

BS EN 16016-4:2011



BSI Standards Publication

Non destructive testing — Radiation methods — Computed tomography Part 4: Qualification

NO COPYING WITHOUT BSI PERMISSION EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW

raising standards worldwide™



National foreword

This British Standard is the UK implementation of EN 16016-4:2011.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee WEE/46, Non-destructive testing.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© BSI 2011

ISBN 978 0 580 62741 5

ICS 19.100

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 September 2011.

Amendments issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

EUROPEAN STANDARD

EN 16016-4

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

August 2011

ICS 19.100

English Version

**Non destructive testing - Radiation methods - Computed
tomography - Part 4: Qualification**Essais non destructifs - Méthodes par rayonnements -
Tomographie numérisée - Partie 4 : QualificationZerstörungsfreie Prüfung - Durchstrahlungsverfahren -
Computertomographie - Teil 4: Qualifizierung

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 29 July 2011.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.

EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG**Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels**

Contents

Page

Foreword.....	3
Introduction	4
1 Scope	5
2 Normative references	5
3 Terms and definitions	5
4 Qualification of the inspection	5
4.1 General.....	5
4.2 Qualification of defect testing	5
4.2.1 General.....	5
4.2.2 Quality feature.....	5
4.2.3 Feature detectability/test system/system parameterisation	6
4.2.4 Verification of suitability	7
4.2.5 Consistency check	7
4.2.6 Documentation.....	7
4.3 Qualification of dimensional testing.....	8
4.3.1 General.....	8
4.3.2 Test and measurement task	8
4.3.3 Dimensional testing/test system/system parameterisation	8
4.3.4 Degree of accuracy.....	9
4.3.5 Consistency check	9
4.3.6 Documentation.....	9
5 Qualification of the CT system	9
5.1 General.....	9
5.2 Integral overall system test	10
5.3 Checking the system components	10
5.3.1 General.....	10
5.3.2 Manipulation system	10
5.3.3 Image scale.....	10
5.3.4 Beam axis perpendicularity	10
5.3.5 Tube focal spot	10
5.3.6 Tube stability.....	10
5.3.7 Detector	11
5.3.8 Reconstruction.....	11
5.3.9 Visualisation.....	11
5.4 Documentation.....	11
6 Example of CT system resolution evaluation methods	11
6.1 Pre-amble.....	11
6.2 Acquisition parameters	12
6.3 Recommendations for creating reference objects.....	12
6.4 Density resolution measurement method	12
6.4.1 General.....	12
6.4.2 High energy reference object	13
6.4.3 Low energy reference object	13
6.4.4 Experimental measurements.....	13

Foreword

This document (EN 16016-4:2011) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 138 “Non-destructive testing”, the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by February 2012, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by February 2012.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

EN 16016 consists of the following parts:

- *Non destructive testing — Radiation methods — Computed tomography — Part 1: Terminology;*
- *Non destructive testing — Radiation methods — Computed tomography — Part 2: Principle, equipment and samples;*
- *Non destructive testing — Radiation methods — Computed tomography — Part 3: Operation and interpretation;*
- *Non destructive testing — Radiation methods — Computed tomography — Part 4: Qualification.*

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

Introduction

This document gives guidelines for the general principles of X-ray computed tomography (CT) applicable to industrial imaging (in the context of this standard, industrial means non-medical applications); it also gives a consistent set of CT performance parameter definitions, including how these performance parameters relate to CT system specifications. This document deals with computed axial tomography and excludes other types of tomography such as translational tomography and tomosynthesis.

1 Scope

This European Standard specifies guidelines for the qualification of the performance of a CT system with respect to various inspection tasks.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 16016-1:2011, *Non destructive testing — Radiation method — Computed tomography — Part 1: Terminology*

EN 16016-3:2011, *Non destructive testing — Radiation methods — Computed tomography — Part 3: Operation and interpretation*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in EN 16016-1:2011 apply.

4 Qualification of the inspection

4.1 General

CT is used in industry both for defect testing and dimensional testing and measurement. Since CT does not directly provide measurement of desired quantities such as, for example, pore size or wall thickness, these quantities must be derived from the X-ray linear attenuation data represented by the CT grey values. The detectability of features and the degree of accuracy required depend on the inspection task, the specification of the available test equipment and the analysis and evaluation methods used. When determination of such quantities is required, a special task-specific qualification test of the CT system is required. The qualification measures are described in 4.2 and 4.3. The qualification should be carried out by trained personnel.

4.2 Qualification of defect testing

4.2.1 General

Under test qualification, the suitability of the CT inspection technique for measuring a quantity to the required precision should be verified. The following steps described are typical of those for the successful verification of the suitability of CT for industrial applications.

4.2.2 Quality feature

Typical quantities to be measured are the sizes of pores, cavities, cracks, inclusions, contaminants as well as studies of the material distribution and the assembly and installation position of components. Because the test sample and the type, position and size of the features to be detected determine the properties of a CT system to be used, information such as the following should be known:

- a) test object :
 - 1) dimensions;

- 2) weight;
 - 3) materials;
 - 4) path length to be X-rayed in the material;
- b) test feature:
- 1) type;
 - 2) position;
 - 3) size;
 - 4) distribution, frequency;
- c) feature detectability:
- 1) limiting defect;
 - 2) limiting feature.

Since the feature detectability strongly influences the specification and therefore the cost of a CT system, special attention must be taken when defining the sensitivity of the tests required. If, due to missing information, no limiting values for features are defined, it is recommended that the best possible sensitivity is used for the specific method and CT system and the attained feature detectability is verified using, for example, destructive tests.

4.2.3 Feature detectability/test system/system parameterisation

The usability of the CT system and the selection of system parameters are determined by the requirements for feature detectability. Typical variables are:

- a) spatial resolution:
- 1) overall spatial resolution of the CT image;
 - 2) scan geometry;
 - 3) detector spatial resolution;
 - 4) focal spot size of radiation source;
- b) contrast resolution:
- 1) overall contrast resolution of the CT image;
 - 2) detector settings;
 - 3) tube voltage;
 - 4) tube current;
- c) reconstruction/visualisation:
- 1) number of projections;
 - 2) CT grey value dynamic range of the reconstruction or visualisation;

- 3) CT image size in X, Y and Z axes.

CT system set-up and image quality parameters are described in EN 16016-3:2011, 4.1 and 5.1.

4.2.4 Verification of suitability

4.2.4.1 General

A reliable statement on the defect detection sensitivity and the defect detectability of the CT system used in a test shall be made by stating the degree of accuracy of the test required (tolerance, degree of fluctuation). Several alternative procedures are described in the following.

4.2.4.2 Reference samples with natural defects

If a reference sample with a known defect is available, inspection of this sample is carried out and the detectability is stated after the test has been done.

If a reference sample with unquantified defects is available, inspection of this part is carried out and the defect detectability is stated using a counter-check, using, for example, a destructive test after the CT scan has been done.

4.2.4.3 Reference sample with synthetic defect

If the test feature can be simulated using a synthetic defect, for example, a hole, the defect detectability verification can take place similar to the previous section.

4.2.4.4 Reference sample without specifications

If no specifications are available for the reference sample status and a counter-check is not possible, the test is carried out using the system sensitivity. Sample structures like, for example, wall thicknesses and external dimensional measurements can be used for estimating the defect detectability. Alternatively, reference samples like, for example, wires or spheres of known dimensions can be used.

4.2.5 Consistency check

The CT scan requires several very complex process steps for which the error sources cannot always be excluded. After the scan, the following can be used to trace the possible error sources:

- reconstruction: size, CT slice positions, possible artefacts ;
- CT image scale;
- sinogram (CT grey value and curve progress) or CT projection sequence (comparison between projections, image quality of the projections, intensity changes);
- system status (error messages).

Where errors occur, either they shall be corrected or their causes shall be eliminated and the test repeated.

4.2.6 Documentation

In the qualification report, the relevant parameters and results of the qualification steps are to be described and presented. The CT images are to be archived for a period which is to be agreed with the end-user. The test parameters are to be archived so that an identical test procedure is possible in the case of recurrent test parts and features.

4.3 Qualification of dimensional testing

4.3.1 General

CT inspection provides information about the 3D structure of a sample from which surface and geometry data can be derived. Because these data are based on X-ray-physical absorption differences at the contour transitions, small differences in measured values may arise compared to classical tactile or optical measuring procedures. In the following sections, those CT scan parameters which influence the results will be described, together with those process steps which affect the accuracy of the results.

4.3.2 Test and measurement task

Dimensional measurement tasks include the measurement of single dimensions in the test object, wall thickness measurements, surface extraction, volume extraction or nominal-actual comparisons. The required measurement precision is to be defined for every task and if necessary for different parts of the sample.

4.3.3 Dimensional testing/test system/system parameterisation

The degree of accuracy attainable depends on the test object, the limitations of X-ray physics and the subsequent data handling. An initial estimation of the degree of accuracy of a CT-based dimensional measurement can take place with the following parameters:

- a) spatial resolution in the test object:
 - 1) dimensions;
 - 2) geometric magnification, voxel size;
 - 3) detector resolution;
 - 4) focal spot;
- b) X-ray penetration of test object :
 - 1) material;
 - 2) maximal wall thickness to be X-rayed;
 - 3) contrast resolution;
- c) 3D component data :
 - 1) original CT image voxel size;
 - 2) extraction steps and quality;
 - 3) further processing steps and quality;
 - 4) registration method.

For this estimation, it must be noted that -physical X-ray effects (like scattered radiation and beam hardening) as well as artefacts due to the detector and reconstruction method can lead to strongly varying degrees of accuracy in different parts of the sample. For a known measuring point, the local- parameters should be used.

The inspection task is to be rejected if the set of requirements lie outside the capacity of X-ray technology or the CT system.

4.3.4 Degree of accuracy

4.3.4.1 General

In the following, the procedures are described which, depending on the measurement task, permit a statement to be made on the degree of accuracy attained. The methods described provide the overall degree of accuracy of the whole measurement chain.

4.3.4.2 Reference sample

For the measurement task a reference part is used, which is subjected to a standard counter-measurement technique, for example tactile or optical and if necessary destructive measurement methods. By comparing the measurement data, statements can be made on the degree of accuracy (which may vary in different parts of the sample). The degrees of accuracy achieved can be transferred to similar parts for the same CT system parameters and comparable test objects.

Typical specifications are:

- a) reference dimensions;
- b) information on counter-measurement procedures;
- c) standard deviation of measurement errors for a reference data record.

4.3.4.3 Reference bodies

If a complete counter-measurement is not possible, a measurement of accessible sample geometries with comparable attenuation values to the reference sample can be drawn on for estimating the degree of accuracy. The use of reference bodies such as spheres and dumbbells also represents an option for estimating the degree of accuracy.

Typical specifications are:

- a) reference dimensions;
- b) information on counter-measurement procedures and on the different test zones within the sample;
- c) standard deviation of the measurement error for a reference data record.

4.3.5 Consistency check

See 4.2.5 Consistency check.

4.3.6 Documentation

See 4.2.6 Documentation.

5 Qualification of the CT system

5.1 General

The ability of a CT system to produce high quality, stable and reproducible results relies on the same performance from all the system components and their interactions. To ensure this in everyday operation, a regular system inspection is recommended according to defined criteria.

A distinction should be made between inspections carried out at short intervals (e.g. weekly) by means of an “overall performance” test and those done at longer intervals (e.g. annually) for a quality level description and possible changes of individual system components.

5.2 Integral overall system test

For regular system monitoring, the reference sample should be similar to those typically used in the CT system. The complete test cycle should be performed using similar system parameters to those used when inspecting using typical test samples.

For the evaluation of system quality, the current test results are compared with reference measurements. It is recommended that measurements of different object structures like, for example, material defects (pores, cracks), thinnest and thickest position on the reference block, wall thicknesses, etc. are specified as quality criteria.

If combined systems (two tubes and/or detectors) are used, several suitable reference blocks are to be used for the respective system combinations (e.g. micro-focus and mini-focus application).

The test results and system status which results from this are to be documented and archived.

If differences are found, further inspections are to be carried out to determine the cause (see also 5.3). The aforementioned inspections should be carried out after any repairs and other important interventions in the overall system and before further use of the system.

5.3 Checking the system components

5.3.1 General

The following system components, which could potentially be affected, are to be checked during the initial operation when changes are suspected (after repairs and in the case of a crash) and at regular intervals.

5.3.2 Manipulation system

The track and positioning precision of the axes are to be checked. Measurement instruments like those used for checking co-ordinate measurement machines (CMMs) can be used.

5.3.3 Image scale

Sets of high-precision spheres with a known spatial configuration (e.g. sphere bars, dumbbells) are recommended for inspecting the CT image scale (see Figure 1 of EN 16016-3:2011). Such samples have the advantage that differences in the CT grey level threshold used do not affect the dimensional result obtained.

5.3.4 Beam axis perpendicularity

The perpendicularity of the beam axis to the detector can be checked using suitable test samples (e.g. tungsten wires or tips, spheres).

5.3.5 Tube focal spot

The tube focal spot position shall be checked using a suitable method, for example by ensuring that dimensions obtained from CT scans at different magnifications are compatible (within stated error limits).

5.3.6 Tube stability

The stability of the X-ray tube output can be checked by means of a dose rate measurement.

5.3.7 Detector

The dynamics of the detector can be checked using a comparison with the as-delivered condition e.g. by imaging a stepped reference block. It is recommended that the detector is regularly checked for pixel failures.

The detector stability can be checked using a time series of intensity measurements.

5.3.8 Reconstruction

In the case of reinstallation, the exchange of hardware components or updates, it is recommended that a known set of projections is input. The reconstruction result (CT grey values and voxel size) is to be evaluated against a previous reconstruction of these projections.

5.3.9 Visualisation

In the case of reinstallation, the exchange of hardware components or updates, it is recommended that a known CT image is loaded. The visualisation result and quantitative measurements of it are to be evaluated against a previous visualisation of this CT image.

5.4 Documentation

The date and time of the system monitoring, the steps implemented and the achieved result are to be documented and archived for a period to be specified.

6 Example of CT system resolution evaluation methods

6.1 Pre-amble

The performance of a CT system is related to numerous criteria with lesser or greater influence depending on the type of object tested (low or high attenuation), the type of characterisation performed (search for defects, densitometry, etc.). Another way of dealing with the problem is to be aware that the performance of a CT system is always the result of a compromise between various parameters such as:

- spatial resolution;
- density resolution;
- acquisition time.

These three parameters are interdependent. Attempting to improve one of these parameters will degrade one or both of the others. It thus seems pointless to try to evaluate the “absolute performance” of a CT system. Such an evaluation shall in any case be performed within the context of the parts tested.

Nevertheless, performance evaluation based on the quantification of spatial and density resolution is presented as an example. This method applies to most existing CT systems and the results will be useful for the majority of examinations performed.

This method does not attempt to provide a detection limit for CT systems evaluated, but to quantify performance to compare different installations, or monitor such performance over time. Such a method can also be used to optimise acquisition parameters for a given context (type of tested part, dose constraints and acquisition time).

Reference objects shall be adapted for particular installations, such as microfocus installations and high-energy systems. Generally, objects used for evaluation should be as close as possible in terms of the attenuation and size of the parts tested. If needed, more specific objects can be designed to better meet the desired criteria.

The following clauses describe the reference objects and a method implemented as part of a comparative system on several installations differing in design, manufacturing method and age.

The recommended method should be adapted according to the context of the examination. The guidelines for creating these objects are indicated in 6.3.

The measurements shown in the following clauses apply in theory to all situations.

6.2 Acquisition parameters

Since each CT system has its own image acquisition and reconstruction properties, it is important that a standardised resolution measurement be made for each system, using an optimal voltage, voxel size and angular increment (where possible).

6.3 Recommendations for creating reference objects

The recommended method uses two types of reference object:

- one for measuring spatial resolution, comprised of one part containing a row of calibrated holes, see Figure A.1 of EN 16016-3:2011;
- the other for measuring density resolution, comprised of one part containing inserts, see Figure 1.

Since all measurements are relative to the assumed properties of the reference objects, great care should be taken when defining and creating them.

The reference objects shall meet certain requirements to ensure optimum measurement conditions. A cylindrical geometry is chosen to avoid artefacts due to angular effects (edge artefact, see EN 16016-3:2011).

In order to measure density resolution, the inserts shall have a linear attenuation coefficient close to that of the material comprising the matrix, in order to avoid artefacts known as “edge effects” (see EN 16016-3:2011). The differences in attenuation between inserts shall remain low to ensure greater sensitivity in the measurement. Proper beam hardening correction shall be applied during reconstruction of the CT image. Moreover, to ensure applicability, the material of the reference objects shall be chemically similar and of a similar density to the test samples. This is because a CT image measures the X-ray linear attenuation coefficient which is related to, but not directly proportional to, the material density.

The materials making up the matrix and inserts shall be as homogeneous as possible; variations in density shall in any case be at least less by a factor of 10 than the expected precision of the CT system. The size shall be sufficient to allow an averaged measure on a ROI (region of interest) with several tens of pixels square.

When measuring spatial resolution, calibrated artificial defects are necessary. The precision of machining is generally much less than the desired spatial resolution, which creates certain manufacturing problems for reference parts dedicated for micro-focus systems. If high precision cannot be obtained when creating the part, such precision shall be obtained by a posteriori and precise measurement of the machining performed.

6.4 Density resolution measurement method


6.4.1 General

The following procedure defines how to measure the material density resolution from the CT image by applying a calibration as described in 6.4.4. An alternative way to measure density resolution is via the contrast to noise ratio (CNR).

The extensive range of energies used is leading to define two distinct reference objects: one for low energy installations (acceleration voltage < 200 kV) and the other for high energy installations (acceleration voltage 200 kV and 450 kV).

6.4.2 High energy reference object

Such an object is comprised of an 80 mm diameter cylinder with a thickness of 30 mm containing 6 inserts with a diameter of 15 mm, see Figure 1. The matrix and the inserts are made of thermosetting polymer and aluminium and potassium chloride mineral fillers. The density of each insert is obtained for different concentrations of mineral fillers and shall be measured by using another appropriate technique.



Key

1 inserts

Figure 1 — CT image of the high energy reference object

6.4.3 Low energy reference object

A reference object is comprised of separate media of different densities but which are near 1 and of similar composition to avoid the strong influence of atomic number on the attenuation.

6.4.4 Experimental measurements

The mean of CT grey values of the insert I , N_i , is computed for a Region of Interest (ROI) centred on each insert of at least 100 voxels. The background CT grey value N_b is taken as the reference and placed in correspondence with the exact value of density of the background d_b .

The density of the inserts, d_i , determined by tomography is given by the following formula:

$$d_i = (N_i/N_b) \times d_b \quad (1)$$

These values are then compared to the exact density values d_i of each insert.

The performance is evaluated using curves relating CT grey values d_i measured with the reference densities d_i of each insert.

NOTE Since the acquisition parameters are not strictly identical both in terms of high voltage and the physical filter, it may be necessary to correct the measurements using an offset, but this will not alter the performance of the CT system in terms of density resolution.

For each CT system, the linear regression coefficient k of the curve is computed. $\hat{\sigma}$ is the estimator of the standard deviation of the CT grey values measured in each ROI, the material density resolution is given by :

$$\Delta d = k \times 3\hat{\sigma} \quad (2)$$

for a confidence of $3\hat{\sigma}$.

This error on the density does not take into account the repeatability uncertainty and the density uncertainty of the reference standard parts.

Some polychromatic source installations can, using a specific beam hardening effect correction, be quantitatively close to the absolute density values of the inserts.

British Standards Institution (BSI)

BSI is the independent national body responsible for preparing British Standards and other standards-related publications, information and services.

It presents the UK view on standards in Europe and at the international level.

It is incorporated by Royal Charter.

Revisions

British Standards are updated by amendment or revision. Users of British Standards should make sure that they possess the latest amendments or editions.

It is the constant aim of BSI to improve the quality of our products and services. We would be grateful if anyone finding an inaccuracy or ambiguity while using this British Standard would inform the Secretary of the technical committee responsible, the identity of which can be found on the inside front cover.

Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 9001 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001

BSI offers Members an individual updating service called PLUS which ensures that subscribers automatically receive the latest editions of standards.

Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7669 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001

Email: plus@bsigroup.com

Buying standards

You may buy PDF and hard copy versions of standards directly using a credit card from the BSI Shop on the website www.bsigroup.com/shop. In addition all orders for BSI, international and foreign standards publications can be addressed to BSI Customer Services.

Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 9001 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001

Email: orders@bsigroup.com

In response to orders for international standards, it is BSI policy to supply the BSI implementation of those that have been published as British Standards, unless otherwise requested.

Information on standards

BSI provides a wide range of information on national, European and international standards through its Knowledge Centre.

Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7004 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7005

Email: knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com

Various BSI electronic information services are also available which give details on all its products and services.

Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7111 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7048

Email: info@bsigroup.com

BSI Subscribing Members are kept up to date with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards. For details of these and other benefits contact Membership Administration.

Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7002 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001

Email: membership@bsigroup.com

Information regarding online access to British Standards via British Standards Online can be found at www.bsigroup.com/BSOL

Further information about BSI is available on the BSI website at www.bsigroup.com/standards

Copyright

Copyright subsists in all BSI publications. BSI also holds the copyright, in the UK, of the publications of the international standardization bodies. Except as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 no extract may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without prior written permission from BSI. This does not preclude the free use, in the course of implementing the standard of necessary details such as symbols, and size, type or grade designations. If these details are to be used for any other purpose than implementation then the prior written permission of BSI must be obtained. Details and advice can be obtained from the Copyright & Licensing Manager.

Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7070

Email: copyright@bsigroup.com

BSI Group Headquarters

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL UK

Tel +44 (0)20 8996 9001

Fax +44 (0)20 8996 7001

www.bsigroup.com/standards