# Standard Test Method for Measuring Surface Metal Contamination of Polycrystalline Silicon by Acid Extraction-Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy <sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F 1724; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon  $(\epsilon)$  indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

# 1. Scope

- 1.1 This test method covers the quantitative determination of surface trace metal contamination on the surface of polycrystalline silicon using an acid to extract the metals from the surface. The metals content of the acid is then analyzed by graphite furnace atomic-absorption spectroscopy.
- 1.2 This test method can be used for various rod, chunk, granule and chip sizes, for polycrystalline or single crystal silicon, to determine surface metal contaminants. Since the area of irregularly-shaped chunks, chips, or granules is difficult to measure accurately, values are based on sample weight. Using a sample weight of 300 g allows detection limits at the 0.1 ppbw (parts per billion weight) level.
- 1.3 The strength, composition, temperature, and exposure time of the acid determine the depth of surface etching and the efficiency of the extraction of the contaminants from the surface. Less than 1 % of the sample weight is removed in this test method.
- 1.4 This test method is useful for determining the alkali elements, alkali earth, and first series transition elements, such as sodium, potassium, calcium, iron, chromium, nickel, copper, zinc, as well as other elements such as aluminum. The recovery of these elements from the silicon surface is measured as greater than 90 %, using control standards intentionally added to the polysilicon surface.
- 1.5 This test method suggests a particular sample size, acid composition, etch cycle, testing environment, and instrument protocol. Variations in these parameters may be used, but may effect the recovery efficiency or retention of metals during processing. In practice, this test method is used for sample weights of 25 to 5000 g. For referee purposes, this test method specifies a sample weight of 300 g. This test method includes guidelines to alert the analyst to the interferences and resultant variations in this test method, and includes standard methods for quantifying and reporting these variations.
- 1.6 This test method specifies the use of graphite furnace atomic-absorption spectroscopy to analyze trace metals content of the acid extract. Other instruments of equivalent sensitivity,

 $^{\rm 1}$  This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F-1 on Electronics and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F01.06 on Silicon Materials and Process Control.

Current edition approved July 10, 1996. Published September 1996.

such as inductively-coupled plasma/mass spectrometry, may be used.

- 1.7 The detection limit and method variation depend on the efficiency of the acid extraction procedure, sample size, the method interferences, the absorption spectrum of each element, and the instrumental sensitivity, background, and blank value.
- 1.8 This test method uses hot acid to etch away the surface of the silicon. The etchant is potentially harmful and must be handled in an acid exhaust fume hood, with utmost care at all times. Hydrofluoric acid solutions are particularly hazardous and should not be used by anyone who is not familiar with the specific preventive measures and first aid treatments given in the appropriate Material Safety Data Sheet.
- 1.9 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use. Specific precautionary statements are given in Section 9.

### 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

D 5127 Guide for Electronic Grade Water <sup>2</sup>

E 122 Practice for Choice of Sample Size to Estimate a Measure of Quality of a Lot or Process <sup>3</sup>

- 2.2 SEMI Standards:
- C 7 Specification for Reagents <sup>4</sup>
- 2.3 Federal Standard:

209E Airborne Particulate Cleanliness Classes in Cleanrooms and Clean Zones <sup>5</sup>

#### 3. Terminology

- 3.1 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:
- 3.1.1 *acid blank*—a sample of acid used to establish the background spectrum and trace metal contamination of the extraction acid used in the procedure.
  - 3.1.2 carrousel protocol—the order and function of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 11.01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 14.02.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Available from Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International, 805 E. Middlefield Road. Mountain View. CA 94043.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Available from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

samples, standards, and blanks loaded into the sampling tray of the atomic absorption spectrograph (AAS).

- 3.1.3 *digestion*—holding the polysilicon chunks in the acid mixture at temperature until the surface metal contaminants are dissolved into solution.
- 3.1.4 *digested blank*—samples of acid, with no analytes added, taken through the digestion process and analyzed to provide a monitor of the analytical process that includes acid purity, digestion bottles cleanliness, and environment purity.
- 3.1.5 *digested control standard*—samples prepared to known concentrations of the analytes to provide calibration checks on the instrument and the digestion procedure.
- 3.1.6 *GFAAS*—graphite furnace atomic absorption spectro-photometer.
- 3.1.7 PTFE, polytetrafluoroethylene—an HF-resistant material for sample bottles, lids, and tongs.
- 3.1.8 *standard samples*—samples prepared to known concentrations of the analytes, typically 5 ppbw and 10 ppbw, to provide a calibration standard and set absorption values for the GFAAS instrument.

### 4. Summary of Test Method

- 4.1 A standard weight and volume of chunk sample is chosen in order to provide a consistent basis for the analysis and provide a basis for interlaboratory correlation of analytical values. For referee purposes, a total sample weight of 300 g, taken as six chunks, each approximately 3 by 3 by 3 cm, at 50 g each, is recommended. A minimum of three of the six pieces should have an outside surface. The outside surface, or skin of the polysilicon rod, is thought to be the most susceptible to contamination during the rod removal and chunk processing steps. Choosing a minimum of half of the chunk samples to have an outside surface is assumed to be representative of the lot characteristics.
- 4.2 The six chunks are loaded into clean PTFE bottles, covered with acid etchant, heated in a fume hood and the surface of the chunks dissolved. The chunks are removed from the etchant, and the etchant heated to dryness on a hotplate.
- $4.3\,$  The dried etchant residue is dissolved by adding  $2\,$ mL of  $5\,$ % HNO $_3$  and  $8\,$ mL of  $H_2O$  to make a total of  $10\,$ mL. This extract is then analyzed by GFAAS for trace metals.
- 4.4 The sample carrousel tray of the GFAAS instrument is loaded with a series of blanks, calibration standards, and monitor standards, along with the acid extract samples. The temperature program for the graphite furnace is optimized for maximum sensitivity and the absorption spectral lines chosen for maximum sensitivity and minimum interferences for each element.
- 4.5 Data from the GFAAS instrument is collected and the value for each analyte in the lot sample calculated. For each analyte, the two digested blanks are averaged to provide a zero reference. This average value is subtracted from the lot sample value, and the remaining value multiplied by the dilution factor to obtain the reported result. The dilution factor is the final volume of the acid extract, 10 mL, divided by the starting weight of the polysilicon sample, 300 g.
- 4.6 This acid mixture has a measured recovery efficiency of 95 % or greater for iron, chromium, nickel, sodium, zinc, aluminum, copper, calcium, and potassium, in the chemically-

- bound form usually found on the polysilicon surface, after one etch cycle. By measuring the analytes after a second etch cycle, the recovery efficiency of the first cycle is determined. Recovery efficiencies above 90 % are required to verify the accuracy of the analysis. Recovery efficiency was confirmed by neutron activation analyses. To ensure that no analytes are lost through chemical reaction or evaporation processes, digested control standards are prepared and monitored for each analyses.
- 4.7 Contamination from the room environment, apparatus, reagents, sampling techniques, and handling techniques is monitored statistically by the absorption values for the digested blanks and digested control standards.
- 4.8 The detection limit depends on the dilution factor, instrument sensitivity, spectral response of the analyte, acid recovery efficiency, blank value, and method interferences. Instrument and method variations are given in Section 15.

# 5. Significance and Use

- 5.1 This test method can measure the elemental, particularly metal, surface contamination on polysilicon chunks. Values are related to sample weight rather than area due to the irregular size and form of the sample.
- 5.2 This surface measurement of metal contamination is used for monitoring polysilicon production processes, development of new processes, and materials acceptance purposes.
- 5.3 This test method is used as a standard for defining detection limits, and quantifying variations and method interferences to allow interlaboratory correlations (Section 11, 15).

#### 6. Interferences

- 6.1 The common interferences of absorption spectroscopy are present in this test method, including overlap of absorption peaks, nonlinearity of absorption peaks, matrix effects, background noise, interelement interferences, and instrument drift.
- 6.2 Interferences from contamination due to reagent purity, cleanliness of apparatus, cleanliness of the room, and handling techniques during sampling and processing are critical concerns. This test method describes a series of blanks and controls to monitor and quantify these interferences.
- 6.3 The recovery efficiency of the acid mixture and extraction process must be measured in order to monitor any interference from this source. Metal contaminants chemically bound to the surface by various treatments or in the bulk of the polysilicon may not be recovered by this acid mixture. Recovery efficiency can be confirmed by neutron activation analyses, or by another test method.
- 6.4 This test method specifies a sample size of 300 g to be representative of the lot sample. Since surface contamination is not distributed evenly upon a surface, sample size and volume must be chosen to be representative of the lot. If the sample size is too small, the sample may not be representative of the lot, resulting in excessive variation in duplicate samples.

## 7. Apparatus

7.1 GFAAS Instrument, graphite furnace atomic absorption

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Maurits, J. E. A., Dawson, H. J., and Barker, T. H., "The Analysis of Surface Metals in Polycrystalline Silicon," *Abstract No. 401, Extended Abstracts*, Vol 94-2, Fall Meeting of the Electrochemical Society, October 9–14, 1994, Miami Beach, FL.

spectrometer, with sufficient resolving power to perform elemental analysis at the sub-ppb level. A sample tray with selective sampling capability is integral to the instrument. A computerized data system calculates peak absorbance values, provides the instrument calibration curve, and reports sample values.

- 7.2 Class 1000 Air Environment—The area for sample collection, acid extraction, and GFAAS analysis must be enclosed in a clean room with a minimum standard of Class 1000.
- 7.3 Clean Room Clothing—Analysts must be attired in full clean room clothing, including hoods, masks, boots, and gloves. Clean room practices must be observed.
- 7.4 Acid Exhaust Fume Hood—An acid exhaust fume hood, equipped to provide a clean air (Class 1000 minimum) environment, and with hot plates for the acid extraction and extract concentration steps.
- 7.5 Sample Bottles and Tongs—Sample bottles, size 500-mL, lids, and tongs are made of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) or similar polymer material that will not be attacked by hydrofluoric acid and can be cleaned to avoid contamination interferences.
- 7.6 Analytical Balance—Balance capable of weighing 300 g to an accuracy of 0.01 g.

### 8. Reagents

- 8.1 *Electronic Grade Acids*, in accordance with SEMI Specification C 7.
- 8.2 *Deionized Water*, with a purity equal to or greater than that specified for Type E-1 in Guide 1527.
  - 8.3 *Nitric Acid* (HNO<sub>3</sub>), 65 %.
  - 8.4 Hydrofluoric Acid (HF), 48 %.
  - 8.5 Hydrogen Peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , 30 %.
- 8.6 Acid Cleaning Mixture, (1:1:1:25) HNO<sub>3</sub>:HF:H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: H<sub>2</sub>O.
  - 8.7 Acid Etching Mixture, (1:1:1:50) HNO<sub>3</sub>:HF:H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: H<sub>2</sub>O.

#### 9. Hazards

9.1 The acids used in this test method are hazardous. All precautions normally used with these chemicals should be strictly observed. The acid extraction procedure must be done in an acid exhaust fume hood. Obtain and read the Material Safety Data Sheet prior to the use of any chemical.

#### 10. Sampling

10.1 This test method is intended for sampling of polysilicon lots. Typically, one 5-kg bag from a lot is selected for sampling. The 300-g sample is taken from this bag, and the surface metals values analyzed are assumed representative of the lot. Statistical techniques, such as process capability ratios, are used to determine actual sampling plans for manufacturing processes. A choice of sampling plans is found in Practice E 122. For referee purposes, a sampling plan shall be agreed upon before conducting the test. Contamination during the sampling procedure is a critical concern and must be avoided.

#### 11. Calibration

11.1 Prepare calibration and control standards for each analyte, according to instrument manufacturer's instructions,

from commercially-available atomic-absorption elemental calibration standards, at 1000 ppm, traceable to NIST Reference Standards.

- 11.2 Prepare a series of calibration and control standards for each analyte to determine the instrument calibration curve, monitor recovery efficiency of the acid etchant, and monitor reagent purity and purity of the environment. Purpose of the calibration and control standards is shown in Table 1.
- 11.2.1 Determine a calibration curve for each element with a blank and a minimum of three elemental standards. Dilute the 1000-ppm elemental standards to 1-ppm standards (0.1 mL/100 mL in acid etch mixture). Prepare calibration standards of 5 ppb, 10 ppb, and 20 ppb by diluting 0.5 mL, 1 mL and 2 mL of the 1-ppm standard to 100 mL with 18-mL acid etch mixture and deionized (DI) water. Prepare the standards to provide a range close to the suspected concentration of the analyte. Determine the four-point calibration curve according to the instructions of the instrument manufacturer. Monitor any changes in the calibration curve by checking the linearity against previous runs.

Note 1—These standards were chosen to provide calibration for various samples over a range corresponding to 0.1 to 100 ppbw in the polysilicon sample. The use of a 1-ppb standard will provide a lower method variation for analytes in the sub-ppb range.

- 11.2.2 Collect the absorption values for the 5 ppb, 10 ppb, and 20 ppb calibration standards in a database, and establish statistical rules to determine any drift or excessive variation in the instrument readings. Repeat the analysis if these values exceed the statistical limit. Make corrections in the procedure or instrument if these values continue out of statistical control.
- 11.2.3 Prepare two control standards, at 10 ppb, by adding 10 mL of the 10-ppb calibration standards to samples of clean polysilicon chunks. Digest these standards along with the lot samples.
- Note 2—These standards have a similar matrix to the lot samples. The analysis of these standards provides a quantification of the variation in metals retention efficiency, instrument matrix effects, and variations due to cleanliness of apparatus and contamination during handling and sample processing.
- 11.2.4 Collect the absorption values for the 10-ppb digested control standards in a database, and establish statistical rules to determine if metals retention and variations due to method interferences are in statistical control. Repeat the analysis if these values exceed the statistical limits. Make corrections in

TABLE 1 Sample Cup Loading Protocol with Function of Calibration and Control Standards

Sample Cup	Function		
Acid Blank 5-ppb calibration standard 10-ppb calibration standard 20-ppb calibration standard	To provide a 4-point calibration curve in the range of the analyte and set the 5-ppb instrument absorption value.		
Two 10-ppb digested control standards	To monitor the dissolved metals retention of the method and quantify variations in the method.		
Two digested blanks, acid etch mixture	To monitor reagent purity and purity of the environment and to provide a zero reference for the lot sample.		
Lot samples	To determine concentrations of the analytes.		

the procedure or improvements in cleanliness of the apparatus and room if these values continue out of statistical control.

- 11.2.5 Prepare two acid blanks and digest along with the lot samples. Measure these blanks to provide a quantification of variations in reagent purity and contamination that occurs from the room environment during processing. Enter the values for the blanks into a database and establish statistical rules to determine any excessive variation in the current value. Repeat the analysis if the current value is out of statistical control.
- 11.3 For purposes of interlaboratory correlation and comparison of techniques, definitions of instrument variation and this test method variation and detection limit are as follows:
- 11.3.1 Measure the instrument variation by analyzing a 2-ppb standard for 15 runs, calculating the standard deviation of the 15 values, then calculating the 3-sigma value for each element. Multiply the 3-sigma value by the dilution factor to define the instrument variation.
- Note 3—Concentration of standards are chosen based on instrument manufacturer recommendations for signal/noise ratio and sensitivity values.
- 11.3.2 Measure the method variation by analyzing a minimum of 30 values for the 10-ppb digested control standards. Calculate the standard deviation for these 30 values and multiply the 3-sigma value by the dilution factor for each element to define the method variability of this test method.
- Note 4—Method variation can be reduced by using lower concentration control standards and by making improvements in the procedure, room cleanliness, and acid purity. These improvements are monitored by continually tracking the 3-sigma values for the digested control standards.
- 11.3.3 Measure the detection limit by analyzing a minimum of 30 values for the digested acid blanks. Calculate the standard deviation for these 30 values and multiply the 3-sigma value by the dilution factor for each element to define the detection limit of this test method.

#### 12. Procedure

- 12.1 Bottles, lids and tongs must be cleaned between analyses. Additional cleaning and analyses of blanks is required when using new bottles, when blank values indicate contamination, and when performing referee analyses. Prepare clean bottles and lids as follows:
  - 12.1.1 Rinse three times with DI water.
- 12.1.2 Fill the bottles with 500 mL of the acid cleaning mixture and heat on the hot plate, lids fit loosely, for 6 h at  $100^{\circ}$ C; refill the bottles with the acid cleaning mixture and heat on the hot plate, no lids, for 6 h at  $100^{\circ}$ C.
  - 12.1.3 Rinse bottles and lids three times with DI water;
- 12.1.4 Fill bottles with 250-mL acid etch mixture, no lids, and heat at 130 to 150°C until dryness, about 10 h.
- 12.1.5 Dissolve residue with 2 mL of 5 % HNO<sub>3</sub> and 8 mL DI water; analyze using the GFAAS instrument.
- 12.1.6 Repeat entire procedure if the GFAAS analysis shows contaminants are present in the sample bottles.
  - 12.1.7 Triple rinse bottles and lids.
- 12.1.8 Fill bottles with acid cleaning mixture and heat for 6 h at  $100^{\circ}$ C.

Note 5—Other cleaning procedures may be used, but must be monitored to prove effectiveness.

- 12.2 Choose one 5-kg bag of polysilicon from a lot to be sampled. Deliver the bag to the clean room for sampling. Choose six chunks from the bag as the lot sample. At least three of the six chunks must have an outside surface. Each chunk shall be about 50-g weight, with an approximate size of 3 by 3 by 3 cm, total weight about 300 g. If the sample must be taken in a location other than the analytical laboratory, seal the sample in double bags and send to the laboratory. To minimize contamination from the room environment and the analyst, all sample collection and analysis steps are carried out in a clean room and laminar flow hood, with analysts in full clean room attire. A minimum of a Class 1000 room, as established in Federal Standard 209E, is required to minimize interferences from environmental sources. In the laboratory, open the double bag according to standard clean room practice and transfer the chunks to a clean, numbered PTFE bottle and weigh to two decimal places. Add about 250 mL of acid etching mixture to each bottle to cover the chunks and seal with PTFE lids.
- 12.3 Place the sealed bottles onto the hot plate in the fume hood and heat for about 60 min at about 70°C. Remove from heat and cool, then remove each chunk with PTFE tongs, rinsing the surface with DI water into the bottle. Return the etchant in the open bottle to the hot plate and heat to dryness at 130 to 150°C over approximately 10 h.

Note 6—A microwave oven may be used in place of a hot plate to reduce the digestion time required to take to dryness.

- 12.4 Remove the bottle from the hot plate, replace the lid and leave to cool. Add 2 mL of 5 %  $\rm HNO_3$  to the dried etchant residue and let stand for about 20 min to dissolve all salts. Add 8 mL of DI water, replace lid, and swirl to mix. No solids should be observed in this solution.
- 12.5 Prepare 5-ppb, 10-ppb, and 20-ppb calibration standards, control standards, and blanks (Section 11).
- 12.6 Load samples and standards into the GFAAS instrument sample carrousel tray. Each run shall include the following:
  - 12.6.1 Acid blank,
  - 12.6.2 5-ppb calibration standard,
  - 12.6.3 10-ppb calibration standard,
  - 12.6.4 20-ppb digested control standard,
  - 12.6.5 First 10-ppb digested control standard,
  - 12.6.6 Second 10-ppb digested control standard,
  - 12.6.7 First digested blank,
  - 12.6.8 Second digested blank, and
  - 12.6.9 Lot samples.
- 12.7 Choose the analysis pattern so that standards and blanks are run before and after samples in order to detect any shift in calibration during the analysis.
  - 12.8 Analytical Conditions:
- 12.8.1 Choose and record the furnace and instrument parameters, according to the instrument manufacturer's instructions, for the sample measurement. This includes the following:
  - 12.8.1.1 Furnace time/temperature profile,
  - 12.8.1.2 Slit width,
  - 12.8.1.3 Sample injection size, and
  - 12.8.1.4 Wavelength.



#### 13. Calculation

13.1 Calculate the results as follows:

$$M ext{ (ppbw)} = [I ext{ (ppbw)} - B ext{ (ppbw)}] \times DF$$
  
 $DF = 10 ext{ mL/sample weight (grams)}$ 

where:

M =concentration of analyte in ppbw,

I = instrument reading of analyte in ppbw,

B = instrument reading of blank (average of two blanks) in ppbw, and

DF = dilution factor, final volume of acid extract divided by the polysilicon sample weight.

# 14. Report

14.1 Report the following information:

14.1.1 Polysilicon lot sample identification,

14.1.2 Date,

14.1.3 Manufacturer, type, and model of instrument,

14.1.4 Location of laboratory and analyst,

14.1.5 Analyte values in ppbw,

14.1.6 Blank values in ppbw,

14.1.7 Weight of polysilicon sample, and

14.1.8 Confirmation of calibration standards in control.

# 15. Precision

15.1 The precision was determined by making several analyses of a calibration standard to measure within-laboratory variation and by conducting interlaboratory correlations where polysilicon lots were analyzed. The within-laboratory study of variation consisted of daily analyses of standards and lot samples over a one-year period using the acid mixture, procedure, conditions, and statistical control methods described in this test method. The standard deviation for the GFAAS instrument standard variation, the analytical method variation, and the lot sample variation is tabulated as shown in Table 2.

15.2 Interlaboratory correlation studies were conducted to test the ability of the method to analyze surface contamination in polysilicon lots at the sub-ppbw level. Each laboratory used different polysilicon sample weights, acid mixtures, and dilu-

TABLE 2 Standard Deviation (ppbw) Values for a One-Laboratory Study of Variations for a One-Year Period

Analyte	GFAAS Instrument <sup>A</sup>	Method Variation <sup>B</sup>	Lot Sample Variation <sup>C</sup>
Sodium	0.01	0.08	0.15
Aluminum	< 0.01	0.10	0.28
Iron	< 0.01	0.10	0.13
Chromium	< 0.01	0.05	<0.01
Nickel	< 0.01	0.03	< 0.01
Zinc	< 0.01	0.08	0.13

<sup>A</sup>The instrument variation was determined by analyzing a 2-ppb standard of each analyte for 15 times. The instrument reading is multiplied by the dilution factor, 10/300. This set of analyses was repeated over a period of several months. The standard deviation of this set of analyses represents the variation due to instrument drift, analyst technique, and room environment.

<sup>B</sup>The method variation was determined by analyzing the 10-ppb digested control standards over a period of one year. Instrument readings of concentration values are about 10 µg/L. The standard deviation of this set of values represents the variation due to metals retention efficiency, reagent purity, apparatus purity, environment purity, and analyst technique.

<sup>C</sup>The lot sample analysis variation was determined by analyzing polysilicon lot samples over a one-year period. The standard deviation of this set of analyses represents the variation due to sampling techniques, contamination during sampling and processing of lots, and the total analytical method variation.

tion factors, but all performed the analyses in clean rooms with high purity reagents, and calibration controls. All polysilicon samples were supplied by Laboratory A, with lots chosen to be representative of polysilicon with only trace contaminants, at sub-ppbw levels. No samples with added contaminants were supplied; this correlation study was to determine variation at these levels due to sampling, the different analytical procedures, and different laboratory environments. Laboratory A followed this test method, while Laboratories B, C, D, and E used variations of this test method. The ability of the method to detect values above the sub-ppbw level is determined by the recovery of the control standards as discussed in 15.1. Requested weights of samples were taken from a polysilicon lot, sealed in double polyethylene bags, and sent to the labs for analysis. Results are tabulated as shown in Table 3.

#### 16. Keywords

16.1 acid extraction; contamination; graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy; metals; polycrystalline silicon; surface contamination

TABLE 3 Surface Metals Analysis Comparison (ppbw) for a Five-Laboratory Correlation Study

Laboratory Sample Weight	Sodium	Aluminum	Iron	Chromium	Nickel	Zinc
Laboratory A A 300 g	<0.24	<0.30	<0.30	<0.15	<0.09	<0.24
Average Standard Deviation						
Laboratory B A 300 g	0.23	0.27	0.03	< 0.01	< 0.06	0.09
Average Standard Deviation	0.03	0.04	0.02			0.03
Laboratory A <sup>B</sup> 300 g	< 0.24	< 0.30	< 0.30	<0.15	< 0.09	<0.24
Average Standard Deviation						
Laboratory C <sup>B</sup> 200 g	0.04	0.02	0.11	0.02	0.02	0.04
Average Standard Deviation	0.01	<0.01	0.07	<0.01	0.01	0.01
Laboratory A <sup>C</sup> 300 g	< 0.24		< 0.30	<0.15	< 0.09	<0.24
Average Standard Deviation						
Laboratory D <sup>C</sup> 200 g	0.18		0.12	0.02	0.02	0.20
Average Standard Deviation	0.07		0.07	0.02	0.02	0.05
Laboratory A <sup>D</sup> 300 g	< 0.24	< 0.30	< 0.30	<0.15	< 0.09	< 0.24
Average Standard Deviation						
Laboratory E <sup>D</sup> 80 g	< 0.20	< 0.25	< 0.10	< 0.13	< 0.20	0.12
Average Standard Deviation						0.06

A Laboratory A and Laboratory B used 300-g sample weights. Five polysilicon lots were analyzed and the average value and standard deviation for each analyte reported. The acid mixture and dilution factor for Laboratory B was not reported. Values less than the detection limit are reported as < detection limit and no standard deviation was reported. Detection limits for Laboratory A are based on 3 sigma of the method variation listed in Table 2.

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>B</sup> Laboratory A used 300-g and Laboratory C used 200-g sample weights. Five polysilicon lots were analyzed. The acid mixture and dilution factor for Laboratory C was not reported.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup> Laboratory A used 300-g and Laboratory D used 200-g sample weights. Seven polysilicon lots were analyzed. The acid mixture and dilution factor for Laboratory D was not reported.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>D</sup> Laboratory A used 300-g and Laboratory E used 80-g sample weights. Three polysilicon lots were analyzed. The acid mixture and dilution factor for Laboratory E was not reported. The smaller sample size resulted in higher detection limits due to the smaller dilution factor.