**Designation: F1893 – 11**

# Guide for Measurement of Ionizing Dose-Rate Survivability and Burnout of Semiconductor Devices[1]

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F1893; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This guide defines the detailed requirements for testing semiconductor devices for short-pulse high dose-rate ionization-induced survivability and burnout failure. The test facility shall be capable of providing the necessary dose rates to perform the measurements. Typically, large flash X-ray (FXR) machines operated in the photon mode, or FXR e-beam facilities are utilized because of their high dose-rate capabilities. Electron Linear Accelerators (LINACs) may be used if the dose rate is sufficient. Two modes of test are described: (*1*) A survivability test, and ( *2*) A burnout failure level test.

1.2 The values stated in International System of Units (SI) are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

## 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*[2]

E170 Terminology Relating to Radiation Measurements and Dosimetry

E668 Practice for Application of Thermoluminescence-Dosimetry (TLD) Systems for Determining Absorbed Dose in Radiation-Hardness Testing of Electronic Devices

E1894 Guide for Selecting Dosimetry Systems for Application in Pulsed X-Ray Sources

F526 Test Method for Using Calorimeters for Total Dose Measurements in Pulsed Linear Accelerator or Flash X-ray Machines

2.2 *ISO/ASTM Standard:*[2]

51275 Practice for Use of a Radiochromic Film Dosimetry System

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions:*

3.1.1 *burnout failure level test*—a test performed to determine the maximum dose-rate level the device survives and the minmum dose-rate level where the device experiences burnout.

3.1.1.1 *Discussion*—In such a test, semiconductor devices are exposed to a series of irradiations of increasing dose-rate levels. The maximum dose rate at which the device survives is determined for worst-case bias conditions. The burnout failure level test is always a destructive test.

3.1.2 *dose rate*—the amount of energy absorbed per unit mass of a material per unit time during exposure to the radiation field (typically, expressed in units of Gy(material)/s). For pulsed radiation sources, dose rate typically refers to the peak dose rate during the pulse.

3.1.3 *dose rate induced latchup*—regenerative device action in which a parasitic region (for example, a four (4) layer p-n-p-n or n-p-n-p path) is turned on by the photocurrent generated by a pulse of ionizing radiation, and remains on for an indefinite period of time after the photocurrent subsides. The device will remain latched as long as the power supply delivers voltage greater than the holding voltage and current greater than the holding current. Latchup disrupts normal circuit operation in some portion of the circuit, and may also cause catastrophic failure due to local heating of semiconductor regions, metallization or bond wires.

3.1.4 *failure condition*—a device is considered to have undergone burnout failure if the device experiences one of the following conditions.

(*1*) *functional failure*—a device failure where the device under test, (DUT) fails functional tests following exposure.

(*2*) *parametric failure*—a device failure where the device under test, (DUT) fails parametric measurements after exposure.

3.1.4.1 *Discussion*—Functional or parametric failures may be caused by total ionizing dose mechanisms. See interferences for additional discussion.

3.1.5 *high dose-rate burnout*—permanent damage to a semiconductor device caused by abnormally large currents flowing in junctions and resulting in a discontinuity in the normal current flow in the device.

3.1.5.1 *Discussion*—This effect strongly depends on the mode of operation and bias conditions. Temperature may also

---

[1] This guide is under the jurisdiction of Committee F01on Electronics, and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F01.11 on Nuclear and Space Radiation Effects.

Current edition approved Jan. 1, 2011. Published January 2011. Originally approved in 1998. Last previous edition approved in 2003 as F1893-98(2003). DOI: 10.1520/F1893-11.

[2] For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

Copyright © ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959. United States

be a factor in damage to the device should latchup occur prior to failure. Latchup is known to be temperature dependent.

3.1.6 *ionizing dose rate response*—the transient changes which occur in the operating parameters or in the output signal of an operating device when exposed to an ionizing radiation pulse. See Terminology E170 for a definition of ionization. Within this standard, the scope of the dose rate response is restricted to consideration of linear microcircuits.

3.1.7 *ionizing radiation effects*—the changes in the electrical parameters of a microelectronic device resulting from radiation-induced trapped charge. These are also sometimes referred to as "total dose effects."

3.1.8 *latchup window*—a latchup window is the phenomenon in which a device exhibits latchup in a specific range of dose rates. Above and below this range, the device does not latchup. A device may exhibit more than one latchup window. This phenomenon has been observed for some complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS) logic devices, oxide sidewall logic and large scale integration (LSI) memories and may occur in other devices.

3.1.9 *survivability test*—A "pass/fail" test performed to determine the status of the device after being exposed to a predetermined dose-rate level. The survivability test is usually considered a destructive test.

## 4. Summary of Guide

4.1 Semiconductor devices are tested for burnout during and after exposure to an ionizing high dose-rate radiation pulse. The measurement is deemed as a survivability test when the test criteria is a pass/fail measurement at a predetermined dose-rate level, or deemed as a burnout failure level test when the maximum passing dose-rate level and the minimum failing dose rate level for burnout is determined experimentally.

4.2 The following quantities are unspecified in this guide and must be agreed upon between the parties to the test:

4.2.1 The maximum ionizing (total dose to which the devices will be subjected during the test),

4.2.2 The maximum dose rate to which the devices will be subjected during the test, and

4.2.3 The bias conditions to which the devices will be subjected during the test.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 The use of FXR or LINAC radiation sources for the determination of high dose-rate burnout in semiconductor devices is addressed in this guide. The goal of this guide is to provide a systematic approach to testing semiconductor devices for burnout or survivability.

5.2 The different types of failure modes that are possible are defined and discussed in this guide. Specifically, failure can be defined by a change in device parameters, or by a catastrophic failure of the device.

5.3 This guide can be used to determine if a device survives (that is, continues to operate and function within the specified performance parameters) when irradiated to a predetermined dose-rate level; or, the guide can be used to determine the dose-rate burnout failure level (that is, the minimum dose rate at which burnout failure occurs). However, since this latter test is destructive, the minimum dose-rate burnout failure level must be determined statistically.

## 6. Interferences

6.1 There are several interferences that need to be considered when this test procedure is applied.

6.2 *Ionizing Dose Damage*—Devices may be permanently damaged by the accumulation of ionizing dose. This limits the number of radiation pulses that can be applied during burnout testing. The ionizing dose sensitivity depends on fabrication techniques and device technology. Metal-oxide, semiconductor (MOS) devices are especially sensitive to ionizing dose damage; however, bipolar devices with oxide-isolated sidewalls or bipolar linear circuits may also be affected by low levels of ionizing dose. The maximum ionizing total dose exposure of the devices under test must not exceed fifty percent (50 %) of their typical ionizing dose failure level for that specific part type to ensure that device failure is caused by the transient dose rate, and not by the total accumulated ionizing total dose.

6.2.1 *Radiation Level Step Size*—The size of the steps between successive radiation pulses (that is, the dose-rate increment) limits the accuracy of the determination of the burnout failure level.

6.3 *Latchup*—Some types of integrated circuits are susceptible to latchup during transient radiation exposure. If latchup occurs, the device will not function correctly until power is temporarily removed and reapplied. Permanent damage (burnout) may also occur during latchup; it is primarily caused by a substantial increase in power supply current that leads to increased power dissipation, localized heating, or both. Latchup is temperature dependent and testing at elevated temperature is required to establish worst-case operating conditions for latchup. Latchup testing is addressed elsewhere.

6.4 *Charge Build-up Damage*—Damage to a device may occur due to direct electron irradiation of the DUT leads. When using direct electron irradiations (see Section 7), all device leads must be shielded from the electron beam to reduce charge pickup that could cause abnormally large voltages to be generated on internal circuitry and produce damage not related to ionizing dose-rate burnout.

6.5 *Bias and Load Conditions*—Bias and load conditions may affect the survivability and burnout response. Usually, the objective of the test is to determine the dose-rate survivability or burnout under worst-case operating conditions.

6.5.1 *Input Bias*—Unless otherwise specified, the input bias condition shall be chosen to provide the worst-case operating conditions. For example, for digital devices, input pins that are in the high state should be tied directly to the supply voltage. For analog devices, input voltages generally should be at the maximum levels expected to be used. For both digital and analog devices, it is desirable to perform the burnout test using at least two different input conditions, such as minimum input levels and maximum input levels, or alternately with half the inputs tied high and the remaining tied low.

6.5.2 *Output Loading*—Unless otherwise specified, the DUT outputs shall be chosen to provide the worst-case conditions for device operation. For digital devices, worst case conditions should include maximum fan-out. For analog devices, worst-case conditions should include maximum output voltage or load current. For both digital and analog devices, it may be desirable to perform the burnout test using at least two different output conditions.

6.5.3 *Operating Voltage*—Unless otherwise specified, testing shall be performed using maximum operating voltages. The test setup shall be configured such that the transient power supply photocurrent shall not be limited by the external circuit resistance or lead inductance. Power supply stiffening capacitors shall be included to keep the power supply voltage from varying more than 10 % of the specified value during and after the radiation pulse.

6.6 *Over-Stress*—The high dose-rate burnout test should be considered destructive. Peak photocurrents in excess of 2 to 3 amperes can occur during these tests. These large currents can produce localized metallization or semiconductor melting that is not readily detected by electrical tests, and both, but may adversely affect device reliability. Devices that exceed the manufacturer's absolute limits for current or power during burnout tests should not be used in high-reliability applications.

6.7 *Test Temperatures*—Tests shall be performed at ambient temperature, or at a temperature agreed upon between the parties to the test. If tests are performed in a vacuum, overheating may become an issue, requiring control of the device's temperature.

## 7. Apparatus

7.1 *General*—The apparatus used for tests should include as a minimum, the radiation source, dosimetry equipment, a test circuit board, line drivers, cables and electrical instrumentation to measure the transient response, provide bias, and perform functional tests. Precautions shall be observed to obtain an electrical measurement system with ample shielding, satisfactory grounding, and low noise from electrical interference or from the radiation environment.

7.1.1 *Radiation Source*—The most appropriate radiation source for high dose-rate burnout tests is a FXR machine. The required dose rate for burnout cannot usually be achieved using an electron linear accelerator (LINAC) because LINACs typically cannot produce a sufficiently high dose rate over the critical active area of the device under test; however, some LINACs are capable of meeting these requirements. Linear accelerators shall be used only with agreement of all parties to the test.

7.1.2 *Flash X-ray (Photon Mode)*—The choice of facilities depends on the available dose rate as well as other factors including photon spectrum, pulse width and electron end-point energy. The selection of the pulse width is affected by; (*a*), the dose rate required, and (*b*), the ionizing dose accumulation per pulse. Finally, the FXR electron end-point energy must be greater than 1 MeV to ensure that the resulting bremsstrahlung photons have sufficient energy to penetrate the DUT.

7.1.3 *Flash X-ray (E-beam Mode)*—A FXR or LINAC operated in the e-beam mode generally provides a higher dose rate than similar machines operated in the photon mode. However, testing in the e-beam mode requires that appropriate precautions be taken and special test fixtures be used to ensure meaningful results. The beam produces a large magnetic field, which may interfere with the instrumentation, and can induce large circulating currents in device leads and metals. The beam also produces air ionization, induced charge on open leads, and unwanted cable currents and voltages. For FXRs, E-beam testing is generally performed with the DUT mounted in a vacuum to reduce air ionization effects. Special dosimetry techniques are required to ensure proper measurement of the dose. See Guide E1894 for information on the selection of dosimetry systems. Finally, the FXR or LINAC-electron end-point energy must be greater than 2 MeV to ensure device penetration. Some necessary precautions are:

7.1.3.1 The electron beam must be constrained to the region that is to be irradiated. Support circuits and components must be shielded. Beam uniformity shall be determined by the test requirements.

7.1.3.2 The electron beam must be stopped within the test chamber and returned to the FXR to prevent unwanted currents in cables and secondary radiation in the exposure room.

7.1.3.3 All cables and wires must be protected from exposure to prevent extraneous currents. These currents may be caused by direct deposition of the beam in cables, or by magnetic coupling of the beams into the cable.

7.1.3.4 All cables and cable entries must be shielded from electromagnetic radiation caused by the firing of the FXR machine.

7.1.3.5 An evacuated chamber for the test may be required to reduce the effects of air ionization.

7.2 *Dosimetry Equipment*—Dosimetry equipment shall include the following:

(*a*) a system for measuring ionizing dose, such as a thermoluminescent dosimeter (TLD) or calorimeter (see Practice E668 and Test Method F526),

(*b*) a pulse shape monitor (see Guide E1894), and

(*c*) a dosimeter that allows the dose rate to be determined from electronic measurements, for example, a positive intrinsic negative (PIN) detector, Faraday cup, secondary emission monitor, photoconductive detector (PCD), or current transformer (see Guide E1894).

NOTE 1—PIN represents a semiconductor structure consisting of highly P and N regions on the two sides of an intrinsic or relatively pure region.

7.2.1 *Thermoluminescent Detector (TLD)*—Exposure of thermoluminescent detectors to ionizing radiation creates thermoluminescent centers that when subsequently heated, emit light. For photon and low energy electron irradiation, the radiant light energy is proportional to the total absorbed dose in the detector. The TLD may have sensitivities to the linear energy transfer (LET) of the delivered ionizing dose, which will result in different signal-to-dose proportionality for irradiation by densely ionized regions produced by irradiation with heavy ions or neutrons. This type of detector can cover a dose range from approximately 0.01 Gy(TLD) to $10^4$Gy(TLD) (see Practice E668).

7.2.2 *Calorimeter*—A silicon calorimeter system can be constructed by attaching a thermocouple to a small (1 by 1 by 0.1 mm) block of silicon. The thermocouple-silicon block assembly is surrounded by closed-cell polyurethane foam and mounted in aluminum housing. The aluminum provides electron isolation and equilibration in a medium-energy photon environment, and the polyurethane foam provides thermal isolation. A typical thermal decay time constant for such a system is about 3 to 4 s and typical sensitivities are about 10 to 15 Gy(Si)μV.

7.2.3 *PIN Diodes*—A PIN diode is the solid state equivalent of an ionization chamber. The magnitude of photo-charge generated and collected in a back-biased diode is directly proportional to the absorbed dose. Since the generation rate for silicon is $4.3 \times 10^{11}$ carrier pairs/Gy(Si), these devices can be calibrated knowing only the detector geometry. Calibration depends on the PIN bias and may change with accumulated exposure. Most PIN diodes have a linear response up to a dose rate of approximately $1 \times 10^8$ Gy(Si)/s. (**Warning** —Care must be taken when using PIN diodes to ensure that the indicated PIN dose rate is equivalent to that absorbed by the DUT. Factors that can affect dosimetry include the FXR photon spectrum, the method used to calibrate the PIN diode, and the location of the PIN diode relative to the DUT.)

7.2.4 *PCD*—a photoconductive detector. Diamond or GaAs are typical PCD active materials. This active dosimeter has a very rapid, picoseconds, response to the ionizing dose in the active material.

7.2.5 *Opti-chromic Dosimeters*—Opti-chromic dosimeters have many of the same advantages as TLDs – see ISO/ASTM Practice 51275. These devices are relatively small, passive, inexpensive, and retain accurate dose information for months between irradiation and measurement of dose. The useful dose range of these devices is 14 Gy ($H_2O$) to 100 kGy ($H_2O$). The device response is nearly linear with dose. Opti-chromic dosimeters are calibrated in a $^{60}$Co cell using NIST traceable exposures. The dose response is independent of dose rate up to $10^{13}$Gy($H_2O$)/s.

7.3 *Test Circuit*—The test circuit shall contain the DUT, wiring, and auxiliary components as required. It shall allow the application of power and bias signals at the device inputs and outputs. Power supply stiffening capacitors shall be included to keep the power supply voltage from changing more than 10 % of its specified value during and after the radiation pulse (see 8.4). Capacitors placed across the supply voltage shall be located as close to the DUT as possible, but shall not be exposed to the radiation beam, if at all possible. The test circuit shall allow the device under test to be tested under worst case conditions (see 6.4).

7.3.1 *Materials*—Test circuit materials and components shall not cause attenuation or scattering, which will perturb the uniformity of the beam at the test device position. The DUT shall be oriented so that its surface is perpendicular to the radiation beam.

7.4 *Cabling*—Cabling shall be provided to connect the test circuit board to the test instrumentation located in the instrumentation area. Coaxial cables, terminated in their characteristic impedance, shall be used for all input and output signals. Double shielded cables, triax, zipper tubing or other additional shielding may be required to reduce noise to acceptable levels.

7.5 *Transient Signal Measurement* —Oscilloscopes or transient digitizers shall be used to measure transient output voltages, the power supply current, and the dosimeter outputs.

7.6 *General Purpose Test Equipment*—Power supplies, voltmeters, pulse generators, and other basic test equipment that are required for testing are considered general purpose test equipment. This equipment shall be capable of meeting the test requirements and should be periodically calibrated in accordance with appropriate standards.

7.6.1 *Functional Tests*—Certain types of device burnout may not be detected unless a comprehensive electrical and functional test are performed prior to radiation exposure then repeated again after exposure. Such complete electrical and functional tests may not be possible while the DUT remains in the burnout test fixture, because of extensive cabling and test equipment requirements. Final determination of burnout damage is therefore determined by complete functional and comprehensive electrical testing after final exposure. However, a simplified functional check shall be performed on each DUT while in the burnout test fixture to determine whether the DUT is functional or has experienced a functional failure.

NOTE 2—In some cases, with the agreement of all parties involved with the test, parts with known bad bits (SRAMS) or equivalent defective elements in other part types can be used to initially determine the burnout failure level.

7.6.1.1 *Functional Test Equipment*—Certain device types may be more susceptible to burnout when biased in static mode, whereas other part types may be more sensitive during certain portions of their normal switching waveforms. Metal-oxide semiconductor field-effect transistor (MOSFET) transistors, bipolar (NPN) and (PNP) transistors generally are tested using static conditions. Pulse width modulators may be most sensitive to burnout when the radiation pulse occurs during the turn-on transition of the output switching transistor. Memory and microprocessor part types may need to be driven with a pattern to initialize the DUT to known conditions, and to verify functionality before and after radiation exposure. The equipment required for functional testing will therefore vary with the part type, the burnout circuit configuration selected as worst-case, and other factors. The DUT may also be upset as a result of the dose-rate pulse. Therefore, a minimum requirement is that after each radiation exposure a functional test shall be performed to verify that the pre-radiation conditions can be re-established, with power supply currents and output voltages at expected levels. (**Warning**—Ionizing dose damage following an intense pulse of ionizing radiation may sometimes have a long recovery time. A functional test immediately following exposure may erroneously be interpreted as a burnout failure. Post-irradiation annealing must be considered when interpreting the results of functional testing.)

## 8. Preparation of Apparatus

8.1 *Test Circuit Preparation*—The test circuit shall include, as a minimum, a test board, line drivers, electrical monitoring instruments, power supplies and cables to provide the required input biasing, input and output monitoring, and loading.

8.2 *Facility Preparation*—The radiation source shall be adjusted to operate in the specified mode and provide a radiation pulse width within the specified width range. The required dosimeters shall be installed as close as possible to the DUT. If special equipment is needed to control the temperature to the value specified in the test plan, this equipment shall be assembled and adjusted to meet this requirement.

8.3 *Test Circuit Noise Check*—A noise check shall be made prior to the device burnout test. This check shall be made with all circuitry connected, powered and monitored as when a device is tested. However, the noise check is performed by inserting a resistor circuit in place of the test device. Resistor values chosen shall approximate the active resistance of the DUT. A typical radiation pulse shall be applied while the specific output(s) are monitored. If any of the measured transient voltages are greater than 10 % of the expected test response, the test circuit is unacceptable and shall not be used without modification to reduce noise. The noise check is particularly critical when the FXR is operated in the e-beam mode due to the noise induced in the wiring.

8.4 *Power Supply*—Devices shall be biased at their maximum operating voltage. low impedance (less than 1.0Ω) supply lines with stiffening capacitors (for example, 100-µf capacitor in parallel with a 0.01-µF high frequency capacitor) shall be used at the device supply lead to ground. The power supply current wave form shall be monitored as an indicator of peak photocurrent and latchup, burnout, and recovery. (**Warning**—Tests produce large photocurrents and care must be taken in the circuit design and layout to minimize stray resistances between device leads. Improper circuit design and layout may cause premature DUT failure.)

## 9. Procedure – Survivability Test

9.1 The procedures to be followed for high dose rate survivability tests are discussed here. This procedure shall include a test plan that has been approved by the qualifying agency or customer.

9.1.1 *Radiation Safety*—Health and safety requirements established by the local Radiation Safety Officer or Health Physicist shall be observed.

9.1.2 *Survivability Test*—For a survivability test, the device is exposed to a predetermined dose-rate level to determine the status of the device after being exposed. The test should be considered a destructive test, even if the device survives. If the device survives the test, the device is said to pass, that is, it meets the part specification.

9.2 *Test Plan*—The test plan must as a minimum include the following:

9.2.1 *Device Identification*—Device identification shall include manufacturer, date code, wafer lot number if available, and complete part number as well as other part numbers used in procuring the test samples. Test samples shall be serialized to permit applicable test data to be traceable to the individual device.

9.2.2 *Test Facility Selection*—The reasons for the facility choice along with current dose-rate level information verifying the ability to meet the test objectives shall be specified.

9.2.3 *Power Supply Requirements*—The bias voltage requirements and power distribution layout including stiffening capacitors shall be provided. In addition, this layout shall be supported by analysis that verifies the adequacy of the test set-up.

9.2.4 *Loading Conditions*—Loading conditions are as follows:

9.2.4.1 *Device Output*—The logic levels, bias conditions, fan-out, supply voltage and impedance loading, etc., shall be provided.

9.2.4.2 *Device Input*—The input voltage conditions, source impedance, logic state, etc, shall be provided.

9.2.5 *Sample Size*—Sample size shall be sufficient to establish adequate confidence in the survival of the part and the rationale for the sample size and composition shall be provided in the test plan.

9.2.6 *Radiation Pulse Width(s)*—The selection of the pulse width shall be supported by analysis and specification in the test plan.

9.2.7 *Dosimetry Methods*—The dosimetry methods shall be described and documented. These methods shall conform to applicable ASTM standards.

9.2.8 *Radiation Levels*— The radiation test level (dose rate and pulse widths) shall be defined and agreed upon between the parties concerned prior to the test.

9.2.9 *Temperature*—The temperature of the devices during the test shall be specified.

9.2.10 *Ionizing Total Dose Limit*—The ionizing total dose limit for the DUT shall be specified. The ionizing total dose limit should not exceed 50 % of its expected ionizing dose failure level.

9.2.11 *Failure criteria*—The failure criteria for survivability shall be defined and may be determined by functional tests, by acceptable parametric changes, or by both.

9.3 *Test Procedures*—The following general procedures shall be followed for the test:

9.3.1 1 Set up the test equipment and calibrate the radiation source.

9.3.2 Perform a noise check and record the noise level on the instrumentation while using a dummy package.

9.3.3 Install the DUT in the test fixture, allow the DUT to reach test temperature, and verify functional performance.

9.3.4 For a pass/fail determination, based on the failure criteria, expose the DUT at the specified dose rate level. The accumulated dose and functionality/parametric test results shall be recorded after each exposure.

9.3.5 Record measurement-point waveform(s) at each exposure level.

9.3.6 Record dosimetry.

## 10. Procedure – Burnout Failure Level Test

10.1 The procedures to be followed for high dose rate burnout tests are discussed here. This procedure shall include a test plan that has been approved by the qualifying agency or customer.

10.1.1 *Radiation Safety*—The health and safety requirements established by the local Radiation Safety Officer or Health Physicist shall be observed.

10.1.1.1 *Failure Level Test*—For failure level tests, the actual dose-rate failure level of the DUT shall be determined. However, since tests near failure cannot be repeated on the same device, the failure level must be determined statistically from multiple DUTs.

10.2 *Test Plan*—The test plan must as a minimum include the following:

10.2.1 *Device Identification*—Device identification shall include manufacturer, date code, wafer lot number if available, and complete part number as well as other part numbers used in procuring the test samples. Test samples shall be serialized to permit applicable test data to be traceable to the individual device.

10.2.2 *Test Facility Selection*—The reasons for the facility choice along with current dose-rate level information verifying the ability to meet the test objectives shall be specified.

10.2.3 *Criteria for Ionization-Induced Burnout*—The measurement point(s) and signal characteristics that indicate a burnout has occurred shall be specified.

10.2.4 *Power Supply Requirements*—The bias voltage requirements and power distribution layout including stiffening capacitors shall be provided. In addition this layout shall be supported by analysis that verifies the adequacy of the test set-up.

10.2.5 *Loading Conditions*—Loading conditions are as follows:

10.2.5.1 *Device Output*—The logic levels, bias conditions, fan-out, supply voltage and impedance loading, etc., shall be provided.

10.2.5.2 *Device Input*—The input voltage conditions, source impedance, logic state, etc, shall be provided.

10.2.6 *Sample Size*—Sample size shall be sufficient to establish adequate confidence in the characterization of the burnout of the part and the rationale for the sample size and composition shall be provided in the test plan.

10.2.7 *Radiation Pulse Width(s)*—The selection of the pulse width shall be supported by analysis and specification in the test plan.

10.2.8 *Dosimetry Methods*—The dosimetry methods shall be described and documented. These methods shall conform to applicable ASTM standards.

10.2.9 *Test Sequence*—The proposed test sequence to adjust the dose rate to determine the burnout threshold shall be provided.

10.2.10 *Radiation Levels (Step Size)*—For failure level tests, the dose-rate range should be estimated from the characteristics of the device material and construction in order to minimize the ionizing dose delivered to the DUT.

10.2.11 *Temperature*—The temperature of the devices during the test shall be specified.

10.2.12 *Ionizing Dose Limit*—The ionizing dose limit for the DUT shall be specified. This is the ionizing dose level where the device exceeds 50 % of its expected ionizing dose failure level.

10.3 *Test Procedures*—The following general procedures shall be followed for the test:

10.3.1 Set up the test equipment and calibrate the radiation source.

10.3.2 Perform a noise check and record the noise level on the instrumentation while using a dummy package.

10.3.3 Install the DUT in the test fixture, allow the DUT to reach test temperature, and verify functional performance.

10.3.4 To determine the failure threshold, begin at a factor of 10 below the predicted burnout point and increase the exposure level until maximum radiation level of the facility or a burnout is indicated. Perform a functionality test and record results and accumulated dose after each exposure.

10.3.5 Record measurement-point wave form(s) at each exposure level.

10.3.6 Record dosimetry.

## 11. Report

11.1 A test report shall be prepared that documents the devices tested by device type, manufacturer, date code, and lot/wafer identification if available. The report shall list by device serial number, pass/fail status of each device, and the doses or dose range delivered to each device in each radiation pulse. The test plan and procedure shall be either appended to the test report or referenced in the test report. As a minimum the report shall include the following information:

11.1.1 Device identification,

11.1.2 Test date and test operator,

11.1.3 Test facility, radiation source specifications, energy, spectrum and radiation pulse width,

11.1.4 Bias conditions, output loading, and test circuit,

11.1.5 Test set-up showing schematics, layout, shielding, etc.,

11.1.6 Test fixture description,

11.1.7 Description of dosimetry and its calibration,

11.1.8 Functional test conditions,

11.1.9 Criteria for failure,

11.1.10 Records of pass/fail level for each device tested,

11.1.11 Equipment list, calibration, etc.,

11.1.12 Results of noise tests,

11.1.13 Ambient temperature of test,

11.1.14 Tabulation of the results of the test, and

11.1.15 Device states concerning know defects if applicable.

## 12. Keywords

12.1 burnout; failure; high dose-rate; integrated circuits; ionizing radiation; latchup; microcircuits; semiconductor devices; survivability

*ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).*