**BS EN ISO 15530-3:2011**



BSI Standards Publication

# **Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — Coordinate measuring machines (CMM): Technique for determining the uncertainty of measurement**

Part 3: Use of calibrated workpieces or measurement standards (ISO 15530-3:2011)



... making excellence a habit."

#### **National foreword**

This British Standard is the UK implementation of EN ISO 15530-3:2011. It supersedes DDCENISO/TS15530-3:2007 which is withdrawn.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee TDW/4, Technical Product Realization.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© BSI 2011

ISBN 978 0 580 66729 9

ICS 17.040.30

#### **Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 October 2011.

#### **Amendments issued since publication**

Date Text affected

## EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM

## **[EN ISO 15530-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30198174U)**

October 2011

ICS 17.040.30 Supersedes [CEN ISO/TS 15530-3:2007](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30158869)

English Version

## Geometrical product specifications (GPS) - Coordinate measuring machines (CMM): Technique for determining the uncertainty of measurement - Part 3: Use of calibrated workpieces or measurement standards (ISO 15530-3:2011)

Spécification géométrique des produits (GPS) - Machines à mesurer tridimensionnelles (MMT): Technique pour la détermination de l'incertitude de mesure - Partie 3: Utilisation de pièces étalonnées ou d'étalons de mesure (ISO 15530-3:2011)

 Geometrische Produktspezifikation und -prüfung (GPS) - Verfahren zur Ermittlung der Messunsicherheit von Koordinatenmessgeräten (KMG) - Teil 3: Anwendung von kalibrierten Werkstücken oder Normalen [\(ISO 15530-](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) 3:2011)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 14 October 2011.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

**Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels** 

Ref. No. EN ISO 15530-3:2011: E

### **Foreword**

This document (EN ISO 15530-3:2011) has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 213 "Dimensional and geometrical product specifications and verification" in collaboration with Technical Committee CEN/TC 290 "Dimensional and geometrical product specification and verification" the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by April 2012, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by April 2012.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document supersedes [CEN ISO/TS 15530-3:2007.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30158869)

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

#### **Endorsement notice**

The text of ISO 15530-3:2011 has been approved by CEN as a EN ISO 15530-3:2011 without any modification.

## **Contents**



## <span id="page-5-0"></span>**Foreword**

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

[ISO 15530-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30198174U) was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 213, *Dimensional and geometrical product specifications and verification*.

This first edition of [ISO 15530-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30198174U) cancels and replaces [ISO/TS 15530-3:2004](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30158869), which has been technically revised.

[ISO 15530](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) consists of the following parts, under the general title *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — Coordinate measuring machines (CMM): Technique for determining the uncertainty of measurement*:

*Part 1: Overview and metrological characteristics* [Technical Specification]

- *Part 3: Use of calibrated workpieces or measurement standards*
- <sup>-</sup> Part 4: Evaluating task-specific measurement uncertainty using simulation [Technical Specification]

## <span id="page-6-0"></span>**Introduction**

This part of [ISO 15530](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) is a Geometrical Product Specification (GPS) and is to be regarded as a general GPS document (see ISO/TR 14638). It influences chain link 6 of the chain of standards on size, distance, radius, angle, form, orientation, location, run-out and datums.

The ISO/GPS Masterplan given in ISO/TR 14638 gives an overview of the ISO/GPS system of which this standard is a part. The fundamental rules of ISO/GPS given in [ISO 8015](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02311826U) apply to this document and the default decision rules given in [ISO 14253-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/01722655U) apply to specifications made in accordance with this document, unless otherwise indicated.

For more detailed information on the relation of this standard to the GPS matrix model, see Annex B.

Coordinate measuring machines (CMMs) have become essential for the verification of geometry in industry. According to the [ISO 9000](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO9000) series of standards, in a quality management system, the relevant measuring equipment is required to be calibrated against certified equipment having a known and valid relationship to internationally or nationally recognized standards in order to establish traceability. According to the *International vocabulary of basic and general terms in metrology* (VIM), a calibration comprises — besides the establishment of the relationship between the measured and the correct values of a quantity — the uncertainty evaluation in the final results (measurands) of the measurement task. However, uncertainty evaluation methods covering the errors arising in the innumerable measurement tasks a CMM can actually perform are often very complex. In these cases, the risk of an unrealistic estimation of task-related uncertainty is likely to arise.

The aim of this part of [ISO 15530](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) is to provide an experimental technique for simplifying the uncertainty evaluation of CMM measurements. In this experimental approach, measurements are carried out in the same way as actual measurements, but with calibrated workpieces or measurement standards of similar dimension and geometry instead of the unknown objects to be measured. The description of this experimental technique to evaluate measurement uncertainty is the key element of this part of [ISO 15530.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) The standardization of such procedures for the uncertainty evaluation serves the worldwide mutual recognition of calibrations and other measurement results.

This part of [ISO 15530](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) is applicable for non-substitution measurement of workpieces or measurement standards, where the measurement result is given by the indication of the CMM. Furthermore, this part of [ISO 15530](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) is applicable for substitution measurement, where, in opposition to the non-substitution measurement, a check standard is used to correct for the systematic errors of the CMM. The latter will generally decrease the measurement uncertainty and is often used, especially in the field of gauge calibration.

This part of [ISO 15530](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) describes one of several methods of uncertainty evaluation, which will be outlined in later ISO documents. Because of the experimental approach, it is simple to perform, and it provides realistic statements of measurement uncertainties.

The limitations of this method can be summarized as: the availability of artefacts with sufficiently defined geometrical characteristics, stability, reasonable costs, and the possibility of being calibrated with sufficiently small uncertainty.

BS EN ISO 15530-3:2011

## **[Geometrical product specifications \(GPS\) — Coordinate](#page-8-3)  [measuring machines \(CMM\): Technique for determining the](#page-8-3)  [uncertainty of measurement —](#page-8-3)**

## <span id="page-8-3"></span>Part 3: **Use of calibrated workpieces or measurement standards**

#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>**1 Scope**

This part of [ISO 15530](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) specifies the evaluation of measurement uncertainty for results of measurements obtained by a CMM (coordinate measuring machine) and by using calibrated workpieces or measurement standards. It provides an experimental technique for simplifying the uncertainty evaluation of CMM measurements, whose approach (substitution measurements) leads to measurements being carried out in the same way as actual measurements, but with calibrated workpieces of similar dimension and geometry instead of the unknown workpieces to be measured.

Non-substitution measurements on CMMs are also covered, as are the requirements of the uncertainty evaluation procedure, the measurement equipment needed, and the reverification and interim check of the measurement uncertainty.

NOTE The evaluation of measurement uncertainty is always related to a specific measuring task.

#### <span id="page-8-1"></span>**2 Normative references**

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

[ISO 10360-1:2000,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02335146) *Geometrical Product Specifications (GPS) — Acceptance and reverification tests for coordinate measuring machines (CMM) — Part 1: Vocabulary*

ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008, *Uncertainty of measurement — Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)*

ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007, *International vocabulary of metrology — Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM)*

[ISO 14978:2006,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30077716) *Geometrical product specification (GPS) — General concepts and requirements for GPS measuring equipment*

#### <span id="page-8-2"></span>**3 Terms and definitions**

For the purpose of this part of [ISO 15530,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) the terms and definitions given in [ISO 10360-1,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02335146U) ISO/IEC Guide 98-3, ISO/IEC Guide 99 and the following apply.

#### **3.1**

#### **non-substitution measurement**

measurement where the uncorrected indication of the CMM is used as a result

#### **3.2**

#### **substitution measurement**

measurement where both a workpiece and a check standard are measured in order to provide additional corrections for systematic errors of the CMM

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>**4 Symbols**

For the purpose of this part of [ISO 15530,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) the symbols given in Table 1 apply.



#### **Table 1 — Symbols**

### <span id="page-10-0"></span>**5 Requirements**

#### <span id="page-10-1"></span>**5.1 Operating conditions**

Before starting the measurements, initialize the CMM and perform procedures such as probe configuration and probe qualification according to the conditions specified in the manufacturer's operating manual. In particular, an adequate thermal equilibrium of the (calibrated) workpiece or measurement standard and the CMM should exist.

For the measurements given in 7.2, the environmental and operational conditions quoted by the CMM manufacturer and conditions quoted in the user's quality manual shall apply. In particular, existing error compensating functions (such as corrections applied via the software of the CMM's computer) shall be active if this is prescribed in the quality manual.

The CMM shall fulfil the specifications of the manufacturer, or — if different — the specifications laid down in the procedural instructions for the measurement task (task-related calibration, see [ISO 14978\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30077716U); therefore, it is not necessary to calibrate all the metrological characteristics of a CMM (global calibration, see [ISO 14978](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30077716U)).

#### <span id="page-10-2"></span>**5.2 Similarity conditions**

The method requires similarity of the following.

a) The dimension and geometry of the workpiece or measurement standard used in the actual measurements (see 7.2.2) and the calibrated workpiece or measurement standard used in the evaluation of measurement uncertainty (see 7.2.3).

NOTE Conditions to be repeated are, for example, positions and orientations.

b) The measurement procedure of the evaluation of measurement uncertainty and the actual measurement.

NOTE Conditions to be repeated are, for example, handling, exchange and clamping, time elapsed between probing points, loading and unloading procedures, measuring force and speed.

c) The environmental conditions (including all variations) during evaluation of measurement uncertainty and actual measurement.

NOTE Conditions to be repeated are, for example, temperature, temperature stabilization time and temperature corrections (if used).

In Table 2, the similarity requirements are given.

#### **Table 2 — Similarity requirements for workpieces or standard to be measured and the calibrated workpieces or standard used during evaluation of measurement uncertainty**



The similarity of the thermal conditions are considered to be assured if the above requirements are met. The evaluation of measurement uncertainty using the calibrated workpiece shall cover, in particular, the range of temperatures which will prevail during the measurements of the uncalibrated workpieces. If the variation of the thermal expansion coefficient of the measured workpieces or standards is assumed to be significant, this uncertainty contribution has to be taken into account (see 7.3.3 and 7.3.4).

For some CMMs, errors associated with dynamic effects may become significant with a decreasing probe approach distance. For small internal features, e.g. a hole, the probe approach distance may be limited by the feature size. Consequently, care shall be taken to ensure that the probe approach distance is identical.

#### <span id="page-11-0"></span>**6 Principle of the uncertainty evaluation using calibrated workpieces**

The evaluation of measurement uncertainty is a sequence of measurements, performed in the same way and under the same conditions as the actual measurements. The only difference is that, instead of the workpieces to be measured, one or more calibrated workpieces are measured. The differences between the results obtained by the measurement and the known calibration values of these calibrated workpieces are used to estimate the uncertainty of the measurements.

The uncertainty of the measurement consists of uncertainty contributions

- a) due to the measurement procedure,
- b) from the calibration of the calibrated workpiece,
- c) due to the variations of the measured workpieces (changing form deviations, expansion coefficient and surface texture).

The full effect of all variation in environmental conditions should be included to perform a comprehensive evaluation of the measurement uncertainty.

#### <span id="page-11-1"></span>**7 Procedure**

#### <span id="page-11-2"></span>**7.1 Measuring equipment**

The uncertainty evaluation on a CMM using calibrated workpieces requires the following equipment:

- a) a task-related stylus set-up;
- b) at least one calibrated workpiece.

The metrological characteristics of the calibrated workpieces shall be calibrated with a known and sufficiently low uncertainty to fulfil the requirements of the measurement task.

The probe shall be re-qualified for each calibration.

The uncertainty stated for the calibration of the calibrated workpieces should be valid for the measurement strategy employed during the actual measurements and the uncertainty evaluation, i.e. the measurand of the calibrated workpiece shall be the same as the measurand evaluated in the measurement uncertainty process.

#### <span id="page-11-3"></span>**7.2 Execution**

#### **7.2.1 General**

The user of the CMM has a high degree of freedom to design the measurement procedure (i.e. the measurement strategy) according to the technical requirements. This is possible because the procedure and conditions of actual measurements and those during the uncertainty evaluation shall be the same.

#### **7.2.2 Actual measurement**

One cycle of an actual measurement consists of the handling of the workpieces and one or more measurements of the workpieces (see Figure 1).



#### **Figure 1 — Procedure of non-substitution measurement — Measurement cycle**

The position and the orientation of the measured workpieces are free within the range covered by the uncertainty evaluation.

#### **7.2.3 Uncertainty evaluation**

The uncertainty evaluation shall be as follows.

Calibrated workpieces are measured instead of the workpieces. Calibrated workpieces and workpieces shall fulfil the similarity conditions outlined in 5.2. Special loading and unloading procedures shall be performed during the uncertainty evaluation.

To obtain a sufficient number of samples for the uncertainty evaluation, at least 10 measurement cycles and a total of at least 20 measurements on calibrated workpieces shall be carried out. For example, a total of 20 cycles is the minimum, if only one calibrated workpiece per cycle is measured.

During the uncertainty evaluation, the position and orientation of the calibrated workpieces are systematically varied within the limits given by the procedure of the actual measurements.

As specified in 7.2.2, a measurement cycle shall contain all actions involved in a real measurement to ensure the similarity of thermal conditions. This implies, for example, that the CMM has to move through the same positions as if a complete measurement were being carried out, even though during the uncertainty evaluation not all workpieces might be present (dummy measurements).

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**7.3 Calculation of the uncertainty**

#### **7.3.1 General**

In a calibration certificate or measurement report, the measurement result, *y*, and its expanded uncertainty, *U*, shall be expressed in the form  $y \pm U$ , where U is determined with a coverage factor  $k = 2$  for an approximated coverage probability of 95 %.

When performing the measurements, four uncertainty contributions shall basically be taken into account, described by the following standard uncertainties:

- $u_{\text{cal}}$  standard uncertainty associated with the uncertainty of the calibration of the calibrated workpiece stated in the calibration certificate;
- $u<sub>p</sub>$  standard uncertainty associated with the measurement procedure as assessed below;
- $u<sub>b</sub>$  standard uncertainty associated with the systematic error of the measurement process evaluated using the calibrated workpiece;
- $u_w$  standard uncertainty associated with material and manufacturing variations (due to the variation of expansion coefficient, form errors, roughness, elasticity and plasticity).

The expanded measuring uncertainty, *U*, of any measured parameter is calculated from these standard uncertainties as:

 $U = k \times \sqrt{u_{\text{cal}}^2 + u_{\text{p}}^2 + u_{\text{b}}^2 + u_{\text{w}}^2}$ 

The coverage factor,  $k$ , is recommended to be chosen as  $k = 2$  for a coverage probability of 95 %.

In Table 3, the uncertainty contributions for the measurement are listed.





The individual standard uncertainties are evaluated as follows.

#### **7.3.2** Standard uncertainty,  $u_{\text{cal}}$  of the calibrated workpiece

The standard uncertainty,  $u_{\text{cal}}$ , is evaluated from the expanded measuring uncertainty,  $U_{\text{cal}}$ , and the coverage factor,  $k$ , given in the calibration certificate:

$$
u_{\text{cal}} = \frac{U_{\text{cal}}}{k}
$$

Careful attention should be given to 3.3.2 in the GUM (ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008) to ensure that the calibration uncertainty represents the same measurand as used in the measurement. If this is not the case, additional terms of uncertainty shall be considered.

#### **7.3.3 Uncertainty due to the measurement procedure**

#### **7.3.3.1 Standard uncertainty,** *u*p**, of the measurement procedure**

The standard uncertainty,  $u<sub>n</sub>$ , is determined by

$$
u_{\mathsf{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2}
$$

where

$$
\overline{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i
$$

and *n* is the number of measurements.

#### **7.3.3.2 Systematic error,** *b*

In most cases a systematic error,  $b$ , between the indicated value of the CMM,  $y_i$ , and the calibrated value of the calibrated workpiece,  $x_{\text{cal}}$ , can be observed:

$$
b = \overline{y} - x_{\text{cal}}
$$

According to the GUM recommendation, measurement results shall be corrected by the amount of systematic effects. In cases where this may not be feasible, the measurement can be expressed by

 $Y = y - b \pm U$ 

It is of utmost importance that the single values be listed separately in a calibration certificate.

#### **7.3.3.3** Standard uncertainty,  $u<sub>b</sub>$ , of the systematic error

The value of the systematic error, *b*, is estimated by the 20 (or more) repeated measurements on the calibrated workpiece. The standard uncertainty associated with *b* includes the standard uncertainty of the mean value of these measurements. This standard deviation of the mean, a statistical quantity, will be small because of the requirement for a minimum of 20 measurements and is consequently neglected in this evaluation procedure.

However, the standard uncertainty associated with *b* also includes the effect of the uncertainty in the CTE value for the calibrated workpiece. This quantity is not negligible and shall be included (for CMMs with and without temperature compensation).

In this case, the uncertainty,  $u<sub>b</sub>$ , is calculated by:

$$
u_{\mathsf{b}} = (T - 20 \, \text{°C}) \times u_{\alpha} \times l
$$

where

- $u_{\alpha}$  is the standard uncertainty of the coefficient of expansion of the calibrated workpiece; this is usually the same as the standard uncertainty of the coefficient of thermal expansion of the workpieces. In the special case in which the calibrated workpiece has also had its CTE calibrated and the CMM used in the measurement evaluation process uses temperature compensation, the  $u_{\alpha}$  term in the formula would be the uncertainty associated with the calibrated CTE value;
- *T* is the average temperature of the calibrated workpiece during the measurement uncertainty evaluation procedure;
- *l* is the measured dimension.

NOTE 1 The formula for  $u<sub>b</sub>$  is similar to the formula for  $u<sub>wt</sub>$ ; this accounts for both the uncertainty in the CTE of the calibrated workpiece and the dispersion of CTEs in the uncalibrated workpieces to be measured.

NOTE 2 The  $u<sub>b</sub>$  term is necessary for both CMMs that use and do not use temperature compensation. In the former case, this uncertainty represents errors associated with an incorrect thermal expansion compensation. In the latter case, it represents the difference between the CTE of the calibrated workpiece and the centre of the CTE distribution of the uncalibrated workpiece.

#### **7.3.4 Standard uncertainty,** *u*w**, from the manufacturing process**

Variations of form errors and roughness due to the changing manufacturing process and variations in elasticity due to changing material and surface properties of the uncalibrated workpieces influence the uncertainty of a measurement. The standard uncertainty,  $u_{\text{wo}}$ , covers these influences. Note that, using a calibrated workpiece, the above-mentioned uncertainty contributions are partly considered. If multiple calibrated workpieces are used and all measured workpieces correspond in the above-mentioned properties within their required limits, this contribution may be classified as insignificant and can therefore be neglected. Similarly, if the uncalibrated workpieces have negligible variations, then this contribution may be classified as insignificant. If the uncertainty contributions of the manufacturing process cannot be neglected, additional factors have to be considered in  $u_{\text{wp}}$ . The respective tolerances in form and roughness may serve to assess these contributions.

Additionally, a significant uncertainty contribution results from the variation of the thermal expansion coefficient of the measured workpieces. This quantity,  $u_{wt}$ , is calculated by

$$
u_{\text{wt}} = (T - 20 \text{ °C}) \times u_{\alpha} \times l
$$

where

- $u_{\alpha}$  is the standard uncertainty of the expansion coefficient of the workpieces; this can be evaluated from the range of the expansion coefficient which may be delivered by the material supplier;
- *T* is the average temperature of the workpiece during the measurement procedure, expressed in degrees Celsius;
- *l* is the measured dimension.

Then,  $u_w$  is calculated as follows:

$$
u_{\rm w} = \sqrt{u_{\rm wt}^2 + u_{\rm wp}^2}
$$

#### <span id="page-16-0"></span>**7.4 Applying the substitution method: special considerations**

In some cases, e.g. gauge calibration, the influence of systematic errors of the CMM may be corrected. For this purpose, the measurement of an additional calibrated working standard is included in the measuring cycle (see Figure 2). By measuring this working standard regularly and comparing the calibrated value of the working standard with the indication of the CMM, a correction value,  $A_i$ , is derived, which is then applied to the measurement of the workpieces. This procedure is called the substitution method.

The proposed method to assess measurement uncertainty outlined in this part of [ISO 15530](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) is also applicable to the substitution method, but some special considerations have to be taken into account.

 $-$  The measurement results,  $y_i$ , of the uncertainty evaluation (see 7.3.3.1) have to take into account the corrections,  $\varDelta_i$ , which are applied to the indicated values of the CMM,  $y_i^*$ , as follows:

 $y_i = y_i^* + \Delta_i$ 

- The uncertainty shall cover the whole measurement procedure. Therefore, the measurement of the working standard and any additional handling shall be included in the uncertainty evaluation.
- The working standard is an intrinsic part of the measurement procedure. Its calibration uncertainty is considered in the experimental procedure. No additional uncertainty contribution need be added.
- The working standard shall not be used as a calibrated workpiece during the uncertainty evaluation. It is necessary to clearly distinguish between the working standard for correction and the calibrated workpiece to analyse the measurement process.



**Figure 2 — Procedure of substitution measurement — Measurement cycle** 

#### <span id="page-16-1"></span>**8 Reverification of the measurement uncertainty**

The uncertainty evaluation as specified in 7.2.3 should be repeated regularly.

#### <span id="page-17-0"></span>**9 Interim check of the measurement uncertainty**

The interim check is a simplification of the uncertainty evaluation (see 7.2.3) where calibrated workpieces are substituted in a statistical sampling manner, for the workpieces to be measured. It serves to check whether any assumptions made regarding long period variations in the measuring conditions, in particular the temperature, are still valid. The time intervals between interim checks are specified by the user of the CMM. They are dependent on the required measuring uncertainty and on the environmental conditions.

In an interim check, calibrated workpieces are substituted for the workpieces to be measured in a sampling manner. The deviation between the calibration value for the workpieces and the corresponding measured value from such an interim check shall be smaller than the stated expanded uncertainty, *U*. If this is not the case, and the reason for this deterioration of the uncertainty cannot be found and remedied, a reverification shall be made.

NOTE This sampling manner means and ensures that over time all positions, orientations and dimensions of the workpieces to be measured will have been checked.

## **Annex A**

(informative)

## **Examples of application**

#### <span id="page-18-0"></span>**A.1 Example 1: Measurement of a pump housing**

#### **A.1.1 Scenario**

For quality assurance, a CMM is integrated in the production line for pump housings. To ensure the quality of the part and to fulfill the requirements of the quality system, the task-specific uncertainties of the most critical measurements performed in the production line have to be known and have to be in an acceptable ratio to the respective tolerance of the part. Figure A.1 shows a simplified drawing of the pump housing.





#### **A.1.2 Procedure for experimental uncertainty evaluation**

The procedure is as follows.

#### **Step 1**

One workpiece out of the production series is calibrated with a high precision CMM in a laboratory environment. This can be done, for example, by a service provider, who is capable of stating a valid uncertainty for each measured parameter. The traceabilty of this calibration shall be documented.

The measurement strategy shall reflect as closely as possible the GPS definition of the feature specified in the drawing. In general, this implies a relatively large number of probing points. The result is a calibrated workpiece, where all parameters  $x_i$  have stated uncertainties  $U_{\mathsf{cal}}(x_i)$ .

The calibration certificate for the workpiece is as given in Table A.1.

	<b>Measurement of:</b>			
<b>Parameter</b>	diameter mm	angularity mm	position mm	
	150,0015	0,0196	0,0138	
$\chi_{\text{cal}}(x_i)$	0,0020	0,0040	0,0030	.

**Table A.1** 

#### **Step 2**

The calibrated workpiece is then measured on the CMM in the production line using the measurement strategy suitable for measurement in production, in general, for economic reasons, a reduced number of probing points.

This measurement is repeated at least 20 times under different conditions (different shifts, different thermal conditions, etc.) in accordance with 5.2. These measurements should ideally also be spread over a longer time period.

The results are collected and evaluated according to the formulae stated in 7.3. Table A.2 shows the results of the experimental uncertainty assessment.

			<b>Measurement of:</b>			
No.	Date/time	Operator	diameter	angularity	position	
			mm	mm	mm	
$\overline{1}$	2003-03-22, 07:33 am	A	150,0037	0,0134	0,0144	
$\overline{2}$	2003-03-22, 08:23 am	A	150,004 3	0.0164	0.0134	$\dddotsc$
$\overline{3}$	2003-03-22, 10:02 am	A	150,0030	0,0174	0,014 4	$\cdots$
$\overline{4}$	2003-03-22, 01:55 pm	B	150,002 1	0,0200	0,0133	$\cdots$
$\overline{5}$	2003-03-22, 02:13 pm	B	150,0033	0,0183	0,0153	$\ldots$
$6 \overline{}$	2003-03-27, 06:08 am	B	150,0039	0,0172	0,014 2	$\cdots$
$\overline{7}$	2003-03-27, 07:11 am	B	150,0032	0,0174	0,014 4	$\ddotsc$
$\,8\,$	2003-03-27, 02:13 pm	Α	150,0027	0,0174	0,0134	$\ldots$
$\boldsymbol{9}$	2003-03-27, 02:44 pm	Α	150,002 5	0,0169	0,0139	$\ldots$
10	2003-03-27, 05:14 pm	A	150,0032	0,0193	0,0133	$\ddotsc$
11	2003-03-28, 07:13 am	$\mathsf C$	150,002 1	0,0166	0,0146	$\ldots$
12	2003-03-28, 09:02 am	C	150,002 4	0,0164	0,014 4	$\ldots$
13	2003-03-28, 09:12 am	C	150,0024	0,0163	0,0143	$\ddotsc$
14	2003-03-28, 10:02 am	C	150,0030	0,0175	0,014 5	$\ldots$
15	2003-03-28, 11:32 am	B	150,003 1	0,0198	0,0138	$\cdots$
16	2003-03-28, 02:13 pm	B	150,0034	0,0196	0,0136	$\mathbb{Z}^3$
17	2003-03-28, 03:13 pm	B	150,002 2	0,0193	0,0133	$\cdots$
18	2003-03-28, 03:40 pm	B	150,0020	0,0190	0,0129	$\ddotsc$
19	2003-03-28, 04:20 pm	B	150,0018	0,0188	0,0128	$\ldots$
20	2003-03-28, 06:11 pm	Α	150,0030	0,0183	0,0129	$\ldots$
	Calibration uncertainty $U_{\text{cal}}$ (see 7.3.2)		0,0020	0,0040	0,0030	$\cdots$
	Standard calibration uncertainty $u_{\text{cal}}$ (see 7.3.2)		0,0010	0,0020	0,0015	$\ldots$
	Uncertainty procedure $up$ (see 7.3.3.1)		0,0008	0,0016	0,000 7	$\cdots$
Calibrated value $x_{cal}$ (see 7.3.3.2)		150,0015	0,0196	0,0138	$\cdots$	
Mean value $\overline{v}$ (see 7.3.3.1)		150,0029	0,0178	0,0139	$\ldots$	
Systematic error $b$ (see 7.3.3.2)		0,0014	$-0,0018$	0,0001	$\ddotsc$	
Uncertainty associated with the systematic error, $ub$		0,000 2	0	0,0005		

**Table A.2 — Results of the experimental uncertainty assessment** 

#### **Step 3**

Finally, the uncertainty contributor, *u*w, has to be estimated (see Table A.3). In this example, the calibrated workpiece is deemed representative of the whole production lot with regard to form and surface properties. Therefore, only the possible variation of the expansion coefficient is considered separately.

	<b>Measurement of:</b>				
<b>Workpiece uncertainty contributor</b>	diameter mm	angularity mm	position mm	.	
$u_{\text{wp}}$	insignificant	insignificant	insignificant	$\cdots$	
$u_{\rm wt}$	0,0002		0,0005	$\cdots$	
$u_w$	0,0002		0,0005	$\cdots$	

**Table A.3 — Estimation of uncertainty contributor** 

#### **A.1.3 Resulting uncertainty**

The resulting expanded uncertainty is calculated from the formula stated in 7.3.1. It results in the following measurement uncertainties for each contributor (see Table A.4).

		<b>Measurement of:</b>				
Contributor	diameter mm	angularity mm	position mm	.		
$u_{\text{cal}}$	0,0010	0,0020	0,0015	.		
$u_{\rm p}$	0,0008	0,0016	0,000 7	$\cdots$		
$u_{\rm w}$	0,000 2		0,0005	$\cdots$		
$u_{h}$	0,000 2	O	0,0005	.		
$U (k = 2)$	0,003	0,006	0,004	.		

**Table A.4 — Resulting measurement uncertainties by contributor** 

These expanded uncertainties are assigned to each corresponding parameter of all workpieces measured. They can, for example, be used for conformance decisions based on [ISO 14253-1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/01722655U)

#### **A.1.4 Interim check**

Once a week, the calibrated workpiece is substituted for a workpiece to be measured. To validate the stated measurement uncertainty, the calibrated value of the calibrated workpiece is compared with the measured value. The difference shall not exceed the expanded uncertainty, *U*.

#### **A.2 Example 2: Calibration of ring gauges on a laboratory CMM**

#### **A.2.1 Scenario**

A calibration laboratory of an automotive company calibrates ring gauges of very similar size in large quantities on a CMM for internal purposes. To reduce systematic errors of the CMM, a calibrated working standard is used in a substitution process (see 7.4): the working standard is stationary, clamped on the CMM, while the gauges to be measured are clamped on an exchangeable pallet. During the procedure, the CMM measures the working standard before and after the measurement of the pallet of ring gauges to be calibrated. The calibrated value of the working standard minus the average of the two observed values of the working standard is added as a correction to the observed value of each gauge on the pallet.

#### **A.2.2 Procedure for experimental uncertainty evaluation**

The procedure is as follows.

#### **Step 1**

One additional ring gauge is independently calibrated in an accredited laboratory outside the company. This ring gauge is defined as the "calibrated workpiece".

#### **Step 2**

After the measurement routines on the CMM have been fully established, one of the regular ring gauges to be calibrated is now replaced by the calibrated workpiece and the whole measurement process (including the substitution measurements on the working standard) is performed 20 times under varying conditions (see 5.2). Each time, a different gauge on the pallet is replaced by the calibrated workpiece.

The correction value determined by the measurements of the working standard is applied to the results of the calibrated workpiece in the same manner as for all other ring gauges.

The results are collected and evaluated according to the formulae specified in 7.3. Table A.5 shows the results.

No.	Date/time	Operator	$y_i^*$	$\varDelta_i$	$y_i$
$\mathbf{1}$	2003-04-22, 07:33 am	A	50,000 3	0,0011	50,0014
$\overline{2}$	2003-04-22, 08:23 am	A	50,000 5	0,0013	50,0018
3	2003-04-22, 10:02 am	A	49,9998	0,0015	50,0013
$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	2003-04-22, 01:55 pm	A	49,9998	0,0019	50,0017
5	2003-04-22, 02:13 pm	Α	49,9999	0,0014	50,0013
6	2003-04-27, 06:08 am	B	50,000 3	0,0012	50,0015
$\overline{7}$	2003-04-27, 07:11 am	B	50,0013	0,0004	50,0017
8	2003-04-27, 02:13 pm	Α	50,001 1	0,0006	50,0017
9	2003-04-27, 02:44 pm	A	50,000 3	0,0009	50,0012
10	2003-04-27, 05:14 pm	Α	50,000 3	0,0012	50,0015
11	2003-04-28, 07:13 am	B	50,000 5	0,0013	50,0018
12	2003-04-28, 09:02 am	B	50,000 3	0,0014	50,0017
13	2003-04-28, 09:12 am	A	49,999 5	0,0018	50,0013
14	2003-04-28, 10:02 am	Α	50,000 3	0,0014	50,0017
15	2003-04-28, 11:32 am	B	50,000 3	0,0015	50,0018
16	2003-04-28, 02:13 pm	B	50,000 7	0,0015	50,002 2
17	2003-04-28, 03:13 pm	B	50,000 8	0,0013	50,002 1
18	2003-04-28, 03:40 pm	B	50,000 3	0,0011	50,0014
19	2003-04-28, 04:20 pm	B	50,001 1	0,000 2	50,0013
20 50,0013 0,000 4 2003-04-28, 06:11 pm Α					50,0017
Calibration uncertainty $U_{\text{cal}}$ (see 7.3.2)					0,0004
Standard calibration uncertainty $u_{\text{cal}}$ (see 7.3.2)					0,000 2
Uncertainty procedure $u_p$ (see 7.3.3.1)					0,0003
Calibrated value $x_{cal}$ (see 7.3.3.2)					50,0017
Mean value $\overline{v}$ (see 7.3.3.1)					50,0016
Systematic error $b$ (see 7.3.3.2)					$-0,0001$
Uncertainty associated with the systematic error, $ub$					negligable

**Table A.5 — Results of the experimental uncertainty assessment** 

**Step 3** 

The calibrated workpiece is a new ring gauge which has not been used in production, while many of the ring gauges to be calibrated on the CMM show some wear marks on the surface. Tests have shown that the reproducibility of the measurement on the used ring gauges is worse than for the new ones. From the test data, an additional uncertainty contributor,  $u_{wp} = 0,0002$  mm, is estimated. The laboratory is temperature-controlled to within  $\pm 0.5$  K. Therefore, the contribution due to uncertainty of the thermal expansion coefficient,  $u_{wt}$ , and  $u_{b}$  have been found to be negligible.

#### **A.2.3 Resulting uncertainty**

The resulting expanded uncertainty is calculated from the formula stated in 7.3.1. It results in an expanded uncertainty  $U = 0,0008$  mm ( $k = 2$ ). This uncertainty is assigned to each ring gauge of a nominal diameter between 25 mm and 75 mm, calibrated on this CMM (see similarity conditions in 5.2).

#### **A.2.4 Interim check**

When ring gauges are calibrated on the CMM, the calibrated workpiece is substituted regularly for one workpiece to be measured. To validate the stated measurement uncertainty, the calibrated value of the calibrated workpiece is compared with the measured value. The difference shall not exceed the expanded uncertainty, *U*.

## **Annex B**

(informative)

## **Relation to the GPS matrix model**

#### <span id="page-23-0"></span>**B.1 General**

For full details about the GPS matrix model, see ISO/TR 14638.

The ISO/GPS Masterplan given in ISO/TR 14638 gives an overview of the ISO/GPS system of which this standard is a part. The fundamental rules of ISO/GPS given in [ISO 8015](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02311826U) apply to this document and the default decision rules given in [ISO 14253-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/01722655U) apply to specifications made in accordance with this document, unless otherwise indicated.

#### **B.2 Information about this part of [ISO 15530](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) and its use**

This part of [ISO 15530](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) specifies the evaluation of measurement uncertainty for results of measurements obtained by a CMM and by using calibrated workpieces.

#### **B.3 Position in the GPS matrix model**

This part of [ISO 15530](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/BSENISO15530) is a general GPS document which influences chain link 6 of the chain of standards on size, distance, radius, angle, form, orientation, location, run-out and datums in the general GPS matrix as graphically illustrated in Figure B.1.





## **B.4 Related standards**

The related standards are those of the chains of standards indicated in Figure B.1.

## **Bibliography**

- <span id="page-25-0"></span>[1] [ISO 8015](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/02311826U), *Geometrical product specifications (GPS) — Fundamentals — Concepts, principles and rules*
- [2] ISO/TR 14638, *Geometrical Product Specifications (GPS) Masterplan*
- [3] [ISO 14978,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/30077716U) *Geometrical product specification (GPS) General concepts and requirements for GPS measuring equipment*
- [4] [ISO 14253-1,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3403/01722655U) *Geometrical Product Specification (GPS) Inspection by measurement of workpieces and measuring equipment — Part 1: Decision rules for providing conformance or non-conformance with specifications*

BS EN ISO 15530-3:2011

# British Standards Institution (BSI)

BSI is the national body responsible for preparing British Standards and other standards-related publications, information and services.

BSI is incorporated by Royal Charter. British Standards and other standardization products are published by BSI Standards Limited.

#### **About us**

We bring together business, industry, government, consumers, innovators and others to shape their combined experience and expertise into standards -based solutions.

The knowledge embodied in our standards has been carefully assembled in a dependable format and refined through our open consultation process. Organizations of all sizes and across all sectors choose standards to help them achieve their goals.

#### **Information on standards**

We can provide you with the knowledge that your organization needs to succeed. Find out more about British Standards by visiting our website at [bsigroup.com/standards](www.bsigroup.com/standards) or contacting our Customer Services team or Knowledge Centre.

#### **Buying standards**

You can buy and download PDF versions of BSI publications, including British and adopted European and international standards, through our website at [bsigroup.com/shop](www.bsigroup.com/shop), where hard copies can also be purchased.

If you need international and foreign standards from other Standards Development Organizations, hard copies can be ordered from our Customer Services team.

#### **Subscriptions**

Our range of subscription services are designed to make using standards easier for you. For further information on our subscription products go to [bsigroup.com/subscriptions](www.bsigroup.com/subscriptions).

With **British Standards Online (BSOL)** you'll have instant access to over 55,000 British and adopted European and international standards from your desktop. It's available 24/7 and is refreshed daily so you'll always be up to date.

You can keep in touch with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards, both in single copy and subscription format, by becoming a **BSI Subscribing Member**.

**PLUS** is an updating service exclusive to BSI Subscribing Members. You will automatically receive the latest hard copy of your standards when they're revised or replaced.

To find out more about becoming a BSI Subscribing Member and the benefits of membership, please visit [bsigroup.com/shop](www.bsigroup.com/shop).

With a **Multi-User Network Licence (MUNL)** you are able to host standards publications on your intranet. Licences can cover as few or as many users as you wish. With updates supplied as soon as they're available, you can be sure your documentation is current. For further information, email bsmusales@bsigroup.com.

#### **BSI Group Headquarters**

389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL UK

#### **Revisions**

Our British Standards and other publications are updated by amendment or revision. We continually improve the quality of our products and services to benefit your business. If you find an inaccuracy or ambiguity within a British Standard or other BSI publication please inform the Knowledge Centre.

#### **Copyright**

All the data, software and documentation set out in all British Standards and other BSI publications are the property of and copyrighted by BSI, or some person or entity that owns copyright in the information used (such as the international standardization bodies) and has formally licensed such information to BSI for commercial publication and use. Except as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 no extract may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without prior written permission from BSI. Details and advice can be obtained from the Copyright & Licensing Department.

#### **Useful Contacts:**

**Customer Services Tel:** +44 845 086 9001 **Email (orders):** orders@bsigroup.com **Email (enquiries):** cservices@bsigroup.com

**Subscriptions Tel:** +44 845 086 9001 **Email:** subscriptions@bsigroup.com

**Knowledge Centre Tel:** +44 20 8996 7004 **Email:** knowledgecentre@bsigroup.com

**Copyright & Licensing Tel:** +44 20 8996 7070 **Email:** copyright@bsigroup.com



... making excellence a habit."