



Designation: E900 – 15<sup>ε1</sup>

## Standard Guide for Predicting Radiation-Induced Transition Temperature Shift in Reactor Vessel Materials<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E900; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reappraisal. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reappraisal.

<sup>ε1</sup> NOTE—Old Ref 1 was editorially removed and the adjunct information was updated and added to Section 2, Referenced Documents, in April 2017.

### 1. Scope

1.1 This guide presents a method for predicting values of reference transition temperature shift (*TTS*) for irradiated pressure vessel materials. The method is based on the *TTS* exhibited by Charpy V-notch data at 41-J (30-ft-lbf) obtained from surveillance programs conducted in several countries for commercial pressurized (PWR) and boiling (BWR) light-water cooled (LWR) power reactors. An embrittlement correlation has been developed from a statistical analysis of the large surveillance database consisting of radiation-induced *TTS* and related information compiled and analyzed by Subcommittee E10.02. The details of the database and analysis are described in a separate report (ADJE090015-EA).<sup>2,3</sup> This embrittlement correlation was developed using the variables copper, nickel, phosphorus, manganese, irradiation temperature, neutron fluence, and product form. Data ranges and conditions for these variables are listed in 1.1.1. Section 1.1.2 lists the materials included in the database and the domains of exposure variables that may influence *TTS* but are not used in the embrittlement correlation.

<sup>1</sup> This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E10 on Nuclear Technology and Applications and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E10.02 on Behavior and Use of Nuclear Structural Materials.

Current edition approved Feb. 1, 2015. Published April 2015. Originally approved in 1983. Last previous edition approved in 2007 as E900 – 02(2007). DOI: 10.1520/E0900-15E01.

<sup>2</sup> Available from ASTM International Headquarters. Order Adjunct No. ADJE090015-EA.

<sup>3</sup> To inform the *TTS* prediction of Section 5 of this guide, the E10.02 Subcommittee decided to limit the data considered to Charpy shift values ( $\Delta T_{41J}$ ) measured from irradiations conducted in PWRs and BWRs. A database of 1,878 Charpy *TTS* measurements was compiled from surveillance reports on operating and decommissioned light water reactors of Western design from 13 countries (Brazil, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Mexico, The Netherlands, South Korea, Sweden, Switzerland, Taiwan, and the United States), and from the technical literature. For each data record, the following information had to be available: fluence, fluence rate, irradiation temperature, and % content of Cu, Ni, P, and Mn. Reports and technical papers documenting the results of research programs conducted in material test reactors were also reviewed. Data from these sources was included in the database for information, but was not used in the development of the *TTS* prediction of Section 5 of this guide.

1.1.1 *The range of material and irradiation conditions in the database for variables used in the embrittlement correlation:*

1.1.1.1 Copper content up to 0.4 %.

1.1.1.2 Nickel content up to 1.7 %.

1.1.1.3 Phosphorus content up to 0.03 %.

1.1.1.4 Manganese content within the range from 0.55 to 2.0 %.

1.1.1.5 Irradiation temperature within the range from 255 to 300°C (491 to 572°F).

1.1.1.6 Neutron fluence within the range from  $1 \times 10^{21}$  n/m<sup>2</sup> to  $2 \times 10^{24}$  n/m<sup>2</sup> ( $E > 1$  MeV).

1.1.1.7 A categorical variable describing the product form (that is, weld, plate, forging).

1.1.2 *The range of material and irradiation conditions in the database for variables not included in the embrittlement correlation:*

1.1.2.1 A533 Type B Class 1 and 2, A302 Grade B, A302 Grade B (modified), and A508 Class 2 and 3. Also, European and Japanese steel grades that are equivalent to these ASTM Grades.

1.1.2.2 Submerged arc welds, shielded arc welds, and electroslag welds having compositions consistent with those of the welds used to join the base materials described in 1.1.2.1.

1.1.2.3 Neutron fluence rate within the range from  $3 \times 10^{12}$  n/m<sup>2</sup>/s to  $5 \times 10^{16}$  n/m<sup>2</sup>/s ( $E > 1$  MeV).

1.1.2.4 Neutron energy spectra within the range expected at the reactor vessel region adjacent to the core of commercial PWRs and BWRs (greater than approximately 500MW electric).

1.1.2.5 Irradiation exposure times of up to 25 years in boiling water reactors and 31 years in pressurized water reactors.

1.2 It is the responsibility of the user to show that the conditions of interest in their application of this guide are addressed adequately by the technical information on which the guide is based. It should be noted that the conditions quantified by the database are not distributed evenly over the range of materials and irradiation conditions described in 1.1, and that some combination of variables, particularly at the

extremes of the data range are under-represented. Particular attention is warranted when the guide is applied to conditions near the extremes of the data range used to develop the *TTS* equation and when the application involves a region of the data space where data is sparse. Although the embrittlement correlation developed for this guide was based on statistical analysis of a large database, prudence is required for applications that involve variable values beyond the ranges specified in 1.1. Due to strong correlations with other exposure variables within the database (that is, fluence), and due to the uneven distribution of data within the database (for example, the irradiation temperature and flux range of PWR and BWR data show almost no overlap) neither neutron fluence rate nor irradiation time sufficiently improved the accuracy of the predictions to merit their use in the embrittlement correlation in this guide. Future versions of this guide may incorporate the effect of neutron fluence rate or irradiation time, or both, on *TTS*, as such effects are described in (1).<sup>4</sup> The irradiated material database, the technical basis for developing the embrittlement correlation, and issues involved in its application, are discussed in a separate report (ADJE090015-EA). That report describes the nine different *TTS* equations considered in the development of this guide, some of which were developed using more limited datasets (for example, national program data (2, 3)). If the material variables or exposure conditions of a particular application fall within the range of one of these alternate correlations, it may provide more suitable guidance.

1.3 This guide is expected to be used in coordination with several standards addressing irradiation surveillance of light-water reactor vessel materials. Method of determining the applicable fluence for use in this guide are addressed in Guides E482, E944, and Test Method E1005. The overall application of these separate guides and practices is described in Practice E853.

1.4 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. The values given in parentheses are mathematical conversions to U.S. Customary units that are provided for information only and are not considered standard.

1.5 This standard guide does not define how the *TTS* should be used to determine the final adjusted reference temperature, which would typically include consideration of the transition temperature before irradiation, the predicted *TTS*, and the uncertainties in the shift estimation method.

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.7 *This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.*

<sup>4</sup> The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of this standard.

## 2. Referenced Documents

### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>5</sup>

- A302 Specification for Pressure Vessel Plates, Alloy Steel, Manganese-Molybdenum and Manganese-Molybdenum-Nickel
- A508 Specification for Quenched and Tempered Vacuum-Treated Carbon and Alloy Steel Forgings for Pressure Vessels
- A533 Specification for Pressure Vessel Plates, Alloy Steel, Quenched and Tempered, Manganese-Molybdenum and Manganese-Molybdenum-Nickel
- E185 Practice for Design of Surveillance Programs for Light-Water Moderated Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels
- E482 Guide for Application of Neutron Transport Methods for Reactor Vessel Surveillance
- E693 Practice for Characterizing Neutron Exposures in Iron and Low Alloy Steels in Terms of Displacements Per Atom (DPA)
- E853 Practice for Analysis and Interpretation of Light-Water Reactor Surveillance Results
- E944 Guide for Application of Neutron Spectrum Adjustment Methods in Reactor Surveillance
- E1005 Test Method for Application and Analysis of Radiometric Monitors for Reactor Vessel Surveillance
- E2215 Practice for Evaluation of Surveillance Capsules from Light-Water Moderated Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels

### 2.2 ASTM Adjunct:<sup>2</sup>

- ADJE090015-EA Technical Basis for the Equation Used to Predict Radiation-Induced Transition Temperature Shift in Reactor Vessel Materials

## 3. Terminology

### 3.1 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.1.1 *best-estimate chemical composition*—the best-estimate chemical composition (copper [Cu], nickel [Ni], phosphorus [P], and manganese [Mn] in %) may be established using one of the following methods: (1) Use a simple mean for a small set of uniformly distributed data; that is, sum the measurements and divide by the number of measurements; (2) Use a weighting process for a non-uniformly distributed data set, especially when the number of measurements from one source are much greater in terms of material volume analyzed. For a plate, a unique sample could be a set of test specimens taken from one corner of the plate. For a weldment, a unique sample would be a set of test specimens taken from a unique weld deposit made with a specific electrode heat. A simple mean is calculated for test specimens comprising each unique sample, the sample means are then added, and the sum is divided by the number of unique samples to get the sample weighted mean; (3) Use an alternative weighting scheme when other factors have a significant influence and a physical model

<sup>5</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

can be established. For the preceding, the best estimate for the sample should be used if evaluating surveillance data from that sample.

3.1.1.1 *Discussion*—For cases where no chemical analysis measurements are available for a heat of material, the upper limiting values given in the material specifications to which the vessel was built may be used. Alternately, generic mean values for the class of material may be used.

3.1.1.2 *Discussion*—In all cases where engineering judgment is used to select a best estimate copper, nickel, phosphorus, or manganese content, the rationale shall be documented which formed the basis for the selection.

3.1.2 *fluence* ( $\Phi$ )—in this guide the term “fluence” refers to the fast ( $E > 1\text{MeV}$ ) neutron fluence, that is, the number of neutrons per square centimeter with energy greater than 1.0 MeV at the location of interest.

3.1.3 *fluence rate* ( $\Phi$ )—in this guide the term “fluence rate” refers to the fast ( $E > 1\text{MeV}$ ) neutron fluence rate, that is, the number of neutrons per square centimeter per unit time with energy greater than 1.0 MeV at the location of interest. This is also referred to as fast neutron flux.

3.1.4 *SRM*—Standard Reference Material. Also known as correlation monitor material.

3.1.5 *T*—irradiation temperature at full power, in °C, given by the estimated time-weighted average (based on the mean temperature over each fuel cycle) cold leg temperature for pressurized water reactors (PWRs) and recirculation temperature for boiling water reactors (BWRs).

3.1.6 *TTS*—the mean value of transition temperature shift predicted by the embrittlement correlation.

#### 4. Significance and Use

4.1 Operation of commercial power reactors must conform to pressure-temperature limits during heatup and cooldown to prevent over-pressurization at temperatures that might cause non-ductile behavior in the presence of a flaw. Radiation damage to the reactor vessel is compensated for by adjusting the pressure-temperature limits to higher temperatures as the neutron damage accumulates. The present practice is to base that adjustment on the *TTS* produced by neutron irradiation as measured at the Charpy V-notch 41-J (30-ft-lbf) energy level. To establish pressure temperature operating limits during the operating life of the plant, a prediction of *TTS* must be made.

4.1.1 In the absence of surveillance data for a given reactor material (see Practice E185 and E2215), the use of calculative procedures are necessary to make the prediction. Even when credible surveillance data are available, it will usually be necessary to interpolate or extrapolate the data to obtain a *TTS* for a specific time in the plant operating life. The embrittlement correlation presented herein has been developed for those purposes.

4.2 Research has established that certain elements, notably copper (Cu), nickel (Ni), phosphorus (P), and manganese (Mn), cause a variation in radiation sensitivity of reactor pressure vessel steels. The importance of other elements, such as silicon (Si), and carbon (C), remains a subject of additional research.

Copper, nickel, phosphorus, and manganese are the key chemistry parameters used in developing the calculative procedures described here.

4.3 Only power reactor (PWR and BWR) surveillance data were used in the derivation of these procedures. The measure of fast neutron fluence used in the procedure is  $\text{n/m}^2$  ( $E > 1\text{MeV}$ ). Differences in fluence rate and neutron energy spectra experienced in power reactors and test reactors have not been accounted for in these procedures.

#### 5. Calculative Procedure for Transition Temperature Shift (*TTS*)

5.1 The mean value of *TTS*, in °C, is calculated as follows using the embrittlement correlation developed:<sup>4</sup>

$$TTS = TTS_1 + TTS_2 \quad (1)$$

where:

$$TTS_1 = A \cdot \frac{5}{9} \cdot 1.8943 \times 10^{-12} \cdot \Phi^{0.5695} \left( \frac{1.8 \cdot T + 32}{550} \right)^{-5.47} \left( 0.09 + \frac{P}{0.012} \right)^{0.216} \left( 1.66 + \frac{Ni^{8.54}}{0.63} \right)^{0.39} \left( \frac{Mn}{1.36} \right)^{0.3} \quad (2)$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1.011 \text{ for forgings} \\ 1.080 \text{ for plates and SRM plates} \\ 0.919 \text{ for welds} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

and:

$$TTS_2 = \frac{5}{9} \cdot \max[\min(Cu, 0.28) - 0.053, 0] \cdot M \quad (4)$$

$$M = B \cdot \max\{\min[113.87(\ln(\Phi) - \ln(4.5 \times 10^{20})), 612.6], 0\} \cdot \left( \frac{1.8 \cdot T + 32}{550} \right)^{-5.45} \left( 0.1 + \frac{P}{0.012} \right)^{-0.098} \left( 0.168 + \frac{Ni^{0.58}}{0.63} \right)^{0.73} \quad (5)$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0.738 \text{ for forgings} \\ 0.819 \text{ for plates and SRM plates} \\ 0.968 \text{ for welds} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

5.2 In the equations of 5.1, Cu, Ni, P, and Mn are all expressed in weight percent,  $\Phi$  is in  $\text{n/m}^2$  ( $E > 1\text{MeV}$ ), and *T* is in °C.

#### 6. Attenuation Through the Vessel Wall

6.1 An attenuated fluence should be used to calculate the *TTS* for all locations within the vessel wall, rather than the fluence ( $E > 1\text{MeV}$ ) at the inside surface.

6.2 To calculate the shift at some location within the vessel wall away from the inside surface, it is necessary to account for the variation in neutron energy spectrum and fluence rate

intensity throughout the vessel wall. Due to these variations, the use of the inside surface fluence ( $E > 1$  MeV) may give a non-conservative estimate of the neutron damage attenuation within the vessel wall. Therefore, it is recommended to directly calculate the space- and energy-dependent fluence rate throughout the vessel wall using Guide E482. The preferred exposure parameter for characterizing the effect of neutron irradiation of low-alloy steels is displacements per atom ( $dpa$ ). Using the energy-dependent fluence rate solution in the vessel wall,  $dpa$  can be calculated using Practice E693. However, each plant could already have the calculated  $dpa$  as a function of depth into the vessel wall. The calculated  $dpa$  can be used to obtain the attenuated vessel wall fluence for use with the embrittlement correlation as follows:

$$\Phi_x = \Phi_{IS} \frac{dpa_x}{dpa_{IS}} \quad (7)$$

where  $\Phi$  and  $dpa_x$  are the attenuated fluence ( $E > 1.0$  MeV) and calculated  $dpa$ , respectively, at any distance  $x$  into the vessel wall from the inside surface; and  $\Phi_{IS}$  and  $dpa_{IS}$  are the calculated fluence and  $dpa$ , respectively, at the inside surface of the pressure vessel wall.

6.3 Alternately, the following exponential attenuation formula (4) may be used:

$$\Phi_x = \Phi_{IS} e^{-9.45x} \quad (8)$$

where  $\Phi_x$  is the attenuated fluence ( $E > 1.0$  MeV) at any distance  $x$  (in m) into the vessel wall from the inside surface; and  $\Phi_{IS}$  is the calculated fluence at the inside surface of the pressure vessel wall. A published review (5) has confirmed that this formula provides a reasonable estimation of through-wall fluence attenuation for commercial pressurized and boiling water-cooled power reactors in the United States.

6.4 Other forms of attenuation of embrittlement through the wall of the reactor vessel can be used if they can be technically justified.

## 7. Evaluation of Uncertainty

7.1 The procedure outlined in Section 5 provides an estimate of the mean value of the  $TTS$  based on the analysis of reactor pressure vessel surveillance data. For the database on which the embrittlement correlation was developed

(ADJE090015-EA), the standard deviation ( $SD$ ) about this mean value is given in 7.2. Principal contributors to the  $SD$  include the uncertainty in the input parameters (that is, Cu, Ni, Mn, P, fluence, and irradiation temperature). The remainder of the  $SD$  is attributed to uncertainties in the  $TTS$  determinations and prediction errors.

7.2 The  $SD$ , in  $^{\circ}C$ , about the mean value of  $TTS$  described in Section 5 is calculated as follows when best-estimate chemistry, fluence, and irradiation temperature values are used as input to the  $TTS$  prediction (ADJE090015-EA).

where:

$$SD = C \cdot TTS^D \quad (9)$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 6.972 \text{ for forgings} \\ 6.593 \text{ for plates and SRM plates} \\ 7.681 \text{ for welds} \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0.199 \text{ for forgings} \\ 0.163 \text{ for plates and SRM plates} \\ 0.181 \text{ for welds} \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

7.3 In the application of the  $TTS$  prediction of Section 5, the uncertainty will depend on the uncertainty in the input parameters. If these uncertainties for a specific application are similar to those in the surveillance database described in (ADJE090015-EA) it is reasonable to assume that the  $SD$  of 7.2 applies.

7.3.1 Adjustments to the  $SD$  may be required if the uncertainties in a specific application significantly exceed the uncertainties in the surveillance database described in (ADJE090015-EA).

7.3.2 If the uncertainties in a specific application are demonstrated to be smaller than described in 7.2, then a smaller  $SD$  term can be used. For example, a specific material in a single reactor may show less uncertainty. In such cases, a sufficient number of  $TTS$  measurements quantifying the smaller uncertainty could be used as a basis to reduce the  $SD$  term.

## REFERENCES

- (1) Eason, E.D., Odette, G. R., Nanstad, R. K., and Yamamoto, T., "A Physically Based Correlation of Irradiation Induced Transition Temperature Shifts for RPV Steel," Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL/TM-2006/530.
- (2) Soneda, N., Nakashima, K., Nishida, K., and Dohi, K., "High Fluence Surveillance Data and Recalibration of RPV Embrittlement Correlation Method in Japan," ASME Pressure Vessel and Piping Meeting Proceedings, Paper #PVP2013-98076, July 2013, Paris, France.
- (3) Todeschini, P., Lefebvre, Y., Churier—Bossennec, H., Rupa, N, Chas, G., and Benhamou, C., "Revision of the irradiation embrittlement correlation used for the EDF RPV fleet," Proceedings of Fontevraud 7, Paper #A084-T01, 26–30, September 2010. Avignon, France.
- (4) "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials," Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., May 1988.
- (5) "Materials Reliability Program, Attenuation in U.S. RPV Steels (MRP-56)," EPRI, Palo Alto, CA, 2002.

*ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org) (e-mail); or through the ASTM website ([www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org)). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; <http://www.copyright.com/>*