

BS EN ISO 27971:2015



BSI Standards Publication

**Cereals and cereal products
— Common wheat (*Triticum
aestivum* L.) — Determination
of alveograph properties of
dough at constant hydration
from commercial or test flours
and test milling methodology**

bsi.

...making excellence a habit.™

National foreword

This British Standard is the UK implementation of EN ISO 27971:2015. It supersedes BS EN ISO 27971:2008 which is withdrawn.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee AW/4, Cereals and pulses.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2015.
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2015

ISBN 978 0 580 84004 3

ICS 67.060

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 June 2015.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

English Version

Cereals and cereal products - Common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) - Determination of alveograph properties of dough at constant hydration from commercial or test flours and test milling methodology (ISO 27971:2015)

Céréales et produits céréaliers - Blé tendre (*Triticum aestivum* L.) - Détermination des propriétés alvéographiques d'une pâte à hydratation constante de farine industrielle ou d'essai et méthodologie pour la mouture d'essai (ISO 27971:2015)

Getreide und Getreideerzeugnisse - Weizen (*Triticum aestivum* L.) - Bestimmung der Eigenschaften von Teig bei konstanter Flüssigkeitszufuhr zu handelsüblichen Mehlen oder Versuchsmehlen bei gleichen Versuchsmahlverfahren mittels Alveograph (ISO 27971:2015)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 16 April 2015.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

Foreword

This document (EN ISO 27971:2015) has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 34 "Food products" in collaboration with Technical Committee CEN/TC 338 "Cereal and cereal products" the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by December 2015, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by December 2015.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document supersedes EN ISO 27971:2008.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

Endorsement notice

The text of ISO 27971:2015 has been approved by CEN as EN ISO 27971:2015 without any modification.

Contents

Page

Foreword.....	v
Introduction.....	vi
1 Scope.....	1
2 Normative references.....	1
3 Principle.....	1
4 Reagents.....	1
5 Apparatus.....	2
6 Sampling.....	9
7 Preparation of the wheat for laboratory milling.....	10
7.1 Cleaning the laboratory sample.....	10
7.2 Test portion.....	10
7.3 Wheat moisture content determination.....	10
7.4 Wheat preparation.....	10
7.4.1 General.....	10
7.4.2 Wheat with initial moisture content between 13 % and 15 % (one-stage moistening).....	10
7.4.3 Wheat with a moisture content less than 13 % (two-stage moistening).....	10
7.4.4 Wheat with a moisture content greater than 15 % (preliminary drying followed by moistening, as described above).....	11
8 Laboratory milling.....	11
8.1 General.....	11
8.2 Milling procedure.....	11
8.2.1 Breaking.....	11
8.2.2 Reduction.....	11
8.2.3 Flour homogenization.....	12
8.2.4 Storage of the flour.....	12
8.3 Expression of milling results.....	12
9 Preparation and alveograph test.....	13
9.1 Preliminary checks.....	13
9.2 Preliminary operations.....	14
9.3 Kneading.....	15
9.4 Preparation of dough test pieces.....	16
9.5 Alveograph test.....	20
9.5.1 Initial preparation.....	20
9.5.2 First operation: Adjusting the dough test piece.....	21
9.5.3 Second operation: biaxial extension.....	22
9.6 Expression of alveograph test results.....	23
9.6.1 General.....	23
9.6.2 Maximum pressure parameter, P	23
9.6.3 Mean abscissa at rupture, L	23
9.6.4 Swelling index, G	24
9.6.5 Elasticity index.....	24
9.6.6 Curve configuration ratio, P/L	24
9.6.7 Deformation work, W	24
10 Precision.....	24
10.1 Interlaboratory tests.....	24
10.2 Repeatability limits.....	25
10.2.1 Commercial flour: limits established by the interlaboratory test.....	25
10.2.2 Flour obtained from laboratory milling.....	25
10.3 Reproducibility limits.....	25

10.3.1	Commercial flour: Limits established by the proficiency tests.....	25
10.3.2	Flour obtained from laboratory milling.....	26
10.4	Uncertainty.....	26
11	Test report.....	26
Annex A	(informative) Characteristics of the Chopin-Dubois CD1 mill.....	27
Annex B	(normative) Quantity of water to be added to wheat for conditioning.....	29
Annex C	(informative) Sample milling sheet.....	31
Annex D	(informative) Conversion table from <i>L</i> to <i>G</i>.....	32
Annex E	(informative) Interlaboratory and proficiency test data for commercial flours.....	34
Annex F	(informative) Interlaboratory data for laboratory milled flour.....	44
Annex G	(informative) Routine maintenance instructions for the alveograph.....	61
Annex H	(informative) Assessment of proteolytic activity in wheat (<i>T. aestivum</i> L.) or flour.....	63
Bibliography	65

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [Foreword - Supplementary information](#)

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 34, *Food products*, Subcommittee SC 4, *Cereals and pulse*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 27971:2008), which has been technically revised.

Introduction

The end-use value of wheat is determined by a number of properties that are useful in the manufacture of baked products such as bread, rusks, and biscuits.

Such properties include the important viscoelastic (rheological) properties of dough formed as a result of flour hydration and kneading. An alveograph is used to study the main parameters by subjecting a dough test piece to biaxial extension (producing a dough bubble) by inflating it with air, which is similar to the deformation to which it is subjected during panary fermentation.

Recording the pressure generated inside the bubble throughout the deformation of the dough test piece until it ruptures provides information on the following:

- a) the resistance of the dough to deformation, or its strength. It is expressed by the maximum pressure parameter, P ;
- b) the extensibility or the possibility of inflating the dough to form a bubble; It is expressed by the parameters of extensibility, L , or swelling, G ;
- c) the elasticity of the dough during biaxial extension. It is expressed by the elasticity index, I_e ;
- d) the work required to deform the dough bubble until it ruptures, which is proportional to the area of the alveogram (sum of the pressures throughout the deformation process). It is expressed by the parameter, W .

The P/L ratio is a measurement of the balance between strength and extensibility.

Alveographs are commonly used throughout the wheat and flour industry, for the following purposes:

- selecting and assessing different varieties of wheat and marketing batches of wheat;
- blending different batches of wheat or flour to produce a batch with given values for the alveographic criteria (W , P , and L) complying with the proportional laws of blending.

Alveographs are used both on the upstream side of the industry for marketing, selecting and assessing the different wheat varieties and on the downstream side throughout the baking industries (see Bibliography).

Cereals and cereal products — Common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) — Determination of alveograph properties of dough at constant hydration from commercial or test flours and test milling methodology

1 Scope

This International Standard specifies a method of determining, using an alveograph, the rheological properties of different types of dough obtained from common wheat flour (*Triticum aestivum* L.) produced by industrial milling or laboratory milling.

It describes the alveograph test and how to use a laboratory mill to produce flour in two stages:

- stage 1: preparation of the wheat grain for milling to make it easier to separate the bran from the endosperm (see [Clause 7](#));
- stage 2: the milling process, including breaking between three fluted rollers, reduction of particle size between two smooth rollers and the use of a centrifugal sieving machine to grade the products (see [Clause 8](#)).

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 660, *Animal and vegetable fats and oils — Determination of acid value and acidity*

ISO 712, *Cereals and cereal products — Determination of moisture content — Reference method*

ISO 12099, *Animal feeding stuffs, cereals and milled cereal products — Guidelines for the application of near infrared spectrometry*

3 Principle

The behaviour of dough obtained from a mixture of different types of flour and salt water is evaluated during deformation. A dough disk is subjected to a constant air flow; at first it withstands the pressure. Subsequently, it inflates into a bubble, according to its extensibility, and ruptures. The change in the dough is measured and recorded in the form of a curve called an alveogram.

4 Reagents

Unless otherwise specified, use only reagents of recognized analytical grade, and only distilled or demineralized water or water of equivalent purity.

4.1 Sodium chloride solution, obtained by dissolving $(25 \pm 0,2)$ g of sodium chloride (NaCl) in water and then making the volume up to 1 000 ml. This solution shall not be stored for more than 15 d and its temperature shall be (20 ± 2) °C when used.

4.2 Refined vegetable oil, low in polyunsaturates, such as peanut oil. It is possible to use olive oil if its acid value is less than 0,4 (determined according to ISO 660). Store in a dark place in a closed container and replace regularly (at least every three months).

Alternatively, **liquid paraffin** (also known as “soft petroleum paraffin”), with an acid value of less than or equal to 0,05 and the lowest possible viscosity [maximum 60 mPa·s (60 cP) at 20 °C].

4.3 Cold degreasing agent, optimum safety.

5 Apparatus

Usual laboratory apparatus and, in particular, the following.

5.1 Mechanical cleaner, fitted with sieves for wheat cleaning, in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

5.2 Conical or riffle sample divider.

5.3 Analytical balance, accurate to 0,01 g.

5.4 Glass burette, of 50 ml in capacity, graduated in 1 ml divisions.

5.5 Rotary blender¹⁾, for grain conditioning and flour homogenization, including the following components:

5.5.1 Constant speed stirrer.

5.5.2 Two worm screws integral with the flask, possibly via the stopper (one for wheat preparation, the other for flour homogenization).

5.5.3 Several wide-necked plastic flasks, 2 l capacity.

5.6 Test mill (laboratory mill), manually or automatically operated (see [Annex A](#)).

5.7 Complete alveograph system (see [Table 1](#) for specifications and characteristics of the accessories) including the following devices:

5.7.1 Kneading machine [for models MA 82, MA 87, and MA 95, see [Figure 1 a](#)]; for model NG, see label a in [Figure 2](#) and [Figure 3](#)], with accurate temperature control, for dough sample preparation.

5.7.2 Hydraulic manometer or Alveolink²⁾ [for models MA 82, MA 87, and MA 95, see [Figure 1 b](#)]; for model NG, see label b in [Figure 2](#) and [Figure 3](#)] for recording the pressure curve.

5.7.3 Alveograph³⁾ [for models MA 82, MA 87, and MA 95, see [Figure 1 c](#)]; for model NG, see label c in [Figures 2](#) and [Figure 3](#)] with accurate temperature control, for biaxial deformation of the dough

1) The Chopin MR 2 l rotary blender is an example of a suitable product available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this International Standard and does not constitute an endorsement by ISO of this product.

2) Alveolink is an example of a suitable product available commercially. This information is given for the convenience of users of this International Standard and does not constitute an endorsement by ISO of this product.

3) The methods specified in this International Standard are based on the use of the MA 82, MA 87, MA 95 and NG models of Chopin alveograph which are examples of suitable products commercially available. This information is given for the convenience of users of this International Standard and does not constitute an endorsement by ISO of this product.

test pieces. It has two rest chambers, each containing five plates on which the dough test pieces can be arranged prior to deformation.

5.8 Burette with stopcock, supplied with the apparatus, 160 ml capacity, graduated in divisions of 0,1 % of moisture content.

NOTE Throughout this International Standard, “content” is expressed as a “mass fraction” (see ISO 80000-9, 12^[6]), i.e. the ratio of the mass of substance in a mixture to the total mass of the mixture.


5.9 Timer, for use with model MA 82 only.

5.10 Planimetric scales, supplied with the apparatus where an Alveolink is not included.


5.11 System for recording the test environment conditions (temperature and relative air humidity) as specified in [8.1](#) and [9.1](#).

5.12 Volumetric flask, 1 000 ml capacity, complying with the requirements of ISO 1042, class A.


5.13 Pipette, 25 ml capacity, graduated in divisions of 0,1 ml, complying with the requirements of ISO 835, class A.



a) Kneading machine



b) Manometer




c) Alveograph

Key

- 1 handle A in position 2
- 2 pump potentiometer
- 3 micrometric valve for air flow adjustment


Figure 1 — Model MA 82, MA 87, and MA 95 alveograph assemblies



Key

- 1 micrometric valve for air flow adjustment
- a NG kneading machine.
- b NG integrator-recorder.
- c NG alveograph (with NG integrator-recorder).

Figure 2 — NG alveograph assembly with Alveolink integrator-recorder



Key

- 1 micrometric valve for air flow adjustment
- a NG kneading machine.
- b NG recording machine.
- c NG alveograph (with hydraulic recording manometer).

Figure 3 — NG alveograph assembly with hydraulic recording manometer

Table 1 — Specifications and characteristics of the accessories required for the test


Quantity	Value and tolerance
Rotational frequency of the kneading machine blade	(60 ± 2) Hz
Height of sheeting guides	$(12,0 \pm 0,1)$ mm
Large diameter of the sheeting roller	$(40,0 \pm 0,1)$ mm
Small diameter of the sheeting roller	$(33,3 \pm 0,1)$ mm
Inside diameter of the dough cutter	$(46,0 \pm 0,5)$ mm
Diameter of the aperture created when the moving plate opens (which determines the effective diameter of the test piece)	$(55,0 \pm 0,1)$ mm
Theoretical distance between the fixed and moving plates after clamping (equal to the thickness of the test piece before inflation)	$(2,67 \pm 0,01)$ mm
Volume of air automatically injected to detach the test piece prior to inflating the bubble ^a	(18 ± 2) ml
Linear speed of the periphery of the recording drum	$(5,5 \pm 0,1)$ mm/s
Air flow ^b ensuring inflation	(96 ± 2) l/h

^a Some older devices are fitted with a pear-shaped rubber bulb for manual injection of the 18 ml required to detach the test piece.

^b To adjust the flow rate of the air generator used to inflate the bubble, fit the nozzle (Figure 4) to create a specified pressure drop (and obtain a pressure corresponding to a height of 92 mmH₂O(12,3 kPa) on the manometer chart). The air flow rate is set with the standardized pressure drop to obtain a pressure corresponding to a height of 60 mmH₂O(8,0 kPa) on the manometer chart, i.e.(96 ± 2) l/h (see Figure 4 and Figure 5).

Table 1 (continued)

Quantity	Value and tolerance
Rotation time of the manometer drum (from stop to stop)	(55 ± 1) s
<p>^a Some older devices are fitted with a pear-shaped rubber bulb for manual injection of the 18 ml required to detach the test piece.</p> <p>^b To adjust the flow rate of the air generator used to inflate the bubble, fit the nozzle (Figure 4) to create a specified pressure drop (and obtain a pressure corresponding to a height of 92 mmH₂O(12,3 kPa) on the manometer chart). The air flow rate is set with the standardized pressure drop to obtain a pressure corresponding to a height of 60 mmH₂O(8,0 kPa) on the manometer chart, i.e.(96 ± 2) l/h (see Figure 4 and Figure 5).</p>	



Key

- 1 knurled ring
- 2 nozzle
- 3 nozzle holder
- 4 top plate

Figure 4 — Flow control system

6 Sampling

A representative wheat or flour sample should have been sent to the laboratory. It shall not have been damaged or changed during transport or storage.

Sampling is not part of the method specified in this International Standard. Recommended sampling methods are given in ISO 24333.[1]

7 Preparation of the wheat for laboratory milling.

7.1 Cleaning the laboratory sample

Pass the laboratory sample through a mechanical cleaner (5.1) to ensure that all stones and metal fragments are removed and to avoid damaging the rollers during milling. A magnetic device may also be used to remove ferrous metal fragments.

7.2 Test portion

The test portion shall be representative of the initial wheat mass. Use the sample divider (5.2) to homogenize and divide the laboratory sample until the mass required for laboratory milling plus moisture content determination is obtained. The minimum wheat mass of the test portion for milling shall be 800 g.

7.3 Wheat moisture content determination

Determine the moisture content of the test portion as specified in ISO 712, or using a rapid device the measurement of which does not differ from the reference value by $\pm 0,4$ g water per 100 g of sample (see ISO 7700-1).

7.4 Wheat preparation

7.4.1 General

Preparing the wheat for milling makes it easier to separate the bran from the endosperm. The target moisture content is $(16,0 \pm 0,5)$ %.

7.4.2 Wheat with initial moisture content between 13 % and 15 % (one-stage moistening)

Using the balance (5.3), weigh a test portion (minimum 800 g) to the nearest 1 g of wheat and pour it into the blender.

Add the required amount of water (see Table B.1) to the grain from the burette (5.4) directly, or after weighing it to the nearest 0,5 g.

Immediately after adding the water, insert the stopper fitted with the worm screw provided for use with wheat into the flask, shake vigorously for a few seconds and place on the rotary blender (5.5).

Run the rotary blender for (30 ± 5) min (time required to distribute the water evenly across the surface of the grains).

Allow it to rest for a period that brings the total time of the moistening, shaking and resting operations to (24 ± 1) h.

7.4.3 Wheat with a moisture content less than 13 % (two-stage moistening)

As a larger volume of water is required, divide it into two halves and add in two stages during the preparation period.

Proceed as described in 7.4.2, using only half the total quantity of water required (see Table B.1).

Shake the flask as described in 7.4.2 and allow it to rest for at least 6 h.

Then add the second half of the total quantity of water between the 6th hour and the 7th hour.

After adding the second half, shake the flask again for (30 ± 5) min, then allow it to rest for a period that brings the total time of the moistening, shaking and resting operations to (24 ± 1) h.

7.4.4 Wheat with a moisture content greater than 15 % (preliminary drying followed by moistening, as described above)

The wheat shall be dried to produce a moisture content lower than 15 %.

Spread the laboratory sample in a thin layer to optimize the exchange between the grain and the air. Allow to dry in the open air in a dry place for at least 15 h.

Perform the moisture content determination process again (7.3).

Then prepare the wheat as specified in 7.4.2 or 7.4.3, depending on the new moisture content.

8 Laboratory milling

8.1 General

The test mill (5.6) shall be used with the manufacturer's settings. Additional weights shall not be used and the tension on the reduction side spring shall not be changed.

The quality of the milling process depends on several factors:

- a) environmental conditions that allow the final moisture content of the flour to be between 15,0 % and 15,8 % (wheat should be milled in an ambient temperature between 18 °C and 23 °C with a relative air humidity between 50 % and 75 %);
- b) the condition of the sieves; the sieving area shall remain uniform — if a sieve is pierced, it shall be replaced immediately;
- c) beater condition and setting: worn blades reduce the extraction rate;
- d) compliance with flow rates: the efficiency of the roll and the efficiency of the sieving process are strictly dependent on a regular feed rate. The speed at which the products pass through the sieving drum can be set by adjusting the position of the blades⁴⁾ on the beaters.

8.2 Milling procedure

8.2.1 Breaking

Switch on the device.

Set the feed rate to allow the conditioned wheat to pass through the mill in (5 ± 1) min.

Pour the conditioned wheat (7.4) into the mill feed hopper and, at the same time, start the timer to check milling time.

After the last grains of wheat have passed through, let the mill continue to operate for (180 ± 30) s to completely clear out the sieve.

When the mill stops, weigh (5.3) separately, the bran, the semolina, and the flour to the nearest 0,1 g.

Calculate the percentage of semolina obtained compared with the mass of wheat used, expressing the result to one decimal place.

8.2.2 Reduction

Switch on the device.

4) Two adjustable blades in the middle and at the end of the beater on the break side, four blades at the end on the reduction side.

Adjust the feed rate to allow the semolina produced in [8.2.1](#) to pass through the mill in (5 ± 1) min.

Pour the semolina into the feed hopper and, at the same time, start the timer to check the time.

After the last grains of semolina have passed through, let the mill continue to operate for (180 ± 30) s to completely clear out the sieve.

Repeat the above reduction procedure if the mass of semolina obtained from the break system is greater than or equal to 48 %⁵⁾ of the mass of conditioned wheat.

When the mill stops, weigh [\(5.3\)](#) separately, the middlings and the reduction flour to the nearest 0,1 g.

Ensure that the milling ratio, *BM* (ratio of the sum of the masses of the milled products to the total conditioned wheat mass), is equal to at least 98 %.

NOTE A milling ratio less than 98 % indicates excessively worn beaters or an obstruction in the sieves, causing some of the product to remain inside the sieving drum.

8.2.3 Flour homogenization

Pour the break and reduction flour into the blender flask [\(5.5.3\)](#).

Insert the stopper fitted with the worm screw [\(5.5.2\)](#) provided for use with flour into the flask and place the flask on the blender [\(5.5\)](#).

Mix for (20 ± 2) min.

Remove the worm screw [\(5.5.2\)](#) and replace it with the flask stopper. The flour is now ready for the alveograph test.

8.2.4 Storage of the flour

The flask containing the flour shall be kept in the room where the alveograph test is performed.

8.3 Expression of milling results

Calculate the extraction rate, *ER*, as a percentage of dry mass, of flour extracted from the cleaned wheat using Formula (1):

$$ER = \frac{(100 - H_f) \times M_f}{(100 - H_b) \times M_b} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where

H_f is the moisture content, as a percentage, of the flour obtained (determined according to ISO 712);

H_b is the moisture content, as a percentage, of the wheat test portion for milling before moistening (determined according to ISO 712);

M_f is the mass, in grams, of the total flour obtained;

M_b is the wheat mass, in grams, of the test portion for milling before moistening.

Express the result to the nearest 0,1 % mass fraction.

5) Round up the values: 47,4 becomes 47 and 47,5 becomes 48.

Calculate the percentage of bran, S , using Formula (2):

$$S = \left[M_s / (M_b + M_e) \right] \times 100 \quad (2)$$

Calculate the percentage of middlings, R , using Formula (3):

$$R = \left[M_r / (M_b + M_e) \right] \times 100 \quad (3)$$

where

M_s is the mass, in grams, of bran;

M_r is the mass, in grams, of middlings;

M_b is the initial mass, in grams, of the wheat before conditioning;

M_e is the mass, in grams, of water added (numerically equal to the volume, V_e , in millilitres, of water added).

Express the results to the nearest integer.

9 Preparation and alveograph test

9.1 Preliminary checks

Ensure that the ambient temperature is between 18 °C and 22 °C with a relative humidity between 50 % and 80 %.

Ensure that the various components of the apparatus (kneading machine, alveograph, recorder, burette, tools, etc.) are clean.

Check that the F-register is in place in the extrusion aperture to prevent any loss of flour or salt solution leakage.

Ensure that the temperature of the kneading machine (5.7.1) at the start of the test is $(24,0 \pm 0,5)$ °C; the temperature of the alveograph shall be continuously set to $(25,0 \pm 0,5)$ °C.

NOTE A rise in the kneading machine temperature during the kneading process is normal and characteristic of flour under test. The continuous control feature provided on the NG models should not be used.

Regularly check that the pneumatic circuit on the apparatus is sealed (no air leakage) by following the manufacturer's recommended procedure.

Check the air flow settings using the nozzle (see Table 1, Note b), creating the specified loss of pressure [see Figure 1c), Label 1 in Figures 2 and 3, and Figures 4 and 5] by setting:

- a) the air generator to a pressure corresponding to 92 mmH₂O (12,3 kPa) on the hydraulic manometer chart or on the recorder screen;
- b) the micrometer flow rate valve to a pressure corresponding to 60 mmH₂O (8,0 kPa) on the manometer chart or the recorder screen.

Check that the alveograph plate is horizontal.

If a recording manometer is employed, use the timer (5.9) to check the rotation time of the recording drum according to the manufacturer's recommended procedure.




Figure 5 — Measurement pressure setting

9.2 Preliminary operations

At the beginning of the test, the temperature of the flour shall be the ambient temperature.

Determine the moisture content of the flour according to the method specified in ISO 712 or with an apparatus using near infrared spectroscopy whose performance has been demonstrated in accordance with ISO 12099 and meets at minima a SEP $\leq 0,15$ % determined on the entire scope of this standard. From [Table 2](#), find the quantity of sodium chloride solution (4.1) to be used in 9.3 to prepare the dough.

Table 2 — Volume of sodium chloride solution (4.1) to be added during kneading

Moisture content of the flour %	Volume of solution to be added ml	Moisture content of the flour %	Volume of solution to be added ml	Moisture content of the flour %	Volume of solution to be added ml
8,0	155,9	11,0	142,6	14,0	129,4
8,1	155,4	11,1	142,2	14,1	129,0
8,2	155,0	11,2	141,8	14,2	128,5
8,3	154,6	11,3	141,3	14,3	128,1
8,4	154,1	11,4	140,9	14,4	127,6
8,5	153,7	11,5	140,4	14,5	127,2
8,6	153,2	11,6	140,0	14,6	126,8
8,7	152,8	11,7	139,6	14,7	126,3
8,8	152,4	11,8	139,1	14,8	125,9
8,9	151,9	11,9	138,7	14,9	125,4
9,0	151,5	12,0	138,2	15,0	125,0
9,1	151,0	12,1	137,8	15,1	124,6
9,2	150,6	12,2	137,4	15,2	124,1
9,3	150,1	12,3	136,9	15,3	123,7
9,4	149,7	12,4	136,5	15,4	123,2
9,5	149,3	12,5	136,0	15,5	122,8
9,6	148,8	12,6	135,6	15,6	122,4
9,7	148,4	12,7	135,1	15,7	121,9
9,8	147,9	12,8	134,7	15,8	121,5
9,9	147,5	12,9	134,3	15,9	121,0
10,0	147,1	13,0	133,8	16,0	120,6
10,1	146,6	13,1	133,4		
10,2	146,2	13,2	132,9		
10,3	145,7	13,3	132,5		
10,4	145,3	13,4	132,1		
10,5	144,9	13,5	131,6		
10,6	144,4	13,6	131,2		
10,7	144,0	13,7	130,7		
10,8	143,5	13,8	130,3		
10,9	143,1	13,9	129,9		

NOTE The volume of sodium chloride solution (4.1), V_{NaCl} , to be added during kneading is calculated from the formula:

$$V_{NaCl} = 191,175 - (4,411\ 75 \times H_f)$$

where H_f is the moisture content of the flour.

These values have been calculated to obtain constant hydration, i.e. equivalent to a dough made from 50 ml of sodium chloride solution (4.1) and 100 g of flour with a moisture content of 15 %.

9.3 Kneading

Place 250 g of flour, weighed (5.3) to within 0,5 g, in the kneading machine (5.7.1). Secure the lid with the locking device.

At the same time, switch on the motor, start the timer on the MA 82 models and use a burette (5.8) to deliver the appropriate quantity of sodium chloride solution (4.1) through the hole in the cover.

If the moisture content of the flour is less than 10,5 %, use the burette (5.8) to add a quantity of sodium chloride solution corresponding to a moisture content of 12 %, i.e. 138,2 ml. With a pipette (5.13), add a quantity of sodium chloride solution equal to the difference between the value given in Table 2 and the 138,2 ml already in the machine.

Allow the dough to form for 1 min, then switch off the motor, open the cover and, using the plastic spatula provided, reincorporate any flour and dough adhering to the *F*-register (see Figure 6) and to the corners of the kneading machine. This operation should take less than 1 min. This operation can be performed in two parts, allowing the kneading machine to rotate about 10 times between the first and second operations.

Close the cover, then restart the motor and knead for 6 min. During this time, oil the accessories required for extrusion.

Stop kneading after a total of 8 min (corresponding to the sum of dough formation and reincorporation times), then extrude the dough test pieces (the NG has an automatic stop).



9.4 Preparation of dough test pieces

Reverse the direction of rotation of the kneader blade. Open the extrusion aperture by raising the *F*-register and place a few drops of oil (4.2) on the previously installed receiving plate. Remove the first centimetre of dough using the knife/spatula in a clean, downward movement, close to the guide (see Figure 6).

When the strip of dough is level with the notches on the extrusion plate, quickly cut the dough with the knife/spatula. Slide the piece of dough onto the previously oiled stainless steel plate on the sheeting table (first dough piece; see Figure 6).

Successively extrude five dough pieces without stopping the motor, replacing the previously oiled receiving plate each time. Arrange the first four dough pieces on the sheeting table so that their direction of extrusion corresponds to its major axis [Figure 7a]. Leave the fifth dough piece on the extrusion plate. Stop the motor.

NOTE Experienced operators are able to sheet, cut, and transfer each dough piece to the rest chamber in the same amount of time it takes to extrude the next dough piece.



Key

- | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------|
| 1 | F-register | 4 | kneader blade |
| 2 | dough | 5 | knife/spatula |
| 3 | receiving plate | | |
| a | Direction of cutting the extruded dough. | | |

Figure 6 — Kneading machine


Sheet the four dough pieces using the previously oiled steel roller; run the roller backwards and forwards along the rails 12 times in succession, six times in each direction [see [Figure 7a](#)].

Using the cutter, cut a test piece from each strip of dough in one clean movement [see [Figure 7b](#)]. Remove any surplus dough.


Hold the cutter containing the dough test piece above the previously oiled resting plate to which it is to be transferred. If the dough sticks to the sides of the cutter, free it by tapping the work surface with the palm of the hand (do not touch with fingers). If the test piece remains on the stainless steel plate on the sheeting table, raise it gently with the spatula [see [Figure 7c](#)] and slide the resting plate under it.

Immediately place each resting plate containing a dough piece into the thermostatically controlled compartment of the alveograph, heated to 25 °C. Proceed by order of extrusion, carefully noting the location of the first test piece.


Repeat the operations described above with the fifth dough piece.



a) Sheeting dough pieces



b) Cutting dough pieces



c) Transfer dough pieces

Key

1 spatula

Figure 7 — Preparation of dough test pieces

9.5 Alveograph test

9.5.1 Initial preparation

If a hydraulic manometer is used, distance the recording pen from the drum and place a recording chart on the drum. Fill the pen with ink. Turn the cylinder until it comes into contact with its stop. Bring the pen into contact with the cylinder and turn the cylinder so that it draws the zero line. Move the pen aside again to move the drum against the stop in its starting position.

Perform the test 28 min after kneading begins. Check that the piston is in the raised position. Proceed in the order of extrusion of the test pieces.

9.5.2 First operation: Adjusting the dough test piece

Raise the handle to the vertical position on the NG model [[Figure 8a](#)].

Raise the top plate by unscrewing it two turns to bring it level with the three guide studs [[Figure 8a](#)].

Remove the ring and the plug [[Figure 8a](#)].


Oil the bottom plate and the inner surface of the plug.

Slide the dough test piece onto the centre of the bottom plate [[Figure 8b](#)].

Replace the plug and the ring [[Figure 8c](#)].

Calibrate the test piece by slowly tightening the top plate by two turns in approximately 20 s [[Figure 8d](#)].

Remove the ring and plug to free the dough test piece.



Key

- | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| 1 | ring | 4 | dough test piece | 7 | piston in raised position |
| 2 | plug | 5 | bottom plate | 8 | inflated dough test piece |
| 3 | top plate | 6 | calibrated dough test piece | 9 | piston in low position |

Figure 8 — Alveograph test

9.5.3 Second operation: biaxial extension

On the NG model, press the ON/OFF button to start the test.

On the MA 95 model, set handle A to position 2 [see [Figure 1c](#)] to release the test piece automatically, inflate the dough bubble and start the recording drum.

On other models, the dough piece is released by setting the handle to an intermediate position. Turn the tap to the horizontal position, squeeze the rubber pear-shaped bulb between the thumb and the index

finger and without releasing the pressure, turn the tap to the vertical position. Set handle A to position 3 to begin inflation.

Watch as the bubble inflates, in order to determine the exact moment of rupture. Immediately stop measuring by turning handle A to position 1, or by pressing the ON/OFF button on the NG models.

Where a recording drum is used, draw the five curves on the same sheet taking care to return the drum to its initial position before testing each dough piece.

Repeat the operations specified in 9.5.1 to 9.5.3 on the four remaining dough test pieces.

9.6 Expression of alveograph test results

9.6.1 General

The results are measured or calculated from the five curves obtained. However, if one (and only one) of the curves deviates greatly from the other four, it should not be taken into account in the expression of results (see Figure 9).

9.6.2 Maximum pressure parameter, P


P corresponds to the maximum pressure within the bubble, which is related to the deformation resistance (stiffness). The value of P equals the mean of the maximum ordinates, in millimetres, multiplied by a factor, $K = 1,1$.

Record P to the nearest integer.

9.6.3 Mean abscissa at rupture, L

The mean of the abscissa values at rupture of the curves represents the length, L . These abscissa values are measured, in millimetres, for each curve along the base line, from the origin of the curves to the point corresponding vertically to the start of the pressure drop.

Record L to the nearest integer.



Key

- 1 rupture points
- I_e elasticity index, $(P_{200}/P) \times 100, \%$
- L mean abscissa at rupture points
- P maximum pressure parameter (mean of maximum ordinates times 1,1)
- W deformation work

Figure 9 — Alveograph curve

9.6.4 Swelling index, G

The mean of the abscissa values at rupture, L , converted to the swelling index, G , represents the extensibility of the dough. This value is the square root of the volume of air, in millilitres, required to inflate the bubble until it ruptures. It is calculated using Formula (4):

$$G = 2,226\sqrt{L} \quad (4)$$

[Annex D](#) gives a conversion table from L to G .

Record G to the nearest first decimal place.

9.6.5 Elasticity index

The elasticity index, I_e , expressed as a percentage, is calculated using Formula (5):

$$I_e = \left(\frac{P_{200}}{P} \right) \times 100 \quad (5)$$

where P_{200} is the pressure inside the bubble when a volume of 200 ml of air has been injected into the test piece. P_{200} corresponds on the alveogram to the height of the mean curve at $L = 40$ mm, multiplied by the coefficient 1,1

Record I_e to the first decimal place.

9.6.6 Curve configuration ratio, P/L

The term "curve configuration" is conventional.

Record P/L to the nearest second decimal place.

9.6.7 Deformation work, W

W represents the baking strength of the flour and the work of deformation of 1 g of dough obtained by the method described. It is expressed in units of 10^{-4} J. W is calculated from the alveogram parameters and various experimental factors, using Formula (6):

$$W = 6,54 \times S \quad (6)$$

where S is the area under the mean curve.

The coefficient 6,54 is valid for:

- a) a drum rotation time of 55 s from stop to stop;
- b) a constant air flow rate of 96 l/h;
- c) a hydraulic manometer with the coefficient, $K = 1,1$.

Record W to the nearest integer.

10 Precision

10.1 Interlaboratory tests

a) Commercial flour:

The repeatability and reproducibility limits of the method used for commercial flour were initially established within the context of an interlaboratory test, details of which are given in [Annex E](#).

In order to extend the concentration range of the various parameters for use more appropriate to actual practice, the results obtained from the proficiency tests organized by the Bureau Interprofessionnel des Etudes Analytiques/Interprofessional Bureau for Analytical Studies (BIPEA) were applied to obtain new reproducibility limits, as given in [Annex E](#).

b) Flour obtained from laboratory milling:

The repeatability and reproducibility limits of the method used for flour obtained from laboratory milling were established by two interlaboratory tests performed in 2001 and 2004 according to ISO 5725-2, ISO 5725-3, and ISO 5725-6, details of which are given in [Annex E](#).

The values obtained from each analysis apply to the concentration ranges and to the matrices tested.

10.2 Repeatability limits

Repeatability is the value below which there is a 95 % probability that the absolute value of the difference between two test results obtained under repeatability conditions will lie.

The repeatability, *r*, limits are obtained by the equations below. To make them easier to use, practical application tables are given in [Annexes E](#) and [F](#).

10.2.1 Commercial flour: limits established by the interlaboratory test

For *W*: $r = (0,0541 W - 1,5715) \times 2,77$

For *P*: $r = (0,0173 P + 0,3107) \times 2,77$

For *L*: $r = (0,1449 L - 7,083) \times 2,77$

For *G*: $r = (0,1218 G - 1,8617) \times 2,77$

For *P/L*: $r = (0,125P/L - 0,06) \times 2,77$

10.2.2 Flour obtained from laboratory milling

For *W*: $r = (0,0344 W + 3,9038) \times 2,77$

For *P*: $r = (0,0268 P + 0,535) \times 2,77$

For *L*: $r = (0,049 L + 2,3471) \times 2,77$

For *G*: $r = 0,81 \times 2,77 = 2,2$

For *P/L*: $r = (0,1215 P/L - 0,0154) \times 2,77$

For *I_e*: $r = 0,6 \times 2,77 = 1,6$

For the extraction rate: $r = 0,8 \times 2,77 = 2,3$

10.3 Reproducibility limits

Reproducibility is the value below which there is a 95 % probability that the absolute value of the difference between two test results obtained under reproducibility conditions will lie.

The reproducibility, *R*, limits are obtained by the equations below. To make them easier to use, practical application tables are given in [Annexes E](#) and [F](#).

10.3.1 Commercial flour: Limits established by the proficiency tests

For *W*: $R = (0,059 W + 2,05) \times 2,77$

For P : $R = (0,045 P + 0,15) \times 2,77$

For L : $R = (0,06 L + 1,81) \times 2,77$

For G : $R = 0,8 \times 2,77 = 2,5$

For P/L : $R = (0,1214 P/L - 0,0184) \times 2,77$

For I_e : $R = 2 \times 2,77 = 5,5$

10.3.2 Flour obtained from laboratory milling

For W : $R = (0,0534 W + 4,1951) \times 2,77$

For P : $R = (0,0637 P + 1,5799) \times 2,77$

For L : $R = (0,0998 L + 1,3311) \times 2,77$

For G : $R = 1,25 \times 2,77 = 3,5$

For P/L : $R = (0,2107 P/L - 0,0154) \times 2,77$

For I_e : $R = 2,7 \times 2,77 = 7,5$

For the extraction rate: $R = 3,8 \times 2,77 = 10,5$

10.4 Uncertainty

Uncertainty, u ,^[Z] is a parameter characterizing the dispersion of values that can reasonably be attributed to the result. This uncertainty is given by a statistical distribution of the results from the interlaboratory test and is characterized by the experimental standard deviation.

For each parameter, uncertainty is equal to more or less twice the reproducibility standard deviation featuring in this International Standard.

11 Test report

The test report shall specify the following:

- a) all information necessary for the complete identification of the sample;
- b) the test method used, with reference to this International Standard, i.e. ISO 27971;
- c) when laboratory milling is included in the test: all information necessary for the complete identification of the mill used;
- d) the alveograph parameters and the units used to record them;
- e) all operating details not specified in this International Standard, or regarded as optional, together with details of any incidents noted during the milling process and alveograph test that might have influenced the test results.

Annex A (informative)

Characteristics of the Chopin-Dubois CD1 mill

A.1 Breaking

Three stacked hardened-steel fluted rollers with oblique teeth (two passages).

Non-adjustable clearance:	First passage	1,00 mm
	Second passage	0,10 mm
Non-adjustable roller speeds:	Top roller	200 min ⁻¹
	Intermediate roller	450 min ⁻¹
	Bottom roller	200 min ⁻¹

A.2 Reduction

Two smooth cast-iron rollers in contact (one run), cleaned by two scrapers. The pressure can be adjusted by adding or removing additional weights or by means of the spring pressure on the roller load.

Roller speed:	Top roller	325 min ⁻¹
	Bottom roller	325 min ⁻¹

A.3 Sieve material

A.3.1 Post-break

A.3.1.1 Stainless steel flour sieve, with wire diameter 110 µm; mesh aperture 160 µm; and sieving area, 38 %.

A.3.1.2 Galvanized steel semolina sieve, with wire diameter 315 µm; mesh aperture 800 µm; and sieving area, 51 %.

A.3.2 Post-reduction

As for [A.3.1.1](#).

A.4 Milling

Break time: adjust the feed rate to allow 800 g of wheat to pass through the mill in (5 ± 1) min.

Reduction time: adjust the feed rate to allow the quantity of semolina obtained from the break system to pass through the mill in (5 ± 1) min.

Sieving time: continue sieving for (180 ± 30) s after the break system has finished. Do the same after the reduction process (es).

A.5 Break performance indicator

Irrespective of the type of wheat milled, the percentage of bran obtained from the break system shall be between 17 % and 23 % of the wheat mass used. A percentage outside this range indicates an incorrect setting or inadequate device maintenance.

A.6 Reduction performance indicator

Irrespective of the type of wheat milled, the percentage of middlings obtained from the reduction process shall be between 9 % and 17 % of the wheat mass used. A percentage outside this range indicates an incorrect setting or inadequate device maintenance.

A.7 Maintenance operations

Check the sieve screens regularly. The frequency recommended by the manufacturer is once per month. Replace sieves immediately if they are damaged, for example if they become detached or if any holes appear (do not patch them up). If they become obstructed, it is best to clean them with compressed air. Never wet the sieves.

Remove any metal particles from the safety magnet.

Use a shim to measure the amount of wear on the beater blades every 6 months. The gap between the blade and the casing shall be less than 2 mm. Otherwise, replace the beater.

Check the amount of wear on the scrapers every year.

Replace the foam O-rings at least once a year or as soon as they become detached or begin to deteriorate.

It is recommended that every two years, a qualified engineer check the mechanical condition of the mill, and where necessary take remedial action, for:

- a) the amount of wear on the bearings and scrapers;
- b) the condition of the sieves;
- c) the slope of the blades on the break and reduction sides;
- d) the condition of the roll surface;
- e) the tension of the reduction compression spring;
- f) the condition of the wheat and semolina feed systems.

Annex B (normative)

Quantity of water to be added to wheat for conditioning

The mass of water, M_e , in grams, to be added to wheat for the purposes of moisture conditioning is calculated according to Formula (B.1):

$$M_e = [M_b \times (H_s - H_b)] / (100 - H_s) \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where

M_b is the wheat mass, in grams, to be conditioned;

H_b is the moisture content, as a percentage, of the wheat prior to conditioning;

H_s is the required moisture content, as a percentage, of the wheat after conditioning.

Express M_e to the nearest 0,5 g. The value of M_e is numerically equivalent to the volume, V_e , in millilitres, of water required.

Table B.1 — Moisture conditioning to 16 % mass fraction for 800 g of wheat

Wheat moisture content (before conditioning) H_b %	Water mass or volume M_e or V_e g or ml	Wheat moisture content (before conditioning) H_b %	Water mass or volume M_e or V_e g or ml	Wheat moisture content (before conditioning) H_b %	Water mass or volume M_e or V_e g or ml	Wheat moisture content (before conditioning) H_b %	Water mass or volume M_e or V_e g or ml
9,0	67,0	11,0	48,0	13,0	29,0	15,0	9,5
9,1	66,0	11,1	47,0	13,1	28,0		
9,2	65,0	11,2	46,0	13,2	27,0	If the moisture content of the wheat exceeds 15 %, dry the wheat before remoistening (see 7.4.4).	
9,3	64,0	11,3	45,0	13,3	26,0		
9,4	63,0	11,4	44,0	13,4	25,0		
9,5	62,0	11,5	43,0	13,5	24,0		
9,6	61,0	11,6	42,0	13,6	23,0		
9,7	60,0	11,7	41,0	13,7	22,0		
9,8	59,0	11,8	40,0	13,8	21,0		
9,9	58,0	11,9	39,0	13,9	20,0	If the moisture content of the wheat is less than 13 %, add the water in two stages (see 7.4.3).	
10,0	57,0	12,0	38,0	14,0	19,0		
10,1	56,0	12,1	37,0	14,1	18,0		
10,2	55,0	12,2	36,0	14,2	17,0		
10,3	54,5	12,3	35,0	14,3	16,0		
10,4	53,5	12,4	34,5	14,4	15,0		

Table B.1 (continued)

Wheat moisture content (before conditioning)	Water mass or volume	Wheat moisture content (before conditioning)	Water mass or volume	Wheat moisture content (before conditioning)	Water mass or volume	Wheat moisture content (before conditioning)	Water mass or volume
H_b	M_e or V_e	H_b	M_e or V_e	H_b	M_e or V_e	H_b	M_e or V_e
%	g or ml	%	g or ml	%	g or ml	%	g or ml
10,5	52,5	12,5	33,5	14,5	14,5		
10,6	51,5	12,6	32,5	14,6	13,5		
10,7	50,5	12,7	31,5	14,7	12,5		
10,8	49,5	12,8	30,5	14,8	11,5		
10,9	47,0	12,9	29,5	14,9	10,5		

Annex C (informative)

Sample milling sheet

Users of this International Standard may copy this sheet for practical use.

		WHEAT SAMPLE REFERENCE:	
Initial moisture content (%) of cleaned wheat	H_{b0}		
→ if $H_{b0} > 15\%$, pre-dry (see 7.4.4)		start of pre-drying: date: time:	
		end of pre-drying: date: time:	
Initial moisture content (%) of cleaned wheat after pre-drying, if any (if no pre-drying, $H_{b0} = H_b$)	H_b		
Quantity of water to be added to condition to 16 % (see Annex B) (g, ml)	M_e, V_e		
A. If $H_b < 13\%$, add the water in two stages (see 7.4.3)		1. add M_1 g of water; $M_1 =$ g; date: time:	
		2. add M_2 g of water; $M_2 =$ g; date: time:	
		(where $M_1 + M_2 = M_e$)	
B. If $15\% \pm H_b \pm 13\%$, add the water in one go (see 7.4.2).		add M_e g of water; $M_e =$ g; date: time:	
Mass of clean wheat milled (g)	M_b		
Mass of clean wheat milled expressed as dry mass (g)	MS_b	$= M_b \times (100 - H_b) / 100 =$	
Total mass milled (g) M_b	T_1	$= (M_b + M_e) =$	
Test mill identification:			
Start of milling:		Date: time:	
Flour mass after break system (g)	M_{br}		
Semolina mass after break system (g)	M_{sem}		
Reduction flour mass (g)	M_{conv}		
Number of reductions	N		
Total flour mass (g)	M_f	$= (M_{br} + M_{conv}) =$	
Bran mass (g)	M_s	i.e. $S = \%$	
Middlings mass (g)	M_r	i.e. $R = \%$	
Total mass of milled products (g)	M_{tot}	$= (M_f + M_s + M_r) =$	
Moisture content of the flour (%)	H_f		
Total flour mass expressed as dry matter (g)	MS_f	$= M_f \times (100 - H_f) / 100 =$	
Extraction rate, dry matter/dry matter (%)	ER	$= (MS_f / MS_b) \times 100 =$	
Gross milling ratio (%)	BM	$= (T_2 / T_1) \times 100 =$	
Ash yield of the flour (% dry matter, see ISO 2171[2])		(if determined)	
Damaged starch content of the flour		(if determined)	
Breaking time (min)			
Reduction time (min)			

Annex D (informative)

Conversion table from *L* to *G*

Table D.1 — Conversion of the length, *L*, to swelling, *G*, using Formula (4): $G = 2,226\sqrt{L}$

Length <i>L</i> mm	Swelling <i>G</i> ml	Length <i>L</i> mm	Swelling <i>G</i> ml	Length <i>L</i> mm	Swelling <i>G</i> ml	Length <i>L</i> mm	Swelling <i>G</i> ml	Length <i>L</i> mm	Swelling <i>G</i> ml
13,0	8,0	63,0	17,7	113,0	23,7	163,0	28,4	213,0	32,5
14,0	8,3	64,0	17,8	114,0	23,8	164,0	28,5	214,0	32,6
15,0	8,6	65,0	17,9	115,0	23,9	165,0	28,6	215,0	32,6
16,0	8,9	66,0	18,1	116,0	24,0	166,0	28,7	216,0	32,7
17,0	9,2	67,0	18,2	117,0	24,1	167,0	28,8	217,0	32,8
18,0	9,4	68,0	18,4	118,0	24,2	168,0	28,9	218,0	32,9
19,0	9,7	69,0	18,5	119,0	24,3	169,0	28,9	219,0	32,9
20,0	10,0	70,0	18,6	120,0	24,4	170,0	29,0	220,0	33,0
21,0	10,2	71,0	18,8	121,0	24,5	171,0	29,1	221,0	33,1
22,0	10,4	72,0	18,9	122,0	24,6	172,0	29,2	222,0	33,2
23,0	10,7	73,0	19,0	123,0	24,7	173,0	29,3	223,0	33,2
24,0	10,9	74,0	19,1	124,0	24,8	174,0	29,4	224,0	33,3
25,0	11,1	75,0	19,3	125,0	24,9	175,0	29,4	225,0	33,4
26,0	11,4	76,0	19,4	126,0	25,0	176,0	29,5	226,0	33,5
27,0	11,6	77,0	19,5	127,0	25,1	177,0	29,6	227,0	33,5
28,0	11,8	78,0	19,7	128,0	25,2	178,0	29,7	228,0	33,6
29,0	12,0	79,0	19,8	129,0	25,3	179,0	29,8	229,0	33,7
30,0	12,2	80,0	19,9	130,0	25,4	180,0	29,9	230,0	33,8
31,0	12,4	81,0	20,0	131,0	25,5	181,0	29,9	231,0	33,8
32,0	12,6	82,0	20,2	132,0	25,6	182,0	30,0	232,0	33,9
33,0	12,8	83,0	20,3	133,0	25,7	183,0	30,1	233,0	34,0
34,0	13,0	84,0	20,4	134,0	25,8	184,0	30,2	234,0	34,1
35,0	13,2	85,0	20,5	135,0	25,9	185,0	30,3	235,0	34,1
36,0	13,4	86,0	20,6	136,0	26,0	186,0	30,4	236,0	34,2
37,0	13,5	87,0	20,8	137,0	26,1	187,0	30,4	237,0	34,3
38,0	13,7	88,0	20,9	138,0	26,1	188,0	30,5	238,0	34,3
39,0	13,9	89,0	21,0	139,0	26,2	189,0	30,6	239,0	34,4
40,0	14,1	90,0	21,1	140,0	26,3	190,0	30,7	240,0	34,5
41,0	14,3	91,0	21,2	141,0	26,4	191,0	30,8	241,0	34,6
42,0	14,4	92,0	21,4	142,0	26,5	192,0	30,8	242,0	34,6
43,0	14,6	93,0	21,5	143,0	26,6	193,0	30,9	243,0	34,7
44,0	14,8	94,0	21,6	144,0	26,7	194,0	31,0	244,0	34,8

Table D.1 (continued)

Length <i>L</i> mm	Swelling <i>G</i> ml	Length <i>L</i> mm	Swelling <i>G</i> ml	Length <i>L</i> mm	Swelling <i>G</i> ml	Length <i>L</i> mm	Swelling <i>G</i> ml	Length <i>L</i> mm	Swelling <i>G</i> ml
45,0	14,9	95,0	21,7	145,0	26,8	195,0	31,1	245,0	34,8
46,0	15,1	96,0	21,8	146,0	26,9	196,0	31,2	246,0	34,9
47,0	15,3	97,0	21,9	147,0	27,0	197,0	31,2	247,0	35,0
48,0	15,4	98,0	22,0	148,0	27,1	198,0	31,3	248,0	35,1
49,0	15,6	99,0	22,1	149,0	27,2	199,0	31,4	249,0	35,1
50,0	15,7	100,0	22,3	150,0	27,3	200,0	31,5	250,0	35,2
51,0	15,9	101,0	22,4	151,0	27,4	201,0	31,6	251,0	35,3
52,0	16,1	102,0	22,5	152,0	27,4	202,0	31,6	252,0	35,3
53,0	16,2	103,0	22,6	153,0	27,5	203,0	31,7	253,0	35,4
54,0	16,4	104,0	22,7	154,0	27,6	204,0	31,8	254,0	35,5
55,0	16,5	105,0	22,8	155,0	27,7	205,0	31,9	255,0	35,5
56,0	16,7	106,0	22,9	156,0	27,8	206,0	31,9	256,0	35,6
57,0	16,8	107,0	23,0	157,0	27,9	207,0	32,0	257,0	35,7
58,0	17,0	108,0	23,1	158,0	28,0	208,0	32,1	258,0	35,8
59,0	17,1	109,0	23,2	159,0	28,1	209,0	32,2	259,0	35,8
60,0	17,2	110,0	23,3	160,0	28,2	210,0	32,3	260,0	35,9
61,0	17,4	111,0	23,5	161,0	28,2	211,0	32,3	261,0	36,0
62,0	17,5	112,0	23,6	162,0	28,3	212,0	32,4	262,0	36,0


Annex E (informative)

Interlaboratory and proficiency test data for commercial flours

The repeatability and reproducibility limits of the method used for commercial flour were initially established within the context of an interlaboratory test in which six laboratories participated. The test was performed on three samples of flour and repeated four times on each sample.

In order to extend the concentration range of the various parameters for use more appropriate to actual practice, the results obtained from the proficiency tests organized by the Bureau Interprofessionnel des Etudes Analytiques/Interprofessional Bureau for Analytical Studies (BIPEA) were applied.

14 to 29 laboratories, depending on the campaign, participated in the tests between 2005 and 2013, and new reproducibility limits were obtained from 80 results. Repeatability limits cannot be extracted from proficiency tests because, as in the current application of the alveograph test, the number of repetitions is generally one.




Key

W mean of the control laboratories' W values

S_R $y = 0,059 x + 2,05$; $R^2 = 0,507$ (correlation coefficient)

Figure E.1 — Relationship between reproducibility standard deviation and mean values of W (data from the proficiency tests)

[Figure E.1](#) shows that the standard deviations of reproducibility are dependent on the mean values of W .




Key

P mean of P of the control laboratories

s_R $y = 0,045 x + 0,15$; $R^2 = 0,582$ (correlation coefficient)

Figure E.2 — Relationship between reproducibility standard deviation and mean values of P (data from the proficiency tests)

Figure E.2 shows that the standard deviations of reproducibility are dependent on the mean values of P .




Key

L mean of the control laboratories' L values

s_R $y = 0,06 x + 1,81$; $R^2 = 0,352$ (correlation coefficient)

Figure E.3 — Relationship between reproducibility standard deviation and mean values of L (data from the proficiency tests)

Figure E.3 shows that the standard deviations of reproducibility are dependent on the mean values of L .




Key

G mean of the control laboratories' G values

S_R $y = 0,017 x + 0,492 ; R^2 = 0,041$ (correlation coefficient)

Figure E.4 — Relationship between reproducibility standard deviation and mean values of G (data from the proficiency tests)

Figure E.4 shows that the standard deviations of reproducibility are constant irrespective of the mean value of G .



Key


P/L mean of the control laboratories' P/L values

s_R standard deviation of reproducibility

s_R $y = 0,121 x - 0,018 ; R^2 = 0,833$ (correlation coefficient)

Figure E.5 — Relationship between reproducibility standard deviation and mean values of P/L (data from the proficiency tests)

[Figure E.5](#) shows that the standard deviations of reproducibility are dependent on the mean values of P/L .



Key

I_e mean of the control laboratories' I_e values

s_R standard deviation of reproducibility

s_R $y = -0,002 x + 2,084 ; R^2 = 0,001$ (correlation coefficient)

Figure E.6 — Relationship between reproducibility standard deviation and mean values of I_e (data from the proficiency tests)

Figure E.6 shows that the standard deviations of reproducibility are constant irrespective of the mean value of I_e .

Table E.1 — Summary of reproducibility equations for commercial flour (data from the proficiency tests)

Parameter	Validity range of the interlaboratory test	s_R
W	83 to 383	$0,06 W + 2$
P	35 to 131	$0,05 P + 0,15$
L	50 to 134	$0,06 L + 2$
G	15,7 to 25,7	0,8
P/L	0,30 to 2,65	$0,12 P/L - 0,02$
I_e	37 to 69	2

Table E.2 — Reminder of initial repeatability and reproducibility equations for commercial flour (data from the initial ring test)

Parameter	Validity range of the interlaboratory test	s_r	s_R
W	190 to 415	$0,054\ 1\ W - 1,571\ 5$	$0,059\ 5\ W + 0,569\ 6$
P	70 to 118	$0,017\ 3\ P + 0,310\ 7$	$0,032\ 9\ P - 0,568\ 6$
L	78 to 98	$0,144\ 9\ L - 7,083$	$0,139\ 3\ L - 5,132\ 1$
G	19,5 to 21,5	$0,121\ 8\ G - 1,861\ 7$	$0,115\ 7\ G - 1,560\ 8$
P/L	0,92 to 1,28	$0,125\ P/L - 0,06$	$0,125\ P/L - 0,04$

Table E.3 — Practical application of repeatability equations for commercial flour (data from the interlaboratory test)

W Validity range: 190 to 415		P Validity range: 70 to 118		L Validity range: 78 to 98		G Validity range: 19,5 to 21,5		P/L Validity range: 0,92 to 1,28	
$s_r = 0,054\ 1\ W - 1,571\ 5$		$s_r = 0,017\ 3\ P + 0,310\ 7$		$s_r = 0,144\ 9\ L - 7,083$		$s_r = 0,121\ 8\ G - 1,861\ 7$		$s_r = 0,125\ P/L - 0,06$	
W $10^{-4}\ J$	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77\ s_r$)	P mm	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77\ s_r$)	L mm	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77\ s_r$)	G ml	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77\ s_r$)	P/L	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77\ s_r$)
190	24	70	4	78	12	19,5	1,4	0,92	0,15
200	26	72	4	79	12	19,6	1,5	0,94	0,16
210	27	74	4	80	12	19,7	1,5	0,95	0,16
220	29	76	5	81	13	19,8	1,5	0,97	0,17
230	30	78	5	82	13	19,9	1,6	0,98	0,17
240	32	80	5	83	14	20,0	1,6	1,00	0,18
250	33	82	5	84	14	20,1	1,6	1,01	0,18
260	35	84	5	85	14	20,2	1,7	1,03	0,19
270	36	86	5	86	15	20,3	1,7	1,04	0,19
280	38	88	5	87	15	20,4	1,7	1,06	0,20
290	39	90	5	88	16	20,5	1,8	1,07	0,20
300	41	92	5	89	16	20,6	1,8	1,09	0,21
310	42	94	5	90	17	20,7	1,8	1,10	0,21
320	44	96	5	91	17	20,8	1,9	1,12	0,22
330	45	98	6	92	17	20,9	1,9	1,13	0,23
340	47	100	6	93	18	21,0	1,9	1,15	0,23
350	48	102	6	94	18	21,1	2,0	1,16	0,24

Table E.3 (continued)

<i>W</i> Validity range: 190 to 415		<i>P</i> Validity range: 70 to 118		<i>L</i> Validity range: 78 to 98		<i>G</i> Validity range: 19,5 to 21,5		<i>P/L</i> Validity range: 0,92 to 1,28	
$s_r = 0,054\ 1\ W - 1,571\ 5$		$s_r = 0,017\ 3\ P + 0,310\ 7$		$s_r = 0,144\ 9\ L - 7,083$		$s_r = 0,121\ 8\ G - 1,861\ 7$		$s_r = 0,125\ P/L - 0,06$	
<i>W</i> 10 ⁻⁴ J	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77\ s_r$)	<i>P</i> mm	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77\ s_r$)	<i>L</i> mm	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77\ s_r$)	<i>G</i> ml	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77\ s_r$)	<i>P/L</i>	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77\ s_r$)
360	50	104	6	95	19	21,2	2,0	1,18	0,24
370	51	106	6	96	19	21,3	2,0	1,19	0,25
380	53	108	6	97	19	21,4	2,1	1,21	0,25
390	54	110	6	98	20	21,5	2,1	1,22	0,26
400	56	112	6					1,24	0,26
410	57	114	6					1,25	0,27
415	58	116	6					1,27	0,27
		118	7					1,28	0,28

Table E.4 — Practical application of reproducibility equations for commercial flour (data from the proficiency tests)

W	Validity range: 83 to 383		P		L		G		P/L		I _e	
	SR = 0,0629 W + 1,5054	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77	Validity range: 35 to 131	SR = 0,045 P + 0,15	SR = 0,06 L + 1,81	Validity range: 50 to 134	SR = 0,8	Validity range: 15,7 to 25,7	SR = 0,121 P/L - 0,018	Validity range: 0,30 to 2,65	SR = 2	Validity range: 37 to 69
W	10 ⁻⁴ J	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77	P	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77	L	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77	G	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77	P/L	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77	I _e	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77
		mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	ml	ml			%	
80	23	30	4	13	50	13	15,6	2,2	0,30	0,05	61,70	5,54
85	24	32	4	18	52	18	15,8	2,2	0,35	0,07	61,95	5,54
90	25	34	5	19	54	19	16	2,2	0,40	0,08	62,20	5,54
95	26	36	5	19	56	19	16,2	2,2	0,45	0,10	62,45	5,54
100	26	38	5	20	58	20	16,4	2,2	0,50	0,12	62,70	5,54
105	27	40	5	20	60	20	16,6	2,2	0,55	0,13	62,95	5,54
110	28	42	6	21	62	21	16,8	2,2	0,60	0,15	63,20	5,54
115	29	44	6	21	64	21	17	2,2	0,65	0,17	63,40	5,54
120	29	46	6	22	66	22	17,2	2,2	0,70	0,18	63,70	5,54
125	30	48	6	22	68	22	17,4	2,2	0,75	0,20	63,95	5,54
130	31	50	7	23	70	23	17,6	2,2	0,80	0,22	64,20	5,54
135	32	52	7	24	72	24	17,8	2,2	0,85	0,24	64,45	5,54
140	32	54	7	24	74	24	18	2,2	0,90	0,25	64,70	5,54
145	33	56	7	25	76	25	18,2	2,2	0,95	0,27	64,95	5,54
150	34	58	8	25	78	25	18,4	2,2	1,00	0,29	65,20	5,54
155	35	60	8	26	80	26	18,6	2,2	1,05	0,30	65,45	5,54
160	35	62	8	26	82	26	18,8	2,2	1,10	0,32	65,70	5,54
165	36	64	8	27	84	27	19	2,2	1,15	0,34	65,95	5,54
170	37	66	9	27	86	27	19,2	2,2	1,20	0,35	66,20	5,54
175	38	68	9	28	88	28	19,4	2,2	1,25	0,37	66,45	5,54
180	38	70	9	29	90	29	19,6	2,2	1,30	0,39	66,70	5,54
185	39	72	9	29	92	29	19,8	2,2	1,35	0,40	66,95	5,54
190	40	74	10	30	94	30	20	2,2	1,40	0,42	67,20	5,54
195	40	76	10	30	96	30	20,2	2,2	1,45	0,44	67,45	5,54
200	41	78	10	31	98	31	20,4	2,2	1,50	0,45	67,70	5,54

Table E.4 (continued)

W		P		L		G		P/L		I _e	
Validity range: 83 to 383		Validity range: 35 to 131		Validity range: 50 to 134		Validity range: 15,7 to 25,7		Validity range: 0,30 to 2,65		Validity range: 37 to 69	
SR = 0,0629 W + 1,5054		SR = 0,045 P + 0,15		SR = 0,06 L + 1,81		SR = 0,8		SR = 0,121 P/L - 0,018		SR = 2	
W	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77	P	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77	L	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77	G	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77	P/L	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77	I _e	Repeatability limit R = SR × 2,77
10 ⁻⁴ J	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	ml	ml			%	
205	42	80	10	100	31	20,6	2,2	1,55	0,47	67,95	5,54
210	43	82	11	102	32	20,8	2,2	1,60	0,49	68,20	5,54
215	43	84	11	104	32	21	2,2	1,65	0,50	68,45	5,54
220	44	86	11	106	33	21,2	2,2	1,70	0,52	68,70	5,54
225	45	88	11	108	34	21,4	2,2	1,75	0,54	68,95	5,54
230	46	90	12	110	34	21,6	2,2	1,80	0,55	69,20	5,54
235	46	92	12	112	35	21,8	2,2	1,85	0,57	69,45	5,54
240	47	94	12	114	35	22	2,2	1,90	0,59	69,70	5,54
245	48	96	12	116	36	22,2	2,2	1,95	0,60	69,95	5,54
250	49	98	13	118	36	22,4	2,2	2,00	0,62	70,20	5,54
255	49	100	13	120	37	22,6	2,2	2,05	0,64	70,45	5,54
260	50	102	13	122	37	22,8	2,2	2,10	0,65	70,70	5,54
265	51	104	13	124	38	23	2,2	2,15	0,67	70,95	5,54
270	52	106	14	126	39	23,2	2,2	2,20	0,69	71,20	5,54
275	52	108	14	128	39	23,4	2,2	2,25	0,70	71,45	5,54
280	53	110	14	130	40	23,6	2,2	2,30	0,72	71,70	5,54
285	54	112	14	132	40	23,8	2,2	2,35	0,74		
290	55	114	15	134	41	24	2,2	2,40	0,75		
295	55	116	15			24,2	2,2	2,45	0,77		
300	56	118	15			24,4	2,2	2,50	0,79		
305	57	120	15			24,6	2,2	2,55	0,80		
310	57	122	16			24,8	2,2	2,60	0,82		
315	58	124	16			25	2,2	2,65	0,84		
320	59	126	16			25,2	2,2	2,70	0,86		

Table E.4 (continued)

W	Validity range: 83 to 383		P		L		G		P/L		I _e	
	W	Repeatability limit R = s _R × 2,77	P	Repeatability limit R = s _R × 2,77	L	Repeatability limit R = s _R × 2,77	G	Repeatability limit R = s _R × 2,77	P/L	Repeatability limit R = s _R × 2,77	I _e	Repeatability limit R = s _R × 2,77
10 ⁻⁴ J			mm		mm		ml				%	
325	60		128	16			25,4	2,2				
330	60		130	17			25,6	2,2				
335	61		132	17			25,8	2,2				
340	62											
345	63											
350	63											
355	64											
360	65											
365	66											
370	66											
375	67											
380	68											
385	69											

Annex F (informative)


Interlaboratory data for laboratory milled flour

The repeatability and reproducibility limits of the method used for flour obtained from laboratory milling were established by two interlaboratory tests performed in 2001 and 2004 according to ISO 5725-2,^[3] ISO 5725-3^[4], and ISO 5725-6.^[5]

Ten laboratories participated in the first test campaign and 12 in the second. Fourteen wheat samples were analysed and the test was repeated three times for each one. The statistical results of the tests are set out below.

Table F.1 — Statistical results for *W* on laboratory milled flour

Wheat	10	7	8	5	1	2	12	3	11	4	14	9	13	6
No. laboratories	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10
Mean, \bar{W}	82	88	98	109	141	168	170	184	240	242	279	300	380	406
s_r	4	6	6	8	11	11	11	11	14	10	13	20	18	12
CV(<i>r</i>) %	4	6	6	7	8	7	6	6	6	4	5	7	5	3
<i>r</i>	10	16	16	22	31	31	30	30	38	28	36	55	51	34
s_R	8	10	12	8	13	13	16	11	15	19	14	20	29	25
CV(<i>R</i>) %	10	12	12	7	9	8	9	6	6	8	5	7	8	6
<i>R</i>	22	28	33	23	36	37	45	30	41	52	39	54	81	70



Key

\bar{W} mean of W

s_r standard deviation of repeatability

s_R standard deviation of reproducibility

a $s_R; y = 0,0534x + 4,1951; R^2 = 0,8211$ (correlation coefficient).


b $s_r; y = 0,0344x + 3,9038; R^2 = 0,655$ (correlation coefficient).

Figure F.1 — Relationship between precision standard deviations and mean values of W

Figure F.1 shows that the standard deviations of repeatability and reproducibility are dependent on the mean values of W .

Table F.2 — Statistical results for P on laboratory milled flour

Wheat	5	8	10	12	4	7	1	2	3	13	14	6	11	9
No. laboratories	10	10	10	10	9	10	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	10
Mean, \bar{P}	28	30	41	49	55	59	68	68	76	76	84	86	88	108
s_r	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	3	4
$CV(r)$ %	2	4	4	3	3	5	5	3	3	4	3	2	4	4
r	2	4	5	4	4	9	9	5	6	8	7	6	10	11
s_R	2	5	5	6	5	8	5	3	4	7	6	6	8	11
$CV(R)$ %	6	17	11	13	8	14	8	5	5	9	8	7	9	10
R	5	14	13	18	13	23	14	9	10	18	17	17	22	30



Key

\bar{P} mean of P

s_r standard deviation of repeatability

s_R standard deviation of reproducibility

a $s_r; y = 0,0268x + 0,535; R^2 = 0,5658$ (correlation coefficient).

b $s_R; y = 0,0637x + 1,5799; R^2 = 0,4118$ (correlation coefficient).


Figure F.2 — Relationship between precision standard deviations and mean values of P

Figure F.2 shows that the standard deviations of repeatability and reproducibility are dependent on the mean values of P .

Table F.3 — Statistical results for G on laboratory milled flour

Wheat	7	1	3	2	11	9	10	14	8	12	6	5	13	4
No. laboratories	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9
Mean, \bar{G}	13,1	15,5	17,8	18,1	18,9	19,1	19,3	22,7	22,9	24,6	26,9	27,7	28,5	31,1
s_r	0,5	0,8	0,9	0,9	0,6	0,8	0,6	0,7	0,9	1,0	0,6	1,0	1,2	0,7
CV(r) %	4,1	5,1	5,3	4,8	3,0	4,0	3,3	3,2	4,1	4,2	2,3	3,6	4,3	2,4
r	1,5	2,2	2,6	2,4	1,6	2,1	1,8	2,0	2,6	2,9	1,7	2,8	3,4	2,0
s_R	0,9	0,9	0,9	1,0	1,1	1,3	1,2	1,1	1,7	1,6	1,0	1,4	1,7	1,6
CV(R) %	7,0	5,6	5,2	5,3	5,6	6,8	6,3	5,0	7,5	6,5	3,8	5,2	5,9	5,3

Table F.3 (continued)



Key

\bar{G} mean of *G*

s_r standard deviation of repeatability

s_R standard deviation of reproducibility

a $s_r; y = 0,016 x + 0,462 6; R^2 = 0,19$ (correlation coefficient).

b $s_R; y = 0,043 7 x + 1,295 2; R^2 = 0,559 4$ (correlation coefficient).

Figure F.3 — Relationship between precision standard deviations and mean values of *G*


The standard deviations of repeatability and reproducibility had been considered as constant irrespective of the mean of *G* (see [Figure F.3](#)) and based on the conclusion of the two interlaboratory tests.

Table F.4 — Statistical results for *L* on laboratory milled flour

Wheat	7	1	3	2	11	9	10	14	8	12	6	5	13	4
No. laboratories	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9
Mean, \bar{L}	35	49	64	66	73	74	76	104	106	123	147	155	164	195
s_r	3	5	7	6	4	6	5	7	9	10	7	11	14	9
CV(<i>r</i>) %	8	10	11	10	6	8	7	7	8	8	5	7	8	5
<i>r</i>	8	14	19	18	12	16	14	19	24	28	19	30	38	26
s_R	5	5	7	7	8	10	10	10	16	16	11	16	19	21
CV(<i>R</i>) %	14	11	11	11	11	13	13	10	15	13	8	10	12	11

Table F.4 (continued)

<i>R</i>	13	15	19	20	22	28	27	29	44	44	32	44	54	58
----------	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----



Key

\bar{L} mean of *L*

s_r standard deviation of repeatability

s_R standard deviation of reproducibility

a $s_r; y = 0,049 x + 2,347 1; R^2 = 0,644$ (correlation coefficient).


b $s_R; y = 0,099 8 x + 1,331 1; R^2 = 0,857 1$ (correlation coefficient).

Figure F.4 — Relationship between precision standard deviations and mean values of *L*

Figure F.4 shows that the standard deviations of repeatability and reproducibility are dependent on the mean values of *L*.

Table F.5 — Statistical results for *P/L* on laboratory milled flour

Wheat	5	4	8	12	13	10	6	14	2	3	11	1	9	7
No. laboratories	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10
Mean, $\bar{P/L}$	0,18	0,29	0,30	0,41	0,47	0,56	0,59	0,81	1,04	1,19	1,24	1,42	1,49	1,77
<i>s_r</i>	0,02	0,02	0,03	0,04	0,05	0,05	0,03	0,06	0,12	0,15	0,10	0,18	0,16	0,21
CV(<i>r</i>) %	10,28	7,15	9,62	10,66	10,98	8,26	4,72	7,29	11,83	12,87	7,71	12,64	10,60	11,75
<i>r</i>	0,05	0,06	0,08	0,12	0,14	0,13	0,08	0,16	0,34	0,42	0,26	0,50	0,44	0,58
<i>s_R</i>	0,03	0,05	0,09	0,11	0,09	0,12	0,08	0,14	0,14	0,16	0,25	0,22	0,34	0,45
CV(<i>R</i>) %	15,11	17,74	28,88	26,83	17,93	21,58	13,23	16,74	13,90	13,82	20,08	15,46	22,84	25,42
<i>R</i>	0,08	0,14	0,24	0,31	0,24	0,33	0,22	0,38	0,40	0,46	0,69	0,61	0,95	1,25



Key

$\overline{P/L}$ mean of P/L

s_R standard deviation of repeatability

s_R standard deviation of reproducibility

a $s_R; y = 0,121\ 5\ x - 0,015\ 4; R^2 = 0,915\ 1$ (correlation coefficient).


b $s_R; y = 0,210\ 7\ x - 0,015\ 4; R^2 = 0,846\ 4$ (correlation coefficient).

Figure F.5 — Relationship between precision standard deviations and mean values of P/L

Figure F.5 shows that the standard deviations of repeatability and reproducibility are dependent on the mean values of P/L .

Table F.6 — Statistical results for I_e on laboratory milled flour

Wheat	1	4	5	4	6	2	2	8	3	5	7	3	1	6
No. laboratories	—	10	10	9	10	10	10	9	9	10	9	10	8	10
Mean, $\overline{I_e}$	—	35,3	46,9	48,7	50,3	50,9	55,5	56,1	56,7	59,0	60,4	60,5	62,0	62,6
s_R	—	0,71	1,30	0,98	0,61	0,88	0,80	0,64	0,82	0,80	0,86	0,63	1,97	0,58
$CV(r)$ %	—	2,01	2,78	2,01	1,20	1,72	1,43	1,14	1,44	1,35	1,42	1,04	3,17	0,92
r	—	1,96	3,61	2,72	1,68	2,43	2,21	1,77	2,26	2,21	2,39	1,75	5,46	1,60
s_R	—	2,06	3,09	2,19	1,90	2,20	2,25	1,75	1,81	2,09	1,65	1,50	3,42	1,64
$CV(R)$ %	—	5,85	6,58	4,50	3,78	4,31	4,05	3,13	3,19	3,54	2,73	2,48	5,51	2,62
R	—	5,72	8,56	6,07	5,27	6,09	6,23	4,86	5,01	5,79	4,56	4,15	9,47	4,54



Key

\bar{I}_e mean of I_e

s_r standard deviation of repeatability

s_R standard deviation of reproducibility

a $s_r; y = 0,0054 x + 0,5937; R^2 = 0,0124$ (correlation coefficient).


b $s_R; y = -0,0112 x + 2,7252; R^2 = 0,0237$ (correlation coefficient).

Figure F.6 — Relationship between precision standard deviations and mean values of I_e

Figure F.6 shows that the standard deviations of repeatability and reproducibility are considered to be constant irrespective of the mean value of I_e .

Table F.7 — Statistical results for the extraction rate from laboratory milled flour

Wheat	7	14	11	10	12	8	13	2	9	6	3	4	5	1
No. laboratories	9	8	10	8	9	10	9	10	9	10	9	10	10	10
Mean, \overline{ER}	61,7	62,1	63,0	63,4	67,1	68,0	68,7	69,4	70,0	70,7	70,8	71,2	71,4	71,7
s_r	0,9	0,7	1,3	2,1	0,6	1,0	0,7	0,8	0,6	0,5	0,5	0,6	1,0	0,5
CV(r) %	1,5	1,1	2,1	3,3	0,8	1,0	1,1	1,2	0,9	0,8	0,7	0,9	1,3	0,7
r	2,6	1,9	3,7	5,8	1,6	2,0	2,0	2,2	1,7	1,5	1,3	1,7	2,7	1,3
s_R	8,2	3,8	7,5	3,6	5,7	4,0	2,7	3,0	2,8	2,2	2,6	2,4	2,4	2,0
CV(R) %	13,3	6,1	11,9	5,6	8,4	6,0	3,9	4,3	4,1	3,1	3,7	3,3	3,3	2,8
R	22,8	10,5	20,8	9,9	15,7	12,0	7,5	8,3	7,9	6,2	7,3	6,6	6,5	5,5



Key

\overline{ER} mean of ER

s_r standard deviation of repeatability

s_R standard deviation of reproducibility

a $s_r; y = -0,0658x + 5,2859; R^2 = 0,3211$ (correlation coefficient).

b $s_R; y = -0,4179x + 32,134; R^2 = 0,6063$ (correlation coefficient).

Figure F.7 — Relationship between precision standard deviations and mean extraction rate values

The standard deviations of repeatability and reproducibility had been considered as constant irrespective of the mean of extraction rate (see [Figure F.7](#)) and based on the conclusion of the two interlaboratory tests.

Table F.8 — Practical application of repeatability equations for laboratory milled flour

<i>W</i>		<i>P</i>		<i>L</i>	
Validity range:		Validity range:		Validity range:	
80 to 420		30 to 112		35 to 190	
$s_r = 0,034 4 W + 3,903 8$		$s_r = 0,026 8 P + 0,535$		$s_r = 0,049 L + 2,347 1$	
<i>W</i> 10 ⁻⁴]	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)	<i>P</i> mm	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)	<i>L</i> mm	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)
80	18	30	4	35	11
90	19	32	4	49	12
100	20	34	4	45	13
110	21	36	4	50	13
120	22	38	4	55	14
130	23	40	4	60	15
140	24	42	5	65	15
150	25	44	5	70	16
160	26	46	5	75	17
170	27	48	5	80	17
180	28	50	5	85	18
190	29	52	5	90	19
200	30	54	5	95	19
210	31	56	6	100	20
220	32	58	6	105	21
230	33	60	6	110	21
240	34	62	6	115	22
250	35	64	6	120	23
260	36	66	6	125	23
270	37	68	7	130	24
280	37	70	7	135	25
290	38	72	7	140	26
300	39	74	7	145	26
310	40	76	7	150	27
320	41	78	7	155	28
330	42	80	7	160	28
340	43	82	8	165	29
350	44	84	8	170	30
360	45	86	8	175	30
370	46	88	8	180	31
380	47	90	8	185	32
390	48	92	8	190	32
400	49	94	8		
410	50	96	9		
420	51	98	9		
		100	9		
		102	9		
		104	9		
		106	9		
		108	9		

Table F.8 (continued)

<i>W</i>		<i>P</i>		<i>L</i>	
Validity range:		Validity range:		Validity range:	
80 to 420		30 to 112		35 to 190	
$s_r = 0,034 4 W + 3,903 8$		$s_r = 0,026 8 P + 0,535$		$s_r = 0,049 L + 2,347 1$	
W 10^{-4} J	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)	<i>P</i> mm	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)	<i>L</i> mm	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)
		110	10		
		112	10		

Table F.8 — (continued)

<i>G</i>		<i>P</i>		<i>L</i>	
Validity range:		Validity range:		Validity range:	
13,1 to 31,1		0,18 to 1,77		35 to 190	
$s_r = 0,81$		$s_r = 121 5(P/L) - 0,015 4$		$s_r = 0,6$	
<i>G</i>	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)	<i>P/L</i> —	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)	<i>ER</i> %	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)
13,1	2,3	0,18	0,02	35,5	1,6
13,6	2,3	0,20	0,02	36,5	1,6
14,1	2,3	0,25	0,04	37,5	1,6
14,6	2,3	0,30	0,06	38,5	1,6
15,1	2,3	0,35	0,07	39,5	1,6
15,6	2,3	0,40	0,09	40,5	1,6
16,1	2,3	0,45	0,11	41,5	1,6
16,6	2,3	0,50	0,12	42,5	1,6
17,1	2,3	0,55	0,14	43,5	1,6
17,6	2,3	0,60	0,16	44,5	1,6
18,1	2,3	0,65	0,17	45,5	1,6
18,6	2,3	0,70	0,19	46,5	1,6
19,1	2,3	0,75	0,21	47,5	1,6
19,6	2,3	0,80	0,22	48,5	1,6
20,1	2,3	0,85	0,24	49,5	1,6
20,6	2,3	0,90	0,26	50,5	1,6
21,1	2,3	0,95	0,28	51,5	1,6
21,6	2,3	1,00	0,29	52,5	1,6
22,1	2,3	1,05	0,31	53,5	1,6
22,6	2,3	1,10	0,33	54,5	1,6
23,1	2,3	1,15	0,34	55,5	1,6
23,6	2,3	1,20	0,36	56,5	1,6
24,1	2,3	1,25	0,38	57,5	1,6
24,6	2,3	1,30	0,39	58,5	1,6
25,1	2,3	1,35	0,41	59,5	1,6
25,6	2,3	1,40	0,43	60,5	1,6
26,1	2,3	1,45	0,44	61,5	1,6

Table F.8 (continued)

<i>G</i>		<i>P</i>		<i>L</i>	
Validity range:		Validity range:		Validity range:	
13,1 to 31,1		0,18 to 1,77		35 to 190	
$s_r = 0,81$		$s_r = 121,5(P/L) - 0,0154$		$s_r = 0,6$	
<i>G</i>	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)	<i>P/L</i> —	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)	<i>ER</i> %	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)
26,6	2,3	1,50	0,46	62,5	1,6
27,1	2,3	1,55	0,48		
27,6	2,3	1,60	0,49		
28,1	2,3	1,65	0,51		
28,6	2,3	1,70	0,53		
29,1	2,3	1,72	0,53		
29,6	2,3				
30,1	2,3				
30,6	2,3				
31,1	2,3				

Table F.8 — (continued)

<i>ER</i>	
Validity range:	
61,7 to 71,7	
$s_r = 0,8$	
<i>ER</i> %	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)
61,7	2,3
62,0	2,3
62,2	2,3
62,4	2,3
62,7	2,3
63,0	2,3
63,2	2,3
63,4	2,3
63,7	2,3
64,0	2,3
64,2	2,3
64,4	2,3
64,7	2,3
65,0	2,3
65,2	2,3
65,4	2,3
65,7	2,3
66,0	2,3

Table F.8 (continued)

<i>ER</i>	
Validity range:	
61,7 to 71,7	
$s_r = 0,8$	
<i>ER</i> %	Repeatability limit ($r = 2,77 s_r$)
66,2	2,3
66,4	2,3
66,7	2,3
67,0	2,3
67,2	2,3
67,4	2,3
67,7	2,3
68,0	2,3
68,2	2,3
68,4	2,3
68,7	2,3
69,0	2,3
69,2	2,3
69,4	2,3
69,7	2,3
70,0	2,3
70,2	2,3
70,4	2,3
70,7	2,3
71,0	2,3
71,2	2,3
71,4	2,3
71,7	2,3

**Table F.9 — Practical application of reproducibility equations
for laboratory milled flour**

<i>W</i>		<i>P</i>		<i>L</i>	
Validity range:		Validity range:		Validity range:	
80 to 420		30 to 112		35 to 190	
$s_R = 0,053 4 W + 4,195 1$		$s_R = 0,063 7 P + 1,579 9$		$s_R = 0,099 8 L + 1,331 1$	
<i>W</i> 10 ⁻⁴ J	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77 s_R$)	<i>P</i> mm	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77 s_R$)	<i>L</i> mm	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77 s_R$)
80	23	30	10	35	13
90	25	32	10	49	15
100	26	34	10	45	16
110	28	36	11	50	18

Table F.9 (continued)

<i>W</i>		<i>P</i>		<i>L</i>	
Validity range:		Validity range:		Validity range:	
80 to 420		30 to 112		35 to 190	
$s_R = 0,053\ 4\ W + 4,195\ 1$		$s_R = 0,063\ 7\ P + 1,579\ 9$		$s_R = 0,099\ 8\ L + 1,331\ 1$	
<i>W</i> 10 ⁻⁴ J	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77\ s_R$)	<i>P</i> mm	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77\ s_R$)	<i>L</i> mm	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77\ s_R$)
120	29	38	11	55	19
130	31	40	11	60	20
140	32	42	12	65	22
150	34	44	12	70	23
160	35	46	12	75	24
170	37	48	13	80	26
180	38	50	13	85	27
190	40	52	13	90	29
200	41	54	14	95	30
210	43	56	14	100	31
220	44	58	15	105	33
230	46	60	15	110	34
240	47	62	15	115	35
250	49	64	16	120	37
260	50	66	16	125	38
270	52	68	16	130	40
280	53	70	17	135	41
290	55	72	17	140	42
300	56	74	17	145	44
310	57	76	18	150	45
320	59	78	18	155	47
330	60	80	18	160	48
340	62	82	19	165	49
350	63	84	19	170	51
360	65	86	20	175	52
370	66	88	20	180	53
380	68	90	20	185	55
390	69	92	21	190	56

Table F.9 (continued)

<i>W</i>		<i>P</i>		<i>L</i>	
Validity range:		Validity range:		Validity range:	
80 to 420		30 to 112		35 to 190	
$s_R = 0,053\ 4\ W + 4,195\ 1$		$s_R = 0,063\ 7\ P + 1,579\ 9$		$s_R = 0,099\ 8\ L + 1,331\ 1$	
<i>W</i> 10 ⁻⁴ J	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77\ s_R$)	<i>P</i> mm	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77\ s_R$)	<i>L</i> mm	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77\ s_R$)
400	71	94	21		
410	72	96	21		
420	74	98	22		
		100	22		
		102	22		
		104	23		
		106	23		
		108	24		
		110	24		
		112	24		

Table F.9 — (continued)

<i>G</i>		<i>P/L</i>		<i>I_e</i>	
Validity range:		Validity range:		Validity range:	
13,1 to 31,1		0,18 to 1,77		35,3 to 62,6	
$s_R = 1,25$		$s_R = 0,210\ 7(P/L) - 0,015\ 4$		$s_R = 2,7$	
<i>G</i> —	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77\ s_R$)	<i>P/L</i> —	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77\ s_R$)	<i>ER</i> %	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77\ s_R$)
13,1	3,5	0,18	0,06	35,5	7,5
13,6	3,5	0,20	0,07	36,5	7,5
14,1	3,5	0,25	0,10	37,5	7,5
14,6	3,5	0,30	0,13	38,5	7,5
15,1	3,5	0,35	0,16	39,5	7,5
15,6	3,5	0,40	0,19	40,5	7,5
16,1	3,5	0,45	0,22	41,5	7,5
16,6	3,5	0,50	0,25	42,5	7,5
17,1	3,5	0,55	0,28	43,5	7,5
17,6	3,5	0,60	0,30	44,5	7,5
18,1	3,5	0,65	0,33	45,5	7,5
18,6	3,5	0,70	0,36	46,5	7,5
19,1	3,5	0,75	0,39	47,5	7,5
19,6	3,5	0,80	0,42	48,5	7,5
20,1	3,5	0,85	0,45	49,5	7,5
20,6	3,5	0,90	0,48	50,5	7,5
21,1	3,5	0,95	0,51	51,5	7,5
21,6	3,5	1,00	0,54	52,5	7,5
22,1	3,5	1,05	0,57	53,5	7,5
22,6	3,5	1,10	0,60	54,5	7,5

Table F.9 (continued)

<i>G</i>		<i>P/L</i>		<i>I_e</i>	
Validity range:		Validity range:		Validity range:	
13,1 to 31,1		0,18 to 1,77		35,3 to 62,6	
<i>s_R</i> = 1,25		<i>s_R</i> = 0,210 7(<i>P/L</i>) - 0,015 4		<i>s_R</i> = 2,7	
<i>G</i>	Reproducibility limit (<i>R</i> = 2,77 <i>s_R</i>)	<i>P/L</i>	Reproducibility limit (<i>R</i> = 2,77 <i>s_R</i>)	<i>ER</i> %	Reproducibility limit (<i>R</i> = 2,77 <i>s_R</i>)
—		—			
23,1	3,5	1,15	0,63	55,5	7,5
23,6	3,5	1,20	0,65	56,5	7,5
24,1	3,5	1,25	0,68	57,5	7,5
24,6	3,5	1,30	0,71	58,5	7,5
25,1	3,5	1,35	0,74	59,5	7,5
25,6	3,5	1,40	0,77	60,5	7,5
26,1	3,5	1,45	0,80	61,5	7,5
26,6	3,5	1,50	0,83	62,5	7,5
27,1	3,5	1,55	0,86		
27,6	3,5	1,60	0,89		
28,1	3,5	1,65	0,92		
28,6	3,5	1,70	0,95		
29,1	3,5	1,72	0,96		
29,6	3,5				
30,1	3,5				
30,6	3,5				
31,1	3,5				

Table F.9 — (continued)

<i>ER</i>	
Validity range:	
61,7 to 71,7	
$s_R = 3,8$	
<i>ER</i> %	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77 s_R$)
61,7	10,5
62,0	10,5
62,2	10,5
62,4	10,5
62,7	10,5
63,0	10,5
63,2	10,5
63,4	10,5
63,7	10,5
64,0	10,5
64,2	10,5
64,4	10,5
64,7	10,5
65,0	10,5
65,2	10,5
65,4	10,5
65,7	10,5
66,0	10,5
66,2	10,5
66,4	10,5
66,7	10,5
67,0	10,5
67,2	10,5
67,4	10,5
67,7	10,5
68,0	10,5
68,2	10,5
68,4	10,5
68,7	10,5
69,0	10,5
69,2	10,5
69,4	10,5
69,7	10,5
70,0	10,5
70,2	10,5
70,4	10,5

Table F.9 (continued)

<i>ER</i>	
Validity range:	
61,7 to 71,7	
$s_R = 3,8$	
<i>ER</i> %	Reproducibility limit ($R = 2,77 s_R$)
70,7	10,5
71,0	10,5
71,2	10,5
71,4	10,5
71,7	10,5

Annex G (informative)

Routine maintenance instructions for the alveograph⁶⁾

G.1 Before every test

G.1.1 Clean the kneading chamber, the extraction aperture and the accessories (resting plate, sheeting table, kneader blade, etc.).

G.1.2 Check the following:

- a) the laboratory temperature;
- b) the kneading machine temperature;
- c) the alveograph temperature.

G.2 Every day

G.2.1 Each morning, check the alveograph air flow setting.

G.2.2 Carefully clean the apparatus and its accessories, including the extraction aperture, in order to remove all dry dough (with a cloth or a sponge, not with a metal tool).

G.2.3 After the last test of the working day, detach the kneader blade. Pay special attention to the arm and then oil it with the oil used for the alveograph test. Clean the kneading machine bearing using your finger and a cloth and then replace the kneader blade.

G.3 Every week

G.3.1 Clean the float pen. This pen should be immersed in alcohol on the eve of non-working days.

G.3.2 Remove all grease from the alveograph and its accessories (resting plate, sheeting table, roller, etc.) thoroughly with a detergent. A domestic washing-up detergent is sufficient for this purpose.

G.3.3 Check that the distance between the kneader blade and the bottom of the bowl is less than 0,3 mm and, if necessary, lubricate the bearing used to attach it to the motor.

G.4 Every month

G.4.1 Clean the manometer tank with a brush and change the water.

G.4.2 Clean the manometer tube. Remove the manometer tank (see [Figure 3](#)) and take out the plug sealing the lower part of the tank. Empty out all the water and thoroughly clean the inside surface with a swab brush.

⁶⁾ See References [8] and [9].

G.4.3 Clean the burette (5.8) with distilled water and lubricate the tap with petroleum jelly.

G.4.4 Check that the burette (5.8) can deliver a volume of solution equivalent to a 15 % flour moisture content in (25 ± 5) s.

G.4.5 Remove all grease from the rest chambers (5.7) using a detergent.

G.5 Every year

G.5.1 Change the manometer monoblock float.

G.5.2 Change the lower plug.

G.5.3 Check that dust or other foreign objects are not obstructing the ventilation holes. Use bursts of dry air to remove any obstruction.

G.5.4 Check the accuracy of burette water delivery with distilled water.

Annex H (informative)

Assessment of proteolytic activity in wheat (*T. aestivum* L.) or flour

H.1 General

This technique is used in some European countries to assess proteolytic activity in flour to aid the detection of flours damaged for example by heteropterous insects (see References [10], [11], and [12]).

H.2 Principle

The detection of proteolytic activity in breadmaking wheat flour, using an alveograph, with normal and extended resting time permits the identification of dough breakdown that might be caused by insects (particularly of the order Heteroptera).

H.3 Reagents

As specified in [Clause 4](#).

H.4 Apparatus

As specified in [Clause 5](#).

H.5 Sampling and sample preparation

As specified in [Clause 6](#), [Clause 7](#), and [Clause 8](#).

H.6 Preparation and alveograph test

As specified in [Clause 9](#) with the following modifications.

H.6.1 Test the dough test pieces obtained during the first, third and fifth extrusions (see [9.4](#)), 28 min after the start of kneading.

Keep the dough test pieces obtained during the second and fourth extrusions (see [9.4](#)) on the resting plate in the thermostatically controlled compartment at 25 °C.

Test these two dough test pieces 2 h after the start of kneading.

H.6.2 Expression of alveograph results

The values obtained after the 2 h rest period shall be considered results of a technological test and shall be recorded as follows:

- L_1 , expressed to the nearest whole millimetre;
- W_1 , expressed to the nearest whole unit of 10^{-4} J.

An estimate of this activity can be obtained by using Formulae (H.1) and (H.2):

- Proteolytic activity, A , expressed as a percentage:

$$A = [(W - W_1) / W] \times 100 \quad (\text{H.1})$$

- Modification of L , ΔL , expressed as a percentage:

$$\Delta L = [(L - L_1) / L] \times 100 \quad (\text{H.2})$$

NOTE The addition of additives, e.g. enzyme preparations or reducing agents, could have similar effects.

Bibliography

- [1] ISO 24333, *Cereals and cereal products — Sampling*
- [2] ISO 2171, *Cereals, pulses and by-products — Determination of ash yield by incineration*
- [3] ISO 5725-2, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results — Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method*
- [4] ISO 5725-3, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results — Part 3: Intermediate measures of the precision of a standard measurement method*
- [5] ISO 5725-6, *Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results — Part 6: Use in practice of accuracy values*
- [6] ISO 80000-9, *Quantities and units — Part 9: Physical chemistry and molecular physics*
- [7] ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, *Uncertainty of measurement – Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)*
- [8] DUBOIS, M., DUBAT, A. et LAUNAY, B. *The Alveograph Handbook*. American Association of Cereal Chemists, U.S.A., Second Edition, 2008
- [9] DUBOIS, M., DEHOVE, G. *Recommendations for the correct use of the alveograph* (Conseils pour une utilisation correcte de l'alvéographe). BIPEA, Paris, 1989
- [10] Actividad proteolítica. H-80277-A. Ministerio del Aire. BOE (n 207, 28-08-79). Orden de presidencia de Gobierno 31-07-79
- [11] BERGER M., GRANDVOINNET P., de LA GUÉRIVIÈRE J.F., BUSSIÈRE G. Tests de rhéologie pratique utilisables pour l'appréciation des activités protéolitiques. *Ann. Technol. Agric.* 1974, **23** pp. 233–239
- [12] ROSELL C.M., AJA S., BEAN S., LOOKHART G. Effect of *Aelia* and *Eurygaster* damage on wheat proteins. *Cereal Chem.* 2002, **79** pp. 801–805
- [13] ISO 385, *Laboratory glassware — Burettes*
- [14] ISO 835, *Laboratory glassware — Graduated pipettes*
- [15] ISO 1042, *Laboratory glassware — One-mark volumetric flasks*
- [16] ISO 7700-1, *Food products — Checking the performance of moisture meters in use — Part 1: Moisture meters for cereals*

British Standards Institution (BSI)

BSI is the national body responsible for preparing British Standards and other standards-related publications, information and services.

BSI is incorporated by Royal Charter. British Standards and other standardization products are published by BSI Standards Limited.

About us

We bring together business, industry, government, consumers, innovators and others to shape their combined experience and expertise into standards-based solutions.

The knowledge embodied in our standards has been carefully assembled in a dependable format and refined through our open consultation process. Organizations of all sizes and across all sectors choose standards to help them achieve their goals.

Information on standards

We can provide you with the knowledge that your organization needs to succeed. Find out more about British Standards by visiting our website at bsigroup.com/standards or contacting our Customer Services team or Knowledge Centre.

Buying standards

You can buy and download PDF versions of BSI publications, including British and adopted European and international standards, through our website at bsigroup.com/shop, where hard copies can also be purchased.

If you need international and foreign standards from other Standards Development Organizations, hard copies can be ordered from our Customer Services team.

Subscriptions

Our range of subscription services are designed to make using standards easier for you. For further information on our subscription products go to bsigroup.com/subscriptions.

With **British Standards Online (BSOL)** you'll have instant access to over 55,000 British and adopted European and international standards from your desktop. It's available 24/7 and is refreshed daily so you'll always be up to date.

You can keep in touch with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards, both in single copy and subscription format, by becoming a **BSI Subscribing Member**.

PLUS is an updating service exclusive to BSI Subscribing Members. You will automatically receive the latest hard copy of your standards when they're revised or replaced.

To find out more about becoming a BSI Subscribing Member and the benefits of membership, please visit bsigroup.com/shop.

With a **Multi-User Network Licence (MUNL)** you are able to host standards publications on your intranet. Licences can cover as few or as many users as you wish. With updates supplied as soon as they're available, you can be sure your documentation is current. For further information, email bsmusales@bsigroup.com.

BSI Group Headquarters

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL UK

Revisions

Our British Standards and other publications are updated by amendment or revision.

We continually improve the quality of our products and services to benefit your business. If you find an inaccuracy or ambiguity within a British Standard or other BSI publication please inform the Knowledge Centre.

Copyright

All the data, software and documentation set out in all British Standards and other BSI publications are the property of and copyrighted by BSI, or some person or entity that owns copyright in the information used (such as the international standardization bodies) and has formally licensed such information to BSI for commercial publication and use. Except as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 no extract may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without prior written permission from BSI. Details and advice can be obtained from the Copyright & Licensing Department.

Useful Contacts:

Customer Services

Tel: +44 845 086 9001

Email (orders): orders@bsigroup.com

Email (enquiries): cservices@bsigroup.com

Subscriptions

Tel: +44 845 086 9001

Email: subscriptions@bsigroup.com

Knowledge Centre

Tel: +44 20 8996 7004

Email: knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com

Copyright & Licensing

Tel: +44 20 8996 7070

Email: copyright@bsigroup.com



...making excellence a habit.™