the two nozzles shall lie in the same plane to within ±0,05 mm.

Figure 3 shows a typical construction of the fog spray nozzle.

The sprays shall be in two columns parallel to and on opposite sides of the insulator which shall have its axis in the same plane as the columns, i.e. a vertical insulator is tested with vertical columns and a horizontal insulator with horizontal columns. In the case of an inclined insulator (see Figure 4) the plane containing the insulator and the columns shall intersect the horizontal plane in a line at right angles to the insulator axis; in this case, the axis of the solution nozzles is vertical. The distance between the solution nozzles and the insulator axis shall be 3,0 m ± 0,05 m.

The sprays shall be spaced at 0,6 m intervals, each spray pointing at right angles to the column axis towards its counterpart on the other column and within an angle of 1° to the plane of the sprays. This alignment can be checked for vertical sprays by lowering the solution nozzle, passing water through the air nozzle and directing it towards the opposing spray;

afterwards, raising the solution nozzle to the operating position. The midpoint of the insulator shall preferably be in line with the mid-points of the columns of sprays. Both columns shall extend beyond each end of the insulator by at least 0,6 m.

The minimum number N of sprays per column shall be, for a length H in metres of the insulator:

$$N = \frac{H}{0,6} + 3$$

The sprays shall be supplied with filtered, oil-free air at a relative pressure of 700 kPa $\pm$ 35 kPa.

The flow of solution to each spray shall be 0,5 dm^3/min $\pm$ 0,05 dm^3/min for the period of the test, and the tolerance on the total flow to all sprays shall be $\pm$5 % of the nominal value.

All dimensions in millimetres

Compressed air nozzle

Salt water nozzle

Section A-A showing nozzles in position

Both nozzles to have a close sliding fit within block
See NOTE 4

See NOTE 3

Tapped holes for locking screws

Drill and tap ¼ NTP

Drill ∅1,2 thru'
60° inclusive angle

Compressed air nozzle

Drill and tap ¼ NTP

Drill ∅2 thru'
60° inclusive angle

Salt water nozzle

IEC 2987/13

NOTES:

1   Machine all over ± 0,1 mm, unless stated otherwise

2   Concentricity of nozzles within 0,1 mm

3   Outer face both nozzles to be square and polished

4   Finishing of holes in block with a sized milling cutter is suggested to achieve best fit

5   Remove all sharp edges except as NOTE 3 above

6   Mounting holes should be drilled thru' to allow unit to be positioned from either side

7   Unit should be initially be assembled with nozzle shoulders flush with inboard surfaces of block as shown above if required, small adjustments in the positioning of the nozzles can be made to optimize spray properties

Hardware requirements:
2 off stainless steel fitting with hose barb
Swagelok number SS-4-HC-1-4
2 off stainless steel set screw (as required)
Rubber hose as required with retaining clamps.
Stainless steel mounting hardware (as required)

Material requirements:
Salt water nozzle: Stainless steel Type 303
Compressed air nozzle: stainless steel Type 303
Block: non absorbant plastic*

*POM (polyoxymethylene) is recommended for ease of machining and dimensional stability

Figure 3 – Typical construction of fog spray nozzle

Figure 4 – Test layout for inclined insulators

5.4 Conditions before starting the test

The test shall start while the insulator, cleaned according to 4.3.2, is still completely wet.

At the start of the test the insulator shall be in thermal equilibrium with the air in the test chamber. In addition, the ambient temperature shall be not less than 5 °C nor greater than 40 °C and its difference from the temperature of the water solution shall not exceed 15 K.

The insulator is energized, the salt-solution pump and air compressor are switched on, and the test is deemed to have started as soon as the compressed air has reached the normal operating pressure at the nozzles.

5.5 Preconditioning process

The insulator, prepared in the normal way, is subjected to the test voltage at the reference salinity for 20 min, or until the insulator flashes over; if the insulator does not flash over, the voltage is raised in steps of 10 % of the test voltage every 5 min until flashover.

After flashover, the voltage is reapplied and raised as quickly as possible to 90 % of the previously obtained flashover voltage and thereafter increased in steps of 5 % of the initial flashover voltage every 5 min until flashover. The last process is repeated six further times, in each of them the voltage is raised rapidly to 90 % of the last obtained flashover voltage and

then in steps of 5 % every 5 min until flashover. After the eight flashovers, the fog shall be cleared, the insulator shall be washed down with tap water and then the withstand test (see 5.6) shall start as soon as possible.

The characteristics of the voltage source in the preconditioning process shall be not lower than those used as references in the withstand test (see 4.4).

If the preconditioning process performed at the reference salinity requires excessively high voltages, the use of higher values of salinity for the preconditioning is allowed. If, in spite of this expedient, the voltage required remains too high, shorter sections of the insulator may be separately preconditioned using adequate procedures to avoid overstressing of the internal insulation, if any (e.g. in the case of arresters or bushings).

5.6   Withstand test

The object of this test is to confirm the specified withstand salinity of the insulator at the specified test voltage.

The test shall start when the test insulator and the chamber conditions fulfil the requirements given in clause 5.4 and after the preconditioning of the insulator according to 5.5.

A series of tests are performed on the insulator at the specified test voltage, using a salt solution having the specified test salinity in accordance with 5.2. The duration of each test shall be 1 h, if no flashover occurs before that time has elapsed. The insulator shall be carefully washed with tap water before each subsequent test.

5.7   Acceptance criterion for the withstand test

The insulator complies with this specification if no flashover occurs during a series of three consecutive tests in accordance with the procedure in 5.6. If only one flashover occurs, a fourth test shall be performed and the insulator then passes the test if no flashover occurs.

NOTE   For research purposes the withstand characteristics of an insulator can be determined. Practices for assessing or checking these characteristics are given in Annex B.

6   Solid layer methods

6.1   General information

The solid layer test procedure simulates type A pollution (see IEC 60815-1) where a solid layer containing salts and inert materials builds up on the insulator surface. When wetted this layer becomes conductive.

Depending on the technique of solid layer wetting there are two procedures for the solid layer test method.

Procedure A (not commonly used) – **wetting before and during energization** which, among others, simulates pollution conditions with the situation of "cold switch-on" (energising a line or a station with contaminated insulators that have their surface completely wetted).

The degree of pollution in a test is defined in terms of layer conductivity (μS).

The wetting process is made according to the following two phases

– wetting of the dry layer up to the maximum conductivity (severity value for the individual test) in 20 to 40 minutes without applying the test voltage

– continuing of the wetting after immediate application of the constant test voltage for 15 minutes at maximum.

Procedure B (commonly used) – wetting after energization, where the test voltage is applied to a dry insulator before any wetting occurs. This simulates the most frequent situation for sites with solid layer contamination as may occur in rural, industrial and desert conditions.

The degree of pollution in a test is defined by Salt Deposit Density (SDD), which is expressed in mg of salt (NaCl) per cm^2 of the specified surface of the test specimen.

For this procedure, the wetting process is started after the application of constant test voltage to the insulator with the layer dry and it lasts with a constant steam input rate to the end of individual test.

NOTE   Based on experience with solid layer pollution tests this procedure is recommended for testing of insulators for highest system voltage 800 kV and above. Some supplementary information is given in Annex E.

6.2   Main characteristics of inert materials

Kieselguhr, Kaolin or Tonoko can be used as inert materials

Ranges of values for the main characteristics of the types of Kieselguhr, Kaolin and Tonoko that are used for the suspensions are given in Table 2.

Inert materials having other names, but whose characteristics are proved to match with the same ranges of one of the above-mentioned types, may be used in place of that type.

Table 2 – Main characteristics of the inert materials used in solid layer suspensions

Type of inert material	Weight composition %				Granulometry (cumulative distribution) μm			Volume conductivity $\sigma 20$ S/m
	SiO_2	Al_2O_3	FE_2O_3	H_2O	16 %	50 %	85 %	
Kieselguhr	70 to 90	5 to 25	0,5 to 6	7 to 14	1 to 10	10 to 20	20 to 50	0,0015 to 0,02
Kaolin	40 to 50	30 to 40	0,3 to 2	7 to 14	0,5 to 2	2 to 8	8 to 25	0,0015 to 0,02
Tonoko	60 to 70	10 to 20	4 to 8	–	1 to 3	5 to 10	15 to 30	0,002 to 0,01

NOTE 1   Granulometry distribution gives the values of the hole diameter of a sieve in μm through which one of the quoted percentages of the total mass of particles passes. The values in Table 2 were determined by measurement using the laser light scattering technique. Other methods may provide somewhat different values.

NOTE 2   Volume conductivity for the characterization of inert material is determined with the use of demineralised water.

6.3   Composition of the contaminating suspension

6.3.1   General

A suspension shall be prepared using one of the two following compositions:

6.3.2   Kieselguhr composition

It consists of:

– 100 g Kieselguhr (diatomaceous earth, diatomite), see 6.2;

– 10 g highly-dispersed silicon dioxide, particle size 2 to 20 nm;

– 1 000 g tap water;

– a suitable amount of NaCl of commercial purity.

When the volume conductivity of tap water is higher than 0,1 S/m, the use of demineralised water is recommended.

To achieve the reference degree of pollution on the insulator under test, with the prescribed tolerance of ±15 %, an appropriate value of volume conductivity of the prepared suspension is to be determined by submitting the insulator itself (or a part of it) to preliminary contamination trials. The desired volume conductivity is reached by adjusting the amount of salt in the suspension. As an approximate guide to start the trials, Table 3 gives an approximate correspondence between the reference degree of pollution on the insulator and the volume conductivity of the suspension at a temperature of 20 °C.

Table 3 – Kieselguhr composition: approximate correspondence between the reference degrees of pollution on the insulator and the volume conductivity of the suspension at a temperature of 20 °C

Reference degrees of pollution for Kieselguhr composition		Volume conductivity of the suspension
Salt deposit density SDD mg/cm^2	Layer conductivity K_{20} μS	σ_{20} S/m
0,017 6	7	0,21
0,025	10	0,30
0,035 3	14	0,42
0,005	20	0,60
0,070 5	28	0,85
0,1	40	1,20
0,141	56	1,69
0,20	80	2,40

6.3.3 Kaolin (or Tonoko) composition

It consists of:

– 40 g Kaolin (or Tonoko), see 6.2;
– 1 000 g tap water;
– a suitable amount of NaCI of commercial purity.

NOTE   Kaolin is the preferred material being more generally used. However for a.c. tests the use of Tonoko is permitted, but test results are not directly comparable and the different slurry concentrations may be needed to attain the required NSDD range.

When the volume conductivity of tap water is higher than 0,1 S/m, the use of demineralized water is recommended.

To achieve the reference degree of pollution on the insulator under test, with the prescribed tolerance of ±15 %, an appropriate value of volume conductivity of the prepared suspension is to be determined by submitting the insulator itself (or part of it) to preliminary contamination trials. The desired volume conductivity is reached by adjusting the amount of salt in the suspension.

As an approximate guide to start the trials, Table 4 gives an approximate correspondence between the reference degree of pollution on the insulator and the volume conductivity of the suspension at a temperature of 20 °C, in the case of standard cap and pin insulators contaminated in a vertical position in normal ambient conditions. The volume conductivity required for other insulators can vary from the values given in Table 4.

**Table 4 – Kaolin (or Tonoko) composition: approximate correspondence
between the reference degrees of pollution on the insulator and the volume
conductivity of the suspension at a temperature of 20 °C**

Reference degrees of pollution for Kaolin (or Tonoko) composition		Volume conductivity of the suspension
Salt deposit density SDD mg/cm^2	Layer conductivity K_{20} µS	σ_{20} S/m
0,012	-	0,5
0,018	-	0,7
0,025	3	1
0,035	4,2	1,4
0,05	5,5	2
0,07	8	2,8
0,1	11	4
0,14	14,5	5,6
0,2	20	8
0,28	27	11,2
0,4	37	16

NOTE   Kaolin is the preferred material being more generally used. However for a.c. tests the use of Tonoko is permitted since laboratory experience proved that the test results with these two materials are close.

6.4   Application of the pollution layer

The suspension prepared using one of the compositions described in 6.3 shall be applied by spraying or flowing on the dry insulator, previously cleaned according to 4.2.2, to obtain a reasonably uniform layer. Alternatively the insulator may be dipped in the suspension, provided its size makes this operation possible.

NOTE 1   The use of a probe (see Annex C) is suggested for a check on the wet layer, when the uniformity does not appear to be satisfactory during visual examination.

NOTE 2   The artificial layer may be applied on the insulator surface by spraying the prepared suspension through one or two nozzles of a commercial type spray gun. The direction of the spray nozzles shall be adjusted to ensure a reasonably uniform layer on the whole insulator surface. A distance of about 20 cm to 40 cm between the spray nozzle outlet and the rim of the insulator shed has been found satisfactory. It is desirable to keep the suspension stirred.

The required degree of pollution on the insulator may be obtained by repeated applications.

NOTE 3   The coating time can be reduced by preheating the insulator. In this case the complete insulator should be in thermal equilibrium with the air in the test chamber at the start of the test. The coating time can also be reduced by drying the layer between successive applications.

Other techniques are suitable and can also be used. For instance the practice of flooding the prepared suspension over the insulator surface, until it is flowing-on ("flow-on" technique), is particularly suitable for large or long insulators, when Kaolin or Tonoko compositions are used.

NOTE 4   A preconditioning process, as specified for the salt fog test, is not necessary with the solid layer methods. More details are given in D.1.

The layer shall be left to dry prior to the submission of the insulator to the test. More details are given in D.2.

6.5   Determination of the degree of pollution of the tested insulator

6.5.1   General

The degree of pollution of the tested insulator, expressed in terms of layer conductivity or salt deposit density, is determined as follows.

6.5.2   Layer conductivity (K)

As already mentioned, the layer conductivity is calculated by multiplying the layer conductance measured on the unenergized insulator by its form factor (Ff).

The layer conductance measurement is repeated on the insulator during its wetting, with the aim of determining the maximum value reached.

Each measurement of the layer conductance consists of applying to the insulator a voltage not lower than 700 V r.m.s. per metre of overall creepage distance and measuring the current flowing through the wet layer. The voltage must be applied only long enough to read the meter.

When higher voltage values are used, the measuring time shall be short enough to avoid serious error due to heating or drying of the pollution layer. To this aim it shall be checked that neither surge activity nor amplitude variations affect the shape of the measured current.

The layer conductivity shall be related to the reference temperature of 20 °C, using the following formula:

$$K_{20} = K_{\theta_{IS}} \left[1 - b \left(\theta_{IS} - 20 \right) \right]$$

where:

θ_{IS} is the temperature of the insulator surface (°C)

$K_{\theta_{IS}}$ is the layer conductivity at a temperature of θ_{IS} °C (μS)

K_{20} is the layer conductivity at a temperature of 20°C (μS)

b is the factor already defined in 5.2.

6.5.3   Salt deposit density (SDD)

The deposit is removed and carefully collected from the surface of a separate insulator (or from a part thereof), identical to the tested one and contaminated in the same way. The whole surface of this insulator, or the upper and lower surfaces separately, are cleaned for this purpose, excluding metal parts. More details are given in D.8.

In the case where only one cylindrical insulator is available for test, measurement of salt deposit density is made on a few of its sheds. Thereafter, the cleaned surface shall be re-polluted by re-applying the pollution layer.

After the contaminating operation on the insulator (or part thereof) chosen for SDD measurement, the drops shall be removed carefully before drying the layer. In this way, errors can be avoided in quantifying the degree of pollution really effective in the test.

The deposit is then dissolved in a known quantity of water, preferably demineralized water. The resulting suspension is kept stirred for at least 2 min before the measurement of its volume conductivity σ_θ (S/m) at the solution temperature θ (°C). Then the value σ_{20} obtained from σ_θ by the same relationship as that given in 5.2.

The salinity S_a (kg/m^3) of the suspension is determined, when σ_{20} is within the range 0,004 to 0,4 S/m, by the use of the following formula:

$$S_a = (5{,}7 \ \sigma_{20})^{1{,}03}$$

The salt deposit density SDD (mg/cm^2) is then obtained by the following formula:

$$SDD = \frac{S_a \times V}{A}$$

where:

V is the volume of the suspension (cm^3)

A is the area of the cleaned surface (cm^2)

6.6   General requirements for the wetting of the pollution layer

The test object shall be wetted by means of fog generators which provide a uniform fog distribution over the whole length and all around the test object. The temperature of the test object at the beginning of the wetting shall be within ± 2 K of the ambient temperature in the test chamber. A plastic tent, surrounding the test object, may be used to limit the volume of the test chamber.

NOTE   If a plastic tent is used, it may be useful to check the wetting efficiency by dummy insulator (see D.4).

The fog generation in the test chamber shall be maintained until the end of each individual test at a constant steady rate of flow.

After a certain degree of wetting of the pollution layer is reached, moisture starts to drip from the edges of insulator sheds; thus some pollutant content is removed from the layer and a progressive washing of the test object can be expected.

6.7   Test procedures

6.7.1   General

Two alternative procedures are proposed, basically differing in the layer conditions, wet or dry, of the test object at the instant at which the test voltage is applied to it.

The main rules relevant to the two test procedures are given below.

6.7.2   Procedure A – Wetting before and during energization

For this procedure, the insulator is contaminated using either Kieselguhr composition (see 6.3.1) or Kaolin (or Tonoko) composition (see 6.3.3). The degree of pollution is generally expressed in terms of layer conductivity, but the salt deposit density may be used also.

The insulator is prepared for the test according to 6.4 and placed in its test position in the chamber, when the fog generation is started.

Steam fog should preferably be used to wet the pollution layer.

A steam fog generator, consisting of a distribution pipe, with nozzles spaced at equal distance, is shown in Figure 5, as an example.

NOTE   Instead of the steam fog, it is permitted to use a fog generated with nozzles spraying warm or cold water (see as an example the device in Figure 2), provided that this fog gives the recommended uniform wetting.

When this variant is used, before starting the test, cooling of the test object may be advantageous.

For the evaluation of the layer conductivity, layer conductance measurements are performed on the test object according to 6.5.2.

The flow rate of the fog input in the chamber, at normal ambient temperature, shall be sufficiently high to ensure that the layer conductivity reaches its maximum value within 20 min to 40 min from the start of the fog generation. The maximum value of the layer conductivity measured in the test is assumed to be the reference layer conductivity.

The test voltage is then applied, either instantaneously or during a time not exceeding 5 s, and maintained until flashover, or for 15 min if no flashover occurs.

The insulator is then removed from the fog chamber and allowed to dry. It is placed for the second time in the chamber and re-wetted by the fog until the layer conductivity reaches its maximum value; if this is not lower than 90 % of the above-mentioned reference value, the test voltage is applied again and maintained until flashover, or for 15 min if no flashover occurs; if it is lower than 90 %, the pollution layer shall be applied again to the insulator, according to 6.4.

No more than two consecutive tests shall be performed on an insulator with the same pollution layer.

1 = low-pressure boiler, capacity about 20 l

2 = electrical heater: 12 heating coils, each of 3 kW

3 = feed-water regulator valve

4 = pressure-equalizing pipe

5 = boiler feed pump: 50 l/h,1 bar

6 = connection for softened water

7 = connection for compressed air

8 = adjustable compressed-air reduction valve

9 = pressure gauge: 0 to 5 bars

10 = compressed-air valve, electric remote control

11 = injector nozzle: 7,5/16 mm diameter

12 = multipart nozzle pipe. Three nozzle pipes, each of 1,5 m length, and one intermediate pipe without nozzles
       for elevated installation. Overall total height from ground: 11 m; internal diameter of the lower pipe: 120 mm;
       internal diameter of the pipes reduced in steps to 50 mm for the upper pipe

13 = nozzle, internal diameter. 1,6 mm; distance between adjacent nozzles: 30 mm

14 = plastic tent

15 = test object

Figure 5 – Typical arrangement of steam-fog generator

6.7.3   Procedure B – Wetting after energization

For this procedure, the insulator is contaminated using Kaolin (or Tonoko) composition (see 6.3.3). The degree of pollution is generally expressed in terms of salt deposit density (see 6.5.3).

NOTE Measurements of the layer conductance are generally not requested. On agreement between the manufacturer and the user, they may be performed during the wetting on a separate, unenergized insulator, identical to the one tested (or to a part of it) and contaminated in the same way.

The insulator is prepared for the test according to 6.4 and placed in its test position in the chamber with the pollution layer still dry.

Steam fog shall be used for wetting the pollution layer.

The fog generators shall be under the test object as close as possible to the floor level. In all cases they shall be at least 1 m away from the test object and their flow shall not be directed towards it.

For very large test objects uniform wetting of the layer may be difficult to achieve. In such cases the procedure described in D.5 may be used to check fog uniformity.

The steam input rate in the chamber shall be zero until the test voltage is applied and constant thereafter. At normal ambient temperature, the nominal steam input rate is $0,05$ kg/h $\pm\,0,01$ kg/h per cubic metre of the test chamber volume. In cases of very low or high outdoor temperature, especially with poor thermal insulation of the chamber, high altitude, or the presence of turbulence in the chamber, a direct check of the wetting action of the fog may be required as described in D.4 and according to the checking results the necessary steam input rate may be different.

The test voltage is maintained until flashover occurs. Otherwise it is maintained for 100 min from the start of the test or until the current peaks, if they are measured, have decreased to values permanently lower than 70 % of the maximum peak recorded. More details are given in D.6 and D.7.

For this procedure the pollution layer is used only once.

6.8 Withstand test and acceptance criterion (common to both Procedures A and B)

The object of this test is to confirm the specified withstand degree of pollution at the specified test voltage. The insulator complies with this specification if no flashover occurs during three consecutive tests performed in accordance with 6.7.2 for Procedure A or with 6.7.3 for Procedure B.

If only one flashover occurs, a fourth test shall be performed and the insulator then passes the test if no flashover occurs.

NOTE For research purposes the withstand characteristics of an insulator can be determined. Practices for assessing or checking these characteristics are given in Annex B.

Annex A
(informative)

Supplementary information on the assessment
of the requirement for the testing plant

Many laboratories have provided measurements of the highest leakage current pulse amplitudes I_h occurring on a polluted insulator throughout the duration of all individual withstand tests in withstand conditions (see Annex B), which have been examined. The Table A.1 below gives for the different levels of the electrical surface stress expressed in terms of unified specific creepage distance (see IEC 60815-2) and for the specified conditions of the testing plant (4.4) the maximum I_h values ($I_{h\,max}$) recorded on all insulator types in any test position.

Table A.1 – Expected $I_{h\,max}$ values related to different USCD values

Unified specific creepage distance mm/kV	$I_{h\,max}$ A$_{peak}$
27,8 (= 16 × √3)	0,55
34,7 (= 20 × √3)	0,85
43,3 (= 25 × √3)	1,35

NOTE   These $I_{h\,max}$ values may be exceeded when an insulator is tested in conditions more critical than those mentioned above, for example when the flashover probability is higher than that corresponding to a withstand test in withstand conditions.

If the ratio $\dfrac{I_{SC}}{I_{hmax}}$ is considered, I_{SC} being defined in 4.4.2, its limit value, above which test results (e.g. withstand voltage or withstand degree of pollution, see Annex B) are no more influenced by the ratio itself, can be determined. On the basis of the available laboratory experience, this limiting value is estimated as equal to 11 in the range of the electrical surface stress given in the table above.

As regards the ratio $\dfrac{I_{C}}{I_{SC}}$ the specified limits are usually met by several testing plants. In particular the lower limit is generally complied with due to the amount of the equivalent source capacitance, the lumped capacitance (bushing and voltage divider) and the stray capacitances of the circuit.

Annex B
(informative)

Determination of the withstand characteristics of insulators

B.1   General

Subclauses 5.6 and 6.8 deal with the verification of the specified withstand degree of pollution, at the specified test voltage. In addition, however, the characteristics of an insulator can be determined over a range of voltage, or, in other words, over a range of specific creepage distances of the insulator itself. To do this the maximum withstand degree of pollution is measured at different voltage levels or, in some other cases, the maximum withstand voltage, or the 50 % withstand voltage, at different reference degrees of pollution values. Examples of procedures for such evaluations are described below.

Directions for checking the laboratory equipment for artificial pollution tests, when requested, are given in B.4.

B.2   Determination of the maximum withstand salinity at a given test voltage

The insulator shall be subjected to a number of tests at a given test voltage and at different salinities among those listed in 5.2. The test shall be performed according to 5.6.

The tests can be carried out in any sequence providing that:

a) when the total number of flashovers at any salinity reaches two, no further tests shall be carried out at the same or higher salinities;
b) when the total number of withstands reaches three, no further tests shall be carried out at the same or lower salinities.

The preconditioning process (see 5.5) shall be performed on the insulator before the determination of the maximum withstand salinity.

NOTE   When test series of long duration are performed, the insulator may need cleaning, as recommended in 4.3.2, and subsequently preconditioned whenever the conditions of the insulator require it.

If four withstands are recorded at 224 kg/m^3 salinity, the maximum withstand salinity shall be assumed as being equal to or greater than 224 kg/m^3. If one flashover and three withstands are recorded at 224 kg/m^3 salinity, this salinity shall be considered as the maximum withstand salinity.

B.3   Determination of the maximum withstand voltage, or of the 50 % withstand voltage, at a given reference layer conductivity, or at a given reference salt deposit density

B.3.1   Maximum withstand voltage

A series of tests shall be carried out on insulators having a given value of reference layer conductivity or reference salt deposit density. Each test shall be carried out at any one of a number of voltage levels, each of which shall be about 1,05 times the next lowest value.

Each test shall be made in accordance with 6.7.

The tests can be carried out in any sequence provided that:

a) when the total number of flashovers at any voltage reaches two, no further tests shall be carried out at the same or higher voltage levels;

b) when the total number of withstands at any voltage reaches three, no further tests shall be carried out at the same or lower voltage levels.

B.3.2   50 % withstand voltage

The insulator shall be subjected to at least ten "useful" tests at a given reference degree of pollution. The test shall be made in accordance with 6.7.3. The applied voltage level in each test shall be varied according to the up-and-down method. The voltage step shall be about 10 % of the expected 50 % withstand voltage.

The first "useful" test should be selected as being the first one that yields a result different from the preceding ones. Only this test and at least nine of the following tests should be taken as useful tests to be considered to determine the 50 % withstand voltage. The calculation of the 50 % withstand voltage shall be made using the following formula:

$$U_{50\%} = \frac{\sum(n_i \times U_i)}{N}$$

where:

U_i is an applied voltage level

n_i is the number of groups of tests carried out at the same applied voltage level U_i

N is the number of useful tests

More details on the up-and-down method and processing of the relevant results are to be found in IEC 60060-1.

NOTE   The 50 % withstand voltage is often referred to as "50 % flashover voltage".

## B.4   Withstand values of reference suspension insulators

To facilitate checking of existing or new laboratory equipment for artificial pollution tests, ranges of values for the withstand characteristics of a few reference suspension insulators are given in Table B.1. These values, which are based on results from several laboratories, located at less than 1 000 m altitude above sea level, were obtained according to the recommendations given in this standard.

NOTE   The reference suspension insulators given in Table B.1 are not to be seen as representative of their respective classes. Their choice does not imply by itself any judgement of merit or any assumption that they might have better performance than other similar types in tests or in operating conditions.

Laboratories starting artificial pollution tests or which are located at altitudes higher than 1 000 m above sea level, or which do not commonly use one of the above mentioned test methods, may expect, in the relevant results, some variation from the ranges of values given in Table B.1.

**Table B.1 – Ranges of values of withstand characteristics of
reference suspension insulators in artificial pollution tests**

Single strings in vertical arrangement

Insulator type and number of units per string	Unified specific creepage distance	Applied voltage (r.m.s.)	Salt fog test method	Solid layer methods			
				Procedure A [a]		Procedure B	
			Maximum withstand salinity	Maximum withstand layer conductivity	Maximum withstand salt deposit density	Maximum withstand salt deposit density	Maximum withstand layer conductivity
	mm/kV	kV	kg/m^3	µS	mg/cm^2	mg/cm^2	µS
9 units Overall creepage distance: 2 630 mm (146 mm, ⌀254 mm)	27,8 (= 16 × √3)	95	7 to 14	7 to 14	0,02 to 0,04	0,035 to 0,07	
	34,7 (= 20 × √3)	76	20 to 40	14 to 28	0,04 to 0,1	0,1 to 0,2	
9 units Overall creepage distance: 3 510 mm (146 mm, ⌀254 mm)	34,7 (= 20 × √3)	101	28 to 56	14 to 28	0,04 to 0,1	0,1 to 0,2	
	43,3 (= 25 × √3)	81	80 to 160	20 to 40	0,06 to 0,15	0,28 to 0,56	
270 mm, 22 sheds, ⌀75 mm, ⌀175 mm 1 unit Overall creepage distance: 2 960 mm	34,7 (= 20 × √3)	85	14 to 28	14 to 40	0,025 to 0,08	0,1 to 0,2	
	43,3 (=25 × √3)	68	40 to 80	28 to 56	0,06 to 0,13	0,28 to 0,56	

a) The values of maximum withstand layer conductivity and maximum withstand salt deposit density for procedure A refer to tests carried out using the composition of the suspension given in 6.3.2.

Annex C
(informative)

Measurement of layer conductivity for checking the uniformity of the layer

The device for the measurement of the layer conductivity (K) of the wet layer on an insulator surface basically consists of a probe and a meter. As an indication a possible arrangement of such a device is described in the following:

– *Probe* (Figure C.1)

Two spherical stainless steel electrodes, 5 mm in diameter and having a distance of 14 mm between centres, overhanging from the probe shall be pressed by hand against the insulator surface. A constant surface pressure is obtained by means of a spring mechanism developing a force of about 9 N.

– *Meter* (Figure C.2)

A voltage source stabilized by a Zener-diode at 6,8 V supplies the current across the electrodes and the surfaces between them. The measuring instrument with a full scale deflection at 50 µA is protected by a diode in parallel.

For thin films with a layer conductivity of 50 µS, the resistance between the electrodes is assumed to be 32,7 kΩ: the respective values for 100 µS and 500 µS layer conductivity are 16,35 kΩ and 3,27 kΩ. Each of these resistances is combined with a test battery inserted in parallel with the electrodes. The selector switch is used to choose the full scale deflection for the respective measuring ranges.

The above measurement of layer conductivity shall be carried out at different points of the insulator surface. The polarization effect shall be taken into account by a momentary operation of the meter push-button.

The uniformity of the layer is deemed acceptable when the difference between each of the measurements and their average, as a percentage of the average value, does not exceed the limits by ±30 %.


IEC 2990/13

**Figure C.1 – Arrangement of the probe electrodes
(all dimensions in mm)**

Figure C.2 – Circuit diagram of the meter

Annex D
(informative)

**Additional recommendations concerning
the solid layer method procedures**

D.1   General

The additional recommendations given below go more deeply into the practices of the solid layer methods, providing criteria for auxiliary controls during the tests and preventing users not yet sufficiently expert in this field from possible inaccuracies.

D.2 and D.3 are general, while D.4 to D.8 mainly refer to procedure B of the solid layer methods.

D.2   Contamination practice

When the spraying or "flow-on" practice is used, the operation can be performed on the insulator placed in the chamber in its test position. When the dipping practice is used, the insulator shall be contaminated before its erection in the test chamber. If the insulator consists of several units in series, each of them shall be dipped separately and then be kept with its axis vertical for the duration of dripping of the contaminant and until the layer is completely dry.

If, after the contaminating operation, a blotched layer is observed on the insulator, its surface shall be washed and cleaned again according to 5.2. Then one or more trial contaminations are performed, each followed by the relevant washing, until a continuous layer is obtained on the insulator. The tests may then be started. Experience has shown that, in general, it suffices to repeat the operation several times in order to have the insulator surface ready to be contaminated in a satisfactory way, without using any preconditioning process.

D.3   Drying of the pollution layer

Natural drying of the pollution layer on the insulator may be sufficient, provided that it lasts long enough (6 h to 8 h) while the relative humidity around the insulator is kept not higher than 70 %. Humidity values lower than this level allows shorter drying times.

If hot air is used to accelerate the drying of the layer, the method for producing hot air shall not result in the deposition of material which affects either the wetting of the insulator surface or the degree of pollution. For instance, some flame combustion may generate oil substances which may inhibit the wetting of the insulator surfaces.

Finally the speed of the hot air flow is to be controlled, in order to prevent the removal of any content of the layer from the insulator surface.

D.4   Check of the wetting action of the fog

In cases of very low or high outdoor temperature, especially with poor thermal insulation of the chamber, high altitude, or the presence of turbulence in the chamber, a direct check of the wetting action of the fog on the test insulator may be required.

To this effect, a dummy insulator consisting of a string of at least two units of the standard cap and pin type of Table B.1 contaminated at the SDD value equal to 0,07 mg/cm^2 shall be

put unenergized in the test chamber, in place of the test insulator, at the same average height from the floor. While the fog generator is working as in a real test, the current flowing through the wet layer of the dummy insulator is measured according to the procedure given in 6.5.2. The increase of the layer conductance in time shall be monitored and compared with the reference curve given in Figure D.1. If necessary, a re-adjustment of the fog input rate shall be carried out to ensure that the measured curve matches the reference one.

D.5   Checking fog uniformity for large or complex test objects

If the test object is large or complex (e.g. more than 3 m long or with several insulating parts submitted to voltage) it may be necessary to check that the fog density (and hence the wetting rate) is uniform at the different parts of the test object. Uneven wetting of the test object, notably if the live end is wetted while the rest is still relatively dry can lead to incorrect results.

Measurements are made near the test object itself or placed at positions corresponding to the position of the test object if it is not present. It is left up to the test laboratory to decide on suitable measurements, typical examples are:

–   Conductance measurement on polluted dummy insulators (measured as described in D.4)

The dummy insulators shall be placed at positions that fall within the following guidelines:

–   Within 1 to 1,5m lateral distance from the test object

–   For test objects up to 3 m in height, one measurement site is sufficient and shall be placed approximately at the middle of the test object. For test objects exceeding 3 m in height the number of measuring sites shall be increased to cover the full height of the test object. It is recommended that the maximum distance between two adjacent measuring sites does not exceed 3 m.

–   Conductance measurement on sections of the polluted test object itself is performed using suitable electrodes, e.g. copper adhesive tape. The conductance of these sections is measured as described in D.4. The location of the electrodes should be such, to guarantee the uniform wetting distribution along the test object. In the case of multiple parallel insulator configurations, there is no need for measurement on each insulator arm.

It is recommended to carry out the wetting rate check as described in D.4 after any adjustments in order to ensure that the steam input rate is still correct.

D.6   Fog input in the test chamber

The fog shall be produced by steam generated by boiling water in open tanks or by steam admitted at low velocity through large diameter spray nozzles. The fog input in the test chamber shall be allowed only after the steam generation has reached its steady rate. Therefore, when the steam is produced by tanks, they shall be kept covered until the water inside reaches boiling point.

The insulator shall be positioned so that the visible fog surrounds it as uniformly as possible.

The temperature rise in the test chamber, measured at the height of the test object, shall not exceed 15 K by the end of the test.

D.7   Minimum duration of the withstand test

A direct evaluation of the minimum time a test shall last to be considered as a withstand test, can lead in some cases to times shorter than prescribed in 6.7.3. To this effect, measurements of the peaks of the surge current on the energized test insulator shall be performed during the test. After the maximum peak is reached, the surge current diminishes owing to the washing of the layer in progress after its wetting. When the current peaks have

decreased to values permanently lower than 70 % of the maximum peak recorded, the withstand of the insulator is definitive and the test can be stopped.

D.8   Evaluation of the reference salt deposit density *(SDD)*

The pollution layer shall be removed completely from the chosen area of the insulator. To this effect the area shall be wiped at least three consecutive times.

As an indication, 2 to 4 litres of demineralized water per square metre of the cleaned surface can be used for dissolving the collected deposit. The effectiveness of the removal operation can be checked by making successive measurements of the residual deposit.

Every contaminating practice leads to some difference in the SDD values measured separately, on the upper and lower surfaces of the insulator. This difference is affected both by the insulator shape and the type of the contaminating suspension (see 6.3). It is suggested that the ratio between a local measurement of SDD and that on the whole area of the insulator be checked as lying in the range 0,7 to 1,3.

146 mm

∅254 mm

Creepage distance: 292 mm

IEC 2992/13

Figure D.1 – Control of the wetting action of the steam fog: Layer conductance recording during the test on the chosen dummy insulator (standard type of Table B.1)

Annex E
(informative)

**Supplementary information on artificial pollution tests on insulators
for voltage systems of 800 kV and above (solid layer method procedure B)**

E.1   Introduction

In this annex, supplementary information, especially on testing facilities, wetting system, check of wetting action and steam input rate, is given with solid layer method–procedure B for artificial pollution tests on insulators for voltage systems of 800 kV and above.

E.2   Test chamber

Recommended distances (in meters) of the live parts of test object from any earthed structure shall be greater than maximum phase to earth test voltage (in kV) divided by 200. The same distance shall be maintained between the live part of voltage supplier bushing and any part of test object.

E.3   Fog generator

The fog can be generated in different ways, such as boiler(s) in the test chamber, horizontal and/or vertical nozzles fed by external steam generator, or combination of the above.

When nozzles are used they shall not be directly pointed at the test object.

E.4   Wetting action and uniformity of fog density

Check of the wetting action and fog distribution uniformity according to D.4 and D.5 is recommended at least at each change of test configuration.

NOTE   For large test objects there may be significant scatter in the test results, particularly at UHV test levels. To minimize this scatter precise control of all test parameters is necessary.

Test experience indicates that in a case of high temperature as in summer it may be necessary to increase the initial steam input rate for example up to 0,080 kg/h/m^3 and to adjust the rate during the test. For example the initial rate could be kept for 10 min to 20 min and then decreased for the rest of test duration.

Bibliography

[1]   *Polluted insulators: A review of current knowledge*. CIGRE TB No. 158, June 2000

_____

This page deliberately left blank

British Standards Institution (BSI)

BSI is the national body responsible for preparing British Standards and other standards-related publications, information and services.

BSI is incorporated by Royal Charter. British Standards and other standardization products are published by BSI Standards Limited.

About us

We bring together business, industry, government, consumers, innovators and others to shape their combined experience and expertise into standards-based solutions.

The knowledge embodied in our standards has been carefully assembled in a dependable format and refined through our open consultation process. Organizations of all sizes and across all sectors choose standards to help them achieve their goals.

Information on standards

We can provide you with the knowledge that your organization needs to succeed. Find out more about British Standards by visiting our website at bsigroup.com/standards or contacting our Customer Services team or Knowledge Centre.

Buying standards

You can buy and download PDF versions of BSI publications, including British and adopted European and international standards, through our website at bsigroup.com/shop, where hard copies can also be purchased.

If you need international and foreign standards from other Standards Development Organizations, hard copies can be ordered from our Customer Services team.

Subscriptions

Our range of subscription services are designed to make using standards easier for you. For further information on our subscription products go to bsigroup.com/subscriptions.

With **British Standards Online (BSOL)** you'll have instant access to over 55,000 British and adopted European and international standards from your desktop. It's available 24/7 and is refreshed daily so you'll always be up to date.

You can keep in touch with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards, both in single copy and subscription format, by becoming a **BSI Subscribing Member**.

PLUS is an updating service exclusive to BSI Subscribing Members. You will automatically receive the latest hard copy of your standards when they're revised or replaced.

To find out more about becoming a BSI Subscribing Member and the benefits of membership, please visit bsigroup.com/shop.

With a **Multi-User Network Licence (MUNL)** you are able to host standards publications on your intranet. Licences can cover as few or as many users as you wish. With updates supplied as soon as they're available, you can be sure your documentation is current. For further information, email bsmusales@bsigroup.com.

Revisions

Our British Standards and other publications are updated by amendment or revision.

We continually improve the quality of our products and services to benefit your business. If you find an inaccuracy or ambiguity within a British Standard or other BSI publication please inform the Knowledge Centre.

Copyright

All the data, software and documentation set out in all British Standards and other BSI publications are the property of and copyrighted by BSI, or some person or entity that owns copyright in the information used (such as the international standardization bodies) and has formally licensed such information to BSI for commercial publication and use. Except as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 no extract may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without prior written permission from BSI. Details and advice can be obtained from the Copyright & Licensing Department.

Useful Contacts:

Customer Services
Tel: +44 845 086 9001
Email (orders): orders@bsigroup.com
Email (enquiries): cservices@bsigroup.com

Subscriptions
Tel: +44 845 086 9001
Email: subscriptions@bsigroup.com

Knowledge Centre
Tel: +44 20 8996 7004
Email: knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com

Copyright & Licensing
Tel: +44 20 8996 7070
Email: copyright@bsigroup.com

BSI Group Headquarters

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL UK

bsi.

...making excellence a habit.™