

Standard Guide for Simulation of Subsurface Airflow Using Groundwater Flow Modeling Codes¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D5719; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

- 1.1 This guide covers the use of a groundwater flow modeling code to simulate the movement of air in the subsurface. This approximation is possible because the form of the groundwater flow equations are similar in form to airflow equations. Approximate methods are presented that allow the variables in the airflow equations to be replaced with equivalent terms in the groundwater flow equations. The model output is then transformed back to airflow terms.
- 1.2 This guide illustrates the major steps to take in developing an airflow model using an existing groundwater flow modeling code. This guide does not recommend the use of a particular model code. Most groundwater flow modeling codes can be utilized, because the techniques described in this guide require modification to model input and not to the code.
- 1.3 This guide is not intended to be all inclusive. Other similar techniques may be applicable to airflow modeling, as well as more complex variably saturated groundwater flow modeling codes. This guide does not preclude the use of other techniques, but presents techniques that can be easily applied using existing groundwater flow modeling codes.
- 1.4 This guide is one of a series of standards on groundwater model applications, including Guides D5447 and D5490. This guide should be used in conjunction with Guide D5447. Other standards have been prepared on environmental modeling, such as Practice E978.
- 1.5 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.
- 1.6 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

to a Site-Specific Problem D5490 Guide for Comparing Groundwater Flow Model Simulations to Site-Specific Information E978 Practice for Evaluating Mathematical Models for the Environmental Fate of Chemicals (Withdrawn 2002)³ 3. Terminology 3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 For definitions of general technical terms used within this guide, refer to Terminology D653.

1.7 This guide offers an organized collection of information or a series of options and does not recommend a specific

course of action. This document cannot replace education or

experience and should be used in conjunction with professional

judgment. Not all aspects of this guide may be applicable in all

circumstances. This ASTM standard is not intended to repre-

sent or replace the standard of care by which the adequacy of

a given professional service must be judged, nor should this

document be applied without consideration of a project's many

unique aspects. The word "Standard" in the title of this

document means only that the document has been approved

D653 Terminology Relating to Soil, Rock, and Contained

D5447 Guide for Application of a Groundwater Flow Model

through the ASTM consensus process.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

Fluids

- 3.2 Symbols:
- 3.2.1 A—cross-sectional area of cell [cm²].
- 3.2.2 g—acceleration due to gravity [cm/s²].
- 3.2.3 *h*—air-phase or water phase head [cm].
- 3.2.4 k—air phase permeability [cm 2].
- 3.2.5 *K*—hydraulic conductivity [cm/s].
- 3.2.6 *P*—air phase pressure [g/cm-s 2].

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D18 on Soil and Rock and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D18.21 on Groundwater and Vadose Zone Investigations.

Current edition approved April 1, 2013. Published April 2013. Originally approved in 1995. Last previous edition approved in 2006 as D5719 - 95 (2006). DOI: 10.1520/D5719-13.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For Annual Book of ASTM Standards volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on

³ The last approved version of this historical standard is referenced on www.astm.org.



- 3.2.7 P_0 —reference air-phase pressure [g/cm-s²].
- 3.2.8 q_s —specific discharge vector for air [cm/s].
- 3.2.9 q—volumetric flow of water through cell [cm³/s].
- 3.2.10 q^* —model-computed term related to airflow in units g^2 -cm/s⁴.
 - 3.2.11 q_v —volumetric airflow [cm³/s].
 - 3.2.12 q_m —mass airflow [g/s].
- 3.2.13 *R*—universal gas constant = 8.314×10^{-7} [g-cm²/s²-mol-K].
 - 3.2.14 S_a —air storage coefficient.
 - 3.2.15 S_s —specific storage of the porous material [cm⁻¹].
 - 3.2.16 *t*—time [s].
 - 3.2.17 *T*—temperature [K].
 - 3.2.18 *z*—elevation head [cm].
 - 3.2.19 ∂h —hydraulic head difference [cm].
 - 3.2.20 ∂l —length of model cell [cm].
 - 3.2.21 ρ —density of air [g/cm³].
 - 3.2.22 θ —air-filled porosity [-].
 - 3.2.23 φ —pressure-squared (P²) [(g/cm-s²)²].
 - 3.2.24 ω—average molecular weight of air [g/mol].
 - 3.2.25 µ—dynamic viscosity of air [g/cm-s].

4. Summary of Guide

- 4.1 The flow of gas (air in this case) through unsaturated porous media can be approximated using groundwater flow modeling codes. This is accomplished through substitution of air-phase parameters and variables into the groundwater flow equations. There are two substitution techniques discussed in this guide, the pressure-squared technique (1),⁴ and the pressure substitution technique (2). These substitutions are summarized as follows:
- 4.1.1 The dependent variable, usually head, in the ground-water flow equation becomes pressure or pressure-squared;
- 4.1.2 Saturated hydraulic conductivity (K), both horizontal and vertical components, becomes air permeability (k) or intrinsic permeability) in the pressure-squared technique and an equivalent air hydraulic conductivity in the pressure substitution technique.
- 4.1.3 Storage coefficient (S) becomes the air storage coefficient (S_a) ;
- 4.1.4 The Vadose zone is considered a confined aquifer; and,
- 4.1.5 All boundary conditions are expressed in terms of air pressure-squared, although constant flux boundary conditions may be used in the pressure substitution technique.
- 4.2 The groundwater modeling code is executed using these parameter and variable substitutions. The model results must then be transformed to values representative of air. These calculations are summarized as follows:
- 4.2.1 If the problem is formulated in terms of air pressuresquared, the square root of the model-computed dependent variable is computed at each cell;
- ⁴ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of this standard.

- 4.2.2 Flow rates computed by the pressure-squared approach must be transformed into equivalent airflow terms for volumetric flow rates (q_v) or mass flow rates (q_m) .
- 4.2.3 No transformation of the output is required by the pressure substitution technique, although the pressures may be converted to more convenient units.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 The use of vapor extraction systems (VES), also called soil vapor extraction (SVE) or venting systems, is becoming a common remedial technology applicable to sites contaminated with volatile compounds (3, 4). A vapor extraction system is composed of wells or trenches screened within the vadose zone. Air is extracted from these wells to remove organic compounds that readily partition between solid or liquid phases into the gas phase. The volatile contaminants are removed in the gas phase and treated or discharged to the atmosphere. In many cases, the vapor extraction system also incorporates wells open to the atmosphere that act as air injection wells.

Note 1—Few model codes are available that allow simulation of the movement of air, water, and nonaqueous liquids through the subsurface. Those model codes that are available (5, 6), require inordinate compute hardware, are complicated to use, and require collection of field data that may be difficult or expensive to obtain. In the future, as computer capabilities expand, this may not be a significant problem. Today, however, these complex models are not applied routinely to the design of vapor extraction systems.

- 5.2 This guide presents approximate methods to efficiently simulate the movement of air through the vadose zone. These methods neglect the presence of water and other liquids in the vadose zone; however, these techniques are much easier to apply and require significantly less computer hardware than more robust numerical models.
- 5.3 This guide should be used by groundwater modelers to approximately simulate the movement of air in the vadose zone.
- 5.4 Use of this guide to simulate subsurface air movement does not guarantee that the airflow model is valid. This guide simply describes mathematical techniques for simulating subsurface air movement with groundwater modeling codes. As with any modeling study, the modeler must have a thorough understanding of site conditions with supporting data in order to properly apply the techniques presented in this guide.

6. Pressure-Squared Substitution Procedure

- 6.1 The pressure-squared substitution procedure is adapted from Baehr and Joss (1). The technique allows simulation of the flow of gas (air in this case) through porous media using groundwater flow modeling codes. This is accomplished through substitution of air-phase parameters and variables into the groundwater flow equations. These substitutions are summarized as follows:
- 6.2 Airflow Equation—The following presentation outlines the essential assumptions of the airflow equation. A more detailed presentation providing justification of the various assumptions is provided by Baehr and Hult (7).
- 6.2.1 The conservation of mass equation for airflow in an unsaturated porous medium is given by the following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \theta) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \sim q_s) = 0 \tag{1}$$

6.2.2 Darcy's Law for airflow is assumed as follows:

$$\sim q_s = -\frac{\rho g}{\mu} \approx k \, \nabla h \tag{2}$$

6.2.3 Hubbert (1940) defined the head for a compressible fluid as follows:

$$h = z + \frac{1}{g} \int_{P_0}^{P} \frac{1}{\rho} dP$$
 (3)

6.2.4 The Ideal Gas Law is assumed to relate pressure and density and thus provides a model for air compressibility as follows:

$$\rho = \frac{\omega P}{RT} \tag{4}$$

6.2.5 Substituting Eq 4 into Eq 3, assuming ω and T are constant, neglecting the elevation component of head (that is small for air compared to the pressure component) and substituting into Eq 2 gives the following expression for Darcy's Law in terms of P:

$$\sim q_s = -\frac{1}{\mu} \approx k \, \nabla P \tag{5}$$

6.2.6 Substituting Eq 4 and Eq 5 into Eq 1, and then using the following linearizing change of variable suggested by Muskat and Botset (8) for airflow:

$$\varphi = P^2 \tag{6}$$

yields the following three-dimensional airflow equation in Cartesian coordinates that is analogous in form to the ground-water flow equation solved by many groundwater flow models (MODFLOW (9), for example):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(k_{xx} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(k_{yy} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(k_{zz} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \right) = S_a \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$$
 (7)

where x, y, and z are Cartesian coordinates aligned along the major axes of the permeability tensor with diagonal components k_{xx} , k_{yy} , and k_{zz} .

6.2.7 Air-phase permeability is assumed to be independent of P, therefore, the Klinkenberg slip effect (10) can only be modeled as constant with respect to P. The coefficient S_a is the pneumatic equivalent of specific storage and if air-filled porosity is constant with respect to time (that is, water movement is neglected) then:

$$S_a = \frac{\theta \mu}{\sqrt{\Phi}} \tag{8}$$

6.2.8 The change of variable $\varphi = P^2$ results in a linear equation for steady-state airflow. The transient equation is linearized by assuming $\varphi^{1/2} = P_{atm}$ in the definition of S_a , where P_{atm} is the prevailing atmospheric pressure.

6.2.8.1 Massmann (2) describes the errors involved with the pressure-squared substitution described above, as well as simply substituting pressure for head. The error in the pressure-squared substitution is less than 1 % when the pressure difference between any two points in the flow field is less than 0.2 atmospheres (atm) and less than 5 % when the pressure

difference is less than 0.8 atm. When substituting pressure (instead of pressure-squared) for head, the errors are similar for pressure differences less than 0.2 atm, but are quite large for pressure differences greater than 0.5 atm. In most cases, the pressure differences will be less than 0.2 atm; therefore, either substitution may be used in environmental modeling (see Section 7 for a description of the pressure substitution technique).

6.2.9 Eq 7 can be directly compared to the linear ground-water flow equation. The simplifying assumptions needed to arrive at this linear airflow equation are summarized as follows:

6.2.9.1 Darcy's law is valid for airflow;

6.2.9.2 The elevation component of pneumatic head is neglected;

6.2.9.3 Temperature effects are neglected;

6.2.9.4 The Ideal Gas law is a valid model for compressibility;

6.2.9.5 The Klinkenberg slip effect is neglected;

6.2.9.6 Water movement and consolidation are neglected, therefore porosity is constant with respect to time; and

6.2.9.7 $\varphi^{1/2} = P_{atm}$ in definition of storage coefficient S_a .

6.2.10 Baehr and Hult (7) examined the consequences of the assumptions presented in 6.2.9. The authors found that the linear airflow model given by Eq 7 is a good working model for essentially all environmental applications.

6.3 Groundwater Flow Equation—The following groundwater flow equation is solved by many groundwater flow models:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(K_{xx} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(K_{yy} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(K_{zz} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right) - W = S_{s} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}$$
 (9)

where: x, y, and z are Cartesian coordinates aligned along the major axes of the hydraulic conductivity tensor with diagonal components K_{xx} , K_{yy} , K_{zz} .

6.3.1 The purpose of the procedure presented in this guide is to facilitate airflow simulations by matching Eq 7 and Eq 9 so that the numerical solution coded in groundwater flow models can be used to solve the airflow equation. This is accomplished with the following parameter matches:

$$h \Rightarrow \varphi$$
 (10)

$$K \Rightarrow k$$
 (11)

$$S_s \Rightarrow S_a$$
 (12)

6.3.2 The parameter matching allows the hydraulic head and flow output from the groundwater model to be interpreted for the airflow model in accordance with 6.3.

6.4 *Boundary Conditions*—There are only two permissible types of boundary conditions when using the pressure-squared substitution described above. These include constant pressure and no-flow boundaries.

6.4.1 Constant pressure cells are actually constant pressuresquared cells. Constant pressure cells are used in two ways:

6.4.1.1 Constant pressure cells are set around the perimeter of the model to allow air to flow into the model horizontally, and

- 6.4.1.2 Venting wells and trenches are defined as constant pressure cells where the pressure is the absolute pressure (squared) maintained in the venting well.
- 6.4.2 An extra layer of constant pressure cells should be added at the top of the model domain to simulate the connection between the vadose zone and the atmosphere. The cells in this top layer and the constant pressure cells around the outside of the model are maintained at the prevailing atmospheric pressure (squared). To make sure that the model covers a sufficiently large area around the venting system, at least 90 % of the air inflow to the model should come from the top atmospheric layer (as opposed to the lateral edges of the model) (1).
- 6.4.3 Wells and trenches should be maintained at a constant value of φ . The groundwater flow model will then compute a flow rate (q^*) that must be transformed to a volumetric airflow rate (q_v) or mass flow rate (q_m) in accordance with Eq 18 and Eq 21, respectively.
- 6.4.4 No-flow boundaries are normally prescribed along the base of the model representing the water table or boundary with the saturated aquifer system. No-flow cells may also be used to represent foundations, paved areas, or other subsurface material impermeable to air.
- 6.5 Interpretation of Model Head Output—As a result of the parameter matches discussed in 6.2, cell values of $\varphi = P^2$ will be computed by the groundwater flow model. Taking the square root of the head output values gives the pressure distribution for the airflow simulation.
- 6.6 Interpretation of Model Flow Output—Groundwater flow models compute cell by cell components of flow vectors that need to be interpreted to obtain airflow rates. Most groundwater flow modeling codes (MODFLOW (9), for example) calculate components of airflow rates as follows:

$$q = -KA \frac{\partial h}{\partial I} \tag{13}$$

6.6.1 Based on the transformations given by Eq 10 and Eq 11, flow output corresponds to the following terms for an airflow simulation:

$$q^* = -kA \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial l} \tag{14}$$

where: q^* is the flow term computed by the model and related to airflow in units g^2 -cm/s⁴.

6.6.2 To relate q^* to air flow, recognize that:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial l} = \frac{\partial \left(P^2\right)}{\partial l} = 2P \frac{\partial P}{\partial l} \tag{15}$$

6.6.3 Substituting Eq 15 into 14 gives:

$$q^* = 2kAP \frac{\partial P}{\partial I} \tag{16}$$

6.6.4 From Eq 5, Darcy's Law for volumetric airflow is as follows:

$$q_{v} = \frac{kA \partial P}{\mu \partial l} \tag{17}$$

6.6.5 Therefore, the groundwater model flow output q^* is converted as follows:

$$q_{v} = q^* \left(\frac{1}{2u\sqrt{\varphi}} \right) \tag{18}$$

- 6.6.6 Therefore to obtain components of volumetric airflow, the head output file must be combined with the flow output as indicated by Eq 16, Eq 17, and Eq 18.
 - 6.6.7 Mass air flow rate is given by:

$$q_m = \rho q_v \tag{19}$$

6.6.8 Substituting the ideal gas law, Eq 4 into Eq 19 and then substituting into Eq 17, yields the following

$$q_m = -kAP \frac{\omega}{\mu RT} \frac{\partial P}{\partial l} \tag{20}$$

6.6.9 Therefore, q_m is given as follows:

$$q_m = q * \left(\frac{\omega}{2\mu RT}\right) \tag{21}$$

6.6.10 Therefore to obtain components of mass airflow, the groundwater flow output values for q^* is multiplied by the term appearing in Eq 21.

7. Pressure Substitution Procedure

- 7.1 The pressure substitution technique is adapted from Massmann (2) and is simpler to apply than the pressure-squared substitution technique. As stated in 6.8.2.1, however, the pressure substitution technique is only valid when the pressure difference between any two points in the system is less than about 0.2 atm.
- 7.2 The pressure substitution technique makes the following substitutions into Eq 9 (the groundwater flow equation):

$$K = \frac{\rho gk}{\mu} \tag{22}$$

$$S_s = \frac{g \omega \theta}{RT}$$

$$h = \frac{P}{0.9}$$

- 7.3 These substitutions are straightforward; however, gas composition is often unknown. In that case, the density (ρ) , viscosity (μ) , and molecular weight (ω) of air may be assumed as follows:
 - 7.3.1 ρ (air) = 1.3 × 10⁻³ g/cm³.
 - 7.3.2 μ (air) = 1.8 × 10⁻⁴ g/cm/s (0.018 cP).
 - 7.3.3 ω (air) = 28 g/mol.
- 7.3.4 Also note that g = 980 cm/s ² and k may be obtained from estimates of saturated hydraulic conductivity as $k = 1.02 \times 10^{-5} K$.

8. Keywords

8.1 airflow; computer model; groundwater; simulation; soil venting



REFERENCES

- (1) Baehr, A. L., and Joss, C. J., AIR3D—An Adaptation of the Ground Water Flow Code MODFLOW to Simulate Three Dimensional Air Flow in the Unsaturated Zone, American Petroleum Institute, Washington, DC, 1992.
- (2) Massmann, J. W., "Applying Groundwater Flow Models in Vapor Extraction System Design," *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, Vol 115, No. 1, 1989, pp. 129–149.
- (3) Crow, W. L., Anderson, E. P., and Minugh, E., "Subsurface Venting of Hydrocarbon Vapors from a Underground Aquifer," American Petroleum Institute, Washington, DC, 1985.
- (4) Baehr, A. L., Hoag, G. E., and Marley, M. C., "Removing Volatile Contaminants from the Unsaturated Zone by Inducing Advective Air-Phase Transport, *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*," Vol 4, 1989, pp. 1–26.
- (5) Sleep, B. E., and Sykes, J. F., "Modeling the Transport of Volatile Organics in Variably Saturated Media," Water Resources Research,

- Vol 25, No. 1, 1989, pp. 81-92.
- (6) Corapcioglu, M. Y., and Baehr, A. L., "A Compositional Multiphase Model for Groundwater Contamination by Petroleum Products; 1. Theoretical Considerations," Water Resources Research, Vol 23, No. 1, 1987, pp. 191–200.
- (7) Baehr, A. L., and Hult, M. F., "Evaluation of Unsaturated-Zone Air Permeability Through Pneumatic Tests," *Water Resources Research*, Vol 27, No. 10, 1991, pp. 85–106.
- (8) Muskat, M., and Botset, H. G., "Flow of Gas Through Porous Materials," *Physics*, Vol 1, 1931, pp. 27–47.
- (9) McDonald, M. G., and Harbaugh, A. W., "A Modular Three-Dimensional Finite-Difference Ground-Water Flow Model," U.S. Geological Survey, TWRI, Book 6, 1988.
- (10) Klinkenberg, L. J., The Permeability of Porous Media to Liquids and Gases, Drilling and Production Practice, American Petroleum Institute, Washington, DC, 1941, pp. 200–213.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the ASTM website (www.astm.org/COPYRIGHT/).