

Designation: D6170 - 17

Standard Guide for Selecting a Groundwater Modeling Code¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D6170; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope*

- 1.1 This guide covers a systematic approach to the determination of the requirements for and the selection of computer codes used in a groundwater modeling project. Due to the complex nature of fluid flow and biotic and chemical transport in the subsurface, many different groundwater modeling codes exist, each having specific capabilities and limitations. Furthermore, a wide variety of situations may be encountered in projects where groundwater models are used. Determining the most appropriate code for a particular application requires a thorough analysis of the problem at hand and the required and available resources, as well as detailed description of the functionality of candidate codes.
- 1.2 The code selection process described in this guide consists of systematic analysis of project requirements and careful evaluation of the match between project needs and the capabilities of candidate codes. Insufficiently documented capabilities of candidate codes may require additional analysis of code functionality as part of the code selection process. Fig. 1 is provided to assist with the determination of project needs in terms of code capabilities, and, if necessary, to determine code capabilities.
- 1.3 This guide is one of a series of guides on groundwater modeling codes and their applications, such as Guides D5447, D5490, D5609, D5610, D5611, D5718, and D6025.
- 1.4 This guide offers an organized collection of information or a series of options and does not recommend a specific course of action. This guide cannot replace education or experience and should be used in conjunction with professional judgement. Not all aspects of this guide may be applicable in all circumstances. This guide is not intended to represent or replace the standard of care by which the adequacy of a given professional service must be judged, nor should this guide be applied without consideration of a project's many unique aspects. The word "Standard" in the title of this document means only that the document has been approved through the ASTM consensus process.

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D18 on Soil and Rock and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D18.21 on Groundwater and Vadose Zone Investigations.

Current edition approved Jan. 1, 2017. Published January 2017. Originally approved in 1997. Last previous edition approved in 2010 as D6170-97 (2010). DOI: 10.1520/D6170-17.

1.5 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

D653 Terminology Relating to Soil, Rock, and Contained Fluids

D5447 Guide for Application of a Groundwater Flow Model to a Site-Specific Problem

D5490 Guide for Comparing Groundwater Flow Model Simulations to Site-Specific Information

D5609 Guide for Defining Boundary Conditions in Groundwater Flow Modeling

D5610 Guide for Defining Initial Conditions in Groundwater Flow Modeling

D5611 Guide for Conducting a Sensitivity Analysis for a Groundwater Flow Model Application

D5718 Guide for Documenting a Groundwater Flow Model Application

D6025 Guide for Developing and Evaluating Groundwater Modeling Codes (Withdrawn 2017)³

3. Terminology

- 3.1 For definitions of other terms used in this guide, see Terminology D653.
 - 3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:
- 3.2.1 analytical model—in groundwater modeling, a model that uses closed form solutions to the governing equations applicable to groundwater flow and transport processes.
- 3.2.2 code selection—the process of choosing the appropriate computer code, algorithm, or other analysis technique capable of simulating those characteristics of the physical system to fulfill the modeling project's objective(s).

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

³ The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.



Checklist for Ground-Water Modeling Needs and Code Functionality (3)

	MODELING CODE NAME: VERSION: AUTHOR(S): INSTITUTE OF DEVELOPMENT: CONTACT ADDRESS: PHONE: E-MAIL: PROGRAM LANGUAGE: COMPUTER PLATFORM(S): LEGAL STATUS/RESTRICTIONS ¹⁾ :		RELEASE DATE: FAX:			
	USER-INTERFACE:	□ p □ fi □ g	rogram shell	utior), XL	n □ postprocessing	
	PREPROCESSING OPTIONS:		put preparation \qed automatic gridd ther:	ing	☐ interactive gridding	
	POSTPROCESSING FACILITIES:	□ re	eview results (text) graphical display onversion of results for external postp	of roce	results (on screen) ssing □ other:	
MOD	<u>PEL TYPE</u> (General Descriptors)					
Si Vi V	ingle phase saturated flow ingle phase unsaturated flow apor flow/transport olute transport irus transport eat transport natrix deformation reochemical ptimization roundwater and surface vater hydraulics arameter ID saturated flow inverse numerical)		(numerical) aquifer test analysis tracer test analysis flow of water and steam fresh/salt water interface two-phase flow		sediment transport surface water runoff stochastic simulation geostatistics multimedia exposure pre-/postprocessing expert system data base ranking/screening water budget heat budget chemical species mass balance other:	
<u>UNI7</u>	<u>rs</u>					
	I system netric units		US customary units any consistent system		user-defined	
PRIM	MARY USE					
	esearch ducation		general use site-dedicated		policy-setting other:	
1) pr	1) proprietary versus public domain, license required, etc.					



GENERAL MODEL CHARACTERISTICS - continued

PARAMETER DISCRETIZATION	DISCRETIZATION IN SPACE
□ lumped □ mass balance approach □ transfer function(s) □ distributed □ deterministic □ stochastic	 no discretization uniform grid spacing variable grid spacing movable grid (relocation of nodes during run) maximum number of nodes/cells/elements modifiable in source code (requires compilation)
SPATIAL ORIENTATION	 □ modifiable through input □ maximum number of nodes (standard version): □ maximum number of cells/elements (standard
Saturated flow 1D horizontal 1D vertical 2D horizontal (areal) 2D vertical (cross-sectional or profile) 2D axi-symmetric (horizontal flow only) fully 3D quasi-3D (layered; Dupuit approx.) 3D cylindrical or radial (flow defined in horizontal and vertical directions) Unsaturated flow 1D horizontal 1D vertical 2D horizontal 2D vertical 2D axi-symmetric fully 3D 3D cylindrical or radial	version): Possible cell shapes 1D linear 1D curvilinear 2D triangular 2D curved triangular 2D square 2D rectangular 2D quadrilateral 2D curved quadrilateral 2D curved quadrilateral 3D cylindrical 3D cubic 3D rectangular block 3D hexahedral (6 sides) 3D spherical 3D spherical other:
RESTART CAPABILITY - types of updates possible	
□ dependent variables (e.g., head, concentration, temperature) □ fluxes □ velocities □ parameter values □ stress rates (pumping, recharge) □ boundary conditions □ other:	

FLOW SYSTEM CHARACTERIZATION

SATURATED ZONE

<u>Hydrogeologic zoning</u>	E	low characteristics	BC	oundary conditions - continued
confined semi-confined (leaky- unconfined (phreatic) hydrodynamic approach (D Forcheimer assumption horizontal flow) single aquifer/aquitare multiple aquifer/aquitare max. number of aquifer discontinuous aquifers pinchout) discontinuous aquitare (aquitard pinchout) storativity conversion (confined-unconfined) storativity conversion aquitard storativity other:	ch Dupuit- Dup	single fluid, vapor single fluid, NAPL air and water flow water and steam flow moving fresh water and stagnant salt water moving fresh water and salt water water and NAPL water, vapor and NAPL incompressible fluid compressible fluid variable density variable viscosity linear laminar flow (Darcian flow) non-Darcian flow steady-state flow transient (non-steady state) flow dewatering (desaturation of	0 0 000	induced recharge from or discharge to a source bed aquifer or a stream in direct contact with ground water surface water stage constant in time surface water stage variable in time stream penetrating more than one aquifer induced recharge from a stream not in direct contact with groundwater evapotranspiration dependent on distance surface to water table drains (gaining only) free surface seepage face springs
Hydrogeologic medium		cells) dewatering (variable transmissivity)	Sc	other: purces/Sinks
□ porous medium □ fractured impermeable (fracture system, fracture network) □ discrete individual fracture network) □ equivalent fracture network □ equivalent porous metwork □ dual porosity system (fractures and optional matrix, storage in portand exchange between and porous matrix) □ uniform hydraulic prof (hydraulic conductivity) □ anisotropic hydraulic (heterogeneous) □ other:	ture	rewatering (resaturation of dry cells) delayed yield from storage other: oundary conditions infinite domain semi-infinite domain regular bounded domain irregular bounded domain fixed head prescribed time-varying head zero flow (impermeable barrier) fixed cross-boundary flux prescribed time-varying cross-boundary flux		point sources/sinks (recharging/pumping wells) constant flow rate variable flow rate head-specified partially penetrating well loss block-to-radius correction well-bore storage multi-layer well line source/sinks (internal drains) constant flow rate variable flow rate head-specified collector well (horizontal, radially extending screens) mine shafts (vertical) water-filled partially filled mine drifts, tunnel (horizontal) water-filled partially filled other:

FLOW SYSTEM CHARACTERIZATION - continued

UNSATURATED ZONE

Soil m	<u>nedium</u>	Sc	oil hydraulic conductivity-saturation/hydraulic potential
□ ро	rous medium	re	lationship
□ fra	ctured impermeable rock		tabular
□ dis	screte individual fractures		math. function(s) (describe):
□ du	al porosity system		
□ ea	uivalent fracture network approach	Int	tercell conductance representation
□ eq	uivalent porous medium approach	(K	r-determination)
	cropore/macropore system		arithmetic
	iform hydraulic properties		harmonic
	nuniform hydraulic properties		geometric
	isotropic hydraulic properties		other:
	eal homogeneous (single soil type)		
□ are	eal heterogeneous (multi soil types)	To	ortuosity model (e.g., for vapor diffusion)
	elling/shrinking soil matrix		math. function(s) (describe):
	oping soil layers		
	mber of soil layers:	Bo	oundary conditions
□ oth		_	
_ 00		П	fixed head
Flow (characteristics		prescribed time-varying head
1 1011	and decensues		fixed moisture content
□ cin	ngle fluid, water		prescribed time-varying moisture content
	igle fluid, water		zero flow (impermeable barrier)
	igle fluid, VAPL		fixed boundary flux
	and water flow		prescribed time-varying boundary flux
_	ater and NAPL		areal recharge:
	ater, vapor and NAPL	_	□ constant in space
	riable density		□ variable in space
	riable density		□ constant in time
	ear laminar flow (Darcian flow)		□ variable in time
	n-Darcian flow	П	ponding
	eady-state flow		automatic conversion between prescribed head and
		П	flux condition
	insient (non-steady state) flow	_	other:
LI OU	ier:	П	otter.
Param	neter representation	Flo	ow related processes
<u>r aran</u>	Teter representation		
Param	neter definition		evaporation
□ su	ction vs. saturation (included; see next section)		evapotranspiration
□ ро			plant uptake of water (transpiration)
	sidual saturation		capillary rise
	draulic conductivity vs. saturation included; (see		hysteresis
-	xt section)		interflow
	mber of soil materials:		perched water
□ oth			other:
Soil m	oisture saturation - matric potential relationship		
	ath. function(s) (describe):		
_ 1110	activitation(3) (acactibe).		



FLOW SYSTEM CHARACTERIZATION- continued

<u>DEPENDENT VARIABLE(S)</u>				
head drawdown pressure suction	□ potential □ moisture content □ stream function □ velocity	□ other:		
	SOLUTION METHOD	S - FLOW		
Analytical □ single solution □ superposition □ method of images □ other:		□ Numerical Spatial approximation □ finite difference method □ block-centered □ node-centered		
Analytic Element method point sources/sinks line sinks ponds uniform flow rainfall layering inhomogeneities doublets leakage through confining beds other:		□ integrated finite difference method □ boundary elements method □ particle tracking □ pathline integration □ finite element method □ other: Time-stepping scheme □ fully implicit □ fully explicit □ Crank-Nicholson		
Semi-analytical □ continuous in time, discrete in sp. □ continuous in space, discrete in ti □ approximate analytical solution □ other:		□ other: Matrix-solving technique □ Iterative □ SIP □ Gauss-Seidel (PSOR) □ LSOR		
Solving stochastic PDE's Monte Carlo simulations spectral methods small perturbation expansion self-consistent or renormalization other:	technique	□ SSOR □ BSOR □ ADIP □ Iterative ADIP (IADI) □ Predictor-corrector □ Point Jacobi □ other: □ Direct □ Gauss elimination □ Cholesky decomposition □ Frontal method □ Doolittle □ Thomas algorithm □ other: □ Iterative methods for nonlinear equations □ Picard method □ Newton-Raphson method □ Chord slope method □ other: □ Semi-iterative □ conjugate-gradient □ other:		

FLOW SYSTEM CHARACTERIZATION - continued

INVERSE MODELING/PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION FOR FLOW

hydraulic conductivity transmissivity storativity/storage coefficient leakeance/leakage factor areal recharge cross-boundary fluxes boundary heads pumping rates soil parameters/coefficients streambed resistance other:	User input ☐ prior information on parameter(s) to be identified ☐ constraints on parameters to be identified ☐ instability conditions ☐ non-uniqueness criteria ☐ regularity conditions ☐ other:
PARAMETER IDENTIFI	CATION METHOD
☐ aquifer tests (based☐ numerical inverse ap	
Direct method (model parameters treated as dependent variable) energy dissipitation method algebraic approach inductive method (direct integration of PDE) minimizing norm of error flow (flatness criterion) linear programming (single- or multi-objective) quadratic programming matrix inversion Marquardt other:	Indirect method (iterative improvement of parameter estimates) linear least-squares non-linear least-squares quasi-linearization linear programming quadratic programming steepest descent conjugate gradient non-linear regression (Gauss-Newton) Newton-Raphson influence coefficient maximum likelihood (co-)kriging gradient search decomposition and multi-level optimization graphic curve matching other:

FLOW SYSTEM CHARACTERIZATION - continued

OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS - FLOW

	<u>ho of input</u> (in ASCII text format) grid (nodal coordinates, cell size, element		pe of output - continued internal (cross-cell) fluxes
	connectivity		□ areal values (table, vector plots)
	initial heads/pressures/potentials		□ temporal series (table, x-t graphs)
			infiltration fluxes
	soil parameters/function coefficients		□ areal values (table, vector plots)
	aquifer parameters		□ temporal series (table, x-t graphs)
	flow boundary conditions		evapo(transpi)ration fluxes
	flow stresses (e.g., recharge, pumping)		□ areal values (table, vector plots)
	other:		□ temporal series (table, x-t graphs)
			cross boundary fluxes
Sir	mulation results - form of output		□ areal values (table, vector plots)
	dependent variables in binary format		□ temporal series (table, x-t graphs)
	complete results in ASCII text format		velocities
	spatial distribution of dependent variable for		□ areal values (table, vector plots)
	postprocessing		□ temporal series (table, x-t graphs)
	time series of dependent variable for		stream function values
	postprocessing		streamlines/pathlines (graphics)
	direct screen display - text		capture zone delineation (graphics)
			traveltimes (table of arrival times; tics on pathlines)
			() , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	direct plot (pen-plotter)	_	graphics)
	graphic vector file		position of interface (table, graphics)
	graphic bitmap/pixel/raster file		location of seepage faces
	other:	П	water budget components
٥.	and the constitution of automatic		cell-by-cell
	nulation results - type of output	_	global (main components for total model area)
П	head/pressure/potential		calculated flow parameters
	areal values (table, contours)		uncertainty in results (<i>i.e.</i> , statistical measures)
П	temporal series (table, x-t graphs)	П	other:
	saturation/moisture content areal values (table, contours)	Co	emputational information
			mputational information iteration progress
	temporal series (table, x-t graphs) head differential/drawdown		iteration error
ш	areal values (table, contours)		mass balance error
	temporal series (table, x-t graphs)		
	moisture content/saturation		cpu time use memory allocation
	areal values (table, contours)		other:
	temporal series (table, x-t graphs)	П	ouici.
	- temporar series (table, x-t graphs)		

SOLUTE TRANSPORT AND FATE CHARACTERIZATION

WATER QUALITY CONSTITUENTS □ radionuclides □ any constituent(s) organics single constituent volatile organic compounds micro-organisms two interacting constituents bacteria, coliforms (VOCs) multiple interacting constituents polycyclic aromatic viruses □ total dissolved solids (TDS) hydrocarbons (PAHs) □ other: □ inorganics - general polychlorinated biphenyls □ inorganics - specific (PCBs) heavy metals pesticides nitrogen compounds П phthalates phosphorus compounds solvents sulphur compounds non-polar organic compounds other: TRANSPORT AND FATE PROCESSES (Conservative) transport Fate - Type of reactions: space) □ advection □ ion exchange heterogeneous (variable in □ substitution/hydrolysis steady-state space) □ dissolution/precipitation □ uniform-parallel to scale-dependent □ reduction/oxidation internal cross terms diffusion transport coordinate system coefficient Fate - Type of reactions- continued uniform-may be under an homogeneous (constant in angle with transport □ acid/base reactions space) □ complexation heterogeneous (variable in coordinate system □ biodegradation □ non-uniform space) transient aerobic П anaerobic velocities generated within П retardation factor □ other: □ homogeneous (constant in code ☐ from internal flow space) Fate - Form of reactions: simulation heterogeneous (variable in from external flow □ zero order production/decay space) simulation or measured first order production/decay □ radioactive decay ☐ Chemical processes embedded in heads transport equation velocities required as input single mother/daughter decay mechanical dispersion Chemical processes described by chain decay equations separate from the longitudinal transverse □ microbial production/decay transport □ molecular diffusion aerobic biodegradation ☐ filtration (describe model): anaerobic biodegradation □ other: other: Parameter representation Phase transfers □ solid<->gas; (vapor) sorption □ solid<->liquid; sorption dispersivity equilibrium isotherm □ isotropic (longitudinal = ☐ linear (retardation) transverse) 2D anisotropic - allows □ Langmuir □ Freundlich longitudinal/transverse ratio non-equilibrium isotherm 3D anisotropic - allows desorption (hysteresis) other: longitudinal/transverse and □ liquid->gas; volatilization horizontal transverse/vertical □ liquid->solids; filtration transverse ratios □ other: homogeneous (constant in

FIG. 1 Checklist for Groundwater Modeling Needs and Code Functionality (continued)

SOLUTE TRANSPORT AND FATE CHARACTERIZATION - continued

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR SOLUTE TRANSPORT

	eneral boundary conditions	<u>Sc</u>	ources and sinks
	fixed concentration (constant in time)		injection well with constant concentration and flow
			rate
	zero solute flux		injection well with time-varying concentration and
	fixed boundary solute flux		flow rate
	specified time-varying boundary solute flux		production well with solute flux dependent on
	springs with solute flux dependent on head-		concentration in ground water
	dependent flow rate and concentration in ground		point sources (e.g., injection wells)
	water		line sources (e.g. infiltration ditches)
	solute flux from stream dependent on flow rate and		horizontal areal (patch) sources (e.g. feedlots,
	concentration in stream		landfills)
	solute flux to stream dependent on flow rate and		vertical patch sources
	concentration in ground water		non-point (diffuse) sources
	other:		plant solute uptake
			other:
	SOLUTION METHODS - SOLI	UTE	<u>TRANSPORT</u>
	□ flow and solute transi	ort	equations are uncoupled
	☐ flow and solute transp		
	☐ through concentra		
	☐ through concentra		
	g		
	Analytical	Tir	me-stepping scheme
	☐ single solution		fully implicit
	superposition		fully explicit
	☐ method of images		Crank-Nicholson
	□ other:		other:
_			
	Semi-analytical		atrix-solving technique
	continuous in time, discrete in space	П	Iterative
	continuous in space, discrete in time		□ SIP
	approximate analytical solution		Gauss-Seidel (PSOR)
	other:		LSOR
	Colving stachastic PDE/s		□ SSOR
	Solving stochastic PDE's		□ BSOR
	Monte Carlo simulations		ADI
	spectral methods		☐ Iterative ADIP (IADI)
	small perturbation expansion		Point Jacobi
	□ self-consistent or renormalization technique □ other:	П	other:
	□ other:		Direct ☐ Gauss elimination
	Numerical		☐ Gauss elimination ☐ Cholesky decomposition.
ш	Numerical		Frontal method
Sn	patial approximation		□ Doolittle
П	finite difference		☐ Thomas algorithm
_	□ block-centered		other:
	node-centered		Iterative methods for nonlinear equations
	integrated finite difference	_	☐ Picard method
	particle-tracking		☐ Newton-Raphson method
	method of characteristics		☐ Chord slope method
	random walk		other:
ō	boundary element method		Semi-iterative
	finite element method	_	☐ conjugate-gradient
	other:		□ other:

SOLUTE TRANSPORT AND FATE CHARACTERIZATION - continued

INVERSE/PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION FOR SOLUTE TRANSPORT

Parameters to be identified velocity dispersivity diffusion coefficient retardation factor source strength initial conditions (concentrations) other:	User input prior information on parameters to be identified constraints on parameters to be identified instability conditions non-uniqueness criteria regularity conditions other:				
PARAMETER IDENTIFIC	ATION METHOD				
☐ tracer tests (based on ☐ numerical inverse appr					
Direct method (model parameters treated as dependent variable □ energy dissipitation method □ algebraic approach □ inductive method (direct integration of PDE) □ minimizing norm of error flow (flatness criterion) □ linear programming (single- or multi-objective) □ quadratic programming □ matrix inversion □ other:	Indirect method (iterative improvement of parameter estimates) linear least-squares non-linear least-squares quasi-linearization linear programming quadratic programming steepest descent conjugate gradient non-linear regression (Gauss-Newton) Newton-Raphson maximum likelihood (co-)kriging other:				
OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS - S	OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS - SOLUTE TRANSPORT				
Echo of input (in ASCII text format) grid (nodal coordinates, cell size, element connectivity) initial concentrations transport parameter values transport boundary conditions transport stresses (source/sink fluxes) other: Simulation results - Type of output concentration values concentration in pumping wells internal and cross-boundary solute fluxes velocities (from given heads) areal values (table, vector plots) mass balance components cell-by-cell	Simulation results - Form of output binary files of concentrations complete results in ASCII text format spatial distribution of concentration for postprocessing time series of concentration for postprocessing direct screen display - text direct screen display - graphics direct hardcopy (printer) direct plot (pen-plotter) graphic vector file graphic bitmap/pixel/raster file other: Computational progress iteration progress iteration error mass balance error				
☐ global (total model area) ☐ calculated transport parameters ☐ uncertainty in results (<i>i.e.</i> , statistical measures) ☐ other:	□ cpu use □ memory allocation □ other:				

HEAT TRANSPORT CHARACTERIZATION

TRANSPORT PROCESSES

convection steady-state uniform flow non-uniform flow transient conduction through rock-matrix through liquid thermal dispersion			thermal diffusion between rock matrix and liquid radiation phase change evaporation/condensation water/vapors water/steam freezing/thawing heat exchange between phases internal heat generation (heat source) other:
(par	PARAMETER REPRES ameters not checked are co		
ermal conductivity of rock matrix homogeneous (constant in space heterogeneous (variable in space other:	e)		homogeneous (constant in space)
BOI	JNDARY CONDITIONS FOR	HE	AT TRANSPORT
fixed temperature (constant in ti specified time-varying temperature zero heat flux/temperature gradifixed heat flux/temperature gradifixed heat flux/temperature gradispecified time-varying heat flux/heat flux from stream dependent stream temperature heat flux to stream dependent of ground-water temperature heat flux through overburden derate and recharge temperature heat flux through overburden detemperature difference between atmosphere other:	ure of the state o		non-point (diffuse) sources
	SOLUTION METHODS - HE	eq	uations are uncoupled
	☐ flow and heat transport☐ through temperatu☐ through temperatu☐	re-c	dependent density
Analytical single solution superposition method of images other:			Semi-analytical ☐ continuous in time, discrete in space ☐ continuous in space, discrete in time ☐ approximate analytical solution other:

HEAT TRANSPORT CHARACTERIZATION - continued

□ Solving stochastic PDE's □ Monte Carlo simulations □ spectral methods □ small perturbation expansion □ self-consistent or renormalization technique □ other:	Matrix-solving technique ☐ Iterative ☐ SIP ☐ Gauss-Seidel (PSOR) ☐ LSOR ☐ SSOR
□ <u>Numerical</u>	□ BSOR □ ADI □ Iterative ADID (IADI)
Spatial approximation finite difference block-centered node-centered particle-tracking method of characteristics random walk boundary element method finite element method other:	☐ Iterative ADIP (IADI) ☐ Point Jacobi ☐ other: ☐ Direct ☐ Gauss elimination ☐ Cholesky decomposition. ☐ Frontal method ☐ Doolittle ☐ Thomas algorithm ☐ other: ☐ Iterative methods for nonlinear equations ☐ Picard method ☐ Newton-Raphson method ☐ Chord slope method
Time-stepping scheme ☐ fully implicit ☐ fully explicit ☐ Crank-Nicholson ☐ other:	 □ Chord slope method □ other: □ Semi-iterative □ conjugate-gradient □ other:
OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS	- HEAT TRANSPORT
Echo of input (in ASCII text format) ☐ grid (nodal coordinates, cell size, element connectivity ☐ initial temperatures ☐ transport parameter values ☐ transport boundary conditions ☐ transport stresses (source/sink fluxes) ☐ other:	Simulation results - Form of output binary files of temperatures complete results in ASCII text format spatial distribution of temperature for postprocessing time series of temperature for postprocessing direct screen display - text direct screen display - graphics direct hardcopy (printer)
Simulation results - Type of output □ temperature values □ temperature in pumping wells □ internal and cross-boundary heat fluxes □ velocities (from given heads) □ areal values (table, vector plots) □ temporal series (table, x-t graphs)	☐ direct plot (pen-plotter) ☐ graphic vector file ☐ graphic bitmap/pixel/raster file ☐ other: Computational progress ☐ iteration progress
 □ heat balance components □ cell-by-cell □ global (total model area) □ calculated transport parameters □ uncertainty in results (i.e., statistical measures) □ other: 	☐ iteration error ☐ heat balance error ☐ cpu use ☐ memory allocation ☐ other:

ROCK/SOIL MATRIX DEFORMATION CHARACTERIZATION

MODELED SYSTEM

☐ flui ma ☐ ove loa ☐ ma	mation cause id withdrawal (increased internal rock atrix stresses) erburden increase (increased system iding) an-made cavities (reduced rock-matrix esses) her:	a	el components quifer only quifer/overburden quifer(s)/aquitard(s) quifer(s)/aquitard(s)/overburden ther:
		L	Nechanistic process-based model (see processes) ist model(s): other:
_	mi-empirical model		ulei.
	<u>PROCES</u>	<u>SES</u>	
	decrease of thickness of sediments due to increase of effective stress; also consolidation) matrix expansion (due to reduced skeletal stress)		3 1
	PARAMETER REPR (parameters not mentioned are considered ho		
	ess-dependent hydraulic conductivity npressibility of rock matrix homogeneous (constant in space) heterogeneous	coef	
	BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	FOR I	<u>DEFORMATION</u>
	escribed displacement constant in time varying in time escribed pore pressure constant in time varying in time		

ROCK/SOIL MATRIX DEFORMATION CHARACTERIZATION - continued

SOLUTION METHODS - DEFORMATION Flow and deformation equations are: □ uncoupled □ coupled Analytical □ Semi-analytical continuous in time, discrete in space single solution continuous in space, discrete in time superposition approximate analytical solution П other: П П other: □ Numerical Matrix-solving technique □ Iterative □ Direct SIP Gauss elimination Spatial approximation Gauss-Seidel (PSOR) □ finite difference Cholesky decomposition. Frontal method block-centered LSOR **SSOR** Doolittle node-centered Thomas algorithm □ integrated finite difference **BSOR** ☐ finite element method ADI other: Iterative ADIP (IADI) □ Iterative methods for nonlinear □ other: Point Jacobi equations П Time-stepping scheme other: П Picard method □ fully implicit □ Semi-iterative Newton-Raphson ☐ fully explicit conjugate-gradient method Chord slope method □ Crank-Nicholson other: □ other: other: **OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS - DEFORMATION** Echo of input (in ASCII text format) Simulation results - Type of output grid (nodal coordinates, cell size, element □ matrix displacements (internal skeletal connectivity displacements; 1D, 2D, 3D) initial stresses surface displacements (subsidence; 1D) □ deformation parameter values pore pressure skeletal stress/strain □ deformation boundary conditions □ other: calculated parameters other: Simulation results - Form of output Computational progress □ binary files □ iteration progress □ complete results in ASCII text format □ spatial distribution for postprocessing iteration error □ time series for postprocessing cpu use □ direct screen display - text memory allocation □ direct screen display - graphics other: □ direct hardcopy (printer, pen-plotter) □ graphic vector file/display

FIG. 1 Checklist for Groundwater Modeling Needs and Code Functionality (continued)

3.2.3 *conceptual model*—an interpretation or working description of the characteristics and dynamics of the physical system.

graphic bitmap/pixel/raster file

□ other:

- 3.2.4 functionality—of a groundwater modeling code, the set of functions and features the code offers the user in terms of model framework geometry, simulated processes, boundary conditions, and analytical and operational capabilities.
- 3.2.5 groundwater modeling code—the non-parameterized computer code used in groundwater modeling to represent a non-unique, simplified mathematical description of the physical framework, geometry, active processes, and boundary conditions present in a reference subsurface hydrologic system.

- 3.2.6 *mathematical model*—(a) mathematical equations expressing the physical system and including simplifying assumptions; (b) the representation of a physical system by mathematical expressions from which the behavior of the system can be deduced with known accuracy.
- 3.2.7 model construction—the process of transforming the conceptual model into a parameterized mathematical form; as parametrization requires assumptions regarding spatial and temporal discretization, model construction requires a priori selection of a computer code.
- 3.2.8 *model schematization*—simplification of a conceptualized groundwater system for quantitative, model-based analysis commensurate with project objectives and constraints.
- 3.2.9 *numerical model—in groundwater modeling*, a model that uses numerical methods to solve the governing equations of the applicable problem.
- 3.2.10 *semi-analytical model*—a mathematical model in which complex analytical solutions are evaluated using approximate techniques, resulting in a solution discrete in either the space or time domain.

4. Significance and Use

- 4.1 Groundwater modeling has become an important methodology in support of the planning and decision-making processes involved in groundwater management. Groundwater models provide an analytical framework for obtaining an understanding of the mechanisms and controls of groundwater systems and the processes that influence their quality, especially those caused by human intervention in such systems. Increasingly, models are an integral part of water resources assessment, protection, and restoration studies, and provide needed and cost-effective support for planning and screening of alternative policies, regulations, and engineering designs affecting groundwater.⁴
- 4.2 Many different groundwater modeling codes are available, each with their own capabilities, operational characteristics and limitations. Furthermore, each groundwater project has its own requirements with respect to modeling. Therefore, it is important that the most appropriate code is selected for a particular project. This is even more important for projects that require extensive modeling, or where costly decisions are based, in part, on the outcome of modeling-based analysis.
- 4.3 Systematic and comprehensive description of project requirements and code features provides the necessary basis for efficient selection of a groundwater modeling code. This standard guide is intended to encourage comprehensive and consistent description of code capabilities and code requirements in the code selection process, as well as thorough documentation of the code selection process.

5. Code Selection Process in Groundwater Modeling

5.1 Code selection in groundwater modeling is a crucial step in the application of groundwater models (see Guide D5447).

⁴ National Research Council (NRC), Committee on Ground Water Modeling Assessment, Water Science and Technology Board, *Ground Water Models: Scientific and Regulatory Applications*, National Academy Press, Washington, DC, 1990.

- Each groundwater project in which computer-based modeling is performed should include a code selection phase.
- 5.2 Code selection is in essence the process of matching a project's modeling needs with the documented capabilities of existing computer codes.
- 5.3 Selecting an appropriate code requires analysis and systematic description of both the modeling needs and the characteristics of existing groundwater modeling codes.
- 5.4 A perfect match rarely exists between desired code characteristics or selection criteria and the capabilities or functionality of available codes. Therefore, the selection criteria are divided into the following two groups: essential code capabilities and non-essential code capabilities. If a candidate code does not include the essential capabilities, it should be removed from consideration.
- 5.5 The relative importance of the non-essential code capabilities needs to be assessed. This may be done by assigning weighting factors to the considered capabilities (for example, using weights from one to five according to their relative importance). Although such weighing factors are often not explicitly mentioned in the code selection process, candidate codes are often ranked implicitly using some kind of weighting of the non-essential capabilities. Assigning weighting factors is a rather subjective procedure; if a match is difficult to obtain, reassessment of these factors may be necessary. Hence, code selection may turn out to be a rather iterative process requiring a significant level of professional judgment and experience.
- 5.6 Selecting the right code is necessary for an optimal trade-off between effort and result in a modeling project. The result can be expressed as the expected effectiveness of the modeling tasks in terms of prediction accuracy. The effort is basically represented by the modeling costs, such as incurred in becoming familiar with the code, model schematization and model construction, and model-based scenario analysis. Such costs should not be considered independently from those of field data acquisition, especially those needed for the modeling effort. For a proper assessment of modeling cost, consideration should be given to the choice of developing a new code (or modifying an existing one) versus acquisition of an existing code, the implementation and maintenance of the code, computer platform requirements, and the development and maintenance of databases.

Note 1—The availability of or familiarity with a particular code, or both, may lead to modeling overkill by using a pre-chosen code requiring significantly more preparation in data gathering and model construction than necessary for the project. Such modeling overkill may also result from the user's inability to limit the number of "essential" code features, or to discriminate between non-essential code features.

Note 2—The belief that use of the "best" or most mathematically advanced codes will automatically provide predictive reliability and scientific credibility is false. The technical capability of the modeler or the modeling team involved in the modeling project has the greatest impact on the overall results.⁵

⁵ Simmons, C. R., and Cole, C. R., *Guidelines for Selecting Codes for Ground-Water Transport Modeling of Low-Level Waste Burial Sites; Volume 1 – Guideline Approach*, PNL-4980 Vol 1, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, WA, 1085

- 5.7 If different project questions need to be addressed, more than one code might be needed or different combinations of functions of a single code may be utilized. This is often the case when models are used in different stages of the project. For example, in an early stage of a remediation project, a model is used to assist in problem scoping and system conceptualization, while during the design phase of the project, a model is used to screen between alternative remediation techniques and to detail the selected remediation approach.
- 5.8 If, as a result of the code selection process, a code is selected that requires modification, proper quality assurance procedures for code development and testing need to be followed (see Guide D6025).

6. Defining Modeling Needs

- 6.1 Following are major steps in evaluating modeling needs: formulating the project-related modeling objectives; determining the required level of analysis (that is, modeling complexity) and reliability in terms of prediction accuracy and sensitivity of the project for incorrect or imprecise answers (that is, acceptable level of uncertainty); conceptualizing and characterizing the groundwater system involved; and analyzing the constraints in human and material resources available for the study.
- 6.2 Project-related modeling objectives may include: preliminary screening of sites for locating facilities that may interact with the groundwater system, risk assessment for existing or planned facilities, site performance assessment based on technical design, environmental impact assessment, optimal control of facility operation, and design of monitoring network.⁵ Modeling objectives often constitute a subset of the project objectives; some of the project objectives may not require evaluation by means of computer simulation. Project objectives are translated into modeling objectives by formulating model (or stress) scenarios and specifying the variables that need to be computed.
- 6.3 A major element of the code selection process is the formulation of the *conceptual model* of the groundwater system in the context of project objectives and constraints. The conceptual model represents the general understanding of the system being studied in terms of driving forces (stresses), physical and chemical processes, interactions, geometric factors, and boundary conditions. An important aspect of the conceptualization phase is the determination of the relative importance of the system processes and stresses. The detail that enters into a conceptual model should represent the site characterization data base that will be used in the calibration and predictive modeling stages of the project, (that is, the input variables and parameters needed to run the selected code should be available).⁵
- 6.4 The conceptual model, no matter how complex, will always be a simplified representation of the groundwater system. Furthermore, current limitations in scientific theories (and their mathematical representation) and computer capabilities may require additional simplifications in the conceptual model to facilitate computer modeling.⁵ Combining the description of the conceptual model with the level of modeling

needed, while taking into considerations these scientific and technical limitations, often leads to further simplification of the conceptual model, a process that is sometimes called *model schematization*. Such simplifications may relate to the spatial dimensionality of the model, the type of boundary conditions and the geometry of the boundaries employed, the spatial variability or zoning of the system parameters and stresses, the mathematical description of the physical and chemical processes of interest, and the representation of time (that is, steady-state versus transient). A concise description of the conceptual model used for code selection should include a mathematical statement of governing equations and boundary and initial conditions (that is, a mathematical model of the groundwater system).

- Note 3—Because code selection is a somewhat subjective process, the danger exists that the availability of or familiarity with a particular code, or both, leads to an attempt to force-fit the conceptual model or even the study objectives into the mold of a pre-chosen code.⁵
- 6.5 Modeling, in its widest interpretation, does not always require the use of computer codes. The level of analysis is determined by project objectives and constraints. It may range from qualitative screening of options and manual calculations (for example, using Darcy's law) to computer-based analysis of "bounded" problems (for example, exceeding maximum contaminant levels) using analytical or semi-analytical models, and defensible predictions along with uncertainty analysis using numerical models.
- 6.6 Based on the previous analysis, relevant model functions are determined and translated in a set of informative, well-defined descriptors. Fig. 1 can be used as a checklist for this purpose. Further details on determining relevant functions can be found in Simmons and Cole.⁵

6.7 Other Modeling Considerations:

- 6.7.1 *Code Acceptance*—An important issue in code selection is the general acceptance of the candidate code and the model predictions made using it. Acceptance of a code is a function of its perceived credibility and its efficiency in use.
- 6.7.2 Code Credibility—Groundwater modeling codes do not always perform as described in the documentation or as claimed by the developers. Also, code documentation may not always contain enough information to determine if the code is appropriate for use under the particular circumstances encountered in the project. This may lead to concerns regarding the predictive reliability of modeling results. A code's credibility is based on its proven predictive reliability and the extent of its (successful) use. The predictive reliability of a code is primarily evaluated through review of a code's theoretical foundation and program structure, and through code testing (that is, code verification). A code gains user confidence with a growing number of documented applications. This results from the notion that most non-terminal software errors originally present have been detected and corrected. Yet, no program is without programming errors, even after a long history of use and updating. Some errors will be detected and do not or only slightly influence the program's utility.
- 6.7.3 *Code Use Efficiency*—Code use efficiency is a function of its availability, operational characteristics, and documentation.

6.7.3.1 *Code Availability*—A code is considered available when a executable version or the source code itself can be obtained for use in a project. The software used in groundwater modeling can be divided into two categories: public domain software and proprietary software.

6.7.3.2 Public Domain Software—In some countries, such as the United States, a code is considered in the public domain when its development has been supported through public funds and no copyrights or patents apply. Many of the groundwater modeling codes developed by or with funding from federal or state agencies are considered to be in the public domain. It is generally understood that there are no restrictions in the use, modification, and distribution of public domain codes. Some groundwater modeling codes developed for or by government agencies are subject to restrictions in use and distribution, and thus are not considered in the public domain. It should be noted that in most other countries, most groundwater modeling software developed with public funds are considered the property of the funding agency. Certain restrictions in their use and redistribution apply. However, they may be available at no or little cost to the user.

Note 4—Restrictions in the use of public domain modeling software may occur if the program includes calls to proprietary software, such as mathematical or graphic subroutines. Such routines are often external to the public domain software and their presence on the host-computer is needed to run the modeling software successfully.

6.7.3.3 Proprietary Software—If an institution owns the copyright, trademark, or patent of the software; distributes it solely under license agreements; or states in the software and documentation that the software is proprietary; the software is considered proprietary. Distribution of proprietary software is subject to restrictions put in place by the owner of the software rights. Typically, proprietary software requires an individual license for each CPU on which it is installed, or is covered by a site license arrangement. In most cases, copying of software and documentation is only allowed for backup purposes. Note that when the source code of a groundwater modeling program has appeared in a publication, such as a textbook or journal article, or is available in electronic form from the publisher, their use and distribution is in general covered by copyright protection laws.

Note 5—Sometimes, public domain codes are subject to rigorous quality assurance procedures, including version control schemes and strict maintenance protocols. In such cases, the source code is not distributed to prevent non-authorized, non-quality-assured modifications. Such controls are also often in place with respect to proprietary software. When such controls are absent (which is the case with most public domain software) the user should establish the credibility of the considered version of the candidate code. Some of the most popular public domain groundwater modeling codes are in this category; numerous versions exist, available from different commercial and non-commercial sources. It is also good practice to make sure that the most recent version of the candidate code is considered in the code selection process.

Note 6—Codes may have originally been released in the public domain, while later versions have been released as proprietary codes. This may be the case when the agency that provided the development funds no longer supports the maintenance of the software and a private institution has taken over that role. If the new, proprietary version includes corrections of code errors or improvements in predictive accuracy and reliability, this new version should be selected for the project and the older versions, if present, discarded.

6.7.3.4 *User Support*—After selecting a particular code for the project, problems may arise that require external assistance (that is, user support), typically provided by the software developer or third-party vendor. Such problems may be related to the following: the installation of the software on the user's computer; the operation of the modeling interface, if present; the preparation of input files; the execution of the simulation module of the software; and exporting and analyzing model simulation results. Sometimes, runtime errors have their origin in coding errors. More often, such problems can be traced to user mistakes in model construction, input preparation, or code execution.

6.7.4 Operational Code Characteristics—Executing a groundwater modeling code requires the preparation of input files containing the data needed for the simulation, as well as operational instructions (often in the form of switch parameter values). In numerical models, the input data set often includes parameters which constrain or steer the solution, and thus influence the accuracy of the results. Important aspects of code operation include the structure of the input files (that is, facilitating efficient preparation); the structure and information content of the output files (for example, exporting results to other software applications, completeness of simulation results and computational progress); and the extent and effectiveness of operational instructions (that is, to what extent can the non-simulation functions or controls of the code be manipulated through input).

6.7.4.1 Increasingly, groundwater modeling codes come with a user-interface for preparation of input files, execution of programs and program modules, and analysis of modeling results. The presence of a user interface significantly increases productivity by decreasing the time needed to prepare and modify input data sets, reducing the chance of errors in the data set, and facilitating rapid analysis of the results of each simulation run. Some of these interfaces consist of a standalone (modeling) preprocessor, others include a shell program from which various preprocessing, simulation, and postprocessing functions can be called. Many of the shell programs provide extensive on-line help facilities, or even on-line documentation.

6.7.5 Code Documentation—Documentation of a computer code consists of the information recorded during the design, development, and maintenance of the code to explain pertinent aspects of a data processing system, including purposes, methods, logic, relationships, capabilities, and limitations. It is the principal instrument of communication regarding the aspects of the software for those involved in a modeling effort, such as code developer, code maintenance staff, computer system operators, and code users.

6.7.5.1 Documentation of a groundwater modeling code should be informative, well-structured (that is, specific topics are easy to find), and well-written (that is, topics are easy to understand). It should include software installation instructions, a summary of code capabilities (that is, overview of the code's functionality), description of the development history and the code's theoretical framework, discussion of model construction aspects, input preparation instructions (or reference guide), discussion of output options, sample model



runs, complete verification information, a trouble-shooting guide, and a detailed index.

6.7.5.2 Good code documentation will ensure scientific rigor and implementation quality. Well-written documentation shortens the learning curve for new users, provides answers to questions from project managers, and supports efficient code selection. Well-structured and indexed documentation provides rapid answers for initiated users.

7. Describing Code Capabilities

- 7.1 Functionality description involves the identification and description of the functions of a simulation code in terms of model framework geometry, simulated processes, boundary conditions, and analytical capabilities. The functions of the code are grouped and systematically described using a set of standard descriptors⁶(see Fig. 1). If necessary, the list of descriptors may be adapted or expended to cover features resulting from new research or software development progress.
- 7.2 The documentation of a groundwater modeling code should include a summary section, called "functionality description" or "code functions and capabilities" that addresses the pertinent descriptors from Fig. 1. This section should also include additional details where the descriptors of Fig. 1 are insufficient to describe the features and capabilities of the code.

- 7.3 Fig. 1 can be used as a checklist, if in reviewing a code for potential use in a project, the documentation of the code does not contain a section describing the code's functionality in sufficient detail.
- 7.4 The checklist presented in Fig. 1 can also be used for determining project needs as part of a code selection process.
- 7.5 The format presented in Fig. 1 is designed to be applicable to most groundwater modeling code. It includes a brief overview description of the simulation code (that is, authors, contact address, minimum computer platforms, and the like). This is followed by a section that is divided into functionality categories corresponding to sets of specific code functions. Consistent use of the descriptors and their grouping presented in Fig. 1 facilities efficient comparison of candidate codes.

8. Documentation of the Code Selection Process

8.1 Code selection in groundwater modeling is often an integral part of a model application, and as such, documented in the model application report (see Guide D5447). The narrative should provide the justification of the selected code. It should list the "essential" selection criteria and include a discussion of the relative importance of non-essential selection criteria. Finally, the narrative should address the non-simulation considerations that have influenced the code selection process.

9. Keywords

9.1 code selection; computer model; groundwater modeling; simulation

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

In accordance with Committee D18 policy, this section identifies the location of changes to this standard since the last edition (1997 (2010)) that may impact the use of this standard. (January 1, 2017)

- (1) Removed definition of Computer Code as it is no longer specific to this standard and is now in common usage.
- (2) Moved Section 3 terminology introductions to comply with D18 procedures.
- (3) Added Summary of Changes secton.
- (4) Reworded to remove jargon and superlatives.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; http://www.copyright.com/

⁶ Van der Heijde, P. K. M., and Elnawawy, O. A., *Quality Assurance and Quality Control in the Development and Application of Ground-Water Models, EPA/600/R-93/011*, R. S. Kerr Environmental Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ada, OK, 1992.