



# Standard Test Method for Application and Analysis of Radiometric Monitors for Reactor Vessel Surveillance<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E1005; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This test method describes procedures for measuring the specific activities of radioactive nuclides produced in radiometric monitors (RMs) by nuclear reactions induced during surveillance exposures for reactor vessels and support structures. More detailed procedures for individual RMs are provided in separate standards identified in 2.1 and in Refs (1-5).<sup>2</sup> The measurement results can be used to define corresponding neutron induced reaction rates that can in turn be used to characterize the irradiation environment of the reactor vessel and support structure. The principal measurement technique is high resolution gamma-ray spectrometry, although X-ray photon spectrometry and Beta particle counting are used to a lesser degree for specific RMs (1-29).

1.1.1 The measurement procedures include corrections for detector background radiation, random and true coincidence summing losses, differences in geometry between calibration source standards and the RMs, self absorption of radiation by the RM, other absorption effects, radioactive decay corrections, and burn out of the nuclide of interest (6-26).

1.1.2 Specific activities are calculated by taking into account the time duration of the count, the elapsed time between start of count and the end of the irradiation, the half life, the mass of the target nuclide in the RM, and the branching intensities of the radiation of interest. Using the appropriate half life and known conditions of the irradiation, the specific activities may be converted into corresponding reaction rates (2-5, 28-30).

1.1.3 Procedures for calculation of reaction rates from the radioactivity measurements and the irradiation power time history are included. A reaction rate can be converted to neutron fluence rate and fluence using the appropriate integral cross section and effective irradiation time values, and, with other reaction rates can be used to define the neutron spectrum through the use of suitable computer programs (2-5, 28-30).

<sup>1</sup> This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E10 on Nuclear Technology and Applications and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E10.05 on Nuclear Radiation Metrology.

Current edition approved Oct. 1, 2016. Published November 2016. Originally approved in 1997. Last previous edition approved in 2015 as E1005 – 15. DOI: 10.1520/E1005-16.

<sup>2</sup> The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references appended to this method.

1.1.4 The use of benchmark neutron fields for calibration of RMs can reduce significantly or eliminate systematic errors since many parameters, and their respective uncertainties, required for calculation of absolute reaction rates are common to both the benchmark and test measurements and therefore are self canceling. The benchmark equivalent fluence rates, for the environment tested, can be calculated from a direct ratio of the measured saturated activities in the two environments and the certified benchmark fluence rate (2-5, 28-30).

1.2 This method is intended to be used in conjunction with ASTM Guide E844. The following existing or proposed ASTM practices, guides, and methods are also directly involved in the physics-dosimetry evaluation of reactor vessel and support structure surveillance measurements:

E706 Master Matrix for Light-Water Reactor Pressure Vessel Surveillance Standards, E706 (O)<sup>3</sup>

E853 Analysis and Interpretation of Light-Water Reactor Surveillance Results, E706 (IA)<sup>3</sup>

E693 Practice for Characterizing Neutron Exposures in Iron and Low Alloy Steels in Terms of Displacements Per Atom (DPA), E706 (ID)<sup>3</sup>

E185 Practice for Conducting Surveillance Tests for Light-Water Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels, E706 (IF)<sup>3</sup>

E1035 Practice for Determining Radiation Exposure for Nuclear Reactor Vessel Support Structures, E706 (IG)<sup>3</sup>

E636 Practice for Conducting Supplemental Surveillance Tests for Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels, E706 (IH)<sup>3</sup>

E2956 Guide for Monitoring the Neutron Exposure of LWR Reactor Pressure Vessels<sup>3</sup>

E944 Guide for Application of Neutron Spectrum Adjustment Methods in Reactor Surveillance, E706 (IIA)<sup>3</sup>

E1018 Guide for Application of ASTM Evaluated Cross Section and Data File, E706 (IIB)<sup>3</sup>

E482 Guide for Application of Neutron Transport Methods for Reactor Vessel Surveillance, E706 (IID)<sup>3</sup>

E2005 Guide for the Benchmark Testing of Reactor Vessel Dosimetry in Standard and Reference Neutron Fields

E2006 Guide for the Benchmark Testing of Light Water Reactor Calculations

<sup>3</sup> The reference in parentheses refers to Section 5 as well as Figs. 1 and 2 of Matrix E706.

**E854** Test Method for Application and Analysis of Solid State Track Recorder (SSTR) Monitors for Reactor Vessel Surveillance, E706 (IIB)<sup>3</sup>

**E910** Test Method for Application and Analysis of Helium Accumulation Fluence Monitors for Reactor Vessel Surveillance, E706 (IIC)<sup>3</sup>

**E1214** Application and Analysis of Temperature Monitors for Reactor Vessel Surveillance, E706 (IIIE)<sup>3</sup>

1.3 The procedures in this test method are applicable to the measurement of radioactivity in RMs that satisfy the specific constraints and conditions imposed for their analysis. More detailed procedures for individual RM monitors are identified in 2.1 and in Refs 1-5 (see Table 1).

1.4 This test method, along with the individual RM monitor standard methods, are intended for use by knowledgeable persons who are intimately familiar with the procedures, equipment, and techniques necessary to achieve high precision and accuracy in radioactivity measurements.

1.5 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard, except for the energy units based on the electron volt, keV and Mev, and the time units: minute (min), hour (h), day (d), and year (a).

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

## 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards (some already identified in 1.2), including those for individual RM monitors:

2.2 *ASTM Standards*:<sup>4</sup>

**E181** Test Methods for Detector Calibration and Analysis of Radionuclides

**E185** Practice for Design of Surveillance Programs for Light-Water Moderated Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels

**E261** Practice for Determining Neutron Fluence, Fluence Rate, and Spectra by Radioactivation Techniques

**E262** Test Method for Determining Thermal Neutron Reaction Rates and Thermal Neutron Fluence Rates by Radioactivation Techniques

**E263** Test Method for Measuring Fast-Neutron Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Iron

**E264** Test Method for Measuring Fast-Neutron Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Nickel

**E265** Test Method for Measuring Reaction Rates and Fast-Neutron Fluences by Radioactivation of Sulfur-32

**E266** Test Method for Measuring Fast-Neutron Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Aluminum

**E393** Test Method for Measuring Reaction Rates by Analysis of Barium-140 From Fission Dosimeters

**E481** Test Method for Measuring Neutron Fluence Rates by Radioactivation of Cobalt and Silver

**E482** Guide for Application of Neutron Transport Methods for Reactor Vessel Surveillance

**E523** Test Method for Measuring Fast-Neutron Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Copper

**E526** Test Method for Measuring Fast-Neutron Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Titanium

**E636** Guide for Conducting Supplemental Surveillance Tests for Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels, E 706 (IH)

**E693** Practice for Characterizing Neutron Exposures in Iron and Low Alloy Steels in Terms of Displacements Per Atom (DPA), E 706(ID)

**E704** Test Method for Measuring Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Uranium-238

**E705** Test Method for Measuring Reaction Rates by Radioactivation of Neptunium-237

**E844** Guide for Sensor Set Design and Irradiation for Reactor Surveillance, E 706 (IIC)

**E853** Practice for Analysis and Interpretation of Light-Water Reactor Surveillance Results

**E854** Test Method for Application and Analysis of Solid State Track Recorder (SSTR) Monitors for Reactor Surveillance, E706(IIB)

**E900** Guide for Predicting Radiation-Induced Transition Temperature Shift in Reactor Vessel Materials

**E910** Test Method for Application and Analysis of Helium Accumulation Fluence Monitors for Reactor Vessel Surveillance, E706 (IIC)

**E944** Guide for Application of Neutron Spectrum Adjustment Methods in Reactor Surveillance, E 706 (IIA)

**E1018** Guide for Application of ASTM Evaluated Cross Section Data File, Matrix E706 (IIB)

**E1035** Practice for Determining Neutron Exposures for Nuclear Reactor Vessel Support Structures

**E1214** Guide for Use of Melt Wire Temperature Monitors for Reactor Vessel Surveillance, E 706 (IIIE)

**E2005** Guide for Benchmark Testing of Reactor Dosimetry in Standard and Reference Neutron Fields

**E2006** Guide for Benchmark Testing of Light Water Reactor Calculations

**E2956** Guide for Monitoring the Neutron Exposure of LWR Reactor Pressure Vessels

2.3 *ANSI Standard*:

**N42.14** Calibration and Usage of Germanium Detectors for Measurement of Gamma-Ray Emission Rates of Radionuclides<sup>5</sup>

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*:

3.1.1 *radiometric monitor (RM), dosimeter, foil*—a small quantity of material consisting of or containing an accurately known mass of a specific target nuclide. Usually fabricated in a specified and consistent geometry and used to determine neutron fluence rate (flux density), fluence and spectra by

<sup>4</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

<sup>5</sup> Available from American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036, http://www.ansi.org.

**TABLE 1 Radiometric Monitors Proposed for Reactor Vessel Surveillance**

Dosimetry Reactions	Residual Nucleus			Target Atom Natural Abundance <sup>A</sup> [31]	Detector Response <sup>B</sup>	ASTM Standard or Ref
	Half-life <sup>C,A,D</sup>	E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>D</sup> (keV)	Yield <sup>D</sup> (%) γ/Reaction			
<sup>23</sup> Na(n,γ) <sup>24</sup> Na	14.9574 (20) h	1368.626 2754.007	99.9935 99.872	1.00	NTR	<b>(2-5, 28-31)</b>
<sup>27</sup> Al(n,α) <sup>24</sup> Na	14.9574 (20) h	1368.626 2754.007	99.9935 99.872	1.00	TR	<b>(31)E266</b>
<sup>32</sup> S(n,p) <sup>32</sup> P	14.284 (14) d	<E <sub>β</sub> >=694.9	100.	0.9502 (9)	TR	<b>E265</b>
<sup>45</sup> Sc(n,γ) <sup>46</sup> Sc	83.788 (22) d	889.277 1120.545	99.9844 99.9874	1.00	NTR	<b>(2-5, 28-31)</b>
<sup>46</sup> Ti(n,p) <sup>46</sup> Sc	83.788 (22) d	889.277 1120.545	99.9844 99.9874	0.0825 (3)	NTR	<b>(31)E526</b>
<sup>47</sup> Ti(n,p) <sup>47</sup> Sc	3.3492 (6) d	159.381	68.3	0.0744 (2)	TR	<b>E526</b>
<sup>48</sup> Ti(n,p) <sup>48</sup> Sc	43.67 (9) h	983.526 1037.522 1312.120	100.0 97.5 100.0	0.7372 (3)	TR	<b>E526</b>
<sup>55</sup> Mn(n,2n) <sup>54</sup> Mn	312.13 (3) d	834.838	99.9758	1.00	TR	<b>E261, E263 (2-5, 28-30)</b>
<sup>54</sup> Fe(n,p) <sup>54</sup> Mn	312.13 (3) d	834.838	99.9758	0.05845 (35)	TR	<b>E263</b>
<sup>54</sup> Fe(n,γ) <sup>55</sup> Fe	2.744 (9) a	5.888 5.899 6.490	8.2 16.2 2.86	0.05845 (35)	NTR	<b>(2-5, 28-30)</b>
<sup>56</sup> Fe(n,p) <sup>56</sup> Mn	2.57878 (46) h	846.764 1810.73 2113.09	98.85 26.8872 14.2344	0.91754 (36)	TR	<b>(2-5, 28-30)</b>
<sup>58</sup> Fe(n,γ) <sup>59</sup> Fe	44.495 (9) d	1099.245 1291.590 1481.7	56.5 43.2 0.059	0.00282 (4)	NTR	<b>(2-5, 28-30)</b>
<sup>59</sup> Co(n,γ) <sup>60</sup> Co	1925.28 (14) d	1173.228 1332.492	99.85 99.9826	1.00	NTR	<b>E262, E481</b>
	10.467 (6) min (meta)	58.603 826.10 1332.492 2158.57	2.07 0.00775 0.25 0.00075			
<sup>58</sup> Ni(n,p) <sup>58</sup> Co	70.86 (6) d	810.7593 863.951 1674.725	99.45 0.69 0.507	0.68077 (9)	TR	<b>E264</b>
	9.10 (9) h (meta)	24.889	0.0397			
<sup>60</sup> Ni(n,p) <sup>60</sup> Co	1925.28 (14) d	1173.238 1332.492	99.85 99.9826	0.26223 (8)	TR	<b>(2-5, 28-30)</b>
	10.467 (6) m (meta)	58.603 826.10 1332.492 2158.57	2.07 0.00775 0.25 0.00075			
<sup>63</sup> Cu(n,γ) <sup>64</sup> Cu	12.701 (2) h	1345.77	0.475395	0.6917 (3)	NTR	<b>(2-5, 28-30)</b>
<sup>63</sup> Cu(n,α) <sup>60</sup> Co	1925.28 (14) d	1173.238 1332.492	99.85 99.9826	0.6917 (3)	TR	<b>E523</b>
	10.467 (6) min (meta)	58.603 826.10 1332.492 2158.57	2.07 0.00775 0.25 0.00075			
<sup>93</sup> Nb(n,n') <sup>93m</sup> Nb	5.89 (5) × 10 <sup>3</sup> d	30.77 16.52 (K <sub>α1,2</sub> )	0.000591 9.25	1.00	TR	<b>(1-5, 28-30)</b>
<sup>103</sup> Rh(n,n') <sup>103m</sup> Rh	56.114 (20) min	39.755	0.0684	1.00	TR	<b>(2-5, 28-30)</b>
<sup>109</sup> Ag(n,γ) <sup>110m</sup> Ag	249.78 (2) d	116.48 884.6781	0.00799 74.0	0.48161 (8)	NTR	<b>E481</b>

**TABLE 1** *Continued*

Dosimetry Reactions	Residual Nucleus			Target Atom Natural Abundance <sup>A</sup> [31]	Detector Response <sup>B</sup>	ASTM Standard or Ref
	Half-life <sup>C,A,D</sup>	$E_{\gamma}^D$ (keV)	Yield <sup>D</sup> (%) $\gamma$ /Reaction			
		937.485	34.51			
		1384.2931	24.47			
		1505.028	13.16			
		1475.7792	4.03			
<sup>115</sup> In(n, $\gamma$ ) <sup>116m</sup> In	54.29 (17) min	1293.56	84.8	0.9571 (5)	NTR	E261, E262
		1097.28	58.512			
		818.68	12.126			
		2112.19	15.094			
<sup>115</sup> In(n,n') <sup>115m</sup> In	4.486 (4) h	336.241	45.9	0.9571 (5)	TR	(2-5, 28-30)
		497.370	0.047			
<sup>181</sup> Ta(n, $\gamma$ ) <sup>182</sup> Ta	114.74 (12) d	1121.290	35.24	0.9998799 (32)	NTR	E262
		1189.040	16.485			
		1221.395	27.230			
<sup>197</sup> Au(n, $\gamma$ ) <sup>198</sup> Au	2.69517 (21) d	1087.6842	0.159	1.00	NTR	E261, E262
		675.8836	0.806			(2-5, 28-30)
		411.802504	95.54			
<sup>232</sup> Th(n, $\gamma$ ) <sup>233</sup> Th	21.83 (4) min	890.1	0.14	1.00	NTR	(2-5, 28-30)
		490.80	0.17			
		499.02	0.21			
		699.901	0.68			
		764.4	0.120			
. . . $\rightarrow$ <sup>233</sup> Pa	26.975 (13) d	311.904	38.5			
FM(n,f) <sup>144</sup> Ce	284.91 (5) d	133.515	11.09	— <sup>E</sup>	NTR, TR	E704, E705
		80.120	1.36407			(2-5, 28-30)
			(see Table 2)			
FM(n,f) <sup>140</sup> Ba	12.7527 (23) d	537.261	24.439	— <sup>E</sup>	NTR, TR	E393, E704, E705
			(see Table 2)			
<sup>140</sup> Ba $\Rightarrow$ <sup>140</sup> La	1.67855 (12) d	1596.21	95.4			(2-5, 28-30)
		815.772	23.2776			
		487.021	45.5058			
			(see Table 2)			
FM(n,f) <sup>137</sup> Cs	30.05 (8) a	661.657	84.99	— <sup>E</sup>	NTR, TR	E704, E705
			(see Table 2)			
<sup>137</sup> Cs $\Rightarrow$ <sup>137m</sup> Ba	2.552 (1) min	661.657	89.90			(2-5, 28-30)
			(see Table 2)			
FM(n,f) <sup>106</sup> Ru	371.8 (18) d	—	—	— <sup>E</sup>	NTR, TR	E704, E705
			(see Table 2)			(2-5, 28-30)
<sup>106</sup> Ru $\Rightarrow$ <sup>106</sup> Rh	30.07 (35) s	511.8605	20.4			
			(see Table 2)			
FM(n,f) <sup>103</sup> Ru	39.26 (2) d	497.085	91.0	— <sup>E</sup>	NTR, TR	E704, E705
			(see Table 2)			(2-5, 28-30)
FM(n,f) <sup>95</sup> Zr	64.032 (6) d	756.725	54.38	— <sup>E</sup>	NTR, TR	E704, E705
		724.192	44.27			(2-5, 28-30)
			(see Table 2)			
<sup>95</sup> Zr $\Rightarrow$ <sup>95</sup> Nb	34.991 (6) d	765.803	99.808			
			(see Table 2)			

<sup>A</sup> The numbers in parentheses following some given values is the uncertainty in the last digit(s) of the value: 0.729 (8) means 0.729 $\pm$  0.008, 70.8 (1) means 70.8  $\pm$  0.1.

<sup>B</sup> NTR = Non-Threshold Response, TR = Threshold Response.

<sup>C</sup> The time units listed for half-life are years (a), days (d), hours (h), minutes (min), and seconds (s). Note that a “year” herein is considered to be tropical and equivalent to 365.242 days and thus equivalent to 31.556.926 s per Ref (31).

<sup>D</sup> The nuclear data has been drawn from several primary sources including Refs (31-34). Reference (35) summarizes the source of the selected nuclear constants, last checked for consistency on March 19, 2014.

<sup>E</sup> FM = Fission Monitor: <sup>235</sup>U and <sup>239</sup>Pu (NTR) and <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>237</sup>Np, and <sup>232</sup>Th (TR) target isotope or weight fraction varies with material batch.

measuring a specific radioactive neutron-induced reaction product. A single RM may contain more than one target nuclide or have more than one specific reaction product.

3.1.2 *calibration standard*—a calibrated radioactive source standardized using an absolute calibration method or by rigorous comparison to a national or certified radioactivity standard source.

3.1.3 *national radioactivity standard source*—a calibrated radioactive source prepared and distributed as a standard reference material by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) or equivalent national standards and calibration institution.

3.1.4 *certified radioactivity standard source*—a calibrated radioactive source, with stated accuracy, whose calibration is traceable to a national radioactivity measurements system.

3.1.5 *check source, control standard*—a radioactivity source, not necessarily calibrated, which is used as a working reference to verify the continuing satisfactory operation of an instrument.

3.1.6 *FWHM (full width at half maximum)*—a measure of detector/system gamma-ray energy resolution expressed as the width of the gamma-ray peak distribution, in units of energy, measured at one-half the maximum peak height above the background.

3.1.7 *FWTM (full width at tenth maximum)*—identical to FWHM except the width is measured at one tenth the maximum peak height above the background.

3.1.8 *resolution, gamma-ray*—usually expressed as the FWHM and often including a specification for the FWTM.

3.1.9 *peak-to-Compton-ratio*—the ratio of the net height of a Gaussian fit of the gamma-ray peak to average net counts in channels in the relatively flat portion of the Compton continuum.

## 4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Appropriate radiation detection-measurement instruments shall be used in conjunction with suitable calibration standards, nuclear parameters, and test data to quantitatively determine the decay rate of selected radioactive nuclides produced in RMs during test and surveillance irradiations in neutron fields. These results together with established cross sections, spectral response data, and known test parameters allow the determination of the neutron fluence rate, fluence, and spectrum. Conversely, by using well-characterized controlled neutron fields to irradiate the selected target foils, cross sections and spectral response data can be determined from the radioactivity measurements.

4.2 The appropriate standard method of analysis identified in Section 2 for the individual RMs shall be followed as the individual problems that may be encountered and the precision and bias of the analysis for that particular RM are more fully discussed in these standards.

4.3 The neutron fluence rate (flux density), fluence, and spectral data shall be correlated to radiation induced change and damage in reactor materials through the use of appropriate

analytical/calculational codes (see Guides E482, E693, E844, E853, E900, E944, E1018, E2005, and E2006).

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 Radiometric monitors shall provide a proven passive dosimetry technique for the determination of neutron fluence rate (flux density), fluence, and spectrum in a diverse variety of neutron fields. These data are required to evaluate and estimate probable long-term radiation-induced damage to nuclear reactor structural materials such as the steel used in reactor pressure vessels and their support structures.

5.2 A number of radiometric monitors, their corresponding neutron activation reactions, and radioactive reaction products and some of the pertinent nuclear parameters of these RMs and products are listed in Table 1. Table 2 provides data (36) on the cumulative and independent fission yields of the important fission monitors. Not included in these tables are contributions to the yields from photo-fission, which can be especially significant for non-fissile nuclides (2-5, 27-29, 37-40).

## 6. Apparatus

6.1 A high resolution gamma-ray spectrometry system consisting of, but not limited to the following items:

6.1.1 *Gamma-Ray Detector*—A high purity germanium or lithium drifted germanium diode with its preamplifier and high-voltage (bias) power supply, and liquid nitrogen or electro-mechanically cooled cryostat. The detector (incorporated into the complete spectrometry system) shall have a resolution of  $\leq 2.5$  keV (FWHM) measured at the 1332 keV  $^{60}\text{Co}$  peak with the FWTM no larger than 2 times the FWHM. The peak-to-Compton ratio shall be 25 to 1 or greater.

6.1.1.1 If more than one detector is available, the specifications can be advantageously tailored to optimize performance over the range of radioactivity levels and gamma-ray energies to be measured.

6.1.2 *Linear Amplifier*, for nuclear spectroscopy—multichannel pulse-height analyzer with at least 4000 channels, live time correction, and a hard copy data read out device. A visual display is extremely useful and in many cases essential for efficient operations. A built-in data handling and reduction system is necessary for processing large numbers of samples and to reduce possibility of human error.

6.2 *Thallium Activated Sodium Iodide Scintillation Crystal*—[NaI(Tl)], optically coupled to a photomultiplier tube with preamplifier, high voltage power supply, linear amplifier, multichannel analyzer with at least 400 channel capacity and a suitable data readout device. It is often feasible and advantageous to use a portion of the multichannel analyzer used for the high resolution germanium detector system for the NaI(Tl) detector through use of multiplexing techniques. A 3 by 3-in. integrally mounted NaI(Tl) detector is a good choice for general use.

6.3 *Beta Particle Counting System*, consisting of a suitable detector ranging from a thin end-window Geiger-Mueller type detector, proportional counter, scintillation counter to partially depleted silicon diodes; electronic components such as preamplifiers, amplifiers, discriminator-drivers, scalars, timers

**TABLE 2 Recommended Fission Yield Data<sup>A</sup>**

Fissionable Isotope	Reaction Product	Cumulative Fission Yield (Energy Dependent)		Independent Fission Yield (Energy Dependent)	
		Fast <sup>B</sup>	Thermal	Fast <sup>B</sup>	Thermal
<sup>232</sup> Th(n,f)	<sup>95</sup> Zr	5.5230 ± 3.1 %		9.9187 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 36 %	
	<sup>95</sup> Nb	5.5196 ± 3.1		1.3603 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> ± 36 %	
	<sup>103</sup> Ru	0.1538 ± 6.2			
	<sup>106</sup> Ru	0.0541 ± 5.7		4.292 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> ± 37 %	
	<sup>106</sup> Rh	0.0541 ± 5.7			
	<sup>137</sup> Cs	6.2965 ± 4.7		5.1397 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> ± 38 %	
	<sup>137m</sup> Ba	5.9439 ± 4.8		1.2822 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> ± 38 %	
	<sup>140</sup> Ba	7.7121 ± 3.2		1.9353 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 37 %	
	<sup>140</sup> La	7.7121 ± 3.2		9.5381 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> ± 37 %	
	<sup>144</sup> Ce	7.6634 ± 7.2		1.0566 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> ± 39 %	
<sup>235</sup> U(n,f)	<sup>95</sup> Zr	6.3488 ± 1.3 %	6.5018 ± 1.1 %	9.3065 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> ± 36 %	3.5346 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 37 %
	<sup>95</sup> Nb	6.3449 ± 1.3 %	6.4979 ± 1.1 %	1.8286 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> ± 36 %	1.7529 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> ± 37 %
	<sup>103</sup> Ru	3.2481 ± 1.3 %	3.1033 ± 2.7 %	2.3559 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> ± 36 %	9.9410 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> ± 36 %
	<sup>106</sup> Ru	0.46896 ± 7.7 %	0.4103 ± 2.6 %	3.4840 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> ± 37 %	2.7725 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> ± 41 %
	<sup>106</sup> Rh	0.46896 ± 7.7 %	0.4103 ± 2.6 %	3.4840 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> ± 37 %	
	<sup>137</sup> Cs	5.8889 ± 1.6 %	6.2208 ± 1.1 %	1.2247 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 36 %	7.2248 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 35 %
	<sup>137m</sup> Ba	5.5592 ± 1.9 %	5.8725 ± 1.4 %	1.2307 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 36 %	1.2770 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 36 %
	<sup>140</sup> Ba	5.9594 ± 0.8 %	6.3142 ± 1.5 %	2.7788 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 35 %	2.9300 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 35 %
	<sup>140</sup> La	5.9599 ± 0.8 %	6.3147 ± 1.5 %	5.7389 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 64 %	5.1535 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 36 %
	<sup>144</sup> Ce	5.0943 ± 1.5 %	5.4744 ± 1.0 %	2.1896 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 37 %	3.4698 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 37 %
<sup>237</sup> Np(n,f)	<sup>95</sup> Zr	5.6147 ± 2.7 %		3.5622 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 35 %	
	<sup>95</sup> Nb	5.6114 ± 2.7 %		3.2984 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> ± 35 %	
	<sup>103</sup> Ru	5.4305 ± 13 %		2.0067 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> ± 35 %	
	<sup>106</sup> Ru	2.2791 ± 13 %		5.2077 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 37 %	
	<sup>106</sup> Rh	2.2791 ± 13 %		4.1438 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> ± 36 %	
	<sup>137</sup> Cs	6.2654 ± 3.7 %		3.7395 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 35 %	
	<sup>137m</sup> Ba	5.9160 ± 3.8 %		1.4802 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> ± 36 %	
	<sup>140</sup> Ba	5.7380 ± 2.3 %		6.8574 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 36 %	
	<sup>140</sup> La	5.7444 ± 2.3 %		6.3568 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> ± 37 %	
	<sup>144</sup> Ce	4.1230 ± 4.7 %		1.2094 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 36 %	
<sup>238</sup> U(n,f)	<sup>95</sup> Zr	5.1883 ± 1.7 %		9.3909 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 36 %	
	<sup>95</sup> Nb	5.1851 ± 1.7 %		1.3214 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> ± 36 %	
	<sup>103</sup> Ru	6.0288 ± 1.6 %		7.0101 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> ± 36 %	
	<sup>106</sup> Ru	2.5185 ± 4.3 %		1.9699 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> ± 38 %	
	<sup>106</sup> Rh	2.5185 ± 4.3 %		1.8219 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> ± 38 %	
	<sup>137</sup> Cs	6.0222 ± 2.5 %		4.3585 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> ± 36 %	
	<sup>137m</sup> Ba	5.6849 ± 1.4 %		1.0169 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> ± 36 %	
	<sup>140</sup> Ba	5.9718 ± 2.7 %		1.4242 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 37 %	
	<sup>140</sup> La	5.9718 ± 1.4 %		6.8165 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> ± 37 %	
	<sup>144</sup> Ce	4.6682 ± 2.5 %		6.6093 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 36 %	
<sup>239</sup> Pu(n,f)	<sup>95</sup> Zr	4.6825 ± 2.1 %	4.9486 ± 2.0 %	5.7563 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 37 %	1.3294 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 36 %
	<sup>95</sup> Nb	4.6798 ± 2.1 %	4.9461 ± 2.0 %	8.4638 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> ± 36 %	3.6286 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 36 %
	<sup>103</sup> Ru	6.5875 ± 2.4 %	6.9481 ± 1.2 %	8.2824 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> ± 36 %	3.5212 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 36 %
	<sup>106</sup> Ru	4.1256 ± 5.9 %	4.1876 ± 2.2 %	1.8683 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 39 %	2.9847 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 35 %
	<sup>106</sup> Rh	4.1259 ± 5.9 %	4.1884 ± 2.2 %	2.5465 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 38 %	8.2388 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ± 36 %
	<sup>137</sup> Cs	6.3518 ± 1.9 %	6.5881 ± 1.2 %	6.9485 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 37 %	4.5666 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 35 %
	<sup>137m</sup> Ba	6.0017 ± 2.1 %	6.2229 ± 1.5 %	5.5669 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> ± 37 %	3.7806 × 10 <sup>-3</sup> ± 36 %
	<sup>140</sup> Ba	5.3035 ± 1.4 %	5.3220 ± 1.1 %	1.1145 × 10 <sup>-0</sup> ± 32 %	8.7561 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 32 %
	<sup>140</sup> La	5.3244 ± 1.4 %	5.3333 ± 1.1 %	2.0861 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 36 %	1.1261 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ± 36 %
	<sup>144</sup> Ce	3.5039 ± 1.5 %	3.7549 ± 0.8 %	2.4345 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 36 %	1.6345 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> ± 37 %

<sup>A</sup> All yield data are given as a percentage with associated uncertainties given as percentages of the percentage at the 1 $\sigma$  level.

<sup>B</sup> For this fission yield evaluation (36), "Fast" indicates that the data was extracted from a wide range of reactor-based fission neutron spectra that can be characterized as having an average energy of ~0.4 MeV. Almost all of the fission reactions for U-238 and Th-232 occur above an effective threshold energy of ~1 MeV and, for Np-237, above ~0.2 MeV.

and high voltage power supplies to complete the system. Refer to Test Methods E181 for preparation of apparatus and counting procedures.

6.4 *X-ray Spectrometry System*, utilizing high resolution lithium drifted silicon, Si(Li), or germanium X-ray detector with liquid nitrogen or electro-mechanically cooled cryostat, preamplifier, amplifier and multichannel analyzer system with

at least 1000 channel capacity and suitable data readout and display devices. Multiplexing could permit use of the same multichannel analyzer used for the high resolution germanium gamma spectrometer if adequate capacity exists or the analyzer could be dedicated to one use or the other to suit analysis schedules and requirements.

6.5 *High-Density Shielding* (usually lead) around the detectors to reduce interferences from background radiations.

6.6 *Sample Positioning Hardware*, to provide a number of reproducible fixed positions which can be calibrated for each detector as appropriate to accommodate different sample activities and sizes.

6.7 *National and Certified Radioactivity Standard Sources*.

6.8 *Calibration and Control Standards*.

6.9 Apparatus and reagents as listed in applicable ASTM standards for RM analysis.

## 7. Precautions

7.1 Refer to Test Methods [E181](#) and Guide [E844](#). For high fluence irradiations, burn-in or burn-out of target nuclides in the RM must be considered. For decay chains, such as  $^{140}\text{Ba}$ – $^{140}\text{La}$ , decay corrections must take into account formation of a radioactive daughter by a radioactive parent. When appropriate, round-robin intercalibration tests such as those previously conducted by NIST, the LWR Pressure Vessel Surveillance Dosimetry Improvement Program, or under the Interlaboratory Reaction Rate (ILRR) Program shall be undertaken to detect and eliminate unforeseen sources of error ([2-5](#), [28-30](#)).

## 8. Preparation of Apparatus

8.1 Follow the manufacturer's instructions for setting up and preliminary testing of equipment. Observe all manufacturer's limitations and cautions.

8.2 When the equipment appears to be operating according to specifications, test the operations of various features, such as energy linearity, live time correction, pulse pile-up rejection, and tolerance to high counting rates using radioactivity standard sources, calibration and control standards singly and in different combinations to determine equipment limitations ([\(6-12\)](#), Test Methods [E181](#)).

8.3 One or more control standards should be measured regularly on each system to verify that the system is operating consistently and properly. A control log including a running record and tolerance limits of each control measurement is an effective way of implementing this method.

## 9. Calibration and Standardization

9.1 For gamma-ray and X-ray spectrometry systems, refer to procedures given in Test Methods [E181](#).

9.1.1 Obtain or prepare, or both, pure solutions of radionuclides corresponding to the national and certified radioactivity standards available and for as many of the radionuclides to be analyzed as practical.

9.1.2 Using carefully measured aliquots of these solutions, prepare sources that are as identical and as practical in mounting geometry and source strength to the national and certified fixed source standards. At the same time, carefully prepare sources which are as analyzed using multiple and fractional aliquots of the same solutions to optimize the counting rates at the different fixed sample positions with respect to the detector. These sources can be used as secondary

standards to obtain calibrations of sample positions for which no suitable national or certified radioactivity standard is available.

9.1.3 If the capabilities or services are available, obtain or prepare calibration standard sources of radionuclides which are not available as national or certified standards.  $4\pi$ -Beta,  $4\pi$ -Beta/Gamma coincidence, and  $4\pi$ -X-ray/Gamma coincidence are some techniques which might be available to standardize solutions for calibration standards. These standards can be used to help fill gaps left by the non-availability of national or certified standards and to help verify efficiency calibration curves for each position to be calibrated ([13-15](#)).

9.1.4 An alternative technique for calibration of high resolution gamma spectrometers is the use of a calibrated multiple peak mixed standard source or a multiple gamma emitting nuclide source for which the relative intensities are well known. In the latter case, the shape of the energy versus efficiency curve can be defined over the range of energies available and then the curve can be normalized to an absolute calibration using one or more points obtained with national or certified gamma emission radioactivity standards. NIST Standard Reference Material SRM 4218 (Point Source Radioactivity Standard Europium-152)<sup>6</sup> and SRM 4272 (Holmium-166m Gamma-ray Emission Rate Standard)<sup>6</sup> are examples of calibration standards which have been used. Special care must be taken when applying this technique, particularly in the high efficiency counting positions, to correct for true summing effects for gamma-rays (and x-rays) of different energies emitted in coincidence from the same decay event. Depending upon the calibration source used, the entire efficiency curve shape may be distorted if this correction is not applied.

9.2 Calibration of the beta counting system, which in this method is used only for the measurement of phosphorus-32, is accomplished by preparing a source mount from a national radioactivity standard solution in a manner as identical as possible to the sample mount. The counting efficiency can be readily calculated from the observed background corrected count rate and the known disintegration rate of the standard. A control source of a long-lived beta emitter with comparable beta energy such as a Strontium-Yttrium-90 equilibrium source should be used to verify continued satisfactory operation of the counting system.

## 10. Counting Procedures

10.1 *Equipment Control and Performance Checks*—Refer to the Performance Testing Section of Test Methods [E181](#). Modify procedures as appropriate for X-ray spectrometry and beta counting systems.

### 10.2 *Sample Counting*:

10.2.1 The sample shall be counted on the detector system in the position which gives the highest possible count rate without unacceptably high uncertainties due to count loss or geometry corrections. When the calibration has been made using a calibration standard of the sample radionuclide that is

<sup>6</sup> Available from the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899.

nearly identical to the sample in physical configuration, the calculation of the observed measurement is simple and straight forward.

10.2.2 The sample counting time shall be tailored to accumulate at least the counts required to provide adequate counting statistics to obtain results to the required accuracy.

10.2.3 Absorbers can be used to advantage when counting a sample emitting a complex mixture of gamma-rays such as a mixed fission product sample. For example, by placing a suitable absorber between the detector and the sample a much higher usable count rate for the 1596 keV gamma-ray from Lanthanum-140 can be obtained since the absorber(s) keeps the quantities of low-energy gamma-rays in the mixed fission products from reaching and overloading the detector system. When using this technique, extreme care must be used to verify theoretical calculations, see Test Methods E181, or obtain appropriate calibrations with the calibration standard, absorber, and detector in the identical positions used to count the samples.

10.2.4 For sample to detector counting positions that are 15 cm or more apart, most small RMs and “point source” calibration standards can be considered to be equivalent geometries. The effects of the differences in the size and shape of the RMs versus the calibration sources become increasingly pronounced as the distance between the sample counting position and the detector is decreased.

10.3 Procedures for counting samples of the X-ray emitters (for example, iron-55, rhodium-103m, and particularly niobium-93m) present special problems in accurate counting and interpretation of the data and shall be addressed more fully in separate standards (1, 2-5, 26 and 27), such as those listed in Section 2.

## 11. Calculations

11.1 The absolute activity of the nuclide of interest in the RM at the end of irradiation is (16-25):

$$D = A I S_r S_c E G P e^{\lambda T} C B \text{ dps} \quad (1)$$

where:

- A = average observed net count rate, cps,
- I = correction for absorption of radiation within the sample and the cladding if the sample is encapsulated, see Test Methods E181 and Test Method E481,
- S<sub>r</sub> = correction for random coincidence summing, see Test Methods E181. (In the simplest case this may be a linear function of the gross RM count rate. This shall be determined experimentally for each detector system),
- S<sub>c</sub> = correction for true coincidence summing losses, see Test Methods E181,
- E = reciprocal of the detector efficiency for the photopeak of interest and at the counting position used,
- G = sample size/geometry correction,
- P = reciprocal of the gammas per disintegration of the radiation of interest, and
- λ = decay constant for the nuclide of interest as defined by:

$$\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} = \frac{0.69315}{t_{1/2}} \quad (2)$$

where:

- t<sub>1/2</sub> = half life of the nuclide of interest,
- T = time between end of irradiation and start of count,
- C = correction for radioactive decay during the elapsed counting period as defined by:

$$C = \frac{e^{-\lambda t_c}}{1 - e^{-\lambda t_c}} \quad (3)$$

where t<sub>c</sub> is the true elapsed (clock time) counting period. For t<sub>c</sub> << t<sub>1/2</sub>, C approaches 1.0, and

- B = correction for burn out of the nuclide of interest, see Test Methods E181, Test Method E262, Test Method E264, and Test Method E481.

11.1.1 For <sup>32</sup>P and other beta emitters, the factor S<sub>c</sub> is unity but the factor I must also include effects due to self scattering, backscattering, and scattering from the surroundings as well as self absorption. These corrections are discussed more fully in Test Methods E181. Normally, these corrections are minimized by proper preparation of the samples (see Test Methods E181 and Test Method E265).

11.2 The saturated specific activity (A<sub>s</sub>) of the sample may be calculated from the disintegration rate (D) in the following manner:

$$A_s = \frac{D}{W(1 - e^{-\lambda t})} \quad (4)$$

where:

- W = mass of target element, mg,
- t = length of irradiation, and
- 1 - e<sup>-λt</sup> = saturation factor.

11.2.1 The assumption is made in Eq 4 that the reaction rate is constant throughout the irradiation. If this is not the case, due to power variations or interruptions to the irradiation, the irradiation period may be divided into shorter time duration intervals, Δt<sub>i</sub>, and the equivalent normalized saturated specific activity may be calculated from:

$$A_s = \frac{D}{W \sum_{i=1}^n R_i (1 - e^{-\lambda \Delta t_i}) e^{-\lambda(t_c - t_o)}} \text{ Bq/mg} \quad (5)$$

where:

- R<sub>i</sub> = normalization factor, which may involve a time dependent spectral weighting factor, to maximum or full power for the period of Δt<sub>i</sub>,
- t<sub>c</sub> = end time at the end of irradiation, and
- t<sub>o</sub> = time at the end of the time period Δt<sub>i</sub>.

11.3 The reaction rate (R<sub>s</sub>) is usually expressed in terms of reactions per target nucleus and is calculated from the saturated specific activity (A<sub>s</sub>) and the isotopic assay data for the RM (26).

$$R_s = \frac{A_s M S_s \cdot 10^{-3}}{N F} \quad (6)$$

where:

- N = Avogadro's number,
- M = gram atomic mass of the target element,
- F = atom fraction of the target nuclide in RM, and



$S_s$  = correction for neutron self shielding (see Test Methods [E262](#) and [E481](#)) to convert to a theoretical infinitely dilute target nuclide matrix.

11.4 The absolute fluence rate can be calculated if the appropriate spectral averaged cross section ( $\sigma$ ) and the fractional fission yield ( $Y$ ), in the case of fissionable RM, are known. For nonfission monitors  $Y$  is 1.0.

$$\phi = \frac{R_s}{\sigma Y} \quad (7)$$

11.5 When benchmark referencing is utilized and the test field equivalent benchmark fluence rate is subsequently calculated and reported, a number of parameters in [Eq 1](#) and [Eq 6](#) may be common to both sets of measurements and are therefore self cancelling. The RM weight and its associated error may be eliminated from [Eq 4](#) if the same RM is used for both the benchmark and test irradiations. This is satisfactory only if the RM neutron-induced activities are short lived, or a background correction is made on the second count and no appreciable burnup of the target nuclide occurs in the first irradiation. If the RM's are uniformly prepared, and the same counting system and configuration are used in both measurements the absorption ( $I$ ), true summing ( $S_c$ ), efficiency ( $E$ ), geometry ( $G$ ), and branching intensity ( $P$ ) factors, along with their associated uncertainties, are again common to both measurements and would cancel from [Eq 1](#). [Eq 7](#) is no longer required as the test field equivalent benchmark fluence rate reduces to a direct ratio relationship and is expressed as:

$$\phi_E = \frac{A_s(T)}{A_s(B)} \phi_B \quad (8)$$

where:

$A_s(T)$  and  $A_s(B)$  = modified test and benchmark field saturated specific activities respectively, and

$\phi_B$  = certified benchmark fluence rate.

## 12. Precision and Bias

NOTE 1—Measurement uncertainty is described by a precision and bias statement in this standard. Another acceptable approach is to use Type A and B uncertainty components ([41](#), [42](#)). This type A/B uncertainty specification is now used in International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standards and this approach can be expected to play a more prominent role in future uncertainty analyses.

12.1 Refer to Test Methods [E181](#), Guide [E844](#), the individual RM standards, [Table 1](#), and the appropriate references appended to this standard.

12.2 Fission yield data are dependent upon the incident neutron energy. [Table 2](#) presents fission yield data for three broad energy regions. The user should consider the neutron spectrum of interest when using these data. The user may need to examine the proportion of neutron-induced fissions resulting from the irradiation of their fission sample in assigning a fission yield to be used in a given dosimetry application—and in assigning an uncertainty to the fission yield used.

12.2.1 While there are model-based methods to interpolate the energy-dependent fission yields ([43](#)), some experimental fission yield measurements exist ([44](#)) but these data are not sufficient to recommend fission yields for specific neutron energies for the range of target isotopes and resulting fission products addressed in this standard ([45](#)). Data measured from monoenergetic neutron sources are becoming available for some isotopes and at specific neutron energies. [Table 3](#) presents representative fission yield variation for  $^{235}\text{U}$  fission at some “fast” neutron energies.

## 13. Keywords

13.1 activity; fission monitor; monitor foil; neutron fluence; pressure vessel; radiometric monitor; reaction rate; reactor surveillance

**TABLE 3 Fission Product Yields from Monoenergetic Neutron Induced Fission of  $^{235}\text{U}$  along with Total Uncertainties (Table XV from Ref (46))**

Fission Product	Incident Neutron Energy						
	0.58 MeV FPY	1.37 MeV FPY	2.37 MeV FPY	3.60 MeV FPY	4.49 MeV FPY	8.90 MeV FPY	14.8 MeV FPY
$^{95}\text{Zr}$	6.22 ± 0.25	—	6.10 ± 0.21	6.02 ± 0.18	—	—	4.95 ± 0.19
$^{97}\text{Zr}$	6.05 ± 0.18	5.65 ± 0.16	5.94 ± 0.20	6.06 ± 0.17	5.92 ± 0.23	5.11 ± 0.15	4.88 ± 0.20
$^{99}\text{Mo}$	5.92 ± 0.24	5.77 ± 0.23	5.76 ± 0.24	6.03 ± 0.25	5.63 ± 0.28	4.69 ± 0.16	4.61 ± 0.24
$^{132}\text{Te}$	4.80 ± 0.26	4.49 ± 0.25	4.79 ± 0.26	4.84 ± 0.27	4.90 ± 0.30	3.61 ± 0.45	3.89 ± 0.24
$^{140}\text{Ba}$	5.78 ± 0.19	5.81 ± 0.18	5.57 ± 0.19	5.36 ± 0.22	5.29 ± 0.21	4.96 ± 0.23	4.20 ± 0.17
$^{143}\text{Ce}$	5.84 ± 0.24	5.49 ± 0.23	5.36 ± 0.23	5.07 ± 0.25	4.71 ± 0.23	4.29 ± 0.36	3.67 ± 0.18
$^{147}\text{Nd}$	2.16 ± 0.09	2.17 ± 0.08	2.20 ± 0.11	1.96 ± 0.08	2.06 ± 0.11	1.81 ± 0.05	1.47 ± 0.07

## REFERENCES

- (1) Tourwé, H., et al., “Niobium Dosimetry Intercomparison in EBR II and BR-2,” *Proceedings of the Fourth ASTM-EURATOM REACTOR DOSIMETRY*, NUREG/CP-0029, Vol I, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, March 1982.
- (2) Batiment, J. M., Compiler, *Proceedings of the First ASTM-EURATOM Symposium on Reactor Dosimetry*, EUR 5667, Parts 1 and 2, European Atomic Energy Community, Brussels, Belgium, 1977.
- (3) Morgan, W. C., Compiler, *Proceedings of the Second ASTM-EURATOM Symposium on Reactor Dosimetry*, NUREG/CR-0004, Vol 1–3, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, 1978.
- (4) Rottger, H., Compiler, *Proceedings of the Third ASTM-EURATOM Symposium on Reactor Dosimetry*, EUR 6813, European Atomic Energy Community, Brussels, Belgium, 1980.
- (5) Kam, F. B. K., Compiler, *Proceedings of the Fourth ASTM-EURATOM Symposium on Reactor Dosimetry*, NUREG/CP-0029, Vols. 1–2, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, 1982.
- (6) IEEE Std 325-1971, “Test Procedures for Germanium Gamma-Ray Detectors,” Also: ANSI N42.8-1972; IEEE Std. 645-1977, “Test Procedures for High-Purity Germanium Detectors for Ionizing Radiation (Supplement to IEEE Std. 325-1971) and ANSI N42.14.
- (7) Heath, R. L., *Gamma-Ray Spectrum Catalogue Ge(Li) and Si(Li) Spectrometry*, ANCR 1000-2, Vol 2, March 1974.
- (8) Greenwood, R. C., Helmer, R. G., and Gehrke, R. J., “Precise Comparison and Measurement of Gamma-Ray Energies with a Ge(Li) Detector, I. 50–420 keV,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods*, Vol 77, 1970, p. 141.
- (9) Helmer, R. G., Greenwood, R. C., and Gehrke, R. J., “Precise Comparison and Measurement of Gamma-Ray Energies with a Ge(Li) Detector, II. 400–1300 KeV,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods*, Vol 96, 1971, p. 173.
- (10) Helmer, R. G., Gehrke, R. J., and Greenwood, R. C., “Peak Position Variation with Source Geometry in Ge(Li) Detector Spectra,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods*, Vol 123, 1975, p. 51.
- (11) Cohen, E. J., “Live Time and Pile-Up Corrections for Multichannel Analyzer Spectra,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods*, Vol 121, 1974, p. 25.
- (12) Miller, J. W., “Dead-Time Problems,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods*, Vol 112, 1973, p. 47.
- (13) Debertin, K., et al., “Efficiency Calibration of Semi-Conductor Spectrometers—Techniques and Accuracies,” *Proceedings, ERDA X- and Gamma-Ray Symposium*, Ann Arbor, MI, May 1976, Conference 760539, p. 59.
- (14) Hirshfeld, A. T., Hoppes, D. D., and Shima, F. J., “Germanium Detector Efficiency Calibration with NBS Standards,” *Proceedings, ERDA X- and Gamma-Ray Symposium*, Ann Arbor, MI, May 1976, Conference 760539, p. 90.
- (15) McNelles, L. A., and Campbell, J. L., “Absolute Efficiency Calibration of Coaxial Ge(Li) Detectors for the Energy Range 160–1330 KeV,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods*, Vol 109, 1973, p. 241.
- (16) Kokta, L., “Determination of Peak Area,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods*, Vol 112, 1973, p. 245.
- (17) Yule, H. P., “Computation of Lithium-Drifted Germanium Detector Peak Areas for Activation Analysis and Gamma-Ray Spectrometry,” *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol 40, No. 10, August 1968, p. 1481.
- (18) Heydorn, K., and Lada, W., “Peak Boundary Selection in Photopeak Integration by the Method of Covell,” *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol 44, No. 14, December 1972, p. 2313.
- (19) Baedeker, P. A., “Digital Methods of Photopeak Integration in Activation Analysis,” *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol 43, No. 3, March 1971, p. 405.
- (20) Sterlinski, S., “Features of the Modified Covell Method for Computation of Total Absorption Peak Areas in Complex Gamma-Ray Spectra,” *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol 42, No. 2, February 1970, p. 151.
- (21) Quittner, P., “Peak Area Determination for Ge(Li) Detector Data,” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods*, Vol 76, 1969, p. 115.
- (22) Sterlinski, S., “Analysis of Digital Data from a Multichannel Pulse Height Analyzer on Gamma-Ray Total Absorption Peaks in Activation Analysis,” *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol 40, No. 13, November 1968, p. 1995.
- (23) Liebscher, K., and Smith, H., “Quantitative Interpretation of Gamma-Ray Spectra,” *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol 40, No. 13, November 1968, p. 1999.
- (24) Covell, D. F., “Determination of Gamma-Ray Abundance Directly from the Total Absorption Peak,” *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol 31, No. 11, November 1959, p. 1785.
- (25) Heath, R. L., *Scintillation Spectrometry Gamma-Ray Spectrum Catalogue*, 2nd Edition, IDO-16880, Vol 2, 1964.
- (26) Adams, F., and Dams, R., *Applied Gamma-Ray Spectrometry*, 2nd Edition, Pergamon Press, Ltd., 1970.
- (27) Gold, R., Ruddy, F. H., and Roberts, J. H., “Photofission Observations in Reactor Environments,” *Proceedings of the Fourth ASTM-EURATOM Symposium on Reactor Dosimetry*, NUREG/CP-0029, Vol 1, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, 1982.
- (28) McElroy, W. N., editor, *LWR Pressure Vessel Surveillance Dosimetry Improvement Program: PCA Experiments and Blind Test*, NUREG/CR-1861, HEDL-TME 80-807, Hanford Engineering Development Laboratory, Richland, WA, July 1981.
- (29) McElroy, W. N., et al., *Surveillance Dosimetry of Operating Power Plants*, HEDL-SA-2546, Hanford Engineering Development Laboratory, Richland, WA, February 1982.
- (30) McElroy, W. N., and Kellogg, L. S., Compilers, “Materials Dosimetry,” *Nuclear Technology*, Vol 25, February 1975.
- (31) Bé, M. M., et al., Table of Radionuclides (Vol 1 – A = 1 to 150), Bureau International des Poids et mesures Monograph 5 (BIPM-5), Bureau International des Poids et Mesures, Sevres Cedex, France, 2004.
- (32) *Nuclear Wallet Cards*, National Nuclear Data Center, prepared by Jagdish K. Tuli, January 2000, retrieved from <http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2/> on March 19, 2014.

- (33) *Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File (ENSDF)*, maintained by the National Nuclear Data Center (NNDC), Brookhaven National Laboratory, on behalf of The International Network for Nuclear Structure Data Evaluation.
- (34) Lemmel, H. D., *X-ray and Gamma-ray Standards for Detector Calibration*, International Atomic Energy Agency, report IAEA-TECDOC-619, September 1991. Note: superseded by (31).
- (35) Griffin, P. J., Kelley, J. G., Luera, T. F., VanDenburg, J., *SNL RML Recommended Dosimetry Cross Section Compendium*, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, report SAND92-0094, November 1993. This library is distributed along with associated cross section data by the Radiation Shielding Information Center at Oak Ridge National Laboratory as Data Library Code package DLC178/SNLRML.
- (36) The JEFF-3.1.1 Nuclear Data Library, JEFF Report 22, Validation Results from JEF-2.2 to JEFF-3.1.1 edited by A. Santamarina, D. Bernard, Y. Rugama, OECD NEA, 2009, see [http://www.oecd-nea.org/dbforms/data/eva/evatapes/jeff\\_31](http://www.oecd-nea.org/dbforms/data/eva/evatapes/jeff_31).
- (37) Verbinski, V. V., Cassapakis, C. G., Hagan, W. K., Simmons, G. L., "Photointerference Corrections in Neutron Dosimetry for Reactor Pressure Vessel Lifetime Studies," *Nuclear Science and Engineering*, Vol 75, 1980, pp. 159–166.
- (38) Petruscha, L., *Proceedings of the 7th ASTM-Euratom Symposium*, held in Strasbourg, France on August 27–31, 1990. Kluwer Academic Press, pp. 537–545.
- (39) Remec, I., Wang, J. A., Kam, F. B. K., Farrell, K., "Effects of Gamma-Induced Displacements on HFIR Pressure Vessel Materials," *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, Vol 217, 1994, pp. 258–268.
- (40) Bowman, C. D., Eisenhauer, C., Gilliam, D., *Proceedings of the 2nd ASTM-Euratom Symposium on Reactor Dosimetry*, NUREG/CP-0004, 1977, pp. 575–582.
- (41) *Guide in the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement*, International Organization for Standardization, 1993, ISBN 92–67–10188–9.
- (42) Taylor, B.N., Kuyatt, C.E., *Guidelines for Evaluating and Expressing the Uncertainty of NIST Measurement Results*, NIST Technical Note 1297, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, 1994.
- (43) Ohta, M., Takahashi, A., "Analysis of Incident Neutron Energy Dependence of Fission Product Yields for <sup>235</sup>U by the Selective Channel Scission Model," *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, Vol 42, 2003, pp. 645–649.
- (44) Chapman, T.C., Anzelon, G.A., "Fission Product Yields from 6-9 MeV Neutron-Induced Fission of <sup>235</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U," *Physics Review C*, Vol 17, March 1978.
- (45) Kern, K., Becker, M., Broeders, C., "Assessment of Fission Product Yields Data Needs in Nuclear Reactor Applications," PHYSOR 2012 Advances in Reactor Physics Linking Research, Industry, and Education, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA, April 15-20, 2012, American Nuclear Society, 2012.
- (46) Gooden, M. E., Arnold, C. W., Becker, J. A., et al., "Energy Dependence of Fission Product Yields from <sup>235</sup>U, <sup>238</sup>U, and <sup>239</sup>Pu for Incident Neutron Energies Between 0.5 and 14.8 MeV," *Nuclear Data Sheets*, Vol 131, 2016, pp. 319–356.

*ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org) (e-mail); or through the ASTM website ([www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org)). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; <http://www.copyright.com/>*