



Standard Guide for Ecological Considerations for the Use of Oil Spill Dispersants in Freshwater and Other Inland Environments, Rivers and Creeks¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F1231; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide covers the use of oil spill dispersants to assist in the control of oil spills. This guide is written with the goal of minimizing the environmental impacts of oil spills; this goal is the basis on which the recommendations are made. Aesthetic and socioeconomic factors are not considered, although these and other factors are often important in spill response.

1.2 Spill responders have available several means to control or clean up spilled oil. In this guide, the use of dispersants is given equal consideration with other spill countermeasures. It is not considered as “last resort” after all other methods have failed.

1.3 This is a general guide only. Oil, as used in this guide, includes crude oils and refined petroleum products. Differences between individual dispersants or between different oil products are not considered.

1.4 This guide is organized by habitat type, for example, small ponds and lakes, rivers and streams, and land. It considers the use of dispersants primarily to protect habitats from impact (or to minimize impacts).

1.5 This guide applies only to freshwater and other inland environments. It does not consider the direct application of dispersants to subsurface waters.

1.6 In making dispersant use decisions, appropriate government authorities should be consulted as required by law.

1.7 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F20 on Hazardous Substances and Oil Spill Response and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F20.13 on Treatment.

Current edition approved March 1, 2014. Published March 2014. Originally approved in 1989. Last previous edition approved in 2008 as F1231 – 08. DOI: 10.1520/F1231-14.

2. Referenced Documents

- 2.1 *ASTM Standards*:²
[F2532 Guide for Determining Net Environmental Benefit of Dispersant Use](#)

3. Significance and Use

3.1 This guide is meant to aid local and regional response teams who may use it during spill response planning and spill events.

3.2 This guide should be adapted to site-specific circumstances.

4. Environment Covered—Rivers and Creeks

4.1 Rivers and creeks are moving bodies of fresh water that are a significant part of major water systems. They have a dynamic near-shore ecology and a wide variety of animal and plant species. In northern regions, these water bodies may be partly or completely ice covered during part of the year. Shallow rivers and most creeks may freeze to the bottom in the winter. Commercially important fishing and recreational activities are frequently associated with these water bodies.

4.2 Rivers generally refer to large bodies of moving water, whereas creeks are smaller bodies of flowing water.

4.3 The characteristics of these water bodies are:

4.3.1 Flowing water,

4.3.2 Water depths in excess of 1 m is designated as a river, shallower would be a creek,

4.3.3 A low organic content bottom except in shallow near shore still-water areas,

4.3.4 Acidic water in some areas especially near industrial regions,

4.3.5 A well defined source or outlet, or both, and

4.3.6 A well defined shoreline consisting of sand beaches and rocky headlands similar to marine and lake environments.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

5. Background

5.1 The effects of oil and dispersed oil on these aquatic environments have been the subject of numerous studies. The studies have involved both intentional experimental spills and studies undertaken during actual spill situations (1-6).³

5.2 There have been a number of studies on the impact of oil and oil/dispersant mixtures on microbiological systems (7-17) and freshwater biota (18, 19).

5.3 The principal biotic components of such water bodies are a variety of fauna and flora. The aquatic flora include algae (planktonic and attached) and floating or submerged vascular plants. Terrestrial flora include grasses, moss, lichens, herbs, forbs, and woody plants. In deep water areas, there is little vegetation except for algae (20-22).

5.4 The fauna include invertebrates (molluscs, crustaceans, worms and other similar species), fish, a variety of waterfowl and seabirds (ducks, loons, gulls, terns, and herons), mammals, such as beaver and muskrat, and in many areas, significant human activity. The distribution and composition of species is a function of climate, local geography and soil type, and human use of the area (23).

5.5 Human activities range from recreation and tourism, to shipping and commercial fishing. In many cases, rivers and creeks are the source of potable water for human consumption and industrial use.

6. General Considerations for Making Dispersant Use Decisions

6.1 The dispersant use decision is, in this case as most others, one of trade-offs. The use of dispersants can reduce the adverse effects of spilled oil on certain biological species at the expense of other components of the ecosystem (24).

6.2 Guide F2532 should be followed before making a decision to use dispersants in a river or creek.

³ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this guide.

6.3 In most cases, the mortality of individual creatures, while of concern, is of less concern than the destruction of habitat. The repopulation of areas after the spill will occur naturally when an area becomes a suitable habitat for a given species.

7. Recommendations

7.1 Dispersant use in rivers and creeks and their bordering vegetation should be considered if a spill poses a significant threat to indigenous wildlife or its habitat. In evaluating the potential for dispersant use, consideration should be given to the alternatives of leaving the oil untreated or the use of mechanical recovery equipment. In many cases, a spill response operation can cause serious damage to a rivers and creeks habitat, or a disruption of nesting and breeding activities.

7.2 The turbulence of the flowing water may be adequate to provide the energy for dispersion and vertical transport. This is certainly the case in areas where there are rapids. In many cases, the water is shallow enough that the dispersed oil will reach the bottom and will have the potential to cause impact on the benthic community.

7.3 The use of dispersants near water intakes is not recommended because there is a possibility of inducing increased contamination. Dispersant application should be far enough upstream of the intake so that dilution can occur before the water is used for potable or industrial applications.

7.4 Should waterfowl, either migrating or resident, be present, the use of dispersants is recommended to reduce the impact on this resource.

7.5 In some areas, the protection of fish, their eggs, larvae, and juveniles, is a concern. Fish larvae and eggs have been found to be particularly susceptible to oil. In this case, mechanical removal may be preferred if it can be completed before the oil contacts the eggs or larvae.

8. Keywords

8.1 creeks; dispersants; environmental sensitivity; freshwater; inland; oil spill; oil spill dispersants; rivers

REFERENCES

- (1) Blahm, T. H., Durkin, J., Snyder, G., Coley, T., and Emmett, R. L., "Columbia River Oil Spill Study, June/July 1978," *Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center, Coastal Zone and Estuarine Studies Division*, Seattle, WA, 1980.
- (2) Bury, R. B., "The Effects of Diesel Fuel on a Stream Fauna," *California Fish and Game*, Vol 58, No. 4, 1972, pp. 291-295.
- (3) McCauley, R. N., "The Biological Effects of Oil Pollution in a River," *Limnology and Oceanography*, Vol 11, Nos. 4 and 5, 1966, pp. 475-486.
- (4) Rosenberg, D. M., and Wiens, A. P., "Community and Species Responses of *Chironomidae* (Diptera) to Contamination of Fresh Waters by Crude Oil and Petroleum Products, with Special Reference to the Trail River, Northwest Territories," *Journal of Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, Vol 33, No. 9, 1976, pp. 1955-1963.
- (5) Rosenberg, D. M., Wiens, A. P., and Saether, O. A., "Responses to Crude Oil Contamination by *Cricotopus* (*Cricotopus*) *bicinctus* and *C. mackenziensis* (Diptera: Chironomidae) in the Fort Simpson Area, Northwest Territories," *Journal of Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, Vol 34, 1977, pp. 254-261.
- (6) Brown, H. M., Goudey, J. S., Fogh, J. M., Cheng, S.K., Dale, M., Hoddinott, J., Quaife, L. R., and Westlake, D.W.S., "Dispersion of Spilled Oil in Freshwater Systems: Field Trial of a Chemical Dispersant," *Oil and Chemical Pollution*, Vol 6, 1990, pp.37-54.
- (7) Bitton, G., Chuckran, D. A., Chet, I., and Mitchell, R., "Resistance of Bacterial Chemotaxis to Blockage in Petroleum Waters," *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, Vol 10, No. 2, 1979, pp. 48-49.
- (8) Bugbee, S. L., and Walter, C. M., "The Response of Macroinvertebrates to Gasoline Pollution in a Mountain Stream," *Proceedings of 1973 Oil Spill Conference*, Washington, DC, 1973, pp. 725-731.
- (9) Colvin, J. W., and Gordon, R. C., "Interactions between Crude Oil and Subarctic River Bacteria," *Proceeding of Alaskan Science Conference* 27, 1976, pp. 159-160.

- (10) Griffin, W. M., and Cooney, J. J., “Degradation of Model Recalcitrant Hydrocarbons by Microorganisms from Freshwater Ecosystems,” *Development in Industrial Microbiology*, Vol 20, 1979, pp. 479–488.
- (11) Hoehn, R. C., Stauffer, J. R., Masnik, M. T., and Hocutt, C. H., “Relationships Between Sediment Oil Concentrations and the Macroinvertebrates Present in a Small Stream Following an Oil Spill,” *Environmental Letters*, Vol 7, No. 4, 1974, pp. 345–352.
- (12) Lock, M. A., Wallace, R. R., Barton, D. R., and Charlton, S., “The Effects of Synthetic Crude Oil on Microbial and Macroinvertebrate Benthic River Communities—Part I. Colonization of Synthetic Crude Oil Contaminated Substrata,” *Environmental Pollution Series A*, Vol 24, No. 3, 1981, pp. 207–217.
- (13) Lock, M. A., Wallace, R. R., and Westlake, D. W. S., “Biodegradation of Synthetic Crude Oil in Two Rivers of Northern Alberta, Canada,” *Water Research*, Vol 16, No. 4, 1982, pp. 497–500.
- (14) Masnik, R. N., Stauffer, J., Hocutt, C., and Wilson, J., “The Effects of an Oil Spill on the Macroinvertebrates and Fish in a Small Southwestern Virginia Creek,” *Journal of Environmental Science, Health and Engineering Part A*, Vol 11, Nos. 4 and 5, 1976, pp. 281–296.
- (15) Morrison, S. M., and Cummings, B. A., “Microbiologically Mediated Mutagenic Activity of Crude Oil,” *EPA/600/S3-81-053* Corvallis, OR., 1986, 2 pp.
- (16) Roeder, D. R., Crum, G. H., Rosenberg, D. M., and Snow, N. B., “Effects of Norman Wells Crude Oil on Periphyton in Selected Lakes and Rivers in the Northwestern Territories,” *Technical Report No. 552*, Canada Department of Environment, Fisheries and Marine Service, Winnipeg Man., 1975, 31 pp.
- (17) Rutgers University and the Academy of Natural Science of Philadelphia, “The Effect of Hydrocarbon on Natural Process of Bacterial and Algal Attached Communities,” *The National Science Foundation RANN Program Report*, Petroleum Industry in the Delaware Delta, 1977, pp. 302–354.
- (18) Bhattacharyya, S., Klerks, P.L., Nyman, J.A., “Toxicity to Freshwater Organisms from Oils and Oil Spill Chemical Treatments in Laboratory Microcosms,” *Environmental Pollution*, Vol 122, No. 2, 1 April 2003, pp. 205–215.
- (19) Klerks, P.L., Nyman, J.A., Bhattacharyya, S., “Relationship between Hydrocarbon Measurements and Toxicity to a Chironomid, Fish Larva and Daphnid for Oils and Oil Spill Chemical Treatments in Laboratory Freshwater Marsh Microcosms,” *Environmental Pollution*, Vol 129, No. 3, June 2004, pp. 345–353.
- (20) Nauman, J. W., and Kernodle, D. R., “The Effect of a Fuel Oil Spill on Benthic Invertebrates and Water Quality on the Alaskan Arctic Slope, Happy Valley, Near Sagwon, Alaska,” *Journal of Research, U.S. Geological Survey*, Vol 3, No. 4, 1975, pp. 495–501.
- (21) Rosenberg, D. M., and Snow, N. B., “Effect of Crude Oil on Zoobenthos Colonization of Artificial Substrates in Sub-Arctic Ecosystems,” *Internationale Vereinigung für Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie und Verhandlung*, Vol 19, No. 3, 1975, pp. 2172–2177.
- (22) Debruyne, A.M.H., Wernick, B.G., Stefura, C., McDonald, B.G., Rudolph, B.-L., Patterson, L., Chapman, P.M. In situ experimental assessment of lake whitefish development following a freshwater oil spill (2007) *Environmental Science and Technology*, 41 (20), pp. 6983–6989.
- (23) Mackiew, P. R., McGill, A. S., and Hardy, R., “Diesel Oil Contamination of Brown Trout (*Salmo trutta* L.),” *Environmental Pollution*, Vol 3, No. 1, 1972, pp. 9–16.
- (24) Walker, A. H., Kucklick, J. H., Steen, A. E., Fritz, D., “Oil Spill Chemicals in Freshwater Environments: Technical Issues,” Proceedings of 1993 International Oil Spill Conference, API, Washington, D.C., 1993, pp. 5436–5450.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; http://www.copyright.com/