**BS EN ISO 21254-3:2011**



BSI Standards Publication

# **Lasers and laser-related equipment — Test methods for laser-induced damage threshold**

Part 3: Assurance of laser power (energy) handling capabilities (ISO 21254-3:2011)

NO COPYING WITHOUT BSI PERMISSION EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW



*raising standards worldwide™*

#### **National foreword**

This British Standard is the UK implementation of EN ISO 21254-3:2011. It supersedes [BS EN ISO 11254-3:2006](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/19972509) which is withdrawn.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee CPW/172/9, Electro-optical systems.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© BSI 2011

ISBN 978 0 580 53000 5

ICS 31.260

#### **Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 September 2011.

#### **Amendments issued since publication**

Date Text affected

## EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM

## **[EN ISO 21254-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30166854U)**

July 2011

ICS 31.260 Supersedes [EN ISO 11254-3:2006](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/19972509)

English Version

## Lasers and laser-related equipment - Test methods for laserinduced damage threshold - Part 3: Assurance of laser power (energy) handling capabilities (ISO 21254-3:2011)

Lasers et équipements associés aux lasers - Méthodes d'essai du seuil d'endommagement provoqué par laser - Partie 3: Possibilités de traitement par puissance (énergie) laser (ISO 21254-3:2011)

 Laser und Laseranlagen - Prüfverfahren für die laserinduzierte Zerstörschwelle - Teil 3: Zertifizierung der Belastbarkeit hinsichtlich Laserleistung (-energie) (ISO 21254-3:2011)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 14 July 2011.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

**Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels** 

## **Foreword**

This document (EN ISO 21254-3:2011) has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 172 "Optics and photonics" in collaboration with Technical Committee CEN/TC 123 "Lasers and photonics" the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by January 2012, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by January 2012.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document supersedes [EN ISO 11254-3:2006.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/19972509)

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

#### **Endorsement notice**

The text of ISO 21254-3:2011 has been approved by CEN as a EN ISO 21254-3:2011 without any modification.

## **Contents**



## <span id="page-5-0"></span>**Foreword**

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

[ISO 21254-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30166854U) was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 172, *Optics and photonics*, Subcommittee SC 9, *Electro-optical systems*.

This first edition of ISO 21254-3:2011 cancels and replaces [ISO 11254-3:2006](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/19972509), which has been technically revised.

[ISO 21254](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO21254) consists of the following parts, under the general title *Lasers and laser-related equipment — Test methods for laser-induced damage threshold*:

- ⎯ *Part 1: Definitions and general principles*
- ⎯ *Part 2: Threshold determination*
- ⎯ *Part 3: Assurance of laser power (energy) handling capabilities*
- ⎯ *Part 4: Inspection, detection and measurement* [Technical Report]

## <span id="page-6-0"></span>**Introduction**

This part of [ISO 21254](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO21254) describes two methods of verifying the power density (energy density) handling capability of optical components, both coated and uncoated.

The methods will give consistent measurement results and can therefore be used for acceptance testing or to produce results which can be compared between test laboratories.

The methods are applicable to all combinations of laser wavelengths and pulse lengths. Comparison of laser damage threshold data can, however, be misleading unless the measurements have been carried out at identical wavelengths and pulse lengths.

BS EN ISO 21254-3:2011

## **[Lasers and laser-related equipment — Test methods for laser](#page-8-3)induced damage threshold —**

## <span id="page-8-3"></span>[Part 3:](#page-8-3)  **Assurance of laser power (energy) handling capabilities**

**WARNING — The extrapolation of damage data can lead to an overestimation of the laser-induced**  damage threshold. In the case of toxic materials (e.g. ZnSe, GaAs, CdTe, ThF<sub>4</sub>, chalcogenides, Be, **Cr, Ni), this can lead to serious health hazards. See [ISO 21254-1:2011,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30166848) Annex A, for further comments.** 

### <span id="page-8-0"></span>**1 Scope**

This part of [ISO 21254](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO21254) specifies two methods of verifying the power density (energy density) handling capability of optical surfaces.

The first method provides a rigorous test that fulfils the requirements at a specified confidence level in the knowledge of potential defects.

The second method provides a simple, and hence inexpensive, test for an empirically derived test level.

### <span id="page-8-1"></span>**2 Normative references**

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

[ISO 11145](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/00724762U), *Optics and photonics — Lasers and laser-related equipment — Vocabulary and symbols*

[ISO 21254-1:2011](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30166848), *Lasers and laser-related equipment — Test methods for laser-induced damage threshold — Part 1: Definitions and general principles*

### <span id="page-8-2"></span>**3 Terms and definitions**

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in [ISO 11145](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/00724762U) and [ISO 21254-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30166848U) and the following apply.

#### **3.1**

#### **assurance level**

φ

energy density/power density/linear power density of the laser radiation incident on the optical surface of the component being tested

#### **3.2**

#### **assurance area**

*A*φ

area over which the value of  $H(x,y,z)$  is equal to or greater than the assurance level  $\phi$ 

## **3.3**

### **confidence level**

γ

probability of successful completion of the assurance test

## **3.4**

### **flat-top beam**

beam which has a broad area of nearly constant peak intensity (or fluence)

## **3.5**

## **fraction of test area to be exposed**

*f*test

proportion of the total area of the optical component which has to be interrogated to achieve a certain confidence level

### **3.6**

### **area to be tested**

*A*test

area of the optical component which has to be interrogated to achieve a certain confidence level

### **3.7**

## **horizontal overlap**

 $\varOmega_{\sf X}$ 

proportion of overlapping beam area of two consecutive pulses in direction x

## **3.8**

## **vertical overlap**

 $\varOmega_{\rm v}$ 

proportion of overlapping beam area of two consecutive pulses in direction y

### **3.9**

## **distance between test sites**

 $d_{\text{te}}$ 

separation of test sites

## <span id="page-9-0"></span>**4 Symbols and units of measurement**

The symbols and units of measurement are compiled in Table 1. In addition, the terms and definitions given in [ISO 21254-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30166848U) apply.



## **Table 1 — Symbols and units of measurement**

## <span id="page-10-0"></span>**5 Test methods**

### <span id="page-10-1"></span>**5.1 Principle**

This part of [ISO 21254](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO21254) provides methods that will give a high level of confidence in the power density (energy density) handling capability of the component tested.

The methods can be used in a wide variety of applications, including: non-destructive testing, witness sampling, lot sampling and sub-aperture inspection. The level of confidence that the component does not contain a defect with a lower damage threshold than the acceptable irradiation strength increases with the percentage fraction of the area tested. These confidence levels are discussed in Annexes B and C.

Discussions shall be held between the test house and the user/component manufacturer to define the confidence level required and the number of shots per site (1-on-1 or S-on-1 testing) and the pulse-repetition frequency at which the tests are to be carried out.

This will define parameters such as the assurance area,  $A_{\phi}$  the distance between test sites,  $d_{\text{fs}}$ , and the total number of sites,  $N_{\text{te}}$ , to be irradiated.

The apparatus for, general principles of and sampling for laser-induced damage testing are described in [ISO 21254-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30166848U). A laser system with a suitable beam preparation system delivering laser radiation with a reproducible flat-top spatial profile is required for the assurance of laser power (energy) handling capabilities.

In this test, sampled test sites on the specimen surface are irradiated at an agreed or specified irradiation strength, irradiating in sequence a fraction of the specimen area and verifying that no damage is observed. Enough test sites on the optical surface under test shall be irradiated so that a given confidence level can be established.

Since the observation of any damage during a test constitutes a failure, this test can be non-destructive for parts for which this is considered acceptable.

The microscopic examination of the test site before and after irradiation is used to detect any damage.

The fluence-handling capability of an optical surface under irradiation by short pulsed lasers is usually expressed in units of energy density, i.e. joules per square centimetre.

The power-handling capability of an optical surface under irradiation by cw (continuous-wave) lasers or quasi-cw lasers is usually expressed in units of linear power density, i.e. watts per centimetre. The proper physical parameter and units for scaling results obtained with quasi-cw and cw-lasers is the linear power density, expressed in watts per centimetre.

#### <span id="page-10-2"></span>**5.2 Test methods**

#### **5.2.1 General**

In tests that sample the ability of a specimen to withstand laser irradiation, it is possible to define two types of test.

The first, a type 1 test, allows the determination of a confidence level that permits no more than a certain number of defects to exist within the area tested. The type 1 test is described in 5.2.2.

The second, a type 2 test, is designed usually empirically, to be used on a specific specimen for a specific use. Such tests are employed to provide cost-effective screening at a high rate in an industrial environment. It should be noted that such empirically derived tests were the first widely used laser damage tests in production systems. The criteria that need to be specified to define a type 2 test are given in 5.2.3.

#### **5.2.2 Type 1 test**

Depending on the application, select the assurance level,  $\phi$ , the confidence level,  $\gamma$ , and the number of defects,  $N_{\rm d}$ , per specimen (usually the responsibility of the user).

Use Figure 1 to determine the fraction,  $f_{\text{test}}$ , of the area to be tested,  $A_{\text{test}}$ , that is to be exposed.

Determine (by measurement)  $A_{\phi}$  from the power-density or energy-density profile of the irradiating beam in the target plane.

Determine the number of interrogations,  $N_{\text{ts}}$ , that will need be made to expose the fraction  $f_{\text{test}}$  of the surface under test:

$$
N_{\text{ts}} = \frac{A_{\text{test}} \cdot f_{\text{test}}}{A_{\phi}} \tag{1}
$$

Determine the distance between test sites,  $d_{\text{fs}}$ , for hexagonal close-packed arrays and for square arrays:

$$
d_{\text{ts}} = \sqrt{\frac{2A_{\text{test}}}{N_{\text{ts}}\sqrt{3}}}
$$
 for hexagonal close packed arrays (2)  

$$
d_{\text{ts}} = \sqrt{\frac{A_{\text{test}}}{N_{\text{ts}}}}
$$
 for square arrays (3)

Calculate the overlap,  $\Omega_{\rm v}$ :

$$
\Omega_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\iint H(x, y) \cdot H(x - d_{\mathsf{ts}}, y) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y}{\iint H(x, y)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y} \tag{4}
$$

It might not be possible in all cases to perform an unconditioned assurance test, i.e.  $\Omega_x$  or  $\Omega_y$  << 1. Also note that, if  $H(x,y)$  is significantly unsymmetric, it is necessary to calculate  $\Omega$ <sub>v</sub> and to consider using a different site spacing in the x- and y-directions:

$$
\Omega_{\mathsf{y}} = \frac{\iint H(x, y) \cdot H(x, y - d_{\mathsf{ts}}) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y}{\iint H(x, y)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y} \tag{5}
$$

Irradiate the optical surface under test step by step for  $N_{\text{ts}}$  test sites. Each test site shall be separated by a distance corresponding to that in a hexagonal closed-packed array with a lattice constant of  $d_{\text{ts}}$ . For an S-on-1 test, each test site shall be irradiated with the required number of pulses for the particular application. If there is damage at any site, the part is considered to have failed the test and shall be disposed of accordingly. If the part under test resists irradiation (no damage at any site), then it is considered to have passed the test for the particular test parameters used.



#### **Figure 1 — Operating-characteristic curve**

NOTE The derivation of the curve in Figure 1, called the operating-characteristic (OC) curve, is based on a defectdominated damage mechanism. Details of the derivation of the OC curve are given in Annex C.

#### **5.2.3 Type 2 test**

In order to specify a type 2 test, the following parameters shall be specified and controlled:

- assurance level,  $\phi$ ;
- area over which at least this assurance level applies,  $A_{\phi}$ ;
- number of spots tested, N<sub>ts</sub>;
- number of shots to which each spot is exposed, *S*;
- pulse-repetition frequency in an S-on-1 test,  $f_p$ ;
- distance between test sites,  $d_{\text{ts}}$ .

If the user does not specify these parameters, then the testing laboratory shall use the maximum spot area at which a sufficient level of irradiation for assurance can be produced. The test laboratory shall also propose an irradiation pattern without spot overlap (e.g. 10 rows of 10 discrete spots over the centre of the area to be tested).

An example of a defined type 2 test is given in the latter part of Annex B.

NOTE The type 2 test has been shown to have a high degree of utility in industrial (large-scale) applications.

## <span id="page-13-0"></span>**6 Accuracy**

A calibration error budget as outlined in [ISO 21254-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30166848U) shall be prepared to determine the overall accuracy of the measurement facility. Variations in the pulse-repetition rate, total energy or beam power, spatial profile and temporal profile shall be included in the error budget.

## <span id="page-13-1"></span>**7 Test report**

For the purpose of documenting and presenting the measurement data, the test report shall include the information specified in [ISO 21254-1:2011,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30166848) Clause 8, items a) to c), the type of assurance test which was performed, plus the following information.

- a) Test conditions:
	- ⎯ source parameter settings;
	- assurance test area:
	- pulse energy;
	- ⎯ pulse duration;
	- pulse-repetition rate.
- b) Test results:
	- whether the part tested passed or failed the test;
	- ⎯ if the result was a failure, then a photomicrograph should be included showing the damaged site.

A test report containing the test specifications and the test results shall be prepared and supplied to the user. An example is given in Annex A.

## **Annex A**

## <span id="page-14-0"></span>(informative)

## **Example of a test report**

## **Assurance of energy-handling capability in accordance with [ISO 21254-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30166854U)**

### **Test institute**



## **Test specification**

### **Laser parameters**





#### **Key**

- X time, in nanoseconds
- Y power, in arbitrary units





#### **Key**

- X length scale, in millimetres
- Y length scale, in millimetres
- Z fluence, in arbitrary units



### **Error budget**



### **Test procedure**





**Figure A.3 — Arrangement of test sites** 

## **Annex B**

<span id="page-17-0"></span>(informative)

## **Notes on use**

### **B.1 General**

This annex discusses the use of this part of [ISO 21254,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO21254) from a typical note giving instructions to the test laboratory to the testing of the specimen in question and the resulting test report.

A typical note giving instructions on the assurance test could be as follows:

"*Coated optical surface to be certified at 10 J/cm2 to 95 % confidence that there are no more than 2 damage-initiation sites over the clear aperture. The clear aperture for this part has an area of 10 cm2.*"

## **B.2 Type 1 test**

The parameters of the assurance test are given in the instructions in the note given in the last two sentences of Clause B.1.

**Step 1**: Determine the fraction of the test area,  $f_{\text{test}}$ , to be interrogated at the test level of 10 J/cm<sup>2</sup> to certify to a 95 % level of confidence that there are no more than 2 damage-initiation sites. To determine ftest, consult Figure 1. Using Figure B.1, make the following construction. Draw a horizontal line (A) with the value 0,05, this value being the complement of the confidence (which can also be called the risk). Continue this line until it intersects the curve corresponding to  $N_d = 2$ , i.e. point P. Then construct a line (B), perpendicular to A, through P. The intersection of B with the horizontal axis is the fraction of the surface area that should be interrogated, i.e. 0,77 or 77 %. Figure B.1 illustrates this process.

**Step 2**: Determine the area of the laser test spot that is at or above 10 J/cm<sup>2</sup>,  $A_{10}$ . The determination of  $A_{10}$ requires knowledge of the spatial profile, *H*(*y*,*z*). For the purposes of this example, it is assumed that the spatial fluence profile is an ideal "top-hat" distribution of radius 1 mm. Specifically,

$$
H(y,z) = \frac{H_0}{0} \quad \text{if} \quad \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} \le 1 \text{ mm}
$$
\n
$$
\text{(B.1)}
$$
\n
$$
\text{(B.1)}
$$

For this fluence distribution,  $A_{10}$  is  $\pi$  mm<sup>2</sup>, i.e. 0,031 cm<sup>2</sup>.



P intersection between line A and line B

#### **Figure B.1 — Fraction of surface required to be tested for a confidence level of** γ**,**  for various values of  $N_d$

**Step 3**: The next step is the calculation of the number of interrogation sites,  $N_{ts}$ , required to expose 77 % of the clear aperture area,  $A_{\text{test}}$ , to 10 J/cm<sup>2</sup>:

$$
N_{\text{ts}} = \frac{A_{\text{test}} f_{\text{test}}}{A_{10}} = 249 \tag{B.2}
$$

**Step 4**: Determination of the spacing between the interrogation sites is the next step. The most efficient arrangement of a two-dimensional lattice is a hexagonal closed-packed array, in which each site has six neighbours, each of which is equidistant. If the distance between test sites is denoted by  $d_{\text{ts}}$ ,

$$
d_{\text{ts}} = \sqrt{\frac{2A_{\text{test}}}{N_{\text{ts}}\sqrt{3}}}
$$
 for hexagonal close-packed arrays (B.3)  

$$
d_{\text{ts}} = \sqrt{\frac{A_{\text{test}}}{N_{\text{ts}}}}
$$
 for square arrays (B.4)

**Step 5**: Solving for  $d_{\text{te}}$  in the present case gives a centre-to-centre distance of 1,9 mm for a hexagonal closepacked array.

**Step 6**: In the present case, there is no overlap as  $d_{\text{ts}}$  is greater than the spot diameter. Thus  $\Omega = 0$ .

**Step 7**: Exposure of the part is the final step in the procedure. The part is interrogated 249 times with a distance of 1,9 mm between sites, and each site is monitored for damage. If all sites are exposed without any damage being observed, the part is certified as passing the test.

## **B.3 Type 2 test**

In the case of a type 2 test, the following parameters are fixed:

- a) the assurance level,  $\phi$ ;
- b) the assurance area,  $A_{\phi}$ ;
- c) the number of interrogations,  $N_{\text{ts}}$ ;
- d) the number of shots per interrogation site, *S*;
- e) the pulse-repetition frequency,  $f_p$ , if  $S > 1$ ;
- f) the distance between the test sites,  $d_{\text{ts}}$ .

For the purposes of this example, consider the design of a test on a hypothetical 5 cm by 5 cm window.

This part of [ISO 21254](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO21254) gives the process and parameters that should be specified to achieve a repeatable result. It does not give guidance on the values of the parameters for a type 2 test. This is the responsibility of the user, manufacturer or test organization.

For the 5 cm by 5 cm window, the user has defined the following values:

- a) the assurance level,  $\phi = 10$  J/cm<sup>2</sup>;
- b) the assurance area,  $A_{\phi} = 15$  mm<sup>2</sup>;
- c) the number of interrogations,  $N_{\text{ts}} = 5$ ;
- d) the number of shots per interrogation site,  $S = 200$ ;
- e) the pulse-repetition frequency,  $f_p = 20$  Hz;
- f) the distance between the test sites, the maximum possible.

In the case of this hypothetical test, the window being tested is exposed initially at site 1 to 200 shots (see Figure B.2). If no damage is observed, then the laser is repositioned to site 2, where again 200 shots are fired. This process continues until either site 5 has received its 200 shots or damage is observed.

### BS EN ISO 21254-3:2011 **ISO 21254-3:2011(E)**

Dimensions in millimetres



**Figure B.2 — Type 2 test spot layout (typical)** 

## **Annex C**

## <span id="page-21-0"></span>(informative)

## **Details of the derivation of the operating-characteristic curve**

This annex provides details of the derivation of the operating-characteristic curve relating the probability of a successful test to the fraction of the test area interrogated, characterized by the number of damage sites, N<sub>d</sub>.

The derivation begins with the derivation of the probability of damage on the first shot, denoted  $P(D_1)$ , which is given by:

$$
P(D_1) = 1 - e^{-\frac{-A_{\phi} N_d}{A_{\text{test}}}}
$$
(C.1)

The probability of survival (no damage) on the first shot, denoted  $P(ND_1)$ , is the complement of  $P(D_1)$ , namely:

$$
P(ND_1) = e^{\frac{-A_{\phi}N_d}{A_{\text{test}}}}
$$
(C.2)

The probability of survival on the second shot is the product of the probabilities of survival on the first and second shots. The value of  $P(ND<sub>2</sub>)$  is given by:

$$
P(ND_2) = e^{\frac{-A_{\phi}N_d}{A_{\text{test}} - A_{\phi}}}
$$
(C.3)

The reason for subtracting  $A_{\phi}$  is that there are no defects in the first spot interrogated, therefore the  $N_{d}$  defects are in the remaining fraction of  $A_{\text{test}}$ . So the probability of survival on the second shot,  $P(S_2)$ , is given by:

$$
P(S_2) = P(ND_1) \cdot P(ND_2) = e^{-\frac{-A_{\phi}N_d}{A_{\text{test}}} \cdot e^{\frac{-A_{\phi}N_d}{A_{\text{test}} - A_{\phi}}}}
$$
(C.4)

From Equation (C.4), it is clear that the value of  $P(S_n)$ , the probability of survival on the *n*th shot, is given by:

$$
P(S_n) = e^{\frac{-A_{\phi}N_d}{A_{\text{test}}} \cdot e^{\frac{-A_{\phi}N_d}{A_{\text{test}} - A_{\phi}}} \dots e^{\frac{-A_{\phi}N_d}{A_{\text{test}} - (n-1)A_{\phi}}}}
$$
(C.5)

Equation (C.5) can be shown to be dimensionless by factoring out  $A_{\text{test}}$  from the exponents, as follows:

$$
P(S_n) = e^{-\frac{A_{\phi}}{A_{\text{test}}} N_{\text{d}}}
$$
\n
$$
P(S_n) = e^{-\frac{A_{\phi}}{A_{\text{test}}}} \cdot e^{-\frac{A_{\phi}}{A_{\text{test}}}} \dots e^{-\frac{A_{\phi}}{A_{\text{test}}}}
$$
\n(C.6)

Letting *u* = test *A*  $\frac{A_{\phi}}{A_{\text{test}}}$ , i.e. the area of the laser spot relative to the area to be tested, it can be seen that *u* is the

reciprocal of the number of interrogations needed to expose  $A_{test}$ . So the quantity  $(n - 1)u$  is the fraction of the total area to be tested that has been interrogated (up to the *n*th interrogation). Thus, Equation (C.6) can be written as follows:

$$
P(S_n) = e^{-uN_d} \cdot e^{\frac{-uN_d}{1-u}} \dots e^{\frac{-uN_d}{1-(n-1)u}}
$$
 (C.7)

 $1-\gamma$  $10<sup>0</sup>$  $10^{-7}$  $10<sup>2</sup>$  $10^{-3}$  $10^{-4}$  $\times$  $\overline{0}$  $10$ 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 **Key**  X percentage of the area tested 1 defect 10 defects 2 defects 30 defects 5 defects 50 defects 7 defects 200 and 200

A plot of Equation (C.7) is given in Figure C.1.

#### **Figure C.1 — Operating-characteristic curve**

Figure C.1 shows that  $P(S_n)$  will vary strongly with the number of defects and the fraction of the assurance area interrogated. Further,  $P(S_n)$  decreases monotonically with increasing area tested. Thus, survival can be interpreted as the determination that fewer than  $N<sub>d</sub>$  defects are in the assurance area. But there is a finite probability that the specimen under test will fail early or will have more than N<sub>d</sub> defects and not fail. The smaller this complimentary probability is made, the more certain that, in the assurance area, the number of defects does not exceed *N<sub>d</sub>*. Therefore, *γ* is taken to be the confidence in the validity of the outcome of the assurance test.

For example, if very little of the area is interrogated, the optical surface is expected to survive except at the highest defect densities. So there is low confidence in a small-area test determining the maximum number of defects in the assurance area, and the value of  $\gamma$  is small. Conversely, if a large fraction of the area is interrogated, it is possible to discriminate even between  $N_d$  values of 1 and 2. As a result,  $\gamma$  is large and there is high confidence that there are less than  $N<sub>d</sub>$  defects in the assurance area. This leads to the assignment of the name confidence to  $\chi$ 

## <span id="page-23-0"></span>**Bibliography**

- [1] [ISO 10110-7,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/00780937U) *Optics and photonics Preparation of drawings for optical elements and systems Part 7: Surface imperfection tolerances*
- [2] [ISO 21254-2,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30166851U) *Lasers and laser-related equipment Test methods for laser-induced damage threshold — Part 2: Threshold determination*
- [3] [ISO/TR 21254-4,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30217436U) *Lasers and laser-related equipment Test methods for laser-induced damage threshold — Part 4: Inspection, detection and measurement*

BS EN ISO 21254-3:2011

# British Standards Institution (BSI)

BSI is the independent national body responsible for preparing British Standards and other standards-related publications, information and services. It presents the UK view on standards in Europe and at the international level. It is incorporated by Royal Charter.

## Revisions

British Standards are updated by amendment or revision. Users of British Standards should make sure that they possess the latest amendments or editions. It is the constant aim of BSI to improve the quality of our products and services. We would be grateful if anyone finding an inaccuracy or ambiguity while using this British Standard would inform the Secretary of the technical committee responsible, the identity of which can be found on the inside front cover.

**Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 9001 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001**

BSI offers Members an individual updating service called PLUS which ensures that subscribers automatically receive the latest editions of standards.

**Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7669 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001 Email: plus@bsigroup.com**

## Buying standards

You may buy PDF and hard copy versions of standards directly using a credit card from the BSI Shop on the website **www.bsigroup.com/shop.** In addition all orders for BSI, international and foreign standards publications can be addressed to BSI Customer Services.

#### **Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 9001 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001 Email: orders@bsigroup.com**

In response to orders for international standards, it is BSI policy to supply the BSI implementation of those that have been published as British Standards, unless otherwise requested.

## Information on standards

BSI provides a wide range of information on national, European and international standards through its Knowledge Centre.

**Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7004 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7005 Email: knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com**

Various BSI electronic information services are also available which give details on all its products and services.

#### **Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7111 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7048 Email: info@bsigroup.com**

BSI Subscribing Members are kept up to date with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards. For details of these and other benefits contact Membership Administration.

#### **Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7002 Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001 Email: membership@bsigroup.com**

Information regarding online access to British Standards via British Standards Online can be found at **www.bsigroup.com/BSOL**

Further information about BSI is available on the BSI website at **www.bsigroup.com/standards**

## Copyright

Copyright subsists in all BSI publications. BSI also holds the copyright, in the UK, of the publications of the international standardization bodies. Except as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 no extract may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without prior written permission from BSI. This does not preclude the free use, in the course of implementing the standard of necessary details such as symbols, and size, type or grade designations. If these details are to be used for any other purpose than implementation then the prior written permission of BSI must be obtained. Details and advice can be obtained from the Copyright & Licensing Manager.

**Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7070 Email: copyright@bsigroup.com**

#### **BSI Group Headquarters**

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL UK

Tel +44 (0)20 8996 9001 Fax +44 (0)20 8996 7001 www.bsigroup.com/standards

