



# Standard Guide for Risk Characterization of Acute and Irritant Effects of Short-Term Exposure to Volatile Organic Chemicals Emitted from Bedding Sets<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D6485; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This guide provides guidance to individuals and organizations for conducting risk characterization of exposure to volatile organic chemicals (VOCs) emitted from bedding sets or an ensemble of a mattress and supporting box spring.

1.2 This guide is for risk characterization of short-term exposures to a new bedding set brought into a residential indoor environment. The risk characterization considerations presented in this guide are applicable to both the general population and sensitive subgroups, such as convalescing adults.

1.3 The risk characterization addressed in this guide is limited to acute health and irritation effects resulting from short-term exposure to VOCs in indoor air. Although certain procedures described in this guide may be applicable to assessing long-term exposure, the guide does not address cancer and other chronic health effects.

1.4 VOC emissions from bedding sets, as in the case of other household furnishings, usually are highest when the products are new. A used bedding set may also emit VOCs, either from the original materials or as a result of its use. The procedures presented in this guide also are applicable to used bedding sets.

1.5 Risk characterization procedures described in this guide should be carried out under the supervision of a qualified toxicologist or risk assessment specialist, or both.

1.6 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.7 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to its use.*

<sup>1</sup> This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D22 on Air Quality and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D22.05 on Indoor Air.

Current edition approved March 1, 2011. Published March 2011. Originally approved in 1999. Last previous edition approved in 2004 as D6485 - 99(2004). DOI: 10.1520/D6485-11.

## 2. Referenced Documents

### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

D1356 Terminology Relating to Sampling and Analysis of Atmospheres

D6177 Practice for Determining Emission Profiles of Volatile Organic Chemicals Emitted from Bedding Sets

D6178 Practice for Estimation of Short-term Inhalation Exposure to Volatile Organic Chemicals Emitted from Bedding Sets

E609 Terminology Relating to Pesticides

E943 Terminology Relating to Biological Effects and Environmental Fate

E1542 Terminology Relating to Occupational Health and Safety

### 2.2 Government Standards:<sup>3</sup>

EPA 600/R 92/047 Reference Guide to Odor Thresholds for Hazardous Air Pollution in the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990

16 CFR 1500 Federal Hazardous Substances Act Regulations

29 CFR 1910 Safety and Health Standards for General Industry

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For definitions of terms used in this guide, refer to Terminology D1356.

### 3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *acute exposure guideline levels (AEGs), n*—represent short-term threshold or ceiling exposure values intended for the protection of the general public, including susceptible or sensitive individuals, but not hypersusceptible or hypersensitive individuals (**1**).<sup>4</sup>

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

<sup>3</sup> Available from U.S. Government Printing Office Superintendent of Documents, 732 N. Capitol St., NW, Mail Stop: SDE, Washington, DC 20401, http://www.access.gpo.gov.

<sup>4</sup> The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this standard.

3.2.1.1 *Discussion*—AEGLs are for once-in-a-lifetime exposure due to accidental releases. Three AEGLs, each representing distinct biological endpoints (sensory irritation or notable discomfort, irreversible or serious effect, and life-threatening effects or death) for four different exposure periods ranging from 30 min to 8 h, are derived.

3.2.2 *bedding set, n*—an ensemble that includes a mattress for sleeping and a supporting box spring.

3.2.3 *ceiling, n*—a maximum allowable air concentration, established by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), that must not be exceeded during any part of the workday.

3.2.4 *emission profile, n*—a time-series of emission rates for one or more chemicals.

3.2.5 *hazard index (HI), n*—a summation of hazard quotients (see 3.2.6) for chemicals potentially having similar target organ effects or for chemicals that are considered to have additive effects.

3.2.6 *hazard quotient (HQ), n*—the ratio of the exposure calculated for a chemical to the toxicity/irritancy threshold or reference value for that chemical (2).

3.2.6.1 *Discussion*—If a HQ exceeds a value of 1, there would be a concern for potential toxic/irritant effects. A HQ is not to be interpreted as a statistical probability, for example, a ratio of 0.001 does not mean that there is a one in a thousand chance of an effect occurring.

3.2.7 *inhalation reference concentration (RfC), n*—an estimate (with uncertainty spanning an order of magnitude) of the daily exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects (2).

3.2.7.1 *Discussion*—The time period under consideration is up to and including seven years, or a portion of a lifetime, for subchronic RfC and a lifetime for chronic RfC. In accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (3), the uncertainty in the estimates for RfC spans an order of magnitude.

3.2.8 *lethal concentration 50 (LC<sub>50</sub>), n*—a calculated air concentration of a substance for which inhalation is expected to cause the death of 50 % of an experimental animal population (2).

3.2.9 *lethal concentration low (LCL<sub>o</sub>), n*—the lowest air concentration of a substance introduced by the inhalation route over any period of time that is reported to have caused death in humans or animals (2).

3.2.10 *lowest-observed-adverse effect level (LOAEL), n*—the lowest exposure at which there is a significant increase in an observable effect.

3.2.11 *minimal risk level (MRL), n*—an estimate of the daily human exposure to a hazardous substance that is likely to be without appreciable risk of adverse noncancer health effects over a specified duration of exposure.

3.2.11.1 *Discussion*—MRLs are developed by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). They are intended to serve as a screening tool to help public health professionals and are derived for acute (1 to 14 days),

intermediate (14 to 364 days), and chronic (365 days or longer) exposure durations and for oral and inhalation routes of exposure (4, 5).

3.2.12 *no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL), n*—the highest concentration among all the available experimental studies at which no adverse health or toxic effect is observed (2).

3.2.13 *permissible exposure limit (PEL), n*—the OSHA-mandated time-weighted-average concentration of a chemical in air that must not be exceeded during any 8-h work shift or 40-h work-week (2).

3.2.14 *potential inhaled dose, n*—the estimated dose of an airborne chemical that an individual is likely to have inhaled within a specified period of time. It is calculated as the product of air concentration to which an individual is exposed times breathing rate times duration of exposure.

3.2.14.1 *Discussion*—The potential inhaled dose can be different from the dose actually absorbed by a target organ.

3.2.15 *short-term exposure, n*—an exposure of one week or less in duration.

3.2.16 *short-term exposure limit (STEL), n*—an American Conference of Governmental and Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH)-recommended 15-min time-weighted-average air concentration of a chemical that should not be exceeded at any time during a workday, even if the 8-h time-weighted-average level is within the threshold limit value (TLV) (2).

3.2.17 *threshold limit value (TLV), n*—established by ACGIH as the recommended time-weighted-average air concentration of a chemical for a normal 8-h workday and a 40-h work week, to which nearly all workers may be repeatedly exposed without adverse effects (2).

3.2.18 *toxic concentration low (TCL<sub>o</sub>), n*—the lowest air concentration of a substance introduced by the inhalation route over any period of time that is reported to have produced any significant toxic effects in animals or humans (2).

3.2.19 *uncertainty factor, n*—a number, greater than unity, to account for incomplete understanding of errors encountered in extrapolating exposure or health effects derived for one set of conditions or basis to another.

3.2.19.1 *Discussion*—An uncertainty or *safety factor* is used to account for differences in toxicological effects within a species or between two species. For example, a factor of 10 or 100 is used to apply TLVs applicable to workers to a general population.

## 4. Summary of Guide

4.1 This guide presents guidance on conducting risk characterization of short-term exposures to volatile organic chemicals emitted from new bedding sets in a residential environment. The risk characterization discussed in this guide is limited to acute health and irritant effects of the short-term exposures.

4.2 Four major steps in risk assessment include hazard identification, evaluation of health effects data (including dose-response assessment), exposure assessment, and risk characterization (6, 7). This guide addresses hazard

assessment, evaluation of health effects data, and risk characterization. Companion documents (see Practices [D6177](#) and [D6178](#)) provide procedures for estimation of human exposure to emissions of VOCs from bedding sets when a new bedding set is first brought into a house.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 The objective of this guide is to describe procedures and data sources for conducting risk characterization of acute inhalation exposure to chemicals emitted from bedding sets. Risk characterization can be used to identify chemical(s) that pose potentially significant human health risks for the scenario(s) and population(s) selected for exposure assessment. Such identification of chemicals can help in identifying the components or materials used in manufacture of bedding sets that should be further examined. Risk characterization also includes an assessment of potential odor problems for any individual chemical emitted by the bedding set.

## 6. Exposure and Effects

6.1 *Concepts of Exposure and Dose*—In very basic terms, exposure is defined as human contact with a chemical or physical agent (see Terminology [E943](#)). Exposure by means of the inhalation route is of interest in this document: It can be expressed as the product of airborne concentration times duration of exposure, provided that the concentration remains constant during the time period of interest. If the concentration varies over time, then exposure is defined as the area under the curve obtained when concentration values are plotted against time. Exposure is expressed as concentration multiplied by time with resultant units such as ppm-h or mg/m<sup>3</sup>-h. Dose is the quantity of chemical or physical agent that enters an organism or target organ (see Terminology [E609](#)), with units such as mg. Dose also can be expressed as rate, with mass/time units such as mg/day. The dose rate can be normalized in relation to body mass, with units such as mg/kg-day. A specific term that is used in risk characterization is potential inhaled dose—the product of average concentration in an environment times the duration in the environment times the average breathing rate while in the environment, commonly expressed in mass units such as milligrams. Chronic exposure generally refers to a long-term perspective, such as repeated exposures or exposures throughout an individual's lifetime, whereas acute exposure refers to a short-term perspective such as one week, one hour, or even an instantaneous exposure.

6.2 *Chronic Toxic Effects*—In the United States and in many other countries, two forms of health effects assessment are used, depending on the nature of the toxic effect under consideration: one is used for cancer and the other for toxic effects other than cancer ([7](#)). This is primarily because for cancer (a chronic toxic effect), a threshold for dose-response relationship does not exist, or if one does exist, it is very low and cannot be reliably identified. During the 1970s and 1980s, the emphasis of risk assessment was on cancer as the end point. On the other hand, for toxic effects other than cancer, a standard procedure used for evaluating health effects involves identifying the highest exposure among all experimental studies at which no toxic effect was observed, that is, the NOAEL.

Much of the emphasis related to noncancer effects has been on chronic effects ([7](#)). In recent years, however, researchers such as Berglund et al. ([8](#)) have been giving increased attention to acute effects by categorizing the effects of indoor air pollutants on human health into groups such as reversible effects including general symptoms such as headache, inflammatory irritation such as rashes, and perceptions including odors.

6.3 *Acute Effects*—The scope of this guide relates to effects of short-term exposure to airborne chemicals in indoor spaces. Specific guidelines available for considering acute effects of exposure to chemicals in air are quite limited. MRLs are derived for acute exposure of 1 to 14 days ([4](#), [5](#)). Other guidelines, such as AEGs, being developed by the National Advisory Committee Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances (NAC/AEGL Committee) are applicable only for one-time, short-term hazardous exposures during chemical emergency situations ([1](#)). EPA's non-chronic RFCs are not for acute exposure but for subchronic exposures of less than seven years ([3](#)).

## 7. Procedures for Hazard Identification

### 7.1 Identification of Chemicals:

7.1.1 Compile a list of target chemicals that are identified through screening tests of emissions. Target chemicals are to be selected by a qualified toxicologist or a risk assessment specialist based on their presence in the screening samples and their expected irritant or health effects. Information on procedures for emissions testing, including screening samples, is given in Practice [D6177](#). A list of target chemicals included in the prior research on bedding sets is given in [Table X1.1](#) ([2](#)).

7.1.2 All target chemicals for which emissions data have been collected are of interest, even those whose measured air concentrations are below their respective detection limits.

NOTE 1—In prior research, risk characterization of exposure to chemicals with concentrations below their detection limits was conducted by assuming that the respective air concentrations were one half of the detection limit ([2](#)).

7.2 *Compilation of Inhalation Toxicity and Odor Thresholds*—Using data sources listed in [7.3](#), collect and compile the following information for each chemical:

7.2.1 Exposure levels reported to produce adverse health effects in humans,

7.2.2 Human exposure limits specified in regulatory standards and guidelines,

7.2.3 Toxicological values for experimental mammals, and

7.2.4 Human odor threshold values.

7.3 *Data Sources for Health Effect, Toxicity, and Odor Threshold Information:*

7.3.1 The major and primary source of published toxicity information is the National Library of Medicine's (NLM) TOXNET system. There are a number of other sources of compiled information, some of which are updated periodically. These databases and sources are summarized below in [7.3.2](#) through [7.3.4](#).

7.3.2 *TOXNET System*—The TOXNET system, easily accessible from NLM, consists of several cross-referenced individual databases of information that are periodically extracted from the toxicology literature.

7.3.2.1 *Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB)*, a database that contains toxicology information on more than 4500 potentially hazardous chemicals. Each record includes excerpted toxicology information on human exposure, detection methods, odor thresholds, and regulatory information. Information included in the HSDB is peer reviewed by expert toxicologists.

7.3.2.2 *Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)*—Toxicological profiles for hazardous substances provide an examination, summary, and interpretation of available toxicological information and epidemiologic evaluations of hazardous substances.

7.3.2.3 *Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances (RTECS)*, maintained by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, contains acute and chronic toxic-effects data on more than 111 000 chemicals.

7.3.2.4 *Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)*, a database created by EPA, contains EPA's official repository of agency-wide consensus information on potential adverse human health effects that may result from chronic or lifetime exposure to environmental contaminants. It includes information on carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic risk assessment values for oral and inhalation routes of exposure; unit-risk values for carcinogenic substances and reference doses for noncarcinogenic substances are given. In 1998, IRIS contained information on health effects of 500 specific chemical substances.

### 7.3.3 *Other Major Sources of Health Effect Information:*

7.3.3.1 *Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST)*, a comprehensive listing of provisional risk assessment information concerning oral and inhalation routes of exposure for chemicals of interest to EPA. HEAST is updated annually if sufficient new data exist. Although the provisional values in HEAST have undergone review and have the concurrence of individual EPA Program Offices, and each is supported by an EPA reference, they have not had sufficiently thorough review to be recognized as high quality, EPA-wide consensus information.

7.3.3.2 For potentially relevant information on current labeling requirements for various types of acceptable levels of regulated chemicals in certain types of consumer products, see 16 CFR 1500.

7.3.3.3 Check 29 CFR 1910 to obtain recent regulatory standards that may not have been reported in the HSDB.

7.3.3.4 *Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents and Biological Exposure Values*, a report by the ACGIH, gives 8-h time-weighted-average occupational TLVs and 15-min STELs.

7.3.3.5 To supplement published information obtained from the above sources, relevant but unpublished information submitted to EPA under statutory requirements of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) should be examined. These TSCA requirements include Section 4 Study Reports, Section 8 Master Testing List, Section 8e Notices, Section 8 FYI Submissions, and Screening Information Data Sets (SIDS).

### 7.3.4 *Major Sources of Information on Odor Thresholds:*

7.3.4.1 *American Industrial Hygiene Association's Odor Thresholds for Chemicals with Established Occupational*

*Health Standards*, a peer-reviewed document that contains odor thresholds for a wide variety of chemicals.

7.3.4.2 See EPA 600/R 92/047, a guide for odor thresholds for hazardous air pollutants.

## 8. Procedures for Compilation and Evaluation of Data

8.1 Based on the objective(s) of risk characterization, select data for evaluation. Human data for a chemical are preferred to data generated using experimental animals in determining an acceptable level of exposure to that chemical for humans. Epidemiologic studies clearly provide the most relevant kind of information for hazard identification because they involve observations of human beings, not laboratory animals. That obvious and substantial advantage is offset to various degrees by the difficulties associated with obtaining and interpreting epidemiologic information. If adequate human data are not available, data derived from one or more studies with experimental animals are commonly used. An advantage of animal studies is that they can be controlled, so establishing causation generally is not difficult (7).

8.2 Compile data for relevant parameters (such as RfC, MRL, and TLV) for each target chemical into a summary table, such as that shown in Fig. 1. The databases identified in 7.3 have reviewed a variety of health-effect studies, primarily toxicological studies. Acute toxicological end-points, such as histopathological changes in nasal cavity or eye irritation resulting from exposure of laboratory animals to airborne chemicals, are of interest. See Appendix X1 for examples of parameters, values, and comments.

8.3 Evaluate data for selected toxicity endpoints. Select relevant and conservative (that is, the smallest) toxicological values (for example, regulatory standards/guidelines available for each chemical, thresholds for eye irritation, or  $TCL_o$ ). Human data are preferred over experimental data.

8.4 Establish a hierarchy or order of priority to select end-point values based on those that are typically used by air pollution control agencies to develop ambient air pollutant concentration limits (9). One example of the order of priority (highest to lowest) is as follows: (1) established RfCs; (2) OSHA PELs and ACGIH TLVs; (3) human inhalation toxicity endpoint values— $TCL_o$ ; (4) animal inhalation toxicity endpoint values— $TCL_o$ . Because only short-term exposure is considered for risk characterization in this guide, use sub-chronic RfCs in preference to chronic RfCs. Even the sub-chronic RfCs are usually for a longer exposure period, such as 13 weeks. This type of hierarchy reflects the degree of confidence in the validity of the endpoint values obtained from the four types of data. This general hierarchy is more applicable to chronic effects; a similar hierarchy for acute effects of exposures due to accidental releases is being established (1).

8.5 A safety or uncertainty factor is considered to account for the uncertainty associated with intraspecies and interspecies extrapolation and other factors, such as the quality of data (7). Uncertainty factors are built into health-effect standards or guidelines such as RfCs. The following discussion provides some background on consideration of the uncertainty factor.

8.5.1 The magnitude of the uncertainty usually is expressed in terms of a factor such as ten to reflect the lack of confidence

Chemical Name:

CAS No:

Parameter	Value	Comments
<b>Data Reported for Humans</b>		
-----		
-----		
<b>Human Regulatory Standards/ Guidelines</b>		
-----		
-----		
<b>Data Reported for Experimental Animals</b>		
-----		
-----		

**FIG. 1 Compilation of Summaries of Health Effects Data and Standards/Guidelines for Target Chemicals**

about how a chemical may affect individuals. Sources of uncertainty include extrapolating toxicological data from controlled animal testing to estimated health effects in humans, extrapolating LOAELs to a NOAEL, and variations in individual responses. Regulatory agencies usually require uncertainty factor values of 3, 10, 100, or 1000 in different situations.

8.5.2 If the NOAEL has been derived from high-quality data in humans, then a factor less than ten may be appropriate, provided test conditions are similar to conditions under investigation. If the NOAEL is derived from less similar or less reliable studies, then a factor such as 100 or 1000 may be required (7).

8.5.3 In deriving MRLs, ATSDR generally uses an uncertainty factor of ten to account for variability in human response, but may use three or one when a large epidemiologic study or a study of sensitive population is used (10).

8.5.4 In using a TLV, which is a recommended time-weighted-average air concentration of a chemical for a normal 8-h workday and 40-h week for healthy workers, for the general population a safety factor of ten is often used. This uncertainty factor of ten generally used for human variability includes consideration of age differentials, such as the very young and the old. An additional uncertainty factor is warranted when there is evidence of extraordinary sensitivity in these age groups to a given chemical.

8.5.5 The selection and use of a safety factor should be done by a qualified toxicologist or health-effects specialist, and the scientific rationale for the selected uncertainty factor must be documented.

8.6 Present results of data evaluation in terms of toxicological end-point values for use in risk assessment, using the above-selected hierarchy. An example format for displaying results is given in Fig. 2, and example values are given in Appendix X1.

8.7 *Odor Thresholds for Target Chemicals*—Establish a hierarchy or order of priority to select odor threshold end-point values. For example, the lowest acceptable odor threshold value for a given chemical in air recognized by the American Industrial Hygiene Association (11) is given higher priority than odor threshold values for that chemical extracted from the TOXNET HSDB database. Because an odor-perception level for a given chemical may have no relationship to the hazards of exposure to that chemical, safety factors for odor threshold are not necessary. Report odor threshold values in a table similar to that shown in Fig. 3 (see Appendix X1 for example values).

**9. Procedures for Health Risk Characterization**

9.1 The objective of the risk characterization is to integrate chemical-specific toxicity from the hazard assessment with estimated chemical-specific inhalation exposures derived from

Target Chemical	CAS No.	Inhalation Threshold Value	Basis for Threshold Value (Safety or Uncertainty Factor)

**FIG. 2 Compilation of Selected Threshold Values for Characterizing Risks**

Target Chemical	CAS No.	Odor Threshold		Reference
		ppm	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	

**FIG. 3 Compilation of Reported Odor Threshold Values**

the human exposure assessment (see Practice D6178). This integration can provide a quantitative evaluation of the potential risks of adverse human health impacts, if any, that may be associated with exposure to chemicals emitted from new bedding sets.

9.2 Use toxicity/irritancy levels included in Fig. 2, levels at which there is no expected appreciable risk of deleterious effects, as reference levels or benchmarks for risk characterization.

9.3 Evaluate the potential for adverse impacts of target chemicals by comparing the estimated inhalation exposure, in terms of either potential inhaled dose or maximum indoor concentration, (see Practice D6178) with reference levels. The potential for acute human health effects (toxicity and irritancy) is estimated by dividing each exposure estimate by its respective reference level. The resultant ratios are reported as HQs. The value of each HQ should be evaluated for each chemical emitted from the bedding set. An HQ value below 1 for a particular chemical implies that the chemical does not pose significant health risk for the scenario(s) and population(s) for which exposure estimates were developed. The greater the value of the HQ above 1, the greater the potential concern.

9.4 Further, consider additivity of acute health effects of different chemicals affecting the same target organ by computing a HI. Due to the general lack of information on synergistic or other interaction effects, a HI is created by just summing the HQs for appropriate chemicals. A value of 1 is used as a benchmark; the greater the value of the HI above 1, the greater the potential concern. Individual chemicals often affect more than one target organ, and thus calculation of the HI needs to be performed for each potentially affected organ.

9.5 The results of risk characterization should be discussed in light of the uncertainties associated with each step of the risk assessment process, including chamber emission testing, exposure estimation, and interspecies and intraspecies extrapolation (see 8.5). A generalized list of uncertainty issues is given by the National Research Council (7).

## 10. Procedures for Odor Characterization

10.1 The objective of odor characterization is to integrate odor-threshold information into a quantitative evaluation of odor perception problems, if any, associated with exposure to chemicals emitted from new bedding sets.

10.2 Use odor threshold levels included in Fig. 3, at which no odor is detected, as reference levels.

10.3 Evaluate the odor potential by comparing the indoor concentrations (see Practice D6178) with reference levels. The odor detection problem is estimated by dividing each estimated concentration by its respective odor threshold.

10.4 Odor thresholds also can be considered as additive if individual chemicals are related in terms of their olfactory receptors, for example, belonging to the same homologous series (12).

## 11. Report

11.1 The report on risk characterization of short-term inhalation exposure to VOCs should contain the following component.

11.2 *Hazard Identification and Evaluation of Health Effects Data*—Provide a list of chemicals of potential concern. For each chemical, include a compilation of toxicity, irritancy and odor threshold data, and data sources used in compiling reference values. Provide information and rationale for the hierarchy of toxicological end-points established for each chemical. Summarize the selected threshold values for characterizing risks.

11.3 *Summary of Exposure Assessment*—Describe the methodology and assumptions used in conducting exposure assessment. Include brief descriptions of exposure scenarios that were used. Present results of the exposure assessment.

11.4 *Risk Characterization*—Describe the methodology and assumptions used in risk characterization. Describe results of the risk characterization, including HQs and the values used to calculate these ratios, and discuss results with respect to associated uncertainties. Present considerations related to additivity of effects and values of HIs as appropriate. State conclusions and summarize limitations of the data and methodology utilized in the risk characterization.

## 12. Keywords

12.1 air concentration; emissions; exposure assessment; hazard assessment; hazard index; hazard quotient; health effect; inhalation; irritant effect; odor characterization; odor threshold; risk characterization; toxicity

**APPENDIX**
**(Nonmandatory Information)**
**X1. EXAMPLE SAMPLES**

X1.1 See [Tables X1.1-X1.4](#).

**TABLE X1.1 Target List of Volatile Organic Chemicals Potentially Emitted from Bedding Sets<sup>A</sup>**

Chemical	CAS No.
<b>HALOGENATED HYDROCARBONS:</b>	
Vinylidene chloride	75-35-4
Dichloromethane	75-09-2
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6
<b>OXYGENATED HYDROCARBONS:</b>	
Acetone	67-64-1
2-Methyl-2-propanol	75-65-0
n-Pentanal	110-62-3
n-Hexanal	66-25-1
n-Heptanal	111-71-7
n-Nonanal	124-19-6
Formaldehyde	50-00-0
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0
n-Propanal	123-38-6
Crotonaldehyde	4170-30-3
n-Butanone	78-93-3
n-Butanal	123-72-8
Benzaldehyde	100-52-7
Tolualdehyde	620-23-5
<b>AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS:</b>	
Benzene	71-43-2
Toluene	108-88-3
Ethylbenzene	100-42-5
o,m,p-Xylene	1330-20-7
Styrene	100-42-5
<b>TERPENES</b>	
Camphene	79-92-5
alpha-Pinene	80-56-8
alpha-Terpinene	8013-00-1
Limonene	7705-14-8
<b>ALIPHATIC HYDROCARBONS</b>	
n-Pentane	109-66-0
n-Hexane	110-54-3
n-Octane	111-65-9
n-Decane	124-18-5
n-Dodecane	112-40-3
n-Tetradecane	629-59-4

<sup>A</sup>See Ref. (2).

**TABLE X1.2 Example of Compilation of Summaries of Effects Data and Standards/Guidelines for Target Chemicals<sup>A</sup>**

NOTE 1—Chemical Name: acetaldehyde  
CASRN: 75-07-0

Parameter	Value, mg/m <sup>3</sup> (ppm)	Notes on Duration of Exposure, Species/Organs and Effects
Data reported for humans		
Inhalation LCL <sub>o</sub>	241 (134)	30 min; lungs, thorax
Eye irritation	90 (50)	15 min; irritant
Odor threshold	0.12 (0.067)	
Human regulatory standards/guidelines		
EPA IRIS chronic inhalation RfC	0.009 (0.005)	
OSHA 8-h PEL	360 (200)	
ACGIH 8-h TLV	45 (25)	
NIOSH IDLH	3.6 g/m <sup>3</sup> (2000)	
Data reported for experimental animals		
Inhalation LC <sub>50</sub>	24 000 (13 300)	4 h; rat: sense organs and special senses, behavioral, lungs, thorax
	30 600 (17 000)	4 h; hamster
Inhalation TCL <sub>o</sub>	1 320 (735)	6 h/day, 2 years; rat: sense organs and special senses
	3 670 (2040)	7 h/day, 52 weeks; hamster: sense organs and special senses, lung tumors
	3 990 (2 217)	6 h/day, 4 weeks; rat: sense organs and special senses, kidney, ureter, bladder
	8 370 (4650)	6 h/day, 90 days; hamster: lungs, thorax kidney, ureter, bladder
Eye irritation	40 (22.2)	Rabbit; severe irritant

<sup>A</sup>See Refs. (2, 13, 14, 15, 16).

**TABLE X1.3 Example of Selected Threshold Values for Characterizing Risks**

Target Chemical	CAS No.	Threshold Value		Basis for Threshold Value (Safety or Uncertainty Factor)
		Inhalation	Eye	
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	0.009 mg/m <sup>3</sup>		IRIS inhalation RfC <sup>A</sup>
		(0.005 ppm)	9 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	

<sup>A</sup>No safety factor required since safety factors were taken into consideration in establishing RfCs.

<sup>B</sup>Ten-fold safety factor for expected normal variations in susceptibility of humans in the general population.

**TABLE X1.4 Example of Reported Odor Threshold Values<sup>A</sup>**

Target Chemical	CAS No.	Odor Threshold	
		ppm	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	0.067	0.12

<sup>A</sup>See Ref. (11).

## REFERENCES

- (1) "National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guidelines Levels for Hazardous Substances," *Federal Register*, 62 (210), 58839-58851, October 30, 1997.
- (2) Versar, Inc., "Assessment of Potential Health Risks Resulting from Chemical Emissions from New Bedding Sets," Versar Project No. 2797, Final report prepared for Sleep Products Safety Council, Versar, Inc. Springfield, VA, 1995.
- (3) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables*, EPA 540/R-94/020, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington, D.C., 1994.
- (4) Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, "Minimal Risk Levels for Hazardous Substances," Atlanta, GA, December 2009.
- (5) Chou, S.J., Holler, J. and De Rosa, C. T. "Minimum Risk Levels (MRLs) for Hazardous Substances," *J. Clean Technol., Environ. Toxicol., & Occup. Med.*, Vol 7 (1), 1998, pp. 1-24.
- (6) National Research Council, *Risk Assessment in Federal Government*, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., 1983.
- (7) National Research Council, *Science and Judgement in Risk Assessment*, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., 1994.
- (8) Berglund, B., Brunekreef, B., Knoppel, H., Lindvall, T., Maroni, M., Molhave, M., and Skov, P. "Effects of Indoor Air Pollution on Human Health," *Indoor Air*, Vol 2, 1992, pp. 2-25.
- (9) Patrick, D.R., *Toxic Air Pollution Handbook*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, N.Y. 1994.
- (10) "Minimal Risk Levels for Priority Substances and Guidance for Derivation," Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Republication, *Federal Register* 62: 40820-40828.
- (11) *Odor Thresholds for Chemicals with Established Occupational Health Standards*, American Industrial Hygiene Association, Fairfax, VA 1993



- (12) Hau, K.M., and Connell, D.W., “Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships (QSARs) for Odor Thresholds of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs),” *Indoor Air*, Vol 8, 1998, pp. 22-33.
- (13) Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Center for Environmental Assessment, Washington, DC. 2009.
- (14) Appleman, L.M., Woutersen, R.A. and Feron, V.J., “Inhalation Toxicity of Acetaldehyde in Rats. I. Acute and Subacute Studies,” *Toxicology* Vol 23, 1982, pp. 293-307.
- (15) Appleman, L.M., Woutersen, R.A., Feron, V.J., Hoofman, R.N. and Notten, W.R.F. “Effect of Variable Versus Fixed Exposure Levels on the Toxicity of Acetaldehyde in Rats,” *Journal of Applied Toxicology* Vol 6(5), 1986, pp. 331-336.
- (16) Kruysse, A., Feron, V.J. and Til, H.P., “Repeated Exposure to Acetaldehyde Vapor,” *Arch. Environ. Health*, Vol 30, pp. 449-492.

*ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; <http://www.copyright.com/>*