



Standard Test Method for Evaluating Knee Bearing (Tibial Insert) Endurance and Deformation Under High Flexion¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F2777; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This standard specifies a test method for determining the endurance properties and deformation, under specified laboratory conditions, of ultra high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) tibial bearing components used in bicompartmen- tal or tricompartmental knee prosthesis designs.

1.2 This test method is intended to simulate near posterior edge loading similar to the type of loading that would occur during high flexion motions such as squatting or kneeling.

1.3 Although the methodology described attempts to identify physiological orientations and loading conditions, the interpretation of results is limited to an *in vitro* comparison between knee prosthesis designs and their ability to resist deformation and fracture under stated test conditions.

1.4 This test method applies to bearing components manu- factured from UHMWPE.

1.5 This test method could be adapted to address unicom- partmental total knee replacement (TKR) systems, provided that the designs of the unicompartmental systems have suffi- cient constraint to allow use of this test method. This test method does not include instructions for testing two unicom- partmental knees as a bicompartmental system.

1.6 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.7 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applica- bility of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F04 on Medical and Surgical Materials and Devices and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F04.22 on Arthroplasty.

Current edition approved July 1, 2016. Published August 2016. Originally approved in 2010. Last previous edition approved in 2010 as F2777–10. DOI: 10.1520/F2777-16.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards*:²

F1223 Test Method for Determination of Total Knee Re- placement Constraint

F2003 Practice for Accelerated Aging of Ultra-High Mo- lecular Weight Polyethylene after Gamma Irradiation in Air

F2083 Specification for Knee Replacement Prosthesis

2.2 *Other Standards*:³

ISO 4965–1 Metallic materials—Dynamic Force Calibration for uniaxial fatigue testing —Part 1: Testing system

ISO 5833 Implants for Surgery—Acrylic Resin Cements

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*:

3.1.1 *anatomic (mechanical) axis of the femur*—the line between the center of the femoral head and the center of the femoral knee.

3.1.2 *bearing centerline*—the line running anteroposterior that is the mirror line of the femoral articulating surface. For asymmetric bearing tibial tray designs, the appropriate tibial tray centerline shall be determined and reported along with the rationale for the location.

3.1.3 *bearing retention mechanism*—mechanical means for preventing tibial tray/bearing disassociation.

3.1.4 *femoral component centerline*—a line running antero- posterior between the femoral condyles and parallel to the femoral condyles. The line should be equidistant between the condyles. For asymmetric or non-parallel condyles designs, the appropriate centerline shall be determined, and the rationale for that location reported.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

³ Available from American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036, <http://www.ansi.org>.

3.1.5 *fixed bearing system*—a knee prosthesis system comprised of a femoral component and a tibial component, where the tibial articulating surface is not intended to move relative to the tibial tray.

3.1.6 *mobile bearing*—the component between fixed femoral and tibial knee components with an articulating surface on both the inferior and superior sides.

3.1.7 *mobile bearing knee system*—a knee prosthesis system comprised of a femoral component, a tibial component, and a mobile bearing component that can rotate and/or translate relative to the tibial component.

3.1.8 *posterior slope*—the angle that the perpendicular axis of the tibial tray makes when it is tilted posteriorly away from the tibial axis (see Fig. 1).

3.1.9 *R value*—the ratio of the minimum force to the maximum force (that is, $R = \text{minimum force}/\text{maximum force}$).

3.1.10 *tibial axis*—nominal longitudinal axis of the tibia, which corresponds with the central axis of the medullary cavity of the proximal tibia.

3.1.11 *tibial tray/bearing disassociation*—unrecoverable physical separation of the tibial bearing and tibial tray components as a result of bearing distraction or tilting.

3.1.12 *tibial tray centerline*—a line running anteroposterior that is the mirror line of the tibial articulating surface. For asymmetric bearing tibial tray designs, the appropriate tibial tray centerline shall be determined and reported along with the rationale for the location.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 This test method can be used to describe the effects of materials, manufacturing, and design variables on the fatigue/cyclic creep performance of UHMWPE bearing components subject to substantial rotation in the transverse plane (relative to the tibial tray) for a relatively large number of cycles.

4.2 The loading and kinematics of bearing component designs *in vivo* will, in general, differ from the loading and kinematics defined in this test method. The results obtained here cannot be used to directly predict *in vivo* performance. However, this test method is designed to enable comparisons between the fatigue performance of different bearing component designs when tested under similar conditions.

4.3 The test described is applicable to any bicompartamental knee design including mobile bearing knees that have mechanisms in the tibial articulating component to constrain the posterior movement of the femoral component and a built-in retention mechanism to keep the articulating component on the tibial plate.

5. Apparatus and Materials

5.1 *Testing Machine*, with the following characteristics:

5.1.1 A sinusoidal, dynamic-forcing waveform.

5.1.2 An error in applied force not greater than $\pm 2\%$ at the maximum force magnitude (in accordance with ISO 4965-1).

5.1.3 Axial force peak representative of what could occur during daily activities of high flexion (about 2275 N). During the tests, the values of the maximum and minimum forces shall be maintained to an accuracy of $\pm 2\%$ of the maximum force. The test shall be stopped if this accuracy is not maintained.

5.1.4 The forcing accuracy must be maintained as bearing component deformation occurs.

5.1.5 Instrumentation to record the number of cycles.

5.2 *Fixturing*, with the following characteristics:

5.2.1 Means of mounting and enclosing the test specimens using a corrosion-resistant material that is capable of holding the femoral component and tibial tray.

5.2.2 The fixtures shall maintain the tibial and femoral components in their required orientations for the duration of the test.




FIG. 1 Incline of the Tibial Tray Relative to the Tibial Axis at the Recommended Angle (Posterior Slope)

5.2.3 If necessary, bone cement (see ISO 5833) or a high-strength epoxy may be used to lock the femoral and tibial components in their fixtures.

5.2.4 The test apparatus or fixture should allow the force to be applied through the center of the femoral component and ensure equal force transmission through the medial and lateral condyles.

5.3 Fluid Medium:

5.3.1 The test assembly shall be immersed in deionized water at $37 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$.

5.3.2 Deionized water should be added as necessary to keep the test components at the test temperature for the duration of the test.

6. Specimen Selection

6.1 The metallic components shall follow the complete manufacturing process (machining, surface treatment, laser marking, passivation, cleaning, and so forth) until the sterilization stage. Because sterilization has no known effect on the mechanical properties for metallic components, it is not necessary for these to be sterilized. The UHMWPE components shall be sterilized in a manner consistent with the clinical use for such devices, as this may affect the mechanical properties of the material.

6.2 The UHMWPE component(s) shall be artificially aged according to Practice F2003, except when the mechanical properties of the UHMWPE have been proven not to be detrimentally affected by aging.

6.3 Most of the knee systems allow the tibial tray to be upsized, size for size, or downsized relative to the bearing component size. Consistent with the principle of this test method, the smallest tibial tray compatible with a given bearing component size (according to the manufacturer) shall be used.

6.4 There may be some small variation in the amount of cold flow of the bearing component depending on the tibial bearing thickness. However, the possible effect of the cold flow is worst on the thinnest bearing components. Consequently, the thinnest bearing component in the knee system scope shall be used in this test.

7. Procedure

7.1 On one representative sample, make the initial measurements on the bearing surface to characterize the subsequent amount of bearing deformation after completion of the test. Use of a Coordinate Measuring Machine (CMM) is the recommended method of making the measurements. The measurements should be in the form of a grid of points, referenced to a fixed plane on the UHMWPE bearing, 1.5 mm

apart over the entire superior surface of the UHMWPE bearing. The measurements should be made with the bearing at $20 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$.

7.2 On one representative sample, perform the “A-P Draw Test” (Section 9.2) and the “Rotary Laxity Test” (Section 9.4) from Test Method F1223 at the same flexion angle used in 7.6 of this test method.

7.3 Condition the UHMWPE bearing in a deionized water environment at $37 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ prior to initiation of the test for a long enough time to bring the bearing into equilibrium with the fluid temperature.

7.4 Mount the tibial tray in the test machine. The main proximal planar surface shall be inclined at the posterior slope recommended by the manufacturer (see Fig. 1). If more than one slope is recommended, the largest slope should be used. Mount the bearing component on the tibial tray using the method recommended by the manufacturer.

NOTE 1—The tibial slope will generate a shear force and a resulting bending moment on the test frame actuator. This may cause a significant error of the load cell, depending on the sensitivity of the load cell to off-axis loading. This should be addressed in the test setup.

7.5 Measure vertical distraction (when appropriate for the design) and bearing tilt (Fig. 2).

7.5.1 To measure the vertical distraction, use appropriately sized feeler gauges, one set under each condyle to lift the bearing away from the tibial plate, keeping the posterior surface of the bearing parallel to the superior surface of the tibial plate, until the gauges will not fit easily in the gap. The thickness of the feeler gauges is the vertical distraction value.

7.5.2 To measure the posterior bearing tilt displacement, push the bearing posteriorly and raise the posterior edge of the bearing by hand. Select a location on the posterior edge of the bearing and measure the perpendicular distance from that location to the tibial plate. Change in these displacements after testing may be useful as an indicator of damage.

7.6 Mount the femoral component in the test machine with an alignment such that the component is flexed in the sagittal plane at the maximum flexion angle (including the posterior slope angle) the manufacturer recommends (see Fig. 3) according to the method in Section 6.2.3 of Specification F2083.

7.7 The femoral component should be placed so that it contacts the bearing component close to the posterior edge of the bearing. The specific contact points between components should be recorded and justified. At minimum, it should be demonstrated that the anterior-posterior placement of the components would permit flexion and rotation of the femur to the prescribed angles without impingement between the femur and tibia.

NOTE 2—If the mobile bearing knee design allows anterior-posterior




FIG. 2 Vertical Distraction and Posterior Bearing Tilt Displacement




FIG. 3 Rotate the Femoral Component until the Maximum Flexion Angle is Reached

translation of the mobile bearing, translate the bearing component posteriorly relative to the tibial tray (according to the maximum translation allowed by the knee system) to simulate a worst-case condition.

7.8 Initially align all components in neutral rotation to set the maximum flexion angle. In this position, the femoral component, the bearing component and the tibial tray should be aligned in the coronal plane according to the manufacturer's intended neutral alignment (see Fig. 4).

7.9 *Rotational Alignment:*

7.9.1 For mobile bearing knee system designs simulate 20° of internal rotation for the tibial tray with respect to the femoral and bearing components (see Fig. 5).

NOTE 3—The femoral component and the anteroposterior centerlines of the bearing component are still collinear.

7.9.2 For fixed designs, the components should simulate 20° of internal rotation for the tibia tray with respect to the femoral component (see Fig. 6). If a smaller angle is used, it shall be justified. On a fixed bearing system, only one femoral condyle shall be at the maximum posterior contact point after the internal rotation is simulated. The other condyle shall be closer to the center of the bearing. It may be necessary to change the

varus-valgus orientation of the tibial component to bring both femoral condyles into contact with the bearing before applying force. It may also be necessary to achieve the 20° rotation by rotating the femoral component, as long as the appropriate flexion and load line are correct.

7.9.3 The line of force application shall be set to pass through the femoral component centerline, intersecting at or posterior to the contact points.

7.10 Introduce the deionized water to completely immerse the test specimen contact surfaces.

7.11 Start the test machine and apply a cyclical force with a peak of 2275 N to the bearing component with the femoral component at the specified force. For these tests, the ratio of the minimum force magnitude to the maximum force magnitude should be 0.1.

7.12 Operate the testing machine at a fixed frequency between 0.5 to 2.0 Hz.

7.13 Continue the test until one of the following events occur:




FIG. 4 Illustration of Initial Neutral Rotation Alignment




FIG. 5 For a Mobile Bearing Knee Simulate the Internal Rotation for the Tibial Tray, and Load the Femoral Component

7.13.1 The bearing component fractures or is no longer attached to the tibial component (disassociates). This can be determined by either the test machine measured force or displacement ranges exceeding preset limits. These preset limits should be the same for all tests.

7.13.2 A test duration of 220 000 cycles is achieved. (See X1.4 for details of how this number was derived.)

7.14 After testing, the same measurements described in 7.5 should be performed on the test sample before removing the bearing from the tibial component.

7.15 If the samples have not fractured or dissociated, measure tibial deformation by repeating the measurements of 7.1 on all the samples. Then, select the sample with the most




FIG. 6 Illustration of Internal Rotation, Flexion, and Load for a Fixed Bearing Knee

deformation and repeat the measurements of 7.2. The measurements in 7.2 should be conducted on dry samples a minimum of 90 min after testing to allow creep recovery and permit the sample to reach equilibrium with the $20 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ measurement temperature. If a simpler measurement method can be justified to identify the sample with the most deformation, then the measurements of 7.1 and 7.2 can be performed on that one sample. The criteria used to select the sample shall be reported.

8. Reporting Results

8.1 The test report shall include the following information:

8.1.1 Bearing component size, tibial tray size, femoral component size, manufacturer, catalog numbers, and lot numbers.

8.1.2 Bearing component thickness and tolerance specifications for the thickness.

8.1.3 Bearing component material information.

8.1.4 Magnitude and justification (if necessary) of the angle between the bearing component and tibial tray anteroposterior centerlines.

8.1.5 Location and justification for the initial contact points between the femoral and tibial bearing components.

8.1.6 Bearing maximum overhang for mobile bearing systems (in mm).

8.1.7 Preset limits associated with machine shutdown for determining bearing fracture or disassociation.

8.1.8 Description of the UHMWPE bearing in the knee: resin, aged condition, (including support for the appropriateness of the aging procedure or justification for not aging), crosslinking method, and sterilization method.

8.1.9 Mode and location of failure.

8.1.10 Justification for the selection of the unfractured sample with the most deformation.

8.1.11 Summary of the location and magnitude of deformation as determined from pre- and post-test measurement. This analysis must address the thickness tolerances of the as-manufactured UHMWPE bearing and the measurement tolerance of the CMM measurement system.

8.1.12 Summary of the pre- and post-test results of the “A-P Draw Test” (Section 9.2) and the “Rotary Laxity Test” (Section 9.4) from Test Method F1223.

8.1.13 The time between the end of the test and the end of CMM deformation measurement to the nearest hour.

8.1.14 Number of cycles to fracture for each sample.

8.1.15 Cyclic loading frequency.

8.1.16 The pre- and post-testing vertical distraction and bearing tilt. Justification for not measuring.

8.1.17 For asymmetrical bearing systems the description and justification for the location of the tibial tray centerline, the bearing centerline, and the femoral component centerline.

8.1.18 Tibial slope angle and femoral flexion angle.

9. Precision and Bias

9.1 *Precision*—It is not practical to specify the precision of the procedure in this test method because of the wide variance in design of the components to be tested.

9.2 *Bias*—No statement can be made as to the bias of this procedure since no acceptable reference values are available, nor can they be obtained since this test is a destructive test.

10. Keywords

10.1 deformation; fatigue; fracture; high flexion; knee; mobile bearing; rotation; TKR (total knee replacement); UHMWPE (ultra high molecular weight polyethylene)

APPENDIX

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. CLINICAL RELEVANCE

X1.1 Fractures of mobile bearing components in TKR have occurred in clinical applications **(1, 2)**.⁴ One recognizable mode of clinical failure occurs when the bearing is subluxated posteriorly and entrapped between the femoral component and the posterior edge of the tibial tray. In this case, the bearing component is cantilevered over the edge of the tibial tray. Because this lack of support is the primary cause of meniscal bearing component fractures, this test method was chosen as a simplified model to use in the fatigue testing of actual implants.

X1.2 According to relevant literature, retrieved bearing components showed advanced wear, cold flow deformity and reduction in the bearing component thickness **(3-6)**. By choosing a large angle of rotation for the bearing component (relative to the tibial tray in the transverse plane) and the maximum flexion for the femoral component (relative to the bearing component), this test method should identify or differentiate poor designs.

X1.3 Recent studies demonstrated that the range of axial internal rotation of the tibial during deep flexion could be as high as 20° for patients with a mobile bearing knee prosthesis design **(7-9)**. The choice of 20° for the tibial tray angle of axial internal rotation is intended to reflect the findings of these studies.

X1.4 The number of cycles (that is, 220 000) represents approximately thirty (30) high flexion motions per day for 20 years **(10)**.

X1.5 Accurate *in vivo* measurement of tibiofemoral forces in total knee arthroplasty is important in testing of total knees. Theoretical estimates of tibiofemoral forces have varied

widely, depending on the mathematical models used. Very recent studies using an implantable telemetry system have shown the peak loads during various activities of daily living are generally lower than historically predicted theoretical values. Current studies have shown joint forces during high flexion activities (> 130° flexion) ranging from 2.5 to 2.9 times the body weight **(11-15)**. The 2275 N force used for this study represents 2.9 times a body weight of approximately 80 kg **(16)**.

X1.6 For some materials, the environment might have an effect on the response to cyclic loading. The test report shall describe the test environment used and the rationale for that choice.

X1.7 Worst-case loading of the bearing component may vary, depending on the material, design, and clinical indications. The researcher shall evaluate the possible clinical and design-related failure modes and attempt to determine a worst-case situation. As stated above, posterior subluxation of the meniscal bearing has been observed clinically and is thus incorporated in this test method. Other factors that might be important include the possibility of anterior lift-off of the bearing component.

X1.8 In order to evaluate the impact of cold flow on the lower bearing surface, the researcher could evaluate the torque required to rotate the bearing component relative to the tibial tray from 0° to 20° and from 20° to 0° under a load before and after the test (optional). Deformation of the bearing could change the constraint behavior of the bearing as measured by Test Method **F1223**. The deformation could significantly modify the character of the bearing. Since the bearings are manufactured repeatably only one sample is required for measurement of the initial constraint.

⁴ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of this standard.

REFERENCES

- (1)** Huang, C. H., Young, T. H., Lee, Y. T., Jan, J. S., Cheng, C. K., "Polyethylene failure in New Jersey low-contact stress total knee arthroplasty," *J Biomed Mater Res*, 1998, Vol 39, pp.153–160.
- (2)** Weaver, J. K., Derkash, R. S., Greenwald, A.S., "Difficulties with bearing dislocation and breakage using a movable bearing total knee replacement system," *Clin Orthop Relat Res.*, 1993, Vol 290, pp. 244–252.
- (3)** Ridgeway, S., Moskal, J. T., "Early instability with mobile bearing total knee arthroplasty," *Journal of Arthroplasty*, 2004 Vol 19, pp. 686–693.
- (4)** Huang, C. H., Ma, H. M., Liao, J. J., Ho, F. Y., Cheng, C. K., "Late dislocation of rotating platform in New Jersey low-contact stress knee prosthesis," *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2002, Vol 405, pp. 189–194.
- (5)** Jordan, L., Olivo, J. L., Voorhorst, P., "Survivor analysis of Cementless meniscal bearing total knee arthroplasty," *Clin Orthop Relat Res.*, 1997, Vol 338, pp. 119-123.
- (6)** Chang, D. C., Goh, J. C., Teoh, S. H., Bose, K., "Cold extrusion deformation of UHMWPE in total knee replacement prostheses," *Biomaterials* , 1995, Vol 16, pp. 216–223.
- (7)** Dennis, D. A., Komistek, R. D., Mahfouz, M. R., Walker, S. A.,

- Tucker, A., "A Multicenter Analysis of Axial Femorotibial Rotation after Total Knee Arthroplasty," *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 2004, Vol 428, pp. 180–189.
- (8) Ranawat, C., Komistek, R. D., Rodriguez, J. A., Dennis, D. A., Anderle, M., "In Vivo Kinematics for Fixed and Mobile-Bearing Posterior Stabilized Knee Prostheses," *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 2004 Vol 418, pp. 184–190.
- (9) Dennis, D. A., Komistek, R. D., Mahfouz, M. R., Outten, J., Sharma, A., "Mobile-Bearing Total Knee Arthroplasty Do the Polyethylene Bearings Rotate?" *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 2005, Vol 440, pp. 88–95.
- (10) Nechtow, W., Schwenke, T., Moisiol, K., Wimmer, M., "Daily Activity Profile of Total Knee Replacement Patients," 28th Annual American Society of Biomechanics Meeting, 2004.
- (11) D’Lima, D. D., Steklov, N., Patil, S., Colwell, C. W., "The Mark Coventry Award In Vivo Knee Forces During Recreation and Exercise After Knee Arthroplasty," *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 2008, Vol 466, pp. 2605–2611.
- (12) Mundermann, A., Dyrby, C. O., D’Lima, D. D., Colwell, C. W., Andriacchi, T.P., "In Vivo Knee Loading Characteristics during Activities of Daily Living as Measured by an Instrumented Total Knee Replacement," *J Orthop Res*, 2008, Vol 26, pp. 1167–1172.
- (13) Heinlein, B., Kutzner, I., Graichen, F., Bender, A., Rohlmann, A., Halder, A., Beier, A., Bergmann, G., "ESB clinical biomechanics award 2008: Complete data of total knee replacement loading for level walking and stair climbing measured in vivo with a follow-up of 6–10 months," *Clinical Biomechanics*, 2009, Vol 24, No. 4, pp. 315–326.
- (14) Zelle, J., Barink, M., De Waal Malefijt, M., Verdonshot, N., "Thigh-calf contact: Does it affect the loading of the knee in the high-flexion range?," *Journal of Biomechanics*, 2009, Vol 42, pp. 587–593.
- (15) Bergmann, G., Graichen, F., "Orthoload: Loading of Orthopaedic Implants," 2009, Julius Wolff Institut, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, <http://www.orthoload.com/main.php>.
- (16) Ogden, C., Fryar, C., Carroll, M., "Mean Body Weight, Height, and Body Mass Index United States 1960–2002," *Advance Data from Vital and Health Statistics*, No. 347, Oct. 27, 2004.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; <http://www.copyright.com/>