



# Standard Practice for Evaluating Effects of Contaminants on Odor and Taste of Exposed Fish<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E1810; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 The flavor quality of fish and shellfish (hereinafter collectively termed “fish”) can be related to their exposure to compounds that might be present in the food chain and the water in which they live. High-quality fresh fish have a low-intensity aroma and flavor impact. Certain compounds might cause deterioration of, or change to, the flavor of the fish’s flesh. Examples of sources of contaminants include wood or other processing effluent, odorants of detergents, microbial genesis, accidents involving petroleum products, industrial sewage, farm runoff, and feedstuffs. Although many known contaminant compounds can be detected by instrumental means, the presence of many unknown contaminants is first detected through odor and flavor evaluation. This practice describes methodology for determination of the effects of water-related contaminants on the odor and taste of exposed live fish, where flavor impairment is a suspected issue. This practice supersedes the sensory evaluation procedures detailed in Practice [D3696](#).

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.* Specific hazards statements are given in Section [7](#).

## 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*<sup>2</sup>

[D3696 Practice for Evaluating an Effluent for Flavor Impair-](#)

<sup>1</sup> This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee [E18](#) on Sensory Evaluation and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee [E18.06](#) on Food and Beverage Evaluation.

Current edition approved March 1, 2012. Published April 2012. Originally approved in 1996. Last previous edition approved in 2004 as E1810 – 96 (2004). DOI: 10.1520/E1810-12.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org), or contact ASTM Customer Service at [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org). For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard’s Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

[ment to Fish Flesh](#) (Withdrawn 2006)<sup>3</sup>

[E253 Terminology Relating to Sensory Evaluation of Materials and Products](#)

2.2 *Federal Documents:*<sup>4</sup>

[21 CFR Part 50 Protection of Human Subjects](#)

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions: Definitions*—See Terminology [E253](#).

## 4. Summary of Practice

4.1 Fish that are suspected of having been exposed to contamination are to be processed and maintained for sensory analysis in accordance with appropriate manufacturing practices. After cleaning and evisceration, fish are wrapped in protective covering such as aluminum foil (which will not impact flavor), placed in labeled plastic bags, and maintained at 4°C or below, necessary for preservation of the product. Samples must be frozen if sensory testing cannot be conducted within 24 h. Immediately prior to sensory testing, the fish are thawed under refrigeration, if frozen, and homogeneous composite samples are prepared. Individually foil-wrapped aliquots of 20-g fish (sufficient to provide all panelists with nearly identical samples for testing) are steamed and presented to trained sensory panelists for odor or flavor evaluation, or both.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 This procedure is used to determine the effects of water-related contaminants on the odor and taste of exposed fish. This procedure may be used as evidence in showing compliance with regulatory procedures.

5.2 This practice is designed for use by fish processors or research laboratories for evaluations by a trained and monitored sensory panel under the supervision of a sensory professional.

## 6. Apparatus

6.1 *Aluminum Foil*, heavy-duty, approximately 0.5-mm thickness, or

<sup>3</sup> The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org).

<sup>4</sup> *Code of Federal Regulations*, available from the U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

6.2 *Polyethylene Bags*, heat-sealable, as an alternative to aluminum foil.

6.3 *Steam Bath*, with rack and lid.

6.4 *Thermometer*, with a range from 20 to 100°C.

6.5 *Electrical Warming Tray*.

## 7. Precautions and Safety Hazards

7.1 Fish that are being prepared and eviscerated in the field should be visually evaluated to see if the outer coating on skin or shell has evidence of contamination. Determine if the coating should be disturbed or is significant to results. If the coating can be disposed of, wiping the skin or shell is preferable to washing. Use paper towels to wipe the fish clean. Do not use water containing the effluent or the dilution water (river, lake, and so forth). In the event that no clean water is available, the fish should be transported to a source of clean water for cleansing, eviscerating, and freezing.

7.2 Do not taste fish that have died or are suspected of having died as a result of exposure to contaminants, or that show any signs of toxic effects, because they might be toxic to the taster or possible tissue deterioration might influence the test results.

7.3 Where possible, if fish are to be frozen, they should have been eviscerated prior to freezing because the contents of the viscera may lead to subsequent flavor effects.

7.4 Minimize personal contact with the effluent or dilutions of the effluent because it is always possible that some hazardous material, bacterial, or viral pathogen might be present. Clean hands, clothing, and equipment after contact thoroughly.

7.5 Follow local water safety laws and practices in field studies. Check with local enforcement agencies because these laws vary from one area to another.

7.6 A current food handler's certificate might be required by local law for the cleaning, handling, and preparation of fish and shellfish samples.

7.7 Reasonable assurance of pertinent chemical and microbiological safety of the test samples should be assessed before sensory tests. If potential contaminants are known to be hazardous, then sensory assessment must be by odor evaluation only.

7.8 Panelists must read a statement that they are aware of the requirements of the test procedure. Prior to testing, all panelists must sign an informed consent form between themselves and the sponsoring organization (see 21 CFR Part 50).

7.9 Every attempt should be made to prevent further contamination of the samples. Panelists and sample preparers and servers must avoid introducing extraneous odors during preparation and testing from the use of products such as scented hand soap, hand creams, hairspray, perfume, odorous writing instruments or inks, etc.

## 8. Sampling Procedures

8.1 See Practice **D3696** for conducting laboratory exposure of fishes.

8.2 See Ref **(1)**.<sup>5</sup>

## 9. Sample Preparation

9.1 The method of sample preparation should result in uniform samples for panelists. Preparation of homogeneous pooled samples is recommended because there might be flavor variation among fish, as well as within individual fish, such as differences between the anterior and posterior portions of a fish and dark versus light muscle areas of the fish.

9.1.1 For fresh fish, eviscerate and remove the head, tail, and large bones or shell crustaceans and molluscs. Thaw frozen fish in a refrigerator (4°C) for up to 18 h.

9.1.2 Observe if the outer coating on skin or shell has evidence of contamination. Determine if the coating should be disturbed or is significant to results. If the coating can be disposed of, wiping the skin or shell is preferable to washing. Shred the fish flesh (with or without skin) or the entire flesh portion of shellfish (de-shelled) in a food processor for 4 s using chopping blades. Mix shredded fish muscle thoroughly to provide a homogeneous sample. Weigh out or portion 20-g samples of the composite mixture, the number of which is equivalent to the number of panelists factored by the number of replicates. Wrap each 20-g aliquot or sample in heavy-duty aluminum foil (see **Appendix X1**), and code foil packages with a three-digit code for identification in sensory analysis. Keep samples refrigerated at all times prior to cooking.

9.1.3 Preparation by steaming is preferable to other cooking methods such as frying or baking because it minimizes other flavor changes that would result from elevated temperatures and allows the preparation of individual, uniformly cooked samples. Pretested microwave oven procedures that do not overcook samples or expose samples to food-reactive equipment can be used.

9.1.4 Arrange foil-wrapped samples in a single layer on a rack in a steamer to allow adequate steam circulation. Do not puncture any of the packages. Cook the samples over steam for 7 min, and serve from electrical warming trays to maintain a constant sample temperature of 70°C during panel sessions. No samples should be held longer than 15 min. The samples should be served to all panelists after an equivalent interval of time.

9.2 An alternative method of sample preparation is the use of heat-sealable polyethylene bags. The bags containing 20-g samples are boiled for 5 min in rapidly boiling water with this method. For all sample wrapping materials, the degree to which the material might impact the flavor of the fish must be known and addressed.

## 10. Procedures for Training a Sensory Panel for Flavor Impairment of Fish

10.1 See Refs **(2 and 3)**.

10.1.1 Individuals who are selected to participate as sensory panelists should be selected for their experience and ability to detect and quantify the off-flavors from the suspected contaminant source; they should be trained in the evaluation procedure,

<sup>5</sup> The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this practice.

and their performance should be validated before testing begins, as recommended in Ref (2). All training and test evaluations should be documented, and records should be retained.

10.2 Determine the training needs based on the specific test objective. Tests may include attribute recognition, attribute intensity ratings, or difference tests, or some combination thereof (3). Panelists must be familiar with the test procedure and any rating scale(s) to be used for evaluating the samples.

### 10.3 *Terminology and Characteristics:*

10.3.1 A limited lexicon specific for the descriptors and references for odors and flavors for fish and contaminants is included in Appendix X2 and Appendix X3.

10.3.2 Odor recognition tests should include some of the contaminants if they are known to be present in the fish.

10.4 Prepare training samples that are characteristic of various odors and flavors and various intensity levels. Use Appendix X2, Appendix X3, and Ref (4) as guides. Evaluate a series of concentrations, starting with easily distinguished samples, and proceed to more difficult discriminations. Check the consistency of individual panelist's performance (2).

## 11. Sensory Testing Procedure

11.1 In these studies, there are a number of factors that should be considered in the design and execution of the testing procedure. There might not be an available control or reference sample against which to compare test samples in some situations. The number of available samples might be limited, thus restricting the number of replications in the test design. Samples might differ visually, and the difference might be difficult to disguise, thus leading to sample bias. An odor evaluation of the fish might be the only feasible test method due to hazards from contaminants. If flavor is evaluated, panelists *must be instructed to expectorate all samples*.

11.2 *Experimental Designs With a Control Sample*—Refer to Practice D3696.

## 12. Sensory Testing Facility

12.1 See Ref (5). All sensory testing procedures should be conducted in an appropriately designed facility. One important consideration for this facility is the requirement for a cooking area with its own ventilation system that is separated from the sensory panel evaluation area. There should also be an efficient air removal system in the sensory panel evaluation area.

## 13. Instructions to Panelists for Odor Evaluations

13.1 When testing samples with low intensity aromas, instruct panelists to smell an empty glass container to facilitate adaptation to extraneous odors and to allow for better discrimination between samples. For aroma evaluation, it is recommended that samples be placed in closed glass containers for an evaluation of the headspace odors. This is especially helpful for samples with weak aromas.

13.2 Present samples in random order and instruct panelists to evaluate them from left to right.

13.3 Instruct panelists to cut open the foil sample package or plastic sample bag containing the cooked fish sample, and sniff (use two to three short “bunny” sniffs). For all remaining samples the panelists should sniff in the same manner, keeping the distance from the nose, number of sniffs, and length of sniffing constant.

13.4 Instruct panelists to smell the back of their hand or a container of clean potable water before testing samples and between samples to help “zero” the nose and to prevent adaptation to the odors.

## 14. Instructions to Panelists for Flavor Evaluations

14.1 Instruct panelists to do the following:

14.1.1 Rinse the mouth well with warm ( $50 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ) odor and flavor-free water before starting the flavor evaluation.

14.1.2 Taste the samples in the order presented.

14.1.3 Expectorate each sample; *do not swallow the sample*.

14.1.4 Rinse the mouth well with warm-temperature water ( $50 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ) between samples for a predetermined amount of time to clear the mouth of residual flavors.

14.1.5 Wait a predetermined amount of time before tasting subsequent samples to prevent taste fatigue; be consistent.

14.1.6 Additional methods to clear the mouth include unsalted soda crackers or a 50:50 blend of warm water ( $50^\circ\text{C}$ ) and room temperature sodium-free carbonated water.

14.2 If residual flavors persist, repeat the procedure of rinsing and resting.

## 15. Procedure for Data Collection

15.1 *Attribute Recognition*—Use to describe, rank, and rate the overall intensity of specific odor and flavor attributes of a sample, including odors or flavors, or both, that contribute to off characteristics. If available, a highly trained sensory panel is the most sensitive method to use for data collection (see Ref (6)).

15.2 *Difference Testing*—An appropriate ASTM difference test is used to assess whether there is a difference between the contaminated sample and a known control, if available (see Ref (3)).

## 16. Data Handling

16.1 Statistical analysis of the data will depend on the type of test and test design. Reference (3) contains statistical analyses appropriate for various sensory tests. Specific data handling methods for descriptive tests are presented in Ref (6).

## 17. Data Interpretation

17.1 Action criteria will depend on the policy of the regulatory authorities responsible for monitoring the specific aquatic site from which the samples were obtained.


## 18. Keywords

18.1 contaminant; descriptive testing; discriminative testing; fish; flavors; odors; off flavors; off odors; sensory analysis; shellfish; taint; taste

APPENDIXES

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. PREPARATION OF WRAPPED CODED SAMPLES FOR STEAMING (COOKING) AND PRESENTATION FOR SENSORY ANALYSIS



DOUBLE-FOLDED AREA STURDY FOR CODING WITH WATERPROOF MARKER

FIG. X1.1 Preparation of Wrapped Coded Samples for Steaming (Cooking) and Presentation for Sensory Analysis

## X2. EXAMPLE OF FLAVOR DESCRIPTORS FOR CATFISH

**TABLE X2.1 Example of Flavor Descriptors for Catfish (6)**

Descriptor	Definition
<b>Aromatics</b>	
Nutty	the aromatic associated with fresh pecans and other hard-shell nuts
Chickeny	the aromatic associated with sweet cooked chicken meat
Fat complex	the aromatic associated with dairy lipid products, melted vegetable shortening, and cooked chicken skin
Corn	the aromatic associated with cooked corn kernels
Green vegetable/grassy	the aromatic associated with fresh grassy vegetarian and green vegetables
Eggy/sulfury	the aromatic associated with boiled old-egg proteins
Geosmin/dry musty	the aromatic associated with old books; geosmin is the reference
MIB/wet musty	the aromatic associated with mud; 2-methylisoborneol is the reference
Decaying vegetation	the aromatic associated with decaying vegetation, particularly pondweed, decaying wood, and swamp grass
Cardboardy	the aromatic associated with slightly oxidized fats and oils and reminiscent of wet cardboard or a brown paper bag in the mouth
Fishy	the aromatic associated with the reference trimethylamine
<b>Tastes</b>	
Sweet	the taste on the tongue associated with sugars
Salty	the taste on the tongue associated with sodium ions
Sour	the taste on the tongue associated with citric acid
Bitter	the taste on the tongue associated with caffeine
<b>Feeling Factors</b>	
Astringent	the sensation on the tongue described as puckering/dry and associated with strong tea
Metallic	the sensation on the tongue described as flat and associated with iron and copper
Peppery	the sensation on the tongue described as tingly and associated with pepper

## X3. DESCRIPTORS FOR POSSIBLE CONTAMINANTS

**TABLE X3.1 Descriptors for Possible Contaminants**

Compound	Descriptors	Concentration
Benzaldehyde	almonds, cherry, sweet	1 mg/L
Beta-ionone	violets, perfumy	
Chlorine	swimming pool-like	2 mg/L
Chloramine	bleach-like, pungent, dirtier than chlorine	2 mg/L
Coumarin	grassy, tobacco-like	1 mg/L
Cumene (isopropylbenzene)	shoe polish	100 µg/L
Dimethyl sulfide	creamed corn, clams, oysters	100 µg/L
Diphenyl ether	new carpet, geraniums	100 µg/L
1-dodecanol (lauryl alcohol)	liquid soap	2.5 mg/L
Geosmin (1,10-dimethyl-9-decalol)	earthy, potting-soils, beets	300 ng/L
Heptanol	oxidized nut oil	100 µg/L
2-heptanone (methyl <i>n</i> -amyl ketone)	fruity, banana-like	500 µg/L
Hexanal	raw green pistachios	200 µg/L
( <i>cis</i> ) 3-hexen-1-ol	green apple	500 µg/L
D-limonene	orange peel oil	2 mg/L
2-methyl isoborneol	musty, bread mold	200 µg/L
Methyl methacrylate	sour, butterscotch-like	1.5 mg/L
( <i>trans</i> ) 2-nonenal	cucumber skins, cilantro	200 µg/L
Styrene	airplane glue	500 µg/L
Trimethylamine	fishy	
<i>m</i> -xylene	solventy	200 µg/L



**REFERENCES**

- (1) York, R., and Billbeck, B., *Procedures for Obtaining Fish, Water and Oil Samples from Petroleum Spill Areas*, Freshwater Institute, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Central and Arctic Region, Canada, 1989.
- (2) *Guidelines for the Selection and Training of Sensory Panel Members*, ASTM STP 758, ASTM, West Conshohocken, PA, 1981.
- (3) *Manual on Sensory Testing Methods*, ASTM STP 434 (draft pending), ASTM, West Conshohocken, PA.
- (4) *Lexicon for Sensory Evaluation: Aroma, Flavor, Texture and Appearance*, DS72, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2011.
- (5) *Physical Requirement Guidelines for Sensory Evaluation Laboratories*, ASTM STP 913, ASTM, West Conshohocken, PA.
- (6) *Manual on Descriptive Analysis Testing for Sensory Evaluation*, ASTM MNL 13, ASTM, West Conshohocken, PA, 1992.

**Bibliography**

- (1) Chambers, E., IV, and Robel, A., "Sensory Characteristics of Selected Species of Freshwater Fish in Retail Distribution," *Journal of Food Science*, Vol 58, No. 3, 1993, p. 508.
- (2) *Codex Guidelines for the Sensory Evaluation of Fish and Shellfish in Laboratories CAC-GL 31-1999*, Code of Practice for Fish and Fishery Products, First edition, FAO/WHO Codex Alimentarius Commission, 2009, [www.fao.org/docrep/011/a1553e/a1553e00.htm](http://www.fao.org/docrep/011/a1553e/a1553e00.htm).
- (3) *Establishing Conditions for Sensory Evaluation of Foods and Beverages*, ASTM STP 480-84, ASTM, West Conshohocken, PA.
- (4) *Fishing: Quality at Sea, Manual for On-board Quality Procedures*, New England Fisheries Development Foundation, Inc., Boston, MA, 1987.
- (5) Johnsen, P. B., and Kelly, C. A., "A Technique for the Quantitative Sensory Evaluation of Farm-Raised Catfish," *Journal of Sensory Studies*, Vol 4, No. 3, 1990, p. 189.
- (6) Johnsen, P. B., Civille, G. V., and Vercelotti, J. R., "A Lexicon of Pond-Raised Catfish Flavor Descriptors," *Journal of Sensory Studies*, Vol 2, No. 2, 1987, p. 85.
- (7) Josephson, D. B., Lindsay, R. C., and Sturber, D. A., "Effect on Handling and Packaging on the Quality of Frozen Whitefish," *Journal of Food Science*, Vol 50, No. 1, 1985, p. 1.
- (8) Prell, P. A. and Sawyer, F. M., "Flavor Profiles of 17 Species of North Atlantic Fish," *Journal of Food Science*, Vol 53, No. 4, 1988, p. 1036.
- (9) *The Seafood Handbook: The Comprehensive Guide to Sourcing, Buying and Preparation*, 2nd edition, The Editors of Seafood Business, Wiley Desktop Editions, 2009.
- (10) Tanchotekul, U., and Hsieh, T. C. Y., "Volatile Flavor Components in Crayfish Waste," *Journal of Food Science*, Vol 54, No. 6, 1989, p. 1515.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org) (e-mail); or through the ASTM website ([www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org)). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; <http://www.copyright.com/>