

Water quality — Biological classification of rivers —

Part 1: Guidance on the interpretation of biological quality data from surveys of benthic macroinvertebrates

The European Standard EN ISO 8689-1:2000 has the status of a
British Standard

ICS 13.060.10; 13.060.70

Confirmed
July 2008

National foreword

This British Standard is the official English language version of EN ISO 8689-1:2000. It is identical with ISO 8689-1:2000.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted by Technical Committee EH/3, Water quality, to Subcommittee EH/3/5, Biological methods, which has the responsibility to:

- aid enquirers to understand the text;
- present to the responsible international/European committee any enquiries on the interpretation, or proposals for change, and keep the UK interests informed;
- monitor related international and European developments and promulgate them in the UK.

A list of organizations represented on this subcommittee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This is one of a series of standards on water quality, others of which have been, or will be, published as sections of BS 6068. The various sections of BS 6068 are comprised within Parts 1 to 7, which, together with Part 0, are listed below.

Part 0 *Introduction*

Part 1 *Glossary*

Part 2 *Physical, chemical and biochemical methods*

Part 3 *Radiological methods*

Part 4 *Microbiological methods*

Part 5 *Biological methods*

Part 6 *Sampling*

Part 7 *Precision and accuracy*

Note. The tests described in this British Standard should be carried out by suitably qualified persons with an appropriate level of biological expertise. Standard biological procedures should be followed throughout.

Cross-references

Attention is drawn to the fact that CEN and CENELEC Standards normally include an annex which lists normative references to international publications with their corresponding European publications. The British Standards which implement these international or European publications may be found in the BSI Standards Catalogue under the section entitled "International Standards Correspondence Index", or by using the "Find" facility of the BSI Standards Electronic Catalogue.

A British Standard does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users of British Standards are responsible for their correct application.

Compliance with a British Standard does not of itself confer immunity from legal obligations.

Summary of pages

This document comprises a front cover, an inside front cover, the EN ISO title page, the EN ISO foreword page, the ISO title page, pages ii to iv, pages 1 to 6, the annex ZA page and a back cover.

The BSI copyright notice displayed in this document indicates when the document was last issued.

Amendments issued since publication

Amd. No.	Date	Comments

This British Standard, having been prepared under the direction of the Health and Environment Sector Committee, was published under the authority of the Standards Committee and comes into effect on 15 August 2000

© BSI 08-2000

ISBN 0 580 34789 3

English version

**Water quality - Biological classification of rivers - Part 1:
Guidance on the interpretation of biological quality data from
surveys of benthic macroinvertebrates (ISO 8689-1:2000)**

Qualité de l'eau - Classification biologique des rivières -
Partie 1: Lignes directrices concernant l'interprétation des
données relatives à la qualité biologique à partir d'études
des macro-invertébrés benthiques (ISO 8689-1:2000)

Wasserbeschaffenheit - Biologische Klassifizierung von
Flüssen - Teil 1: Richtlinie zur Interpretation von
biologischen Beschaffenheitsdaten aus Untersuchungen
von benthischen Makroinvertebraten in Fließgewässern
(ISO 8689-1:2000)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 15 March 2000.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the Central Secretariat or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the Central Secretariat has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Central Secretariat: rue de Stassart, 36 B-1050 Brussels

Corrected 2000-05-03

Foreword

The text of the International Standard from Technical Committee ISO/TC 147 "Water quality" of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) has been taken over as an European Standard by Technical Committee CEN/TC 230 "Water analysis", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by September 2000, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by September 2000.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

Endorsement notice

The text of the International Standard ISO 8689-1:2000 has been approved by CEN as a European Standard without any modification.

NOTE: Normative references to International Standards are listed in annex ZA (normative).

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO
8689-1

First edition
2000-03-15

Water quality — Biological classification of rivers —

Part 1:

Guidance on the interpretation of biological quality data from surveys of benthic macroinvertebrates

Qualité de l'eau — Classification biologique des rivières —

*Partie 1: Lignes directrices pour l'interprétation des données relatives à
la qualité biologique à partir d'études des macro-invertébrés benthiques*



Reference number
ISO 8689-1:2000(E)

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 3.

Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this part of ISO 8689 may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard ISO 8689-1 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 147, *Water quality*, Subcommittee SC 5, *Biological methods*.

ISO 8689 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Water quality — Biological classification of rivers*:

- *Part 1: Guidance on the interpretation of biological quality data from surveys of benthic macroinvertebrates*
- *Part 2: Guidance on the presentation of biological quality data from surveys of benthic macroinvertebrates*

Annex A of this part of ISO 8689 is for information only.

Introduction

Many countries use benthic macroinvertebrates in surveillance and monitoring programmes to produce biological classifications of running waters that evaluate a variety of man-made stresses [1,2,3,4,5,6,7]. The list of benthic macroinvertebrate taxa found during a survey is normally used to calculate a biological index or score which is related to a particular stress [2,3,4,5,6,7]. A classification can be produced by comparison between a reference community, which represents unstressed conditions, and the observed community [6,8]. This type of classification takes into account the natural variability of biological communities.

As yet there is no single classification or index scheme that covers all geographical regions [1,2,3,5]. For rivers which cross national boundaries there is especially a need to have classifications which are the same or are at least comparable [9,10]. A comparison exercise allows conversion to be made between the differing classification schemes, without the need to sample and analyse data using the different methods each time a comparison is required.

According to the precise use to which this part of ISO 8689 is to be put, it is essential for specifiers and users mutually to agree any necessary variation or optional procedural details prior to use.

Water quality — Biological classification of rivers —

Part 1:

Guidance on the interpretation of biological quality data from surveys of benthic macroinvertebrates

1 Scope

This part of ISO 8689 gives guidance on the interpretation of biological quality data relating to running waters from surveys of benthic macroinvertebrates. It is recognized that for a complete assessment of ecological status, other elements of biological quality should be assessed.

NOTE Annex A gives guidance on how the comparison of the various classification systems can be made where classifications of the biological quality of running waters using benthic macroinvertebrates already exist.

2 Normative references

The following normative documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this part of ISO 8689. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply. However, parties to agreements based on this part of ISO 8689 are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the normative documents indicated below. For undated references, the latest edition of the normative document referred to applies. Members of ISO and IEC maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO 5667-3, *Water quality — Sampling — Part 3: Guidance on the preservation and handling of samples.*

ISO 7828, *Water quality — Methods of biological sampling — Guidance on handnet sampling of aquatic benthic macro-invertebrates.*

ISO 8265, *Water quality — Design and use of quantitative samplers for benthic macro-invertebrates on stony substrata in shallow freshwaters.*

ISO 9391, *Water quality — Sampling in deep waters for macro-invertebrates — Guidance on the use of colonization, qualitative and quantitative samplers.*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this part of ISO 8689, the terms and definitions given in ISO 5667, ISO 7828, ISO 8265 and ISO 9391 and the following apply.

3.1

watercourse

body of surface water that has running water perennially or at some time during the annual hydrologic cycle

3.2

expected natural community

community present at a site when only natural stress (e.g. flooding) occurs and man-made stress is absent or not sufficient to alter the natural community significantly

4 Classification

4.1 Introduction

To evaluate stress using data from surveys of benthic macroinvertebrate communities in running waters, the data from the site (the observed data) should be compared with a set of reference data. The reference data represent the expected natural community that would be found at the site, when only natural stresses are present and man-made stresses are absent or considered to be insignificant. A classification of sites is based on the disparity between observed data and reference data.

4.2 Observed data

The observed data set should be based on collections of macroinvertebrates using standard sampling methods as described in ISO 7828, ISO 8265 and ISO 9391.

4.3 Reference data

It is recommended that reference data be collated in one or a combination of the following ways.

- a) Where historical records exist for the site in its natural condition these should be used (e.g. AMOEBA [11] system).
- b) Where similar unstressed sites have been surveyed, the data from these should be used to predict the community for the sites under investigation. The prediction system can be a simple direct comparison with unstressed sites in the same catchment or a comparable region. More complex predictions can be based on national databases of sites where man-made stress is absent or considered to be insignificant and associated computer programmes (e.g. River Invertebrate Prediction and Classification System [12,13]).
- c) Where a procedure has been devised and validated that calculates an index value relevant to the level of stress and this already takes into account a reference data set or the concept of reference conditions this should be used (e.g. Indice biologique global normalisé [14], Saprobien [15], Leitbild [6], Peeters *et al.* [16]).

Reference conditions may not be suitable as a management objective, in which case they are to be used in the classification for comparison purposes only.

4.4 Indices/scores

To measure the level of a particular stress, a biological index or score specifically designed to evaluate the stress should be used [17]. The most widely evaluated stress, using the benthic macroinvertebrate community, has been organic pollution and many scores and indices have been devised to evaluate this stress [2,3,5,18]. In many countries there is increasing use of macroinvertebrate based indices to evaluate other stresses e.g. current velocity, substratum alterations and eutrophication [16].

When a national index or score for a particular stress does not already exist, it is recommended that one be devised using the following method: a group of national experts gives each taxon a value reflecting its tolerance to the stress [12,13]; the value may also take into account the abundance of the taxon and its suitability as an indicator [6,15] (see Notes below). The site index is then derived using the tolerance for the taxa found at the site and can be expressed as a total score or as an average score per taxon [6,11,12,13,14,15]. It is recommended that in the first instance family level identification be used; if more discrimination is required, higher resolution at genus or species level is necessary.

NOTE 1 It is possible to directly compare the taxonomic lists of the observed data and the reference data, using Community Comparison Indices [19]. If there is no significant difference in the two sets of data, no man-made stress is indicated. Disparities in the two sets of data can indicate that stress is occurring. The types of stress can be investigated using specific indices (e.g. organic pollution index, acidity index etc.). Knowledge of the ecological requirements of the taxa missing may also indicate possible stressors. The number of taxa missing can indicate the severity of a stress.

NOTE 2 Moog [7] and Walley and Hawkes [20] have shown that when sufficient biological and environmental data are available tolerance values can be derived objectively. Peeters and Gardeniers [21] have shown that habitat requirements for macroinvertebrates can be derived from large databases with the help of logistic regression procedures.

4.5 Classification/banding

A classification should be produced by comparing the observed data with the reference data. When an index is used, separate indices or scores for the observed data and reference data should be calculated. The disparity between the observed and the reference indices or scores should then be calculated. The classification system should be based on the disparity between the observed and reference; this disparity is considered to represent the degree of stress and can be expressed as the ratio of the observed to reference.

Where a suitable national classification does not exist, it is recommended that a classification be produced with five bands which indicate increasing degrees of stress as shown in Table 1.

Table 1 — Five-band classification for benthic macroinvertebrate quality

Benthic macroinvertebrate quality classifications	Comments
High	The observed community corresponds totally or nearly totally to conditions where man-made stress is absent or considered insignificant (undisturbed)
Good	There are slight changes in the observed community compared with the reference community.
Moderate	The composition of the observed community differs moderately from the reference community. Major taxonomic groups of the reference community are absent.
Poor	The composition of the observed community differs significantly from the reference community. Many of the taxonomic groups of the reference community are absent.
Bad	The observed community is severely impaired compared with the reference community. Only taxonomic groups capable of living in extremely disturbed conditions are present.

A record should be made of those sites where no macroinvertebrates were found, for example due to extreme toxicity.

The top band of the classification, "high benthic macroinvertebrate biological quality", indicates the condition of a site when the significant natural and man-made stresses are absent or considered to be insignificant. The remaining classes are considered to indicate increasing levels of man-made stress. The top band should be wide enough to accommodate the natural variability of communities. Estimates of naturally occurring variability should be made by observation of reference sites and/or by predictive techniques. The remaining range of the classification should be divided into four parts indicating increasing man-made stress.

NOTE Where the natural variability results in a top band that is a large part of the range of the classification the subdivision into the remaining bands is not justified, as this subdivision is not considered to reflect man-made stress.

Annex A (informative)

Methodology for comparison of classifications

A.1 General considerations

A comparison exercise allows the conversion to be made between classifications without the need for sampling and analysis of the data from each classification each time a comparison is required. Comparison should be made between indices and/or scores rather than classifications. The comparison of indices/scores is only valid where the data have been validated at sufficient sampling sites. If a relationship between indices is established using regression techniques^[22] inter-conversion of classifications is possible.

NOTE Indices may work in the same way but existing classifications may have been produced using different philosophies. The different ways of defining bands may introduce anomalies if classifications are compared at the band level rather than the index level. Similarly problems in comparison may arise when classifications which use different reference conditions are compared.

Only indices which attempt to evaluate the same aspect of man-made stress should be compared one with another. For example, Saprobien^[15], BMWP — Average Score Per Taxon (ASPT)^[13] and IBGN^[14] can highlight organic pollution and therefore are suitable for comparison.

Comparisons of indices should be made using data compiled over the entire range of each of the classifications under consideration and from which the classification bands have been defined. Wherever possible data from all classes/qualities, of all the systems being compared, should be used in the comparison.

When comparing more than two indices or scores it is recommended that each should be compared with a single index or score (baseline index/score): producing a matrix of all possible comparisons is not recommended. It is further recommended that the baseline score should be the one requiring the least sampling and analytical error.

Comparisons should be made by sampling each site using all sampling methods relevant to the indices being compared. It is important that samples are taken at the same time of the year and from the same type of habitats, otherwise seasonal variations or microhabitat variations will increase the degree of variation between data sets. Stratification of sampling, in time (by season) and by habitat (riffles, pools, etc.), decreases the variability and hence increases the statistical power ^[22].

A.2 Statistical considerations

When no difference is found for an index or score between sites (observed and expected values) or years (time series for same site), it is important to determine the probability of a type II (beta) error ^[22]. Simply put, is the statistical power (1-beta error) of the test great enough to find a difference if a difference exists?

Caution should be used when selecting and using many biotic indices in biomonitoring studies. Pollution-specific indicators may be very useful for detecting improvement of habitat quality, as the index or score will change when a single taxon of the family (e.g. BMWP^[13]) or pH interval (e.g. acidification score^[18]) is recorded as present. However, inherent in this simplified approach is the possibility for change to occur undetected (beta error). For example, if categorical score approaches are used to monitor habitat degradation, a substantial change in macroinvertebrate species biodiversity may occur before the site-specific score shifts, signalling impact^[24]. Work using RIVPACS^[12] and BMWP scores^[13] to calculate observed to reference ratios has shown that tests of statistical significance can be made demonstrating differences between sites or at a given site over time^[23].

Regarding statistical tests: care should be taken when applying parametric tests to many biotic indices. One alternative approach is to perform tests using randomization procedures that are becoming increasingly more common.

Bibliography

- [1] NEWMAN P.J. *Classification of surface water quality. Review of the schemes used in EC Member States*. Heinemann, Oxford, 1988.
- [2] ROSENBERG D.M. and RESH V.H. *Freshwater biomonitoring and benthic macroinvertebrates*. Chapman and Hall, London, 1993.
- [3] METCALFE J.L. Biological water quality assessment of running waters based on macroinvertebrate communities: history and present status in Europe. *Environment Pollution*, **60**, pp. 101-139, 1989.
- [4] BRITAIN J.E. and SAITVEIT S.J. *The use of macroinvertebrates in watercourse monitoring*. Vann 1-84, pp. 116-122, 1984 (In Norwegian).
- [5] DE PAUW N., GHETTI P.F., MANZINI P. and SPAGGIARI R. Biological assessment methods for running waters. In: *River Water Quality, Ecological Assessment and Control*, 1992.
- [6] ON M 6232, *Richtlinien für die ökologische Untersuchung und Bewertung von Fließgewässern*, 2sprachige Fassung. (*Guidelines for the ecological study and assessments of waters*, bilingual edition).
- [7] Bundesministerium für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, *Fauna aquatica austriaca, Katalog zur autökologischen Einstufung aquatischer Organismen Österreichs*; Moog O. (ed). Univ. für Bodenkultur, Abt. Hydrobiol., Fischereiwirtschaft und Aquakultur, 1995.
- [8] Environment Agency *Assessing Water Quality — General Quality Assessment (GQA) scheme for Biology*. Environment Agency, Bristol, UK, 1997.
- [9] KNOBEN R.A.E., ROOS C. and VAN OIRSCHOT M.C.M. *Biological assessment methods for watercourses*. Vol. 3, UN/ECE Task Force on Monitoring and Assessment Vol. 3, RIZA, Lelystad, 1995.
- [10] UN/ECE Task Force on monitoring and assessment *Guidelines on water quality monitoring and assessment of transboundary rivers*. RIZA, Lelystad, 1996.
- [11] REIJNEN R., HARMS W.B., FOPPEN R.P.B., DE VISSER R. and WOLFERT H.P. Ecological networks in river rehabilitation scenarios: A case study for the Lower Rhine, *Rhine-Econet Report No. 58*, RIZA, Lelystad, 1995.
- [12] WRIGHT J.F., FURSE M.T. and ARMITAGE P.D. Use of macroinvertebrate communities to detect environmental stress in running waters. In: *Water quality and stress indicators in marine and freshwater systems: linking levels of organisation*, Sutcliffe D.W. (ed). Freshwater Biological Association, pp. 15-34, 1994.
- [13] *River Water Quality: the 1980 survey and future outlook*. National Water Council, London, 1981.
- [14] Agences de l'eau, Ministère de l'Environnement, Conseil Supérieur de la Pêche, *Indice biologique global normalisé (IBGN) – NF T 90-350 – Cahier technique*. Gay Environnement, 1995.
- [15] DIN 38410 Teil 2, *Deutsche Einheitsverfahren zur Wasser-, Abwasser- und Schlammuntersuchung: Biologisch-ökologische Gewässeruntersuchung, Bestimmung des Saprobienindex (M2)*, 1991.
- [16] PEETERS E.T.H.M., GARDENIERS J.J.P. and TOLKAMP H.H. New method to assess the ecological status of surface waters in The Netherlands. Part 1: Running waters. *Verh. Internat. Verein. Limnol.*, **25**, pp. 1914-1916, 1994.
- [17] JOHNSON R.K. The indicator concept in freshwater biomonitoring. In: *Chironomids (from genes to ecosystems)*. Cranston P. (ed). CSIRO, Canberra, pp. 11-26, 1995.

- [18] HELLAWELL J.M. *Biological indicators of freshwater pollution and environmental management*. Elsevier, London and New York, 1988.
- [19] METCALFE-SMITH J.L. Biological water-quality assessment of rivers: Use of macroinvertebrate communities. In: *The Rivers Handbook: hydrological and ecological principles* Vol. 2, Calow P. and Petts G E. (eds), Blackwell, Oxford, 1994.
- [20] WALLEY W.J. and HAWKES H.A. A computer-based reappraisal of Biological Monitoring Working Party scores using data from the 1990 River Quality Survey of England and Wales. *Water Research*, **30**, pp. 2086-2094, 1996.
- [21] PEETERS E.T.H.M. and GARDENIERS J.J.P. Logistic regression as a tool for defining habitat requirements of two common gammarids. *Freshwater Biology*, **39**, pp. 605-615, 1998.
- [22] SOKAL R.R. and ROHLF F.J. *Biometry, the principles and practise of statistics in biological research*. 3rd edn., W.H. Freeman, New York, 1995.
- [23] National Rivers Authority *Biological assessment methods: Controlling the quality of biological data*. National Rivers Authority, Bristol, UK, 1995.
- [24] JOHNSON R. Personal communication.

Annex ZA (normative)**Normative references to international publications
with their relevant European publications**

This European Standard incorporates by dated or undated reference, provisions from other publications. These normative references are cited at the appropriate places in the text and the publications are listed hereafter. For dated references, subsequent amendments to or revisions of any of these publications apply to this European Standard only when incorporated in it by amendment or revision. For undated references the latest edition of the publication referred to applies.

<u>Publication</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>EN</u>	<u>Year</u>
ISO 5667-3	1994	Water quality - Sampling - Part 3: Guidance on the preservation and handling of samples	EN ISO 5667-3	1995
ISO 7828	1985	Water quality - Methods of biological sampling; Guidance on handnet sampling of aquatic benthic macro-invertebrates	EN 27828	1994
ISO 8265	1988	Design and use of quantitative samplers for benthic macro-invertebrates on stony substrata in shallow freshwaters	EN 28265	1994
ISO 9391	1993	Water quality - Sampling in deep waters for macro-invertebrates - Guidance on the use of colonization, qualitative and quantitative samplers.	EN ISO 9391	1995

BSI — British Standards Institution

BSI is the independent national body responsible for preparing British Standards. It presents the UK view on standards in Europe and at the international level. It is incorporated by Royal Charter.

Revisions

British Standards are updated by amendment or revision. Users of British Standards should make sure that they possess the latest amendments or editions.

It is the constant aim of BSI to improve the quality of our products and services. We would be grateful if anyone finding an inaccuracy or ambiguity while using this British Standard would inform the Secretary of the technical committee responsible, the identity of which can be found on the inside front cover. Tel: 020 8996 9000. Fax: 020 8996 7400.

BSI offers members an individual updating service called PLUS which ensures that subscribers automatically receive the latest editions of standards.

Buying standards

Orders for all BSI, international and foreign standards publications should be addressed to Customer Services. Tel: 020 8996 9001. Fax: 020 8996 7001.

In response to orders for international standards, it is BSI policy to supply the BSI implementation of those that have been published as British Standards, unless otherwise requested.

Information on standards

BSI provides a wide range of information on national, European and international standards through its Library and its Technical Help to Exporters Service. Various BSI electronic information services are also available which give details on all its products and services. Contact the Information Centre. Tel: 020 8996 7111. Fax: 020 8996 7048.

Subscribing members of BSI are kept up to date with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards. For details of these and other benefits contact Membership Administration. Tel: 020 8996 7002. Fax: 020 8996 7001.

Copyright

Copyright subsists in all BSI publications. BSI also holds the copyright, in the UK, of the publications of the international standardization bodies. Except as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 no extract may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without prior written permission from BSI.

This does not preclude the free use, in the course of implementing the standard, of necessary details such as symbols, and size, type or grade designations. If these details are to be used for any other purpose than implementation then the prior written permission of BSI must be obtained.

If permission is granted, the terms may include royalty payments or a licensing agreement. Details and advice can be obtained from the Copyright Manager. Tel: 020 8996 7070.