



Standard Guide for Addressing Variability in Exposure Testing of Nonmetallic Materials¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation G141; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

INTRODUCTION

No experimental procedure is exactly repeatable or reproducible. Exposure testing is susceptible to poor test reproducibility because of many contributing factors. These include the type of material and its homogeneity, the complexity and variability of the outdoor environment, difficulty in precisely controlling the laboratory testing environment, and the variability in the measurement of performance. It is extremely difficult to compare “absolute data,” that is, color shift, gloss, tensile, and elongation, and so forth, from different exposure tests. This is true for natural and accelerated exposures conducted outdoors or for accelerated exposure tests conducted at different times in one laboratory or comparing results between laboratories. The purpose of this guide is to provide the user with background information on test variability and guidance to conduct an exposure test that will provide valid and useful durability information.

1. Scope*

1.1 This guide covers information on sources of variability and strategies for its reduction in exposure testing, and for taking variability into consideration in the design, execution, and data analysis of both exterior and laboratory accelerated exposure tests.

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded separately as the standard. The inch-pound values given in parentheses are for information only.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee G03 on Weathering and Durability and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee G03.93 on Statistics. Current edition approved Nov. 1, 2013. Published December 2013. Originally approved in 1996. Last previous edition approved in 2009 as G141–09. DOI: 10.1520/G0141-09R13.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard’s Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

- D4853 Guide for Reducing Test Variability (Withdrawn 2008)³
- D6631 Guide for Committee D01 for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study for the Purpose of Determining the Precision of a Test Method
- E177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods
- E691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method
- G7 Practice for Atmospheric Environmental Exposure Testing of Nonmetallic Materials
- G24 Practice for Conducting Exposures to Daylight Filtered Through Glass
- G90 Practice for Performing Accelerated Outdoor Weathering of Nonmetallic Materials Using Concentrated Natural Sunlight
- G113 Terminology Relating to Natural and Artificial Weathering Tests of Nonmetallic Materials
- G147 Practice for Conditioning and Handling of Nonmetallic Materials for Natural and Artificial Weathering Tests
- G151 Practice for Exposing Nonmetallic Materials in Accelerated Test Devices that Use Laboratory Light Sources
- G152 Practice for Operating Open Flame Carbon Arc Light Apparatus for Exposure of Nonmetallic Materials
- G153 Practice for Operating Enclosed Carbon Arc Light Apparatus for Exposure of Nonmetallic Materials

³ The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.

*A Summary of Changes section appears at the end of this standard

- [G154 Practice for Operating Fluorescent Ultraviolet \(UV\) Lamp Apparatus for Exposure of Nonmetallic Materials](#)
- [G155 Practice for Operating Xenon Arc Light Apparatus for Exposure of Non-Metallic Materials](#)
- [G166 Guide for Statistical Analysis of Service Life Data](#)
- [G169 Guide for Application of Basic Statistical Methods to Weathering Tests](#)
- [G172 Guide for Statistical Analysis of Accelerated Service Life Data](#)
- [G183 Practice for Field Use of Pyranometers, Pyrhemometers and UV Radiometers](#)

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 Terminology **G113** is generally applicable to this guide.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 Many standards and specifications reference exposure tests performed according to standards that are the responsibility of Committee G03 on Durability of Nonmetallic Materials. In many cases, use of the data generated in these tests fails to consider the ramifications of variability in the exposure test practices. This variability can have a profound effect on the interpretation of results from the exposure tests, and if not taken into consideration in test design and data analysis, can lead to erroneous or misleading conclusions. This guide lists some of the sources for test variability and recommends strategies for executing successful weathering studies. Not all sources of variability in weathering testing are addressed in this guide. Specific materials, sampling procedures, specimen preparation, specimen conditioning, and material property measurements can contribute significantly to variability in weathering test results. Many of these concerns are addressed in Guide **G147**. To reduce the contribution of an instrumental method to test variability, it is essential to follow appropriate calibration procedures and ASTM standards associated with the particular property measurement. Additional sources of variability in test results are listed in Guide **D4853**, along with methods for identifying probable causes.

5. Variability in Outdoor Exposure Tests

5.1 *Variability Due to Climate*—Climate at the test site location can significantly affect the material failure rates and modes. Typical climatological categories are; arctic, temperate, subtropical, and tropical (that are primarily functions of latitude). Subcategories may be of more importance as being dictated by geographic, meteorological, terrain, ecological, and land-use factors, and include such categories as desert, forested, (numerous classifications), open, marine, industrial, and so forth. Because different climates, or even different locations or orientation in the same climate, produce different rates of degradation or different degradation mechanisms, it is extremely important to know the characteristics of the exposure sites used and to evaluate materials at sites that produce intensification of important climate stresses. Typically, exposures are conducted in “hot/wet” and “hot/dry” climates to provide intensification of important factors such as solar

radiation and temperature, and to determine possible effects of moisture. Different exposure sites in one climate (even those in close proximity) can cause significantly different results, depending on material.

NOTE 1—Exposures in a tropical summer rain climate (for example, Miami, Florida) and in a hot desert climate (for example, Phoenix, AZ) are recognized as benchmarks for evaluating the durability of many different materials.

5.2 *Variability Due to Time of Year*—Solar-ultraviolet radiation, temperature, and time of wetness vary considerably with time of year. This can cause significant differences in the rate of degradation in many materials. Therefore, comparison of results between short-term exposure studies (less than one full year) will be subject to greater variability. If exposures of less than a full year are required, consider using times when climatological stress is maximized so a worst case test result is obtained. It may also be valuable to make several exposure tests with varying start dates in order to provide more representative data. This is especially true when the material’s response to the environment cannot be predetermined, or when materials with different environmental responses are to be compared. Often exposure periods are timed by total solar or solar-ultraviolet dose, or both. This approach may reduce variability in certain instances. However, an inherent limitation in solar-radiation measurements is that they do not reflect the effects of variation in temperature and moisture, which are often as important as solar radiation. Temperature and time of wetness are highly dependent on time of year, especially in temperate climates. With materials that are sensitive to heat or moisture, or both, the same solar-ultraviolet radiation dose may not give the same degree of change unless the heat and moisture levels are also identical.

5.2.1 Another problem related to timing exposures by broad-band radiation measurements is that solar radiation in the 290 to 310-nm band pass exhibits the most seasonal variability. Some polymer systems are extremely sensitive to radiation in this band pass. Variations in irradiance in this critical region (because of their relatively small magnitude) are not adequately reflected in total solar radiation or broad-band solar ultraviolet (UV) measurements.

5.2.2 The time of year (season) that an exposure test is initiated has, in certain instances, led to different failure rates for identical materials **(1)**.⁴

5.3 *Variability Due to Year-to-Year Climatological Variations*—Even the comparison of test results of full-year exposure increments may show variability. Average temperature, hours of sunshine, and precipitation can vary considerably from year to year at any given location. The microclimate for the test specimens can be affected by yearly differences in pollution levels, airborne particulates, mold, and mildew. These differences can impact material failure rates. Results from a single-exposure test cannot be used to predict the absolute rate at which a material degrades. Several years of repeat exposures are needed to get an “average” test result for any given test site.

⁴ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this standard.

5.4 *Variability Due to Test Design*—Every exposure test has some variability inherent in its structure and design. Specimen placement on an exposure rack (2), and type or color of adjacent specimens can also affect specimen temperature and time of wetness. Sample backing or insulation as well as rack location in an exposure site field can affect specimen temperature and time of wetness.

5.5 *Variability in Glass-filtered Daylight Exposures*—Glass-filtered daylight exposures as described by Practice G24 are subject to many of the test variables previously described. Recent studies conducted by ASTM Subcommittee G03.02 on Natural Environmental Testing has demonstrated that the glass used in these exposures can be highly variable in its light transmission characteristics between 300 and 320 nm that can significantly impact exposure results (3). In addition, solarization processes can alter these transmission characteristics during the first few months of exposure. Specimen temperature can also vary depending on location within an under glass test rack (4).

6. Variability in Accelerated Outdoor Exposures Using Concentrated Sunlight

6.1 Accelerated outdoor exposures using Fresnel concentrators are described in Practice G90. Test results are subject to normal climatological and seasonal variations. Exposure periods are described by a radiant energy dose, most often in the UV region of sunlight. The UV content of the concentrated sunlight is reduced during winter exposures and is also subject to normal year-to-year variations. As mentioned in 5.2, current radiant energy band passes, both total solar and broad-band UV, used in reporting solar dose do not adequately reflect variations in the critical 290 to 310-nm range. Because of the time of year differences in the amount of available ultraviolet, timing exposures based on accumulated ultraviolet dose can improve test-to-test variability, but may not account for the substantial specimen temperature differences that exist between summer and winter.

6.2 When test conditions specify water spray, water quality is extremely critical. Water contaminants or impurities can cause specimen spotting that will give misleading durability results.

7. Variability in Laboratory Exposure Tests

7.1 Practices G151, G152, G153, G154, and G155 describe laboratory accelerated weathering tests and are referenced in many ASTM standards describing tests for particular products. A round-robin evaluation of filtered open flame carbon-arc, fluorescent UV, and xenon-arc exposures was performed between 1985 and 1992 comparing the gloss retention of various vinyl tapes (5). Although the variability reported is specific to the materials tested and the participating laboratories, these referenced round-robin studies serve as a warning to users of durability test standards that high levels of variability may be possible with any test or material.

7.1.1 *Repeatability*—In general, test precision within laboratories (a single test period in a test device) will always be better than precision between laboratories. By testing replicate

specimens, statistically significant performance differences among materials can be readily established.

7.1.2 *Reproducibility*—The G03.03 round-robin studies found that between laboratory comparisons of absolute gloss values after a fixed exposure time is, in a practical sense, impossible. Replicate specimens exposed to seemingly identical test conditions gave highly variable results from laboratory to laboratory. Other round-robin weathering studies have demonstrated varying degrees of variability with different materials and property measurements (6-8). Precise control of critical exposure parameters may not be feasible when devices are located in differing ambient laboratory conditions and operated by a diverse user group.

NOTE 2—Indices of precision and related statistical terms are defined in Practice E177.

7.2 Specific Factors Responsible for Variability in Accelerated Laboratory Exposure Tests:

7.2.1 Light sources for all test devices are subject to normal manufacturing variation in peak irradiance and spectral power distribution (SPD). In many instances, the filter glasses associated with certain devices and light sources also demonstrate significant variation in their initial UV transmission characteristics. As the light source and filter glasses age during normal use, the irradiance and SPD can also change significantly. Instruments that monitor irradiance at 340 nm or broad-band radiometers (300 to 400 nm) may not detect or compensate for these changes.

7.2.2 Irradiance and specimen temperatures can vary significantly throughout the allowed specimen exposure area, especially in older test equipment.

7.2.3 Water contaminants or impurities and poor spray quality, that is, clogged spray nozzles, can cause specimen spotting that will give misleading durability results by impacting visual observations, reducing specular gloss values, causing unnatural color shifts, or by impacting other optical properties.

7.2.4 Ambient temperature and humidity conditions in the testing laboratory can affect test chamber conditions and device operation. In fluorescent UV condensation devices, high ambient temperatures can reduce the amount of condensate that forms on the test specimens. If the device does not have an irradiance control system, ambient temperature can also affect irradiance at the specimen plane.

8. Addressing Variability in All Exposure Tests

8.1 Extreme caution must be used when comparing test results between different laboratories or from different time periods. This applies equally to laboratory accelerated tests, outdoor exposure tests, and outdoor accelerated tests. The safest approach is to treat each exposure test as a separate entity and make durability comparisons for materials exposed at the same time in the same device or at the same outdoor exposure site.

8.2 The proper use of experimental design and data analysis techniques can cope with the variability inherent to weathering testing. Guide G169 describes how basic statistical methods can be applied to weathering tests.

8.3 *General Considerations:*

8.3.1 Round-robin studies (5) conducted by Committee G03 and others (9) indicate that nominally similar tests can cause significantly differing failure rates, but rank performance for a series of materials is quite reproducible between devices running the same test cycle in different laboratories. In these cases, differing stress levels do not affect the ranking of materials, just the time required to achieve the same level of degradation. This same response is often true for outdoor exposures as well. Year-to-year meteorological variations can significantly impact the failure rate of materials, but the weathering performance ranking of a series of materials is quite reproducible.

8.3.2 The use of replicate specimens of each material for all exposure studies is essential. This allows the use of statistical data treatments, such as analysis of variance, in order to meaningfully assess performance differences between materials. If only one specimen from each material is exposed, performance of differences among materials can never be determined to be statistically significant.

8.3.2.1 Use of two replicate specimens per material is acceptable, however using more replicates provides for better statistical analysis and may help to identify possible outliers. When destructive tests are used to characterize material properties, the number of replicates is higher and is often dictated by the standard describing the property measurement procedure.

8.3.3 Weathering reference materials or standard weathering reference materials are sometimes used to monitor exposure conditions in exposure devices used in different laboratories or in the same laboratory. The use of absolute property levels after specific exposure periods for these materials is acceptable only if the variability has been statistically determined through appropriate round-robin evaluations.

8.3.4 Measurements or observations should be repeated throughout the exposure test duration to determine optimum times for comparison to control specimens or for ranking the performance of a series of specimens. Optimum times are when performance differences between test specimens or between test specimens and the control specimen are the greatest and are statistically significant.

8.3.5 The equipment used to measure material properties during exposure testing should be maintained in proper calibration and operating condition.

8.3.6 Follow the procedures described in Practice G147 for selection, handling, and conditioning of test specimens to reduce their contribution to variability in test results.

8.4 *Material Specification Testing:*

8.4.1 Test specifications that list an absolute property level after a specific exposure period without setting appropriate statistical confidence intervals are not technically valid. A material specification that requires an absolute property level after a specific exposure period may be acceptable if test variability (reproducibility) has been quantified in statistical terms for the specific material type. This requires that appropriate round-robin experiments be conducted with a representative selection of exposure laboratories (follow procedures

outlined in Practice E691). Once generated, this data cannot be extrapolated to other material types or exposure test conditions.

8.4.2 The proper use of control materials permits valid test information even with the highly variable nature of weathering testing. Comparisons are made relative to control specimens. The absolute amount of change in a performance property is not necessarily important. Only a statistically significant difference in performance between the control and test specimens is required. When this is achieved, the test specimen can be judged “better” or “worse” than the control. Validity can be added to these comparisons by choosing a control material that is similar in composition to the test specimens, that is, polymer type, color, or construction.

8.4.3 Material specifications requiring a specific number of exposure hours or radiant dose without any failure occurring provide very limited durability information. Two specimens with highly differing durability levels could pass this type of specification. Test to failure or until significant differences in performance are established.

8.5 *Service Life Prediction And Relating Laboratory Exposure Test Results To Outdoor Exposure Results:*

8.5.1 Because of variability inherent in exposure tests, results from a single exposure test cannot be used to determine the absolute rate at which a nonmetallic material degrades. Several replicate tests are required to estimate the mean failure rate of a material.

8.5.2 Because of the variability involved in most aspects of durability testing, direct comparisons of property retention versus time plots to obtain an acceleration factor, that is, X hours in the accelerated test equals Y year(s) outdoors, is highly questionable unless many replicate tests are run. In addition, acceleration factors are highly variable, among different material types and formulations, that limits their general applicability and usefulness. Practice G151 gives more information on problems with use of acceleration factors.

8.5.3 Nonparametric statistics, specifically Spearman rank correlation, have proven useful in quantifying how well an accelerated test relates to a long-term natural exposure test. The nonparametric approach does not assign an absolute level of performance (or failure) to a single material, but ranks the performance of a series of materials. In correlating accelerated and real-time exposure tests, the rank performance of a series of materials exposed to both environments is compared, and the strength of the association between the tests is established. Examples of nonparametric methods for analyzing weathering results are described in Guide G169. A method to evaluate correlation results to determine whether a specific rank correlation coefficient is adequate is now available (10).

8.5.4 Valid service-life predictions for a material may be achieved even with highly variable test results by using reliability analysis where variability is treated as a distribution of time to failure (11). This approach has been used successfully in the electronics and aerospace industries for several years. Work is currently underway to adapt reliability methods to the complex world of exposure testing. Guides G166 and G172 describe the use of these methods in durability testing.

8.5.5 Weathering test results from a specific test and a specific set of test specimens should not be generalized to other tests and materials.

9. Addressing Variability Specifically in Outdoor Exposure Tests

9.1 Results for multiple exposures of a common lot of material (during different seasons over several years) at different sites can be used to compare the relative rates at which a particular nonmetallic material will degrade at each outdoor location.

9.2 Replicate exposures of a single material at differing time periods can give an estimate of seasonal and year-to-year variability.

9.3 Monitoring of specimen temperatures, radiant energy, and time of wetness during specimen exposure periods can help estimate the impact of seasonal and year-to-year variations on test results.

9.4 Avoid using the right and left edge test specimen positions in fixed angle plywood-backed exposures conducted according to Practice **G7**. These positions can often have reduced specimen temperatures that can lead to slower failure rates (**2**). Blank panels should be placed in the edge positions.

9.5 To reduce the impact of the initial solarization process in filtered daylight exposures, Practice **G24** now requires that glass be exposed three months prior to use in under-glass fixtures. Follow the requirements in Practice **G24** for specimen placement to avoid shadowing from the enclosure body.

9.6 In accelerated outdoor exposures using Fresnel reflectors, the amount of solar UV radiation striking the target board must be reported using the accepted procedure described in Practice **G90**.

9.7 To minimize variability when measuring solar irradiance follow the requirements described in Practice **G183** for the maintenance and operation of the radiometers.

10. Addressing the Variability Specifically in Laboratory Exposure Tests

10.1 Strict adherence to standard practices for conducting laboratory weathering tests (for example, Practices **G151**, **G152**, **G153**, **G154**, and **G155**) can reduce variability in results.

10.2 Follow device manufacturers' maintenance schedules, operational recommendations, and calibration procedures.

10.2.1 Make sure test devices are operating within the allowed operational fluctuation limits about the set point for irradiance, temperature, and water quality on, at least, a daily basis.

10.2.2 Replace light sources (and light filters, if applicable) and reposition lamps, recommended schedules.

10.3 Repositioning of specimens during an exposure test is one of the approaches used to try to improve repeatability. Periodically reposition specimens during the exposure period to ensure that each receives an equal amount of radiant exposure. If no specific time schedule is provided use the following schedule:

10.3.1 For exposure intervals not exceeding one week, specimens shall be repositioned daily.

10.3.2 For exposure periods greater than one week, reposition specimens at least weekly.

NOTE 3—Some standards require specific repositioning procedures. When these standards are used, it is important that these procedures be followed.

10.4 Instead of periodic repositioning of specimens during the exposure, there are two other approaches to try to ensure uniform exposure or to mitigate the effects of varying exposure stresses throughout the exposure area:

10.4.1 Limit the exposure area to locations with the greatest uniformity. For example, Practice **G151** states that repositioning is not required if exposures are limited to the area where irradiance is at least 90 % of the peak irradiance.

10.4.2 Randomly position replicate specimens within the exposure area that meets the irradiance uniformity requirements defined in Practice **G151**.

10.5 Maintaining reasonable control of laboratory conditions will improve test reproducibility. Maintain laboratory temperatures between 18 and 28 °C (62 and 82 °F) and preferably between 19 and 24 °C (66 and 75 °F). Laboratory relative humidity may impact results when testing in devices without humidity control.

10.6 Preaging lamps and filters will reduce the effects of solarization that occurs with new parts.

NOTE 4—Recent advances in equipment design have reduced the need for sample repositioning in some devices. However, repositioning specimens during exposure is always good practice. Monitoring and controlling irradiance through a feedback loop (also available in some test devices) helps reduce both initial and aging-induced variability in light sources. Some newer equipment also provide for continuous monitoring of critical parameters and will alarm or shut down the device when operation tolerance limits are exceeded.

NOTE 5—Variability in accelerated outdoor exposures using Fresnel concentrators (described in Practice **G90**) may be addressed by items listed in both Sections **9** and **10**.

11. Use of Results From Laboratory Exposure Tests

11.1 The repeatability and reproducibility of results obtained in accelerated weathering tests will vary with the materials being tested, the material property being measured, and the specific test conditions and cycles that are used. In round-robin tests (**5**) conducted by Subcommittee G03.03, the 60° gloss values of replicate PVC tape specimens exposed in different laboratories using identical test devices and exposure cycles showed significant variability. The variability shown in these round-robin studies restricts the use of “absolute standards” such as requiring a specific property level after a specific exposure period.

11.1.1 If a standard or specification *for general use* requires a definite property level after a specific time or radiant exposure in an exposure test the specified property level shall be based on results obtained in a round-robin test that takes into consideration the variability due to the exposure, and the test method used to measure the property of interest. The round-robin test shall be conducted in accordance with Guide **D6631** or Practice **E691** and shall include a statistically representative

sample of all laboratories or organizations who would normally conduct the exposure and property measurement.

11.1.2 If a standard or specification for use *between two or three parties* requires a definite property level after a specific time or radiant exposure in an exposure test the specified property level shall be based on statistical analysis of results from at least two separate, independent exposures in each laboratory. The design of the experiment used to determine the specification shall take into consideration the variability due to the exposure, and the test method used to measure the property of interest.

11.2 The same round-robin studies (5) demonstrated that the gloss values for a series of materials could be ranked with a high level of reproducibility between laboratories. When re-

producibility in results from an exposure test have not been established through round-robin testing, performance requirements for materials shall be specified in terms of comparison (ranked) to a control specimen. The control specimen shall be exposed simultaneously with the test specimen(s) in the same device. The specific control material used shall be agreed upon between the concerned parties. Expose replicates of the test specimen and the control specimen so that statistically significant performance differences can be determined.

12. Keywords

12.1 accelerated testing; climate; experimental design; non-metallic materials; statistics; sunlight; testing variability; ultraviolet; weathering

REFERENCES

- (1) Simms, J. A., *J. Coat Technol.*, 58, 1987.
- (2) Fischer, R. M., Ketola, W. D., and Murray, W. P., *Prog. Org. Ctns.*, 19, 1991, pp. 151–163.
- (3) Ketola, W. D., and Robbins, J., “UV Transmission of Single Strength Window Glass,” *Accelerated and Outdoor Durability Testing of Organic Materials, ASTM STP 1202*, Warren D. Ketola and Douglas Grossman, eds., ASTM, Philadelphia, 1993.
- (4) Crewdson, L. F. E., and Bahadursingh, C., “A Review of Variability Encountered When Exposing Materials to Glass Filtered Sunlight,” *Accelerated and Outdoor Durability Testing of Organic Materials, ASTM STP 1202*, Warren D. Ketola and Douglas Grossman, eds., ASTM, Philadelphia, 1993.
- (5) Fischer, R. M., “Results of Round Robin Studies of Light- and Water-Exposure Standard Practices,” *Accelerated and Outdoor Durability Testing of Organic Materials, ASTM STP 1202*, Warren D. Ketola and Douglas Grossman, eds., ASTM, Philadelphia, 1993.
- (6) “Association of Automobile Industries,” *J. Coat. Technol.*, 58, 1986.
- (7) Fischer, R. M., *Report to Fade and Weathering Committee*, Transportation Division of Industrial Fabrics Association International, Sept. 27, 1994. (available from IFAI, 345 Cedar St., Suite 800, St. Paul, MN 55101-1088, meeting minutes).
- (8) Ketola, W. D., “Results from Round Robin Testing of Reference Materials Used in Exposure Tests,” *The Second International Symposium on Weatherability, Materials Life Society*, Japan, September 1994, pp. 20–33.
- (9) Fischer, R. M., and Ketola, W. D., “The Impact of Recent Research on The Development and Modification of ASTM Weathering Standards,” *Durability Testing of Nonmetallic Materials, ASTM STP 1294*, Robert J. Herling, Editor, ASTM, West Conshohocken, 1996.
- (10) Fischer, R. M., and Ketola, W. D., “Accelerated Weathering Test Design and Data Analysis,” *Handbook of Polymer Degradation, Second Edition, Revised and Expanded*, S. Halim Hamid, Editor, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- (11) Tobias, P.A., and Trindade, D. C., *Applied Reliability, 2nd Edition*, Competitive Manufacturing Series, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1986.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Committee G03 has identified the location of selected changes to this standard since the last issue (G21–96(2002)) that may impact the use of this standard.

(1) Deleted section 6.2 and reference 5. With the revision of ASTM G90 standardizing the measurement of solar UV radiation for solar concentrating exposures, there is no data supporting the statement made in the old 6.2 .

(2) Added a statement in 9.5 reminding users to follow the requirements of Practice G24 to eliminate the effects of shading in exposures conducted behind glass.

(3) Deleted the last sentence of 9.6 because the work was completed with the revision of Practice G90 to standardize the measurement of solar UV radiation for solar concentrating exposures.


(4) Added a new section 9.7 reminding users to follow the requirements of Method G183 for operation and maintenance of radiometers used to measure solar radiation in outdoor exposures.

(5) Revised Section 10.2.1 to replace “tolerance limits” with “operational control limits”.

(6) Revised Section 10.5 to make SI units for temperature standard.

(7) Changed title of Section 11 from “Precision and Bias” to “Use of results from laboratory accelerated tests”.

(8) Deleted Section 11.1.

 **G141 – 09 (2013)**

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).