



Standard Test Method for Odor and Flavor Transfer from Materials in Contact with Municipal Drinking Water¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E2892; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method describes procedures for measuring odor and flavor properties of new products which may come into direct contact with municipal drinking water. For this method, “drinking water” will be considered water from the source (for example, river, lake, reservoir) through the municipal distribution system (that is, not including in-home or in-business taps). The focus of this test method is the evaluation of the materials in terms of their potential to transfer odors, flavors, or both to water.

1.2 This test method provides sample preparation procedures, methods of sensory evaluation, and a process for interpretation of results.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. All materials that come into contact with drinking water are required to be approved through testing by accredited laboratories using NSF/ANSI Standard 61. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

E253 Terminology Relating to Sensory Evaluation of Materials and Products

E544 Practices for Referencing Suprathreshold Odor Intensity

E1885 Test Method for Sensory Analysis—Triangle Test

E1870 Test Method for Odor and Taste Transfer from Polymeric Packaging Film

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E18 on Sensory Evaluation and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E18.06 on Food and Beverage Evaluation.

Current edition approved Jan. 1, 2015. Published January 2015. DOI: 10.1520/E2892-15.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

2.2 Other Standards:

NSF/ANSI Standard 61 Drinking Water System Components – Health Effects³

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—See Terminology **E253**.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 The inherent odor and flavor level of the material is estimated from the intensities developed upon exposure to water. This method defines the procedure for preparation and evaluation of the material using four steps: (1) Preparation of component sample; (2) Leaching of sample in extraction water; (3) Sensory analysis; and (4) Data analysis and interpretation.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 Many materials that come into contact with drinking water have the potential of impacting the aesthetic quality of the water. Some of these diverse materials include: storage reservoirs, concrete or metal piping, or both, sealants, synthetic reservoir covers and liners, mending adhesives, gaskets, paints, and plastics. Though NSF Standard 61 provides testing for health effects, it does not address taste and odor implications. A Utility Quick Test, Ref (1),⁴ has been proposed, but has not been adopted as an official test standard. Taste and odor problems have been reported as a result of organic compounds leaching from approved materials into water. Materials only need to be tested if they come into direct contact with drinking water.

6. Testing Facilities and Personnel

6.1 All personnel involved in any aspect of the testing should take precautions to refrain from using personal products (for example, perfume, cologne, scented soaps, food products) which may introduce extraneous odors.

6.2 Guidelines for optimal sensory testing location criteria are detailed in Ref (2). At a minimum, all testing should be

³ Available from NSF International, P.O. Box 130140, 789 N. Dixboro Rd., Ann Arbor, MI 48113-0140, <http://www.nsf.org>.

⁴ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of this standard.

conducted in a location that is odor-free, quiet, temperature controlled, and not used for chemical testing.

6.3 This test method is intended for use by trained panels under leadership of a sensory professional. For discussions on training panelists, see Refs (2-4).

7. Materials

7.1 *Blank Water*, as odorless and tasteless as possible. Bottled spring water should be assessed by the panel prior to use in testing. If noticeable odor(s) or taste(s) are present, obtain a different lot or brand of water until a suitable product is identified.

7.2 *Glass Vessel*, beaker or equivalent, large enough to hold volume as determined in Section 10.

7.3 *Aluminum Foil*, uncoated.

7.4 *Glass Bottles*, 1-L with PTFE-lined screw cap for storing samples prior to sensory analysis.

7.5 *Plastic Cups*, 5 or 6 oz, brand that has been predetermined not to impart any interfering odors or flavors to water they will contain. Do not use wax coated or paper cups.

7.6 *Watch Glasses*, large enough to cover the cups used in the method.

8. Cleaning Glassware

8.1 Use new, clean glassware for each evaluation. This glassware must be odor-free and shown not to impart any taste or odor to the sample during testing. Any caps or liners not made of glass must be discarded after use since these cannot be sufficiently clean for reuse.

8.2 If it is not economically practical to use new glassware each time, ensure the glassware is clean and odor-free prior to each use.

8.3 If glassware must be reused, rinse with water immediately after completion of testing. Cleaning should then be completed by washing with commercial, unscented glassware washing detergent to remove any residue. Test the glassware for cleanliness by rinsing with distilled water and observing how the water rinses from the surface. The water should sheet off of the surface rather than form droplets. The exact glassware cleaning procedure used must be tested to confirm the glassware will not impart any taste or odor during testing.

8.4 Store all glassware in a closed cabinet away from chemical odors to protect from contamination. Glassware stored upside down or with foil over any openings will prevent dust from settling on surfaces.

9. Sample Preparation and Cleaning

9.1 A representative sample of the material shall be tested. The sample should include all components as intended in the final use. Test pieces may be either factory made products or site-applied products. The material should be tested in triplicate (that is, three separate samples of material).

9.2 Samples of the test material shall be kept intact as much as possible (that is, not cut into fine pieces). Whole components should be used when practical. If component must be cut, only

the areas that will be in contact with drinking water should be exposed to the test water.

9.3 For large components, such as tanks and reservoirs, material samples may be evaluated on behalf of the finished product. Concrete surrogate samples may be evaluated on behalf of concrete lined pipes and other concrete-based products.

9.4 Site applied products include coatings, linings, paints, sealants, and solvent cements. These products applied to an appropriate substrate may be evaluated on behalf of components whose entire water contact surface is covered by the coating. The manufacturer shall provide detailed application instructions, including: (1) Surface preparation; (2) Mix ratios and mixing method; (3) Method of application; (4) Minimum cure temperature, time, and conditions; (5) Product film thickness; and (6) Associated products, for example, primers and undercoats.

9.5 Remove any surface materials (labels, tape, etc); do not use soaps or solvents.

9.6 Rinse with blank water.

9.7 Condition the material to be tested by soaking it in blank water for a defined period of time depending on the material and its use. See NSF/ANSI 61 and Refs (5 and 6).

9.8 *Disinfection:*

9.8.1 Note that disinfection may impact the sensory analysis. Conduct aroma analysis on the sample prior to disinfection.

9.8.2 Disinfect sample by soaking for three hours in aqueous solution (using blank water) of 50 mg/L chlorine; rinse with blank water until wash water contains <0.5 mg/L chlorine.

9.8.3 Repeat the aroma analysis on the disinfected sample. If the aroma characteristics are significantly different from the original sample, the user must determine if this test is appropriate for their purposes.

9.8.4 If the aroma analysis on the disinfected and non-disinfected sample are comparable, proceed with flavor analysis.

10. Exposure Method

10.1 Use blank water as defined in 7.1 for all leaching procedures.

10.2 A method blank shall be processed in the same manner as the samples, using the same blank water, but without addition of the test material.

10.3 All samples should be prepared in triplicate (that is, three separate pieces of material to be leached in three containers of blank water).

10.4 The test shall be conducted using a 24-h exposure period. Alternate exposure times may be used to better replicate operational use of the product.

10.5 Surface area to volume ratio should be at least 15 cm²/L or greater. For guidance on proper surface to volume ratios for particular material types, see NSF/ANSI Standard 61 and Refs (1, 5 and 6). The entire surface of the sample should be covered by the extraction water. The extraction vessel

should be covered with clean aluminum foil and stored at ambient temperature ($25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$).

10.6 At the end of the leaching period, the water (leachate) should be decanted into 1-L glass bottles with no headspace and sealed with PTFE-lined caps. Store samples at 4°C until time of analysis. Analysis should be conducted within 48 hours.

11. Sensory Method

11.1 The recommended method is the Standard Method 2170 Flavor Profile Analysis published in Ref (7), which is based on Flavor Profile Method in ASTM Manual 26 (2). Refer to Standard Method 2170 for details on the analysis. This descriptive method is preferred over triangle difference tests (Test Method E1885) or threshold odor number (TON, Standard Method 2150B, Ref (7)) because it is a direct measurement of the contaminating attribute and an overall difference from the blank water. Other descriptive methods may be used; however, the Standard Method 2170 is currently the method used by the drinking water industry, and the use of a single technique provides consistency among sensory panels.

11.2 The flavor intensity scale is shown in Appendix X1. An odor intensity scale spanning the typical drinking water intensity range (8) is shown in Appendix X2. Additional practice to help understand odor intensity can be conducted using the butanol scale (Practices E544).

NOTE 1—This practice is for aroma only.

11.3 Use an experienced panel of five assessors who are trained in this method, but no fewer than three; see Refs (2-4, 9). Assessors should be selected for their ability to identify odors and flavors, rank intensities, and communicate perceptions.

11.4 Provide a score sheet with a short list of common attributes, plus write-in spaces. Common sources of off-odors and flavors along with their sensory descriptors can be found in Test Method E1870. See Appendix X3 for an example score sheet.

11.5 Routine and random blind controls and blank water spiked with a reference standard to an intensity of just greater than “slight” should be included with the test samples (for example, styrene at 100 ppb or dimethyl styrene at 120 ppb).

11.6 Sample temperature is 25°C . Samples should be analyzed in the order presented. A known blank control may be used as a reference. Samples should be in cups that have been previously determined not to have any off-odors or off-flavors that will interfere with the analysis. Samples should be poured into the cup (approximately 30 mL of sample) and covered immediately with a watch glass.

11.7 Assessors should conduct odor analysis first. If the odor analysis fails the test, no flavor analysis should be conducted.

11.7.1 Gently swirl the cup on the table to release odors into the headspace.

11.7.2 Move the watch glass back slightly and, keeping hands away from the cup, assess the aroma by taking a few short sniffs. Rest for 10 to 15 s between samples. Repeat if

necessary to decide on the descriptors, but the intensity rating should be decided on the first sniff.

11.7.3 Record each odor attribute on the score sheet (Appendix X3) with a corresponding intensity (Appendix X1, Appendix X2).

11.7.4 Assess odor for all samples; do not discuss with other panelists until the time for discussion.

11.8 If the odor test passes, follow with flavor analysis.

11.8.1 Take approximately 10 mL of water into the mouth and roll it over entire tongue. Slurping may enhance the flavor assessment; the panel should decide upon the technique prior to assessment.

11.8.2 Swallow slowly. Alternatively, the panel may decide prior to assessment to expectorate the sample.

11.8.3 Record each flavor attribute with a corresponding intensity.

11.8.4 Do not discuss with other panelists until the time for discussion.

11.9 The panel discusses and comes to a consensus agreement on the intensity of each attribute.

12. Data Interpretation

12.1 Interpretation of the data is based on the intensity rating of the consensus profile. The consensus score should be compared to the control sample (method blank).

12.2 Historically, attributes in drinking water that are ranked with an intensity greater than “slight” have triggered consumer complaints (10 and 11). Therefore, leachate water with no recorded attribute intensities greater than “slight” shall be considered as passing the test. Leachate waters with attribute intensities greater than slight shall be considered to have failed the test.

12.3 All three replicates must pass for the material to pass the test.

13. Recommendation

13.1 Materials that pass this test are considered acceptable for use in applications in direct contact with drinking water.

14. Reporting

14.1 A final test report shall include the following (example in Appendix X4):

14.1.1 A unique test report number and date of the test.

14.1.2 References to standards utilized in the test.

14.1.3 A clear description of the tested material.

14.1.4 Detailed description of the procedures used for the sample preparation, including procedures for disinfecting or conditioning of the materials as well as details regarding the exposure methodology, such as surface to volume ratio and contact time and temperature.

14.1.5 Number of assessors used.

14.1.6 Results of the testing displayed as consensus scores from the assessors.

15. Precision and Bias

15.1 Because results of sensory tests are functions of individual sensitivities, a general statement regarding the

precision of results that is applicable to all populations of assessors cannot be made. However, adherence to the recommendations stated in the standard should increase the reproducibility of results and minimize the bias.

16. Keywords

16.1 drinking water; flavor; municipal; odor; off-note

APPENDIXES

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. BASIC TASTE INTENSITY SCALE

X1.1 See [Table X1.1](#).

TABLE X1.1 Basic Taste Intensity Scale

| | | Intensity | | | |
|---------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| | | Flavor Profile Method ^A | Flavor Profile Analysis ^B | Concentration | Products |
| Sweet | | | | | |
| Slight | 1 | 4 | 5 % Sucrose | Peanut Butter | |
| Moderate | 2 | 8 | 10 % Sucrose | Soft Drinks | |
| Strong | 3 | 12 | 15 % Sucrose | Jellies | |
| Sour | | | | | |
| Slight | 1 | 4 | 0.05 % Citric Acid | Milk Chocolate | |
| Moderate | 2 | 8 | 0.10 % Citric Acid | Soft Drinks | |
| Strong | 3 | 12 | 0.20 % Citric Acid | Lemon Juice | |
| Salty | | | | | |
| Slight | 1 | 4 | 0.4 % Sodium Chloride | White Bread | |
| Moderate | 2 | 8 | 0.7 % Sodium Chloride | Canned Soups | |
| Strong | 3 | 12 | 1.0 % Sodium Chloride | Soy Sauce | |
| Bitter | | | | | |
| Slight | 1 | 4 | 0.05 % Caffeine | Milk Chocolate | |
| Moderate | 2 | 8 | 0.10 % Caffeine | Semi-Sweet Chocolate | |
| Strong | 3 | 12 | 0.20 % Caffeine | Baking Chocolate | |

^AManual on Sensory Testing Methods, ASTM MNL 26, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA (2).

^BStandard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 21st Ed., APHA, AWWA, and WEF, 2005 (5).

X2. ODOR INTENSITY SCALE (6)

 X2.1 See [Table X2.1](#).

TABLE X2.1 Odor Intensity Scale

| | Intensity | Hexanal Concentration (µg/L) |
|--------------------|-----------|------------------------------|
| None | 0 | 0 |
| Slight | 4 | 30.0 |
| Slight to Moderate | 6 | 102.5 |
| Moderate | 8 | 1608.5 |

X3. SAMPLE SCORE SHEET

 X3.1 See [Table X3.1](#).

TABLE X3.1 Sample Score Sheet

Attribute sheet

Date: _____

Assessor's name: _____

Sample Name: _____

AROMA

| | None 0 | 2 | Slight 4 | 6 | Moderate 8 | 10 | Strong 12 |
|----------|-----------|---|-------------|---|---------------|----|--------------|
| TIA | | | | | | | |
| Plastic | | | | | | | |
| Rubbery | | | | | | | |
| Metallic | | | | | | | |
| Other | 0 Type | | | | | | |
| Other | 0 Type | | | | | | |

FLAVOR

| | None 0 | 2 | Slight 4 | 6 | Moderate 8 | 10 | Strong 12 |
|-----------|-----------|---|-------------|---|---------------|----|--------------|
| TIF | | | | | | | |
| Plastic | | | | | | | |
| Rubbery | | | | | | | |
| Metallic | | | | | | | |
| Solvent | | | | | | | |
| Petroleum | | | | | | | |
| Other | 0 Type | | | | | | |
| Other | 0 Type | | | | | | |
| Other | 0 Type | | | | | | |

X4. SAMPLE REPORT DOCUMENT

TABLE X4.1 Sample Report Document

Report Date: March 15, 2012

Report # 2012-1-ABC: Assessment of various tubing using ASTM WK21784

Testing Date: March 13, 2012

Testing Laboratory: ABC Labs

123 Main St. Town, ST 12345

(555) 111-2222

Test Material(s)

Sample Code 352 – ¼ in. PTFE tubing, 4-in. in length; Brand B, Lot 456

Sample Code 353 – ¼ in. PVC tubing, 4-in. in length; Brand A, Lot 123

Material Preparation

The samples as obtained were free of any surface materials (tape, markings, etc). Three samples of each tubing type were prepared for testing. The samples were rinsed with deionized water for five minutes. Samples were then each immersed in 4 litres of blank water (Brand X) in separate glass beakers covered with aluminum foil for 24 hours.

Exposure Method

The conditioning water was poured out of the beakers, and fresh blank water (4 litres) was added (Surface to volume ration ~15 cm²L⁻¹). Samples were covered with clean aluminum foil and exposed at room temperature (25°C) for 24 hours. The leachate water was poured off into 1-L glass bottles (headspace-free) closed with PTFE-lined caps, and stored at 4°C.

Sensory Method

Sensory analysis was conducted by Standard Method 2170 (*Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 21st Ed., American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation, 2005) using a panel of five trained assessors.

Sensory Results

Composite sensory data sheets are attached to this report.

Data Interpretation and Recommendations

Sample 352 – Pass

Sample 352 was assessed as having Total Intensity of Aroma (TIA) and Total Intensity of Flavor (TIF) of zero (none) in all three replicate samples. This indicates that no off-odors or off-flavors will be imparted to drinking water from this product, and it is recommended for use without restriction.

Sample 353 – Fail

Sample 353 was assessed as having both TIA and TIF greater than 4 (slight) in two of the three replicates. The aroma and flavor were described as “plastic.” This product is not acceptable for use in direct contact with municipal drinking water.

The results on this report relate only to the specific materials tested and should not be extrapolated to apply to other materials. This report may not be reproduced without prior written approval of the above testing laboratory.

Signature/Date (Laboratory Manager or equivalent)

Profile Attribute Analysis Composite

Date: 3/13/2012 Number of Panelists: 5 Test #: 2012-1-ABC

Note - Scale: 0 = none; 4 = slight; 8 = moderate; 12 = strong

TIA = Total intensity of aroma; TIF = Total intensity of flavor

Sample: Sample Code 352-1

| Panelist/Attribute | TIA | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Other | Other | TIF | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Solvent | Petroleum | Other | Other | Other |
|--------------------|-----|---------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| A | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| B | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| C | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| D | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| E | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Composite: | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Sample: Sample Code 352-2

| Panelist/Attribute | TIA | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Other | Other | TIF | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Solvent | Petroleum | Metallic | Other | Other |
|--------------------|-----|---------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|
| A | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| B | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| C | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| D | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| E | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Composite: | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Sample: Sample Code 352-3

| Panelist/Attribute | TIA | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Other | Other | TIF | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Solvent | Petroleum | Metallic | Other | Other |
|--------------------|-----|---------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|
| A | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| B | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| C | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| D | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| E | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Composite: | 0 | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

FIG. X4.1 Profile Attribute Analysis Composite

Profile Attribute Analysis Composite

Date: 3/13/2012 Number of Panelists: 5 Test #: 2012-1-ABC

Note - Scale: 0 = none; 4 = slight; 8 = moderate; 12 = strong
TIA = Total intensity of aroma; TIF = Total intensity of flavor

Sample: Sample Code 353-1

| Panelist/Attribute | TIA | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Other | Other | TIF | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Solvent | Petroleum | Other | Other | Other |
|--------------------|-----|---------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| A | 4 | 4 | | | | | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| B | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | |
| C | 4 | 4 | | | | | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| D | 4 | 4 | | | | | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| E | 4 | 4 | | | | | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| Composite: | 4 | 4 | | | | | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Sample: Sample Code 353-2

| Panelist/Attribute | TIA | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Other | Other | TIF | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Solvent | Petroleum | Metallic | Other | Other |
|--------------------|-----|---------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|
| A | 6 | 6 | | | | | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| B | 4 | 4 | | | | | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| C | 6 | 6 | | | | | 8 | 8 | | | | | | | |
| D | 6 | 6 | | | | | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| E | 6 | 6 | | | | | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Composite: | 6 | 6 | | | | | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Sample: Sample Code 353-3

| Panelist/Attribute | TIA | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Other | Other | TIF | Plastic | Rubbery | Metallic | Solvent | Petroleum | Metallic | Other | Other |
|--------------------|-----|---------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|
| A | 6 | 6 | | | | | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| B | 4 | 4 | | | | | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| C | 4 | 4 | | | | | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| D | 6 | 6 | | | | | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| E | 6 | 6 | | | | | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Composite: | 5 | 5 | | | | | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

FIG. X4.1 Profile Attribute Analysis Composite (continued)

REFERENCES

- (1) Schweitzer, et. al., "Utility Quick Test for Analyzing Materials for Drinking Water Distribution Systems for Effect on Taste-and-Odor," *Water Sci. Technol*, Vol 49, No. 9, 2004.
- (2) *Manual on Sensory Testing Methods*, ASTM MNL 26, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.
- (3) *Manual on Descriptive Analysis Testing*, ASTM MNL 13, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.
- (4) *Guidelines for the Selection and Training of Sensory Panel Members*, ASTM STP 758, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.
- (5) British Standard BS6920, "Suitability of Non-metallic Products for Use in Contact with Water Intended for Human Consumption with Regard to Their Effect on the Quality of the Water."
- (6) European Standard EN 12873, "Influence of Materials on Water Intended for Human Consumption – Influence Due to Migration."
- (7) *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 21st Ed., American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation, 2005, www.standardmethods.org.
- (8) Dietrich, A. M., and Omur Ozbek, P., "Developing Hexanal as an Odor Reference Standard for Sensory Analysis of Drinking Water," *Water Research*, Vol 42, No. 1, 2008.
- (9) *Lexicon for Sensory Evaluation: Aroma, Flavor, Texture and Appearance*," DS72, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.
- (10) Burlingame, G. A., et. al., "A Case Study of Geosmin in Philadelphia's Water," *Journal AWWA*, Vol 78, No. 3, 1986.
- (11) Krasner, S. W., et. al., "Free Chlorine Versus Monochloramine for Controlling Off-Tastes and Off-Odors," *Journal AWWA*, Vol 81, No. 2, 1898.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; <http://www.copyright.com/>