



# Standard Test Method for Free Cyanide and Aquatic Free Cyanide with Flow Injection Analysis (FIA) Utilizing Gas Diffusion Separation and Amperometric Detection<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D7237; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope\*

1.1 This test method is used to establish the concentration of free cyanide in an aqueous wastewater, effluent and in-stream free cyanide concentrations after mixing treated water with receiving water. The test conditions of this test method are used to measure free cyanide (HCN and  $\text{CN}^-$ ) and cyanide bound in the metal-cyanide complexes that are easily dissociated into free cyanide ions at the pH of 6. Free cyanide is determined at pH 6 at room temperature. The aquatic free cyanide can be determined by matching the pH to the water in the receiving environment in the range of pH 6 to 8. The extent of HCN formation is less dependent on temperature than the pH; however, the temperature can be regulated if deemed necessary for aquatic free cyanide to further simulate the actual aquatic environment.

1.2 The free cyanide test method is based on the same instrumentation and technology that is described in Test Method [D6888](#), but employs milder conditions (pH 6–8 buffer versus HCl or  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in the reagent stream), and does not utilize ligand displacement reagents.

1.3 The aquatic free cyanide measured by this procedure should be similar to actual levels of HCN in the original aquatic environment. This in turn may give a reliable index of toxicity to aquatic organisms.

1.4 This procedure is applicable over a range of approximately 5 to 500  $\mu\text{g/L}$  (parts per billion) free cyanide. Sample dilution may increase cyanide recoveries depending on the cyanide speciation; therefore, it is not recommended to dilute samples. Higher concentrations can be analyzed by increasing the range of calibration standards or with a lower injection volume. In accordance with Guide [E1763](#) and Practice [D6512](#) the lower scope limit was determined to be 9  $\mu\text{g/L}$  for chlorinated gold leaching barren effluent water and the  $\text{IQE}_{10\%}$

is 12  $\mu\text{g/L}$  in the gold processing detoxified reverse osmosis permeate waste water sample matrix.

1.5 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.* Specific hazard statements are given in [8.6](#) and Section [9](#).

## 2. Referenced Documents

### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

- [D1129 Terminology Relating to Water](#)
- [D1193 Specification for Reagent Water](#)
- [D1293 Test Methods for pH of Water](#)
- [D2036 Test Methods for Cyanides in Water](#)
- [D2777 Practice for Determination of Precision and Bias of Applicable Test Methods of Committee D19 on Water](#)
- [D3856 Guide for Management Systems in Laboratories Engaged in Analysis of Water](#)
- [D4841 Practice for Estimation of Holding Time for Water Samples Containing Organic and Inorganic Constituents](#)
- [D5847 Practice for Writing Quality Control Specifications for Standard Test Methods for Water Analysis](#)
- [D6512 Practice for Interlaboratory Quantitation Estimate](#)
- [D6696 Guide for Understanding Cyanide Species](#)
- [D6888 Test Method for Available Cyanide with Ligand Displacement and Flow Injection Analysis \(FIA\) Utilizing Gas Diffusion Separation and Amperometric Detection](#)
- [D7365 Practice for Sampling, Preservation and Mitigating Interferences in Water Samples for Analysis of Cyanide](#)
- [D7728 Guide for Selection of ASTM Analytical Methods for Implementation of International Cyanide Management Code Guidance](#)

<sup>1</sup> This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee [D19](#) on Water and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee [D19.06](#) on Methods for Analysis for Organic Substances in Water.

Current edition approved June 1, 2015. Published June 2015. Originally approved in 2006. Last previous edition approved in 2015 as D7237 – 15. DOI: 10.1520/D7237-15A.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org), or contact ASTM Customer Service at [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org). For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

\*A Summary of Changes section appears at the end of this standard

**E691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method**

**E1601 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Evaluate the Performance of an Analytical Method**

**E1763 Guide for Interpretation and Use of Results from Interlaboratory Testing of Chemical Analysis Methods (Withdrawn 2015)**<sup>3</sup>

### 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For definitions of terms used in this test method, refer to Terminology **D1129** and Guide **D6696**.

3.1.1 *aquatic free cyanide, n*—free cyanide measured when the buffer or temperature is adjusted to mimic the receiving-water environment.

3.1.2 *free cyanide, n*—sum of the free cyanide (HCN and CN<sup>-</sup>) and cyanide bound in the metal-cyanide complexes that are easily dissociated into free cyanide under the test conditions described in this test method at pH 6 and room temperature.

### 4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 The test is generally performed at room temperature, but temperature of the sample and flow injection reagents can be regulated to match the aquatic environment if necessary to measure aquatic free cyanide.

4.2 The sample is introduced into a carrier solution of the flow injection analysis (FIA) system via an injection valve and confluence downstream with a phosphate buffer solution at pH 6 to measure free cyanide or in the range of pH 6 to 8 to measure aquatic free cyanide. The released hydrogen cyanide (HCN) gas diffuses through a hydrophobic gas diffusion membrane into an alkaline acceptor stream where the CN<sup>-</sup> is captured and sent to an amperometric flowcell detector with a silver-working electrode. In the presence of cyanide, silver in the working electrode is oxidized at the applied potential. The anodic current measured is proportional to the concentration of cyanide in the standard or sample injected.

4.3 Calibrations and sample data are processed with the instrument's data acquisition software.

### 5. Significance and Use

5.1 Cyanide and hydrogen cyanide are highly toxic. Regulations have been established to require the monitoring of cyanide in industrial and domestic wastes and surface waters.<sup>4</sup>

5.2 It is useful to determine the aquatic free cyanide to establish an index of toxicity when a wastewater is introduced into the natural environment at a given pH and temperature.

5.3 This test method is applicable for natural water, saline waters, and wastewater effluent.

5.4 Free cyanide measured using this test method is applicable for implementation of the International Cyanide Code Guidance in accordance with Guide **D7728**.

### 6. Interferences

6.1 Sulfide will diffuse through the gas diffusion membrane and can be detected in the amperometric flowcell. Oxidized products of sulfide can also rapidly convert CN<sup>-</sup> to SCN<sup>-</sup> at a high pH. Refer to **11.3** for sulfide removal.

6.2 Refer to 6.1 of Test Method **D6888** and Test Methods **D2036** for elimination of cyanide interferences.

6.3 Residual flotation reagents have been shown to interfere,<sup>5</sup> which is indicated by failure of the amperometric signal to return to baseline compared to the standards. This effect is attributed to the formation of volatile carbon disulfide. If this interference is encountered, verify by comparing with analysis using Test Method **D6888** including bismuth nitrate in the acidification reagent on a solution without sodium hydroxide preservation, which should provide confirmation due to lower results.

### 7. Apparatus

7.1 The instrument must be equipped with a precise sample introduction system, a gas diffusion manifold with hydrophobic membrane, and an amperometric detection system to include a silver working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode, and a Pt or stainless steel counter electrode. An example of the apparatus schematic is shown in **Fig. 1**. Example instrument settings are shown in **Table 1**.

NOTE 1—The instrument and settings in **Fig. 1** and **Table 1** are shown as examples. The analyst may modify these settings as long as performance of the method has not been degraded. Contact the instrument manufacturer for recommended instrument parameters.

7.2 An autosampler is recommended but not required to automate sample injections and increase throughput. Autosamplers are usually available as an option from the instrument's manufacturer. If the sample is to be analyzed at a constant temperature other than the temperature of the room, manual injections may be required unless the autosampler is equipped to maintain constant temperature.

7.3 If aquatic free cyanide at a temperature other than room temperature is required, a constant temperature bath capable of maintaining the temperature of the aquatic environment within  $\pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  should be used to regulate the temperature of the flow injection reagents and samples.

7.4 *Data Acquisition System*—Use the computer hardware and software recommended by the instrument manufacturer to control the apparatus and to collect data from the detector.


7.5 *Pump Tubing*—Use tubing recommended by instrument manufacturer. Replace pump tubing when worn, or when precision is no longer acceptable.

7.6 *Gas Diffusion Membranes*—A hydrophobic membrane which allows gaseous hydrogen cyanide to diffuse from the donor to the acceptor stream at a sufficient rate to allow detection. The gas diffusion membrane should be replaced when the baseline becomes noisy, or every 1 to 2 weeks.

<sup>3</sup> The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org).

<sup>4</sup> 40 CFR Part 136.

<sup>5</sup> Solujic, L., and Milosavljevic, E., *Flotation Reagents Testing and Analyses of Cyanide Spiked Samples*, Report to Newmont Mining Corporation, July 30, 2011.



C = carrier (water), R = reagent buffer (variable: pH 6 for free cyanide and pH 6-8 for aquatic free cyanide, 0.2 M phosphate buffer), A = acceptor solution (0.1 M NaOH), S = sample, P = peristaltic pump (flow rates in mL/min), I = injection valve (200  $\mu$ L sample loop), MC = mixing coil (30–60 cm  $\times$  0.5 mm i.d.), positioned in optional constant temperature manifold, D = gas-diffusion cell, FC = amperometric flow cell, PO/DAT = potentiostat/data collection device running data acquisition software, W = waste flows.

FIG. 1 Example of Flow Injection Manifold for the Determination of Aquatic Free Cyanide

TABLE 1 Flow Injection Analysis Parameters

| FIA Instrument Parameter                   | Recommended Method Setting                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pump Flow Rates                            | 0.5 to 2.0 mL/min                                                          |
| Cycle period (total)                       | Approximately 120 seconds                                                  |
| Sample load period                         | At least enough time to completely fill the sample loop prior to injection |
| Injection valve rinse time between samples | At least enough time to rinse the sample loop                              |
| Peak Evaluation                            | Peak height or area                                                        |
| Working Potential                          | 0.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl                                                          |

7.7 Use parts and accessories as directed by instrument manufacturer.

## 8. Reagents and Materials

8.1 *Purity of Reagents*—Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available.<sup>6</sup> Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

8.2 *Purity of Water*—Unless otherwise indicated, references to water shall be understood to mean reagent water that meets the purity specifications of Type I or Type II water, presented in Specification D1193.

<sup>6</sup> *Reagent Chemicals, American Chemical Society Specifications*, Am. Chemical Soc., Washington, DC. For suggestions on the testing of reagents not listed by the American Chemical Society, see *Analar Standards for Laboratory Chemicals*, BDH Ltd., Poole, Dorset, U.K., and the *United States Pharmacopeia*.

8.3 *Sodium Hydroxide Solution (1.00M NaOH)*—Dissolve 40 g NaOH in laboratory water and dilute to 1 L.

8.4 *Sodium Hydroxide and Acceptor Solution (0.10 M NaOH)*—Dissolve 4.0 g NaOH in laboratory water and dilute to 1 L.

NOTE 2—Acceptor solution concentration of 0.025 M NaOH has also been found to be acceptable.

8.5 *Carrier*—Water, as described in 8.2.

NOTE 3—Carrier solution containing 0.025 M NaOH has also been found to be acceptable.

8.6 *Stock Cyanide Solution (1000  $\mu$ g/mL CN<sup>-</sup>)*—Dissolve 2.51 g of KCN and 2.0 g of NaOH in 1 L of water. Standardize with silver nitrate solution as described in Test Methods D2036, 16.2. Store the solution under refrigeration and check concentration approximately every 6 months and correct if necessary.<sup>7</sup> (**Warning**—Because KCN is highly toxic, avoid contact or inhalation.)

8.7 *Intermediate Cyanide Standards*:

8.7.1 *Intermediate Standard 1 (100  $\mu$ g/mL CN<sup>-</sup>)*—Pipette 10.0 mL of stock cyanide solution (see 8.6) into a 100 mL volumetric flask containing 1 mL of 1.0 M NaOH (see 8.3). Dilute to volume with laboratory water. Store under refrigeration. The standard should be stable for at least 2 weeks.

8.7.2 *Intermediate Cyanide Solution 2 (10  $\mu$ g/mL CN<sup>-</sup>)*—Pipette 10.0 mL of Intermediate Cyanide Solution 1 (see 8.7.1) into a 100 mL volumetric flask containing 1.0 mL of 1.00 M NaOH (see 8.3). Dilute to volume with laboratory water. The standard should be stable for at least 2 weeks.

8.8 *Working Cyanide Calibration Standards*—Prepare fresh daily as described in 8.8.1 and 8.8.2 ranging in concentration from 2 to 500  $\mu$ g/L CN<sup>-</sup>.

<sup>7</sup> Commercial Solutions of Stock Cyanide may be substituted.

8.8.1 *Calibration Standards (20, 50, 100, 200, and 500 µg/L CN)*—Pipette 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500 µL of Intermediate Standard 1 (see 8.7.1) into separate 100 mL volumetric flasks containing 1.0 mL of 0.10 M NaOH (see 8.4). Dilute to volume with laboratory water.

8.8.2 *Calibration Standards (2, 5, and 10 µg/L CN)*—Pipette 20, 50, and 100 µL of Intermediate Cyanide Solution 2 (see 8.7.2) into separate 100 mL volumetric flasks containing 1.0 mL of 0.10 M NaOH (see 8.4). Dilute to volume with laboratory water.

8.9 *Cyanide Electrode Stabilization Solution (Approximately 5 ppm as CN)*—Pipette 500 µL of Stock Cyanide (see 8.6) into a 100 mL volumetric flask containing 1.0 mL of 0.10 M NaOH (see 8.4). Dilute to volume with laboratory water. The solution should be stored under refrigeration.

8.10 *Acetate Buffer*—Dissolve 410 g of sodium acetate trihydrate ( $\text{NaC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) in 500 mL of laboratory water. Add glacial acetic acid (approximately 500 mL) to yield a pH of 4.5.

8.11 *Buffer Solution A, 2M Sodium phosphate monobasic solution*—Weigh 276 g sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate ( $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) in a 1 L volumetric flask. Dissolve and dilute to volume with water.

8.12 *Buffer Solution B, 2 M Sodium phosphate dibasic solution*—Weigh 284 g sodium phosphate dibasic, anhydrous ( $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$ ) in a 1-L volumetric flask. Dissolve and dilute to volume with water. If necessary, warm to approximately 40°C on a hot plate and stir to completely dissolve the sodium phosphate dibasic into the water. Allow the solution to cool prior to use.

8.12.1 Alternatively, prepare a 1 M solution by dissolving 142 g sodium phosphate dibasic, anhydrous in 1 L.

8.13 *1 M Phosphate Buffer pH 7.0 Stock Solution*—Add 97.5 mL Buffer Solution A and 152.5 mL Buffer Solution B to a 500-mL volumetric flask. Dilute to volume with water.

8.13.1 Alternatively, substitute 305 mL of 1 M sodium phosphate dibasic for the 152.5 mL of Buffer Solution B.

8.14 *0.2 M Phosphate Buffer pH 7.0*—In a 1 L volumetric flask, add 200 mL 1 M Phosphate Buffer Solution pH 7.0 and dilute to volume with water. The pH should be  $\text{pH } 7.0 \pm 0.1$ . Verify the pH as described in Test Methods **D1293** (Test Method A) and adjust if necessary with dilute sodium hydroxide or sulfuric acid. This buffer solution is to be used in the FIA system when aquatic free cyanide is to be determined at pH 7.0.

8.15 *1 M Phosphate Buffer pH 6.0 Stock Solution*—Add 219.25 mL Buffer Solution A and 30.75 mL of Buffer Solution B to a 500 mL volumetric flask. Dilute to volume with water.

8.15.1 Alternatively, substitute 61.5 mL of 1 M sodium phosphate dibasic for the 30.75 mL of Buffer Solution B.

8.16 *0.2 M Phosphate Buffer pH 6.0*—In a 1-L volumetric flask, add 200 mL 1 M Phosphate Buffer Solution pH 6.0 and dilute to volume with water. The pH should be  $\text{pH } 6.0 \pm 0.1$ . Verify the pH as described in Test Methods **D1293** (Test Method A) and adjust if necessary with dilute sodium hydroxide or sulfuric acid. This buffer solution is to be used in the FIA

system when free cyanide or aquatic free cyanide is to be determined at pH 6.0 or if the pH of the aquatic environment has not been specified.

8.17 *1 M Phosphate Buffer pH 8.0 Stock Solution*—Add 10.0 mL Buffer Solution A and 240 mL Buffer Solution B to a 500-mL volumetric flask. Dilute to volume with water.

8.18 *0.2 M Phosphate Buffer pH 8.0*—In a 1-L volumetric flask, add 200 mL 1 M Phosphate Buffer Solution pH 8.0 and dilute to volume with water. The pH should be  $\text{pH}=8.0 \pm 0.1$ . Verify the pH as described in Test Methods **D1293** (Test Method A) and adjust if necessary with dilute sodium hydroxide or sulfuric acid. This buffer solution is to be used in the FIA system when aquatic free cyanide is to be determined at pH 8.0.

8.19 *Ag/AgCl Reference Electrode Filling Solution*—Fill the reference electrode as recommended by the instrument manufacturer.

## 9. Hazards

9.1 **Warning**—Because of the toxicity of cyanide, great care must be exercised in its handling. Acidification of cyanide solutions produces toxic hydrocyanic acid (HCN). All manipulations must be done in the hood so that any HCN gas that might escape is safely vented.

9.2 **Warning**—Many of the reagents used in these test methods are highly toxic. These reagents and their solutions must be disposed of properly.

9.3 All reagents and standards should be prepared in volumes consistent with laboratory use to minimize the generation of waste.

## 10. Sample and Sample Preservation

10.1 Collect the sample in accordance with latest version of Practice **D7365**. This practice is applicable for the collection and preservation of water samples for the analysis of cyanide. Responsibilities of field sampling personnel and the laboratory are indicated. Usually 100 mL sample volume is sufficient. Samples must be collected and stored in dark (amber or low actinic) containers to minimize reactions of ultra violet light.

10.2 The sample must be stabilized at time of collection with the addition of sodium hydroxide. Add 1 mL of 0.1 M NaOH to 100 mL of the sample or until the sample is pH 11.

10.3 See Section **11** if oxidizing agents or sulfide are suspected to be present in the sample.

10.4 Samples must be stored in dark bottles that minimize exposure to ultraviolet radiation and refrigerated.

NOTE 4—Practice **D7365** recommends refrigeration by storing the sample between its freezing point and 6°C.

10.5 Synthetic samples have been shown to be stable for at least 14 days and up to 30 days, but in actual samples the cyanide concentrations may decrease significantly prior to this holding time if there are undetectable traces of chlorine, reduced sulfur species, or hydrogen peroxide present. Analyze the sample as soon as possible to avoid degradation. Holding times can be estimated in accordance with Practice **D4841**.

## 11. Elimination of Interferences

11.1 Practice **D7365** specifies mitigation of interference procedures for testing water samples for cyanide.

11.2 *Oxidizing Agent*—Test for the presence of oxidizing agents. Add a drop of the sample to acidified KI starch test paper (acidify KI starch paper with acetate buffer, see **8.10**) as soon as the sample is collected; a blue color indicates the need for treatment. If oxidizing agents are present, add 0.1 g/L sodium arsenite to the sample to avoid degradation of cyanide.

11.3 *Sulfide*—Test for sulfide by placing a drop of sample on lead acetate paper previously moistened with acetate buffer solution (see **8.10**). If the paper turns black, sulfide is present. Add lead acetate, or if the sulfide concentration is too high, add powdered lead carbonate to avoid significantly reducing the pH. Repeat this test until a drop of treated sample no longer darkens the acidified lead acetate test paper. The supernatant containing cyanide must be filtered immediately to avoid the rapid loss of cyanide due to the formation of thiocyanate.

NOTE 5—Lead acetate test strips may not be sensitive enough to detect sulfide concentrations below approximately 50 mg/L; therefore, treatment may be performed on samples where sulfide is suspected. Interference can be confirmed by analyzing the sample with and without treatment. If the measured cyanide in the untreated sample is significantly higher, sulfide is likely present and treatment described in **11.3** should be performed to remove sulfide.

## 12. Calibration and Standardization

12.1 Turn on the power to the apparatus and the autosampler (if equipped). Start the data acquisition system.

12.2 Clamp the pump tube platens in place and start pumping reagents in the flow injection system. Allow the system to warm up at least 15 min or until a stable baseline is achieved. Take care not to over-tighten the pump tube platens as this greatly reduces the lifetime of the tubing.

12.3 If recommended by the instrument manufacturer, aspirate the Cyanide Stabilization Solution (5 ppm CN<sup>-</sup>) from **8.9**. After at least 30 s, inject the stabilization solution into the apparatus and record the amperometric response (pA value) after the cycle period has completed. Repeat this procedure until the peak responses are less than 2 % RSD. This process will ensure that the electrode system has stabilized.

12.4 After the electrode system has stabilized, aspirate the highest working standard (see **8.8**) into the flow injection apparatus. Follow the instrument manufacturer's instructions to store the retention time window for cyanide using the data acquisition software.

12.5 Select the buffer to be used for instrumental analysis of the sample, which is pH 6 for free cyanide or the closest pH to that of the receiving water for the sample for aquatic free cyanide.

12.6 Inject each working standard and a reagent blank into the apparatus and record the amperometric response with the data acquisition system. Plot the response versus the cyanide concentration with a straight line or a quadratic fit curve depending on the instrument and data acquisition system

employed. If the calibration model is polynomial, it may be no more than third order. A second order polynomial is recommended.

NOTE 6—Some regulatory agencies such as the USEPA may not allow use of a third or higher order polynomial for calibration.

12.7 Prepare a new calibration curve at least once daily.

## 13. Procedure

13.1 If samples were stored under refrigeration, allow the samples to stand at room temperature or place the aquatic free cyanide samples in a constant temperature bath (**7.3**) until a constant temperature is achieved. Record the temperature to the nearest 0.1°C.

13.2 Inject each sample into the flow injection apparatus, and inspect for irregular peak shapes, disturbances, or detector overloads.

## 14. Data Analysis and Calculations

14.1 Report the free cyanide result at pH 6. If aquatic free cyanide was determined, report the aquatic free cyanide result in µg/L at the pH of the buffer solution along with the temperature of samples and reagents. Multiply the cyanide result by any dilution factor and round the test result to three significant figures.

Examples:

$$\text{Free Cyanide} = 15.2 \mu\text{g/L CN}^- \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Aquatic Free Cyanide} = 15.2 \mu\text{g/L CN}^-, \text{pH } 6, 25.0^\circ\text{C} \quad (2)$$

14.2 Some instruments are capable of performing multiple injections in which the mean result for each sample can be reported. In this case, the mean result should be reported and denoted as such.

## 15. Precision and Bias<sup>8</sup>

15.1 This test method is based on Test Method **D6888** and is expected to have similar performance.

15.2 This test method was evaluated and validated in a single laboratory with synthetic samples, treated gold leaching effluent, and receiving water samples.<sup>9</sup> Portions of the data from this study are shown in **Tables 2-5**.

15.3 Precision and bias were determined as described in Practice **D2777**. The samples were evaluated at pH 6 at room temperature with Standard Material 990-011, which is a synthetic precious metals processing wastewater.<sup>10</sup> The sample matrix is described in **Table 6**. Based on the results of 8 operators in 8 laboratories, the overall and single operator precision and method bias data are shown in **Table 7**. The synthetic wastewater used in this study contains specific

<sup>8</sup> Supporting data have been filed at ASTM International Headquarters and may be obtained by requesting Research Report RR:D19-1193. Contact ASTM Customer Service at [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org).

<sup>9</sup> Solujic, L., and Milosavljevic, E., "Flow Injection Based Method for Determination of Aquatic Free Cyanide," prepared for Newmont Mining Corporation, Charles Bucknam, 10101 East Dry Creek Road, Englewood, CO 80513, July 18, 2003.

<sup>10</sup> Reference Material SM-990-011 is available from High Purity Standards, Charleston, SC.

**TABLE 2 Species and Concentration Dependent Cyanide Recoveries Obtained Using a pH 7 Buffer**

| SPECIES <sup>A</sup>                 | 0.500 ppm CN <sup>-</sup> level |            | 0.250 ppm CN <sup>-</sup> level |            | 0.050 ppm CN <sup>-</sup> level |            |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|
|                                      | CN <sup>-</sup> found (ppm)     | % recovery | CN <sup>-</sup> found (ppm)     | % recovery | CN <sup>-</sup> found (ppm)     | % recovery |
| [Zn(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 0.517 (0.59)                    | 103.4      | 0.241 (0.24)                    | 96.4       | 0.047 (1.2)                     | 94.0       |
| [Cd(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 0.518 (0.62)                    | 103.6      | 0.245 (0.12)                    | 98.0       | 0.050 (2.3)                     | 100.0      |
| [Hg(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 0.267 (2.7)                     | 53.4       | 0.124 (2.3)                     | 49.6       | 0.025 (0.29)                    | 50.0       |
| [Cu(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup> | 0.289 (2.9)                     | 57.8       | 0.135 (0.74)                    | 54.0       | 0.032 (0.36)                    | 64.0       |
| [Ag(CN) <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>  | 0.049 (2.0)                     | 9.8        | 0.036 (1.6)                     | 14.4       | 0.013 (0.77)                    | 26.0       |
| Hg(CN) <sub>2</sub>                  | 0.004 (8.3)                     | 0.8        | 0.003 (5.8)                     | 1.2        | 0.002 (1.4)                     | 4.0        |
| [Ni(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 0.007 (3.1)                     | 1.4        | 0.007 (2.1)                     | 2.8        | 0.004 (4.3)                     | 8.0        |
| [Au(CN) <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>  | N/D <sup>B</sup>                | 0.0        | N/D                             | 0.0        | N/D                             | 0.0        |
| [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ] <sup>4-</sup> | 0.002 (5.1)                     | 0.4        | N/D                             | 0.0        | N/D                             | 0.0        |
| [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup> | 0.005 (2.1)                     | 1.0        | 0.004 (12.5)                    | 1.6        | 0.002 (6.9)                     | 4.0        |

<sup>A</sup> RSDs (%) (n = 3) are given in parentheses.

<sup>B</sup> N/D non detect.

**TABLE 3 The Effect of the Reagent Stream pH on the Species Dependent Cyanide Recoveries from Various Metal-Cyano Complexes at 0.250 ppm (µg/mL) CN<sup>-</sup> Level**

| SPECIES <sup>A</sup>                 | 0.250 ppm CN <sup>-</sup> level |            |                             |            |                             |            |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|
|                                      | pH 6.0                          |            | pH 7.0                      |            | pH 8.0                      |            |
|                                      | CN <sup>-</sup> found (ppm)     | % recovery | CN <sup>-</sup> found (ppm) | % recovery | CN <sup>-</sup> found (ppm) | % recovery |
| [Zn(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 0.253 (0.54)                    | 101.2      | 0.251 (0.73)                | 100.4      | 0.253 (1.6)                 | 101.2      |
| [Cd(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 0.256 (1.2)                     | 102.4      | 0.245 (0.62)                | 98.0       | 0.244 (0.24)                | 97.6       |
| [Hg(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 0.125 (2.0)                     | 50.0       | 0.127 (1.2)                 | 50.8       | 0.124 (0.81)                | 49.6       |
| [Cu(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup> | 0.150 (1.2)                     | 60.0       | 0.137 (0.42)                | 54.8       | 0.122 (0.37)                | 48.8       |
| [Ag(CN) <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>  | 0.058 (1.0)                     | 23.2       | 0.035 (1.3)                 | 14.0       | 0.023 (2.5)                 | 9.2        |

<sup>A</sup> RSDs (%) (n=3) are given in parentheses.

**TABLE 4 The Effect of Temperature (t) on the Species Dependent Cyanide Recoveries from Various Metal-Cyano Complexes at 0.250 ppm (µg/mL) CN<sup>-</sup> Level**

| SPECIES                              | 0.250 ppm CN <sup>-</sup> level <sup>A</sup> |            |                             |            |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|
|                                      | t = 10 ± 0.5°C                               |            | t = 30 ± 0.5°C              |            |
|                                      | CN <sup>-</sup> found (ppm)                  | % recovery | CN <sup>-</sup> found (ppm) | % recovery |
| [Zn(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 0.236 (0.42)                                 | 94.4       | 0.236 (0.24)                | 94.4       |
| [Cd(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 0.240 (2.7)                                  | 96.0       | 0.243 (0.41)                | 97.2       |
| [Hg(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 0.121 (1.6)                                  | 48.4       | 0.125 (0.80)                | 50.0       |
| [Cu(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup> | 0.146 (1.9)                                  | 58.4       | 0.145 (0.75)                | 58.0       |
| [Ag(CN) <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>  | 0.019 (5.2)                                  | 7.60       | 0.037 (1.5)                 | 14.8       |

<sup>A</sup> RSDs (%) (n=3) are given in parentheses.

**TABLE 5 Spike Recoveries in a Precious Metals Leaching Process Sample (R: pH 6 buffer); All Concentrations are in ppm (µg/mL) CN<sup>-</sup>**

| Spike Concentration   | Spiking Species                      | CN <sup>-</sup> Found in the Spiked Sample <sup>A</sup> |              |        | RPD <sup>B</sup> (%) | Spike Recovery (%) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------|----------------------|--------------------|
|                       |                                      | Rep. 1                                                  | Rep. 2       | Mean   |                      |                    |
| 0.050 CN <sup>-</sup> | NaCN                                 | 0.048 (0.44)                                            | 0.048 (0.32) | 0.048  | 0.00                 | 96.0               |
| 0.050 CN <sup>-</sup> | [Cu(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup> | 0.041 (0.15)                                            | 0.041 (1.6)  | 0.041  | 0.00                 | 82.0               |
| 0.500 CN <sup>-</sup> | NaCN                                 | 0.498 (1.0)                                             | 0.499 (0.20) | 0.4985 | 0.20                 | 99.7               |
| 0.500 CN <sup>-</sup> | [Zn(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>2-</sup> | 0.500 (2.9)                                             | 0.479 (2.3)  | 0.4895 | 4.29                 | 97.9               |
| 0.500 CN <sup>-</sup> | [Cu(CN) <sub>4</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup> | 0.313 (0.96)                                            | 0.309 (0.64) | 0.311  | 1.29                 | 62.2               |

<sup>A</sup> RSDs (%) (n=3) are given in parentheses.

<sup>B</sup> Relative Percent Difference.

analytes that challenge this test method; however, the results of the collaborative study may not be typical of results for all matrices.

15.4 Two additional samples were tested during the interlaboratory study to evaluate precision: fortified biologically treated wastewater and chlorinated gold leaching barren effluent. Each participating laboratory analyzed both of the samples in triplicate. The precision data, calculated as described in Practice E691, are reported in Tables 8 and 9. The chlorinated sample was not stable throughout the duration of the interlabo-

ratory study; therefore, overall precision could not be determined for this particular sample.

15.5 An additional interlaboratory test was conducted to establish the interlaboratory quantitation estimate to determine if quantitative results could be obtained at 5 µg CN<sup>-</sup>/L, the guideline established by the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment for fresh water receiving waters. A semi-geometrical design was used in accordance with Practices D2777 – 09 and D6512 – 07. The matrix tested was for the gold ore processing detoxified reverse osmosis permeate waste

**TABLE 6 SM-990-011 Sample Matrix for the Initial Interlaboratory Study**

| Analyte              | Concentration, mg/L |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| Al                   | 0.2                 |
| Ca                   | 250                 |
| Mg                   | 1                   |
| Mn                   | 0.05                |
| Mo                   | 0.2                 |
| K                    | 15                  |
| Se                   | 0.04                |
| Zn                   | 0.1                 |
| NH <sub>3</sub> as N | 25                  |
| NO <sub>3</sub> as N | 25                  |
| F                    | 0.2                 |
| SO <sub>4</sub>      | 475                 |
| Cl                   | 250                 |
| SCN                  | 15                  |
| OCN                  | 25                  |

water summarized in [Table 10](#). Instruments were calibrated in the range of 0–100 µg/L CN<sup>-</sup> in an attempt to improve the lower quantitation limit of the test method in eight laboratories, one laboratory provided two independent sets of results. Results are summarized in [Table 11](#) for the precision and [Table 12](#) for the bias. Interlaboratory quantitation estimate statistics were generated using adjunct DQCAL software. The straight line model was used to develop the overall standard deviation model as follows:

$$St = 0.93 + 0.0213 \text{ Free CN}^-, \mu\text{g/L} \quad (3)$$

The software solved the limit of detection to be 5 µg/L CN<sup>-</sup> and IQE<sub>10 %</sub> to be 12 µg/L CN<sup>-</sup>.

15.6 A laboratory generated sample of gold ore processing detoxified reverse osmosis permeate waste water was also tested blind in triplicate. The precision data, calculated as described in [Practice E1601](#), are reported in [Table 13](#).

## 16. Quality Assurance and Quality Control

16.1 In order to be certain that analytical values obtained using this test method are valid and accurate within the confidence limits of the test, the following QC procedures must be followed when running the test. For a general discussion of quality control and good laboratory practices, see [Practice D5847](#) and [Guide D3856](#).

### 16.2 Calibration and Calibration Verification:

16.2.1 Analyze the calibration standards daily prior to analysis to calibrate the instrument as described in [Section 12](#).

16.2.2 Verify instrument calibration for each analytical batch of 10 samples by analyzing a mid-point standard. The recovery should be 90 to 110 % or else corrective actions should be taken.

NOTE 7—USEPA typically defines a batch as 20 unless the methodology requires more frequent QC.

### 16.3 Initial Demonstration of Laboratory Capability:

16.3.1 If a laboratory has not performed the test before or if there has been a major change in the measurement system, for example, new analyst, new instrument, etc., a precision and bias study must be performed to demonstrate laboratory capability.

16.3.2 Analyze seven replicates of an independent reference solution containing 130 µg/L aquatic free cyanide as CN<sup>-</sup>. The matrix of the solution should be equivalent to the solution used in the collaborative study. Each replicate must be taken through the complete analytical procedure. The replicates may be interspersed with samples.

16.3.3 Calculate the mean and standard deviation of the seven values. The mean should range from 101 to 167 and the standard deviation should be less than 9.8 µg/L CN<sup>-</sup>, otherwise the study should be repeated until these criteria are met. If a concentration other than the recommended concentration is used, refer to [Practice D5847](#) for information on applying the *F* test and *t* test in evaluating the acceptability of the mean and standard deviation.

### 16.4 Laboratory Control Samples:

16.4.1 To ensure that the test method is in control and to verify the quantitative value produced by the test method, analyze a laboratory control sample (LCS) with each batch of samples. It is preferred to use an independent reference material (IRM) within the concentration range of this test method. The observed test result must fall within the control limits specified by the outside source or as derived from [Practice D5847](#).

### 16.5 Method Blank:

16.5.1 Analyze a method blank with each batch of samples. A laboratory method blank can be prepared by adding 1.0 mL of 0.10 M NaOH (see [8.4](#)) into a 100 mL volumetric flask and diluting to volume with laboratory water.

16.5.2 The measured concentration of cyanide must be less than 2 µg/L. If the concentration is found above this level, analysis of samples is halted until the contamination is eliminated and a blank shows no contamination at or above this level, or the results should be qualified with an indication that they do not fall within the performance of the test method.

### 16.6 Matrix Spike (MS):

16.6.1 A matrix spike is not appropriate for some samples, since the addition of free cyanide may shift the equilibrium and result in an unexpected recovery.

### 16.7 Duplicate:

16.7.1 To check the precision of sample analyses, analyze a sample in duplicate with each batch. If the concentration is less than five times the detection limit, an MS duplicate (MSD) should be used.

16.7.2 Calculate the standard deviation of the duplicate values and compare to the single operator precision from the collaborative study using an *F* test. Refer to 6.5.5 of [Practice D5847](#) for information on applying the *F* test.

16.7.3 If the result exceeds the precision limit, the batch must be reanalyzed or the results must be qualified with an indication that they do not fall within the performance criteria of the test method.

16.8 The analyst is permitted certain options to improve the performance of this test method, provided that all performance specifications are met. These options include sample pretreatment to remove interferences. Any time such modifications are made, the Initial Demonstration of Proficiency must be successfully repeated.

**TABLE 7 Precision and Bias for Free Cyanide**

| Synthetic Precious Metals Wastewater- Final Statistical Summary |               |          |          |               |          |               |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|---------------|--|
| Sample Number                                                   | Youden Pair 1 |          |          | Youden Pair 2 |          | Youden Pair 3 |  |
|                                                                 | Sample 5      | Sample 6 | Sample 4 | Sample 7      | Sample 2 | Sample 3      |  |
| Number of retained values                                       | 8             | 8        | 8        | 8             | 8        | 8             |  |
| True Concentration (C) ug/L                                     | 6.00          | 5.00     | 130      | 110           | 400      | 350           |  |
| Mean Recovery (XBAR) ug/L                                       | 7.00          | 5.66     | 134      | 116           | 409      | 352           |  |
| Percent Recovery                                                | 117           | 113      | 103      | 105           | 102      | 101           |  |
| Overall standard deviation ( $S_T$ )                            | 2.19          | 1.21     | 10.1     | 7.79          | 54.3     | 42.4          |  |
| Overall relative standard deviation, %                          | 31.3          | 21.4     | 7.54     | 6.72          | 13.3     | 12.0          |  |
| Number of retained pairs                                        |               | 8        |          | 8             |          | 8             |  |
| Single operator standard deviation ( $S_o$ )                    |               | 0.75     |          | 3.73          |          | 13.8          |  |
| Analyst relative standard deviation, %                          |               | 11.8     |          | 2.92          |          | 3.55          |  |

**TABLE 8 Biologically Treated Wastewater, Final Statistical Summary**

| Biologically Treated Wastewater, Sample 8             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |                |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|
| Single-operator                                       | Lab 1 | Lab 2 | Lab 3 | Lab 4 | Lab 5 | Lab 6 | Lab 7 | Lab 8 | Pooled Overall |
| Variance                                              | 0.04  | 0.58  | 1.05  | 7.23  | 2.17  | 30.5  | 4.64  | 0.02  | 5.78           |
| Mean                                                  | 65.3  | 58.5  | 55.4  | 69.8  | 76.7  | 61.6  | 55.2  | 71.1  | 64.2           |
| Standard deviation ( $S_r$ or $S_o$ ) <sup>A</sup>    | 0.21  | 0.76  | 1.03  | 2.69  | 1.47  | 5.52  | 2.15  | 0.15  | 2.40           |
| RSD, %                                                | 0.32  | 1.31  | 1.85  | 3.85  | 1.92  | 8.95  | 3.90  | 0.22  | 3.74           |
| Interlaboratory Variance                              | 62.0  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |                |
| Std Dev of Mean                                       | 7.87  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |                |
| Reproducibility SD (SR) or Total SD (ST) <sup>B</sup> | 8.11  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |                |

<sup>A</sup>Sr in Practice E691 and ST in Practice D2777.

<sup>B</sup>SR in Practice E691 and ST in Practice D2777.

The sample was fortified with KCN prior to the study to determine precision in the sample matrix.

**TABLE 9 Chlorinated Gold Leaching Barren Effluent, Final Statistical Summary**

| Chlorinated Gold Barren Effluent, Sample 9 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |  |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Single-operator                            | Lab 1 | Lab 2 | Lab 3 | Lab 4 | Lab 5 | Lab 6 | Lab 7 | Lab 8 |  |
| Variance                                   | 0.49  | 0.01  | 0.09  | 0.00  | 2.11  | 0.56  | 0.01  | 0.04  |  |
| Mean                                       | 16.2  | 0.83  | 10.3  | 17.7  | 20.5  | 7.44  | 6.90  | 19.6  |  |
| Standard deviation                         | 0.70  | 0.08  | 0.30  | 0.06  | 1.45  | 0.75  | 0.07  | 0.19  |  |
| RSD, %                                     | 4.33  | 10.00 | 2.91  | 0.33  | 7.09  | 10.1  | 1.03  | 0.98  |  |

Cyanide concentration was not stable in the chlorinated sample; therefore, overall and interlaboratory precision could not be determined.

## 17. Keywords

17.1 amperometry; aquatic free cyanide; diffusible cyanide; flow injection analysis; free cyanide; gas diffusion separation



**TABLE 10 Sample Matrix for Second Interlaboratory Study**

| Analyte              | Concentration, mg/L |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| Al                   | 0.05                |
| Ca                   | 175                 |
| Mg                   | 1                   |
| K                    | 10                  |
| Se                   | 0.025               |
| NH <sup>3</sup> as N | 20                  |
| NO <sup>3</sup> as N | 25                  |
| F                    | 0.02                |
| Cl                   | 175                 |
| SCN                  | 1                   |
| OCN                  | 5                   |

**TABLE 11 Interlaboratory Precision For Free Cyanide**

| Lab Standard | Lab 7<br>Free CN <sup>-</sup><br>µg/L | Lab 4<br>Free CN <sup>-</sup><br>µg/L | Lab 3<br>Free CN <sup>-</sup><br>µg/L | Lab 2<br>Free CN <sup>-</sup><br>µg/L | Lab 5<br>Free CN <sup>-</sup><br>µg/L | Lab 8<br>Free CN <sup>-</sup><br>µg/L | Lab 10<br>Free CN <sup>-</sup><br>µg/L | Lab 6<br>Free CN <sup>-</sup> µg/L | Mean<br>Free CN <sup>-</sup> µg/L | S <sub>T</sub><br>Free CN <sup>-</sup> µg/L |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1            | 0.58                                  | 0.67                                  | 1.35                                  | -1.08                                 | 0.80                                  | 0.53                                  | 0.25                                   | 0.32                               | 0.43                              | 0.70                                        |
| 2            | 0.10                                  | 2.74                                  | 2.05                                  | 1.05                                  | 2.91                                  | 3.51                                  | 0.79                                   | 2.23                               | 1.92                              | 1.18                                        |
| 3            | 3.88                                  | 4.79                                  | 4.40                                  | 3.76                                  | 4.17                                  | 4.38                                  | 4.25                                   | 3.68                               | 4.16                              | 0.37                                        |
| 4            | 7.91                                  | 9.68                                  | 9.09                                  | 6.69                                  | 8.44                                  | 7.34                                  | 9.65                                   | 8.29                               | 8.39                              | 1.07                                        |
| 5            | 16.93                                 | 18.27                                 | 17.93                                 | 18.93                                 | 18.72                                 | 15.64                                 | 18.82                                  | 17.88                              | 17.9                              | 1.12                                        |
| 6            | 33.44                                 | 34.81                                 | 34.77                                 | 39.64                                 | 38.71                                 | 32.27                                 | 36.02                                  | 37.82                              | 35.9                              | 2.60                                        |
| 7            | 69.39                                 | 68.44                                 | 68.89                                 | 70.50                                 | 73.62                                 | 67.09                                 | 70.43                                  | 71.08                              | 69.9                              | 1.97                                        |

**TABLE 12 Interlaboratory Bias For Free Cyanide**

| Standard | Mean<br>CN <sup>-</sup> , µg/L | Certified<br>CN <sup>-</sup> , µg/L | Bias<br>% |
|----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| 2        | 1.83                           | 2.25                                | -14.6     |
| 3        | 4.11                           | 4.5                                 | -7.48     |
| 4        | 8.34                           | 9                                   | -6.80     |
| 5        | 17.8                           | 18                                  | -0.61     |
| 6        | 36.1                           | 36                                  | -0.18     |
| 7        | 70.2                           | 72                                  | -2.87     |

**TABLE 13 Laboratory Gold Ore Processing Detoxified Reverse Osmosis Permeate, Final Statistical Summary**

| Solutions   | Description                                                                           | Labs           | Mean | Min SD | S <sub>R</sub> | R   | % R |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------|--------|----------------|-----|-----|
| 3, 6, and 7 | Laboratory gold processing detoxified reverse osmosis permeate CN <sup>-</sup> , µg/L | 6 <sup>A</sup> | 36.3 | 1.3    | 3.1            | 8.6 | 24  |

<sup>A</sup> One lab produced two independent sets of data.

## APPENDIX

### (Nonmandatory Information)

#### X1. ION CHROMATOGRAPHY COMPARATIVE RESULTS

**X1.1 Comparative Results**—One participating laboratory provided data using a different measurement technique, ion chromatography during the interlaboratory quantitation estimate testing. Results from the interlaboratory mean were less than the reproducibility index, R. Bias results were consistent with interlaboratory study results as shown in [Table X1.1](#). The reproducibility index, R, was estimated by multiplying the interlaboratory standard deviation from the linear model for the standards by 2.6, consistent with Practice [E1601](#), which was used for the samples to determine R.

**X1.2 Specificity**—No significant interferences in the elution zone of cyanide. Peak reported in the blank is less than LOD.

**X1.3 Linearity**— $r^2 = 0.994$  over a range of 1 µg/L to 100 µg/L.


**X1.4 Noise**—20–50 P.c.

**X1.5 LOD** – 0.5 ppb.

**X1.6 LOQ** – 1.8 ppb.

**TABLE X1.1 Single Laboratory Comparative Results for Ion Chromatography**

| Description | Certified | Mean | IC Result | Difference from Mean | Reproducibility Index, R | % Bias |
|-------------|-----------|------|-----------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------|
| Standard 2  | 2.25      | 1.83 | 1.10      | -0.73                | 2.5                      | -39.9  |
| Standard 3  | 4.50      | 4.11 | 3.66      | -0.45                | 2.7                      | -10.9  |
| Standard 4  | 9.00      | 8.34 | 7.99      | -0.35                | 2.9                      | -4.2   |
| Standard 5  | 18.0      | 17.8 | 17.2      | -0.65                | 3.4                      | -3.4   |
| Standard 6  | 36.0      | 36.1 | 37.5      | 1.40                 | 4.4                      | 3.9    |
| Standard 7  | 72.0      | 70.2 | 72.5      | 2.30                 | 6.4                      | 3.3    |
| Standard 1  |           | 0.41 | 0.30      | -0.11                | 2.4                      |        |
| Sample 3    |           | 37.0 | 42.1      | 5.10                 | 8.6                      |        |
| Sample 6    |           | 36.5 | 41.8      | 5.30                 | 8.6                      |        |
| Sample 7    |           | 37.1 | 39.0      | 1.90                 | 8.6                      |        |



**FIG. X1.1 Example Chromatogram 5 µg/L CN- Standard**

**X1.7 Matrix Spike Recovery**—Spiked 10 ppb cyanide in ‘Lab 09 Solution 04’ which was found to contain approximately 3.6 ppb CN. Percent recovery was found to be 89.9 % (ASTM specification: 79–121 %).

**X1.8 Calibration Verification**—The recovery of the 50.3 µg/L calibration verification standard was found to be 101.5 %

which is within the ASTM specification of 90–110 %.

**X1.9** The recovery of 50.15 µg/L CN prepared from an alternate source of NaCN was found to be 108.8 %.

**X1.10** The RSD for the peak area response of the 50.3 µg/L standard injected four times throughout the sequence is 0.77 %.

### SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Committee D19 has identified the location of selected changes to this standard since the last issue (D7237 – 15) that may impact the use of this standard. (Approved June 1, 2015.)

(1) Research report information was added to Section 15.

Committee D19 has identified the location of selected changes to this standard since the last issue (D7237 – 10) that may impact the use of this standard. (Approved Feb. 1, 2015.)

- (1) The scope was modified to include treated water mixed with receiving water, the limit of detection was updated and the interlaboratory quantitation limit was added.
- (2) Sample preservation was updated to require use of dark bottles and refrigeration.
- (3) Reagent preparation was updated to use an improved buffer preparation procedure.
- (4) The calibration section was updated to clarify buffer selection.

- (5) The precision and bias section was updated with the results from the interlaboratory quantitation estimate study.
- (6) An appendix was added with the ion chromatography results from the IQE study.
- (7) The matrix spike requirement was eliminated.
- (8) Aquatic free cyanide was added to the title.

*ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org) (e-mail); or through the ASTM website ([www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org)). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; <http://www.copyright.com/>*