



Designation: D5080 – 17

Standard Test Method for Rapid Determination of Percent Compaction¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D5080; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reappraisal. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reappraisal.

1. Scope*

1.1 This test method describes the procedure for rapidly determining the percent compaction and the variation from optimum water content of an in-place soil for use in controlling construction of compacted earth. These values are obtained by developing a three-point compaction curve at the same water content as the in-place soil without knowing the value of the water content. The soil used for the compaction curve is normally the same soil removed from the in-place density test. For the remainder of this designation, this test method will be referred to as the *rapid method*.

1.2 This test method is normally performed for soils containing more than 15 % fines (minus 75- μm (No. 200) sieve size).

1.3 When gravel-size particles are present in the soil being tested, this test method is limited to a comparison of the minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve-size fraction of the in-place density material to a laboratory compaction test of minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve-size material (Method A of Test Methods D698). Subject to the limitations of Practice D4718, this test method is also applicable to comparisons of other sieve-size fractions (for example, Method C of Test Methods D698) or other compactive efforts (for example, Test Methods D1557) if new water content adjustment values are determined (see 6.1 and Appendix X2).

1.4 *Units*—The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. Reporting of test results in units other than SI shall not be regarded as nonconformance with this standard.

1.4.1 The use of balances or scales recording pounds of mass (lbm), or the recording of density in pounds of mass per cubic foot (lbm/ft³) should not be regarded as nonconformance with this test method.

1.4.2 The sieve designations are identified using the “standard” system in accordance with Specification E11, such as 25-mm and 75- μm , followed by the “alternative” system of 1-in. and No. 200, respectively, in parentheses.

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D18 on Soil and Rock and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D18.08 on Special and Construction Control Tests.

Current edition approved Feb. 1, 2017. Published February 2017. Originally approved in 1990. Last previous edition approved in 2008 as D5080 – 08. DOI: 10.1520/D5080-17.

1.5 All observed and calculated values shall conform to the guidelines for significant digits and rounding established in Practice D6026 unless superseded by this standard.

1.5.1 For purposes of comparing, a measured or calculated value(s) with specified limits, the measured or calculated value(s) shall be rounded to the nearest decimal or significant digits in the specified limits.

1.5.2 The procedures used to specify how data are collected, recorded or calculated in this standard are regarded as the industry standard. In addition they are representative of the significant digits that generally should be retained. The procedures used do not consider material variation, purpose for obtaining the data, special purpose studies, or any considerations for the user’s objectives; it is common practice to increase or reduce significant digits of reported data to be commensurate with these considerations. It is beyond the scope of this standard to consider significant digits used in analytical methods for engineering design.

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety problems, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.* See Section 9.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

D653 Terminology Relating to Soil, Rock, and Contained Fluids

D698 Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Standard Effort (12,400 ft-lbf/ft³ (600 kN-m/m³))

D1556 Test Method for Density and Unit Weight of Soil in Place by Sand-Cone Method

D1557 Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Modified Effort (56,000 ft-lbf/ft³ (2,700 kN-m/m³))

D2167 Test Method for Density and Unit Weight of Soil in Place by the Rubber Balloon Method

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard’s Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

*A Summary of Changes section appears at the end of this standard

- [D2216 Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Water \(Moisture\) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass](#)
- [D2937 Test Method for Density of Soil in Place by the Drive-Cylinder Method](#)
- [D3740 Practice for Minimum Requirements for Agencies Engaged in Testing and/or Inspection of Soil and Rock as Used in Engineering Design and Construction](#)
- [D4718 Practice for Correction of Unit Weight and Water Content for Soils Containing Oversize Particles](#)
- [D6026 Practice for Using Significant Digits in Geotechnical Data](#)
- [D6938 Test Methods for In-Place Density and Water Content of Soil and Soil-Aggregate by Nuclear Methods \(Shallow Depth\)](#)
- [E11 Specification for Woven Wire Test Sieve Cloth and Test Sieves](#)

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For definitions of common technical terms in this standard, refer to Terminology [D653](#).

3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *added water, z* —amount of water, expressed as a percentage of the wet soil mass, which is added to wet soil before compacting a specimen in the rapid method; if the water content of the wet soil is decreased, the amount of “added water” is a negative number (for example, -2.0%).

3.2.2 *C value*—ratio, expressed as a percentage, of in-place wet density at field water content to the wet density of a laboratory compacted specimen prepared at field water content; the *C* value is a comparison of compactive effort of field compaction equipment to standard laboratory compactive effort.

3.2.3 *compaction curve at field water content*—plot showing the relationship between wet density at field water content (converted wet density) and the percent of “added water.”

3.2.4 *converted wet density, ρ_{wet_c}* —wet density of a compacted specimen after being converted (by correcting for the amount of “added water”) to the wet density at field water content.

3.2.5 *D value*—ratio, expressed as a percentage, of in-place wet density at field water content to laboratory maximum wet density as determined from a compaction curve developed at field water content as determined by the rapid method; the *D* value is the rapid method equivalent of percent compaction.

3.2.6 *field water content, w_f* —water content of the minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) fraction of in-place soil.

3.2.7 *field wet density, ρ_{wet_f}* —wet density as determined from an in-place density test.

3.2.8 *maximum wet density at field water content, ρ_m* —wet density defined by the peak of the laboratory compaction curve at field water content.

3.2.9 $w_f - w_o$ —expression for the difference between the in-place water content and the optimum water content as determined by the rapid method.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 A representative sample of soil is obtained in conjunction with performing Test Method [D1556](#), [D2167](#), [D6938](#), or [D2937](#). Soil specimens are compacted in accordance with Method A of Test Methods [D698](#). At least three specimens are compacted, the first at field (in-place) water content, and each of the remaining at different water contents. A parabolic curve is assumed as defined by the three compaction points, and the peak point of the curve is determined mathematically. The ratio of in-place wet density at field water content to laboratory maximum wet density is determined. An approximation of the difference between optimum water content and field water content is determined. After the actual field water content is determined by oven-drying in accordance with Test Methods [D2216](#) (usually the next day), the dry densities, unit weights, and optimum water content are calculated.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 The rapid method is performed to quickly evaluate percent compaction and variation from optimum water content of soils used in construction without knowing the value of field water content at the time of the test.

5.1.1 Test results are usually determined within 1 to 2 h from the start of the test.

5.1.2 The value of percent compaction obtained using the rapid method will be the same as the percent compaction calculated using dry density values.

5.1.3 The value of the difference between field water content and optimum water content will be approximate, but will be within ± 0.1 to 0.2 percentage point of the difference calculated once the field water content is known.

5.2 Test results may be used to determine if the compacted material meets density and water content control values that are specified as a percentage of a standard maximum density and optimum water content such as determined in Method A of Test Methods [D698](#). A three-point compaction curve is used in place of the four- or five-point curve required in Test Methods [D698](#).

5.3 This test method is based on the assumption that a three-point compaction curve is a parabola at the section of the curve close to optimum water content so that the peak point of the curve can be determined mathematically. This assumption results in the major difference between this test method and obtaining the maximum density and optimum water content from a full five-point compaction curve.

5.4 Once the field oven-dry water content has been determined in accordance with Test Methods [D2216](#), the values of dry density, dry unit weight, and optimum water content can be calculated (see [Note 1](#)).

5.5 This test method can also be used for foundation or borrow area material to compare in-place dry density and unit weight and water content to laboratory maximum dry density and unit weight and optimum water content.

5.6 This test method has the advantage that the maximum density value can be obtained on the same soil excavated during the in-place density test.

NOTE 1—Since there is no need to immediately determine the water contents of material from the in-place density test or the laboratory compaction points, use of rapid water content determinations such as microwave, direct heat, nuclear, etc., is not needed. However, if desired, the percent compaction and variation from optimum water content may be determined using dry density values based on rapid water content test methods. Using three compaction points and determining the maximum density mathematically would still apply. However, the rapid water content methods may give results that differ from the accepted oven-dried water content values and will lengthen the time of performing this test method.

NOTE 2—The quality of the results produced by this test method is dependent on the competence of the personnel performing it and the suitability of the equipment and facilities used. Agencies that meet the criteria of Practice D3740 are generally considered capable of competent and objective testing. Users of these test methods are cautioned that compliance with Practice D3740 does not in itself ensure reliable results. Reliable testing depends on many factors; Practice D3740 provides a means of evaluating some of those factors.

6. Interferences

6.1 The water content adjustment values were determined based on average density and optimum water content values of a large number of soil samples containing only minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve-size particles. The soil being tested should be compared with the information in Appendix X2. For soils having properties significantly different, the water content adjustment values may not be applicable. If this is the case, new adjustment values must be determined for the specific soil (see Appendix X2).

6.2 For samples significantly dry or wet of their optimum water content (+6.0 %, –4.0 %), the values $w_f - w_o$ are less accurate.

7. Apparatus

7.1 Equipment for determining the in-place density as required by this test method.

7.2 Equipment for preparing laboratory compaction specimens as required for Method A of Test Methods D698.

7.3 Equipment for determining water content as required by this test method.

7.4 *Graduated Cylinder*, 100-mL capacity, graduated to 1 mL.

7.5 *Electric Fan*, or other drying device.

7.6 *Sieve*, a 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve conforming to the requirements of Specification E11.

7.7 *Miscellaneous Equipment*—Brushes, knife, mixing pans, scoop, etc., for mixing or trimming soil specimens; bucket with lid or other suitable container for retaining the test sample.

8. Reagents and Water

8.1 Tapwater that is free of acids, alkalies, and oils and is generally suitable for drinking should be used for wetting the soil prior to compaction.

9. Hazards

9.1 *Safety Hazards*—While there are no safety hazards specific to this test method, there are safety precautions in the referenced test designations that are applicable.

9.2 *Technical Hazards*—The test specimens should be prepared and compacted as quickly as possible to minimize moisture loss. If the test is not performed immediately, store the sample in a moisture-proof container to prevent the loss of moisture. A determination of the water content before and after storage is recommended.

10. Calibration and Standardization

10.1 Verify that equipment used in conjunction with this procedure is currently calibrated in accordance with the applicable procedure. If the calibration is not current, perform the calibration before using the equipment for this procedure.

11. Procedure

11.1 The procedure for performing this test method is divided into four sections as follows:

- 11.1.1 Obtain in-place density,
- 11.1.2 Compact specimens and obtain compaction curve,
- 11.1.3 Determine maximum point from compaction curve, D value, and $w_f - w_o$, and
- 11.1.4 Complete test for record.

NOTE 3—Since the calculations are an integral part of the procedure, the calculations are included in the sections on procedure.

OBTAIN IN-PLACE DENSITY

11.2 Perform the test for determining in-place wet density in accordance with Test Method D1556, D2167, D6938, or D2937. If the soil being tested contains gravel, determine the in-place wet density of the minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve size fraction of the soil in accordance with Practice D4718.

11.3 The soil used to determine the compaction curve is the material excavated during the in-place density test. While a minimum soil sample of about 7 kg of minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve size material is required for this test, a sample size of at least 12 kg is recommended. The actual sample amount will depend on the percent of plus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve-size particles present and if the soil is very wet or dry of optimum water content.

11.3.1 If the in-place density is obtained using Test Method D6938 (nuclear method), a representative sample of the soil being tested must be obtained.

11.3.2 If sufficient material is not obtained from the in-place density test excavation, obtain additional soil from around the excavation. The additional material must be representative of the soil tested for in-place density.

11.3.2.1 If the in-place density test is to represent the full depth of a compacted lift, obtain any additional material only from the compacted lift being tested.

11.3.2.2 If the excavation for the in-place density test has been contaminated with sand or has been wetted (as from the sand-cone or water replacement methods), the additional material must be obtained by excavating nonaffected soil as close as practical to the original excavation.

11.4 Pass the soil obtained from the in-place density test through a 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve.

11.5 Thoroughly mix the material passing the 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve to ensure an even distribution of moisture throughout

the soil. The mixing should be performed as quickly as practical to prevent moisture loss.

11.6 Determine the water content of a representative specimen to the nearest 0.1 % in accordance with Test Method **D2216**, Method B.

11.7 Keep the minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve size material in a moisture-proof container to prevent moisture loss.

COMPACT SPECIMENS AND OBTAIN COMPACTION CURVE

11.8 Compact a specimen of the minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve size material at field water content in accordance with Method A of Test Methods **D698** and calculate the wet density of the specimen.

11.8.1 The wet density for the first specimen compacted is referred to as the *first specimen wet density* or $\rho_{wet_{first}}$.

11.9 At this point, calculate the *C* value. The *C* value is calculated before proceeding because, if it is less than the *D* value (percent compaction) required in the specifications, the in-place density has failed to meet the specifications. The *D* value obtained from the rapid method test is always equal to or less than the *C* value.

11.9.1 Calculate and record the *C* value in percent as follows:

$$C \text{ value} = \frac{\rho_{wet_f}}{\rho_{wet_{first}}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where:

C value = comparison of field compactive effort to standard laboratory compactive effort, nearest 1 %,

ρ_{wet_f} = wet density from the in-place density test, three significant digits, Mg/m^3 , and

$\rho_{wet_{first}}$ = first specimen wet density, three significant digits, Mg/m^3 .

11.10 Prepare a second specimen for compaction with 2 % added water. This compaction specimen is referred to as the *second specimen*.

NOTE 4—This test method is written with the second specimen always having 2 % water added. When the in-place density is extremely wet of the optimum water content, the second specimen may be a dried-back specimen and the test completed following the principles discussed in this test method.

11.10.1 Place 2.50 kg of soil from the original sample into a mixing pan.

11.10.2 Measure exactly 50 mL of water. This amount of water will increase the water content of the soil approximately 2.0 %. This is referred to as 2 % *added water* (+2.0). Although any water increment of 1 % or more may be used, the procedure is written for an increment of 2 %.

11.10.3 Thoroughly mix the soil while sprinkling or spraying the water onto the soil to ensure an even distribution of moisture throughout the material. The mixing should be performed as quickly as possible to prevent moisture loss. Cover the mixing pan with a plastic bag, wet towels, or other cover to prevent moisture loss.

11.10.4 Compact the specimen and calculate the wet density of the specimen in accordance with Test Methods **D698**.

11.10.5 Calculate and record the converted wet density (density at field water content) of the specimen as follows:

$$\rho_{wet_c} = \frac{\rho_{wet}}{1 + (z/100)} \quad (2)$$

where:

ρ_{wet_c} = converted wet density of compacted specimen, three significant digits, Mg/m^3 ,

ρ_{wet} = wet density of compacted specimen, three significant digits, Mg/m^3 ,

z = amount of water added to soil before compacting specimen, nearest 0.1 %, and

100 = constant to convert to decimal.

11.11 Prepare a third compaction specimen. Water will be either added or subtracted.

11.11.1 Before the third specimen can be compacted, compare the wet densities of the first two compaction specimens. If the converted wet density of the second specimen is greater than or equal to the wet density of the first specimen, follow **11.11.2**. If the converted wet density of the second specimen is less than the wet density of the first specimen, follow **11.11.4**.

11.11.1.1 If the converted wet density of the second specimen is less than the wet density of the first specimen, and the difference is within 0.05 Mg/m^3 , the requirement for drying the soil (**11.11.4**) may be eliminated (see **Annex A1**). The alternate procedure is referred to as the *1 % method*.

11.11.2 Prepare a third specimen by adding water.

11.11.2.1 Place 2.50 kg of soil from the original sample into a mixing pan.

11.11.2.2 Measure 100 mL of water. This amount will increase the water content of the soil approximately 4 %. This is referred to as 4 % *added water* (+4.0).

11.11.2.3 Thoroughly mix the soil while sprinkling or spraying the water onto the soil. The mixing should be performed as quickly as possible to prevent moisture loss.

11.11.2.4 Compact the specimen and calculate the wet density of the specimen in accordance with Method A of Test Methods **D698**.

11.11.2.5 Calculate and record the converted wet density according to **11.10.5**.

11.11.2.6 If the converted wet density of the third specimen is less than or equal to that of the second specimen, proceed to **11.12**. If the converted wet density of the third specimen is greater than that of the second specimen, an additional specimen must be compacted in accordance with **11.11.3**.

11.11.3 Prepare additional specimen(s) by adding water.

11.11.3.1 Repeat **11.11.2.1 – 11.11.2.5** except *increase* the amount of added water by 2 % more than that of the previous specimen (that is, +6.0 %, +8.0 %, etc.). Before compacting material to which 6 % or more water was added, the material should be rescreened through the 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve. Rescreening breaks down any balls of soil and assists in even moisture distribution.

11.11.3.2 Repeat **11.11.3.1** until the converted wet density of the current specimen is less than or equal to the converted wet density of the previous specimen. Proceed to **11.12**.

11.11.4 Prepare a third specimen by subtracting water.

11.11.4.1 Place 2.50 kg of soil from the original sample into a mixing pan.

11.11.4.2 Dry the soil until a 50-g mass loss occurs without loss of soil. This decreases the water content of the soil by approximately 2 %. This is referred to as *minus 2 % added water* (−2.0). The soil must be thoroughly mixed after drying.

NOTE 5—The soil specimen may be dried fairly quickly (5 to 10 min) by using a fan, hair dryer, or other device to blow dry air across the pan of soil while slowly mixing the soil. In a humid climate, other methods to quickly dry the soil may be required. If heat is applied, the soil must cool to room temperature before compacting. Moisture loss during cooling must be considered.

11.11.4.3 Compact the specimen and calculate the wet density of the specimen in accordance with Method A of Test Methods D698.

11.11.4.4 Calculate and record the converted wet density in accordance with 11.10.5. When the soil is dried back, the amount of “added water,” *z*, used to calculate the converted wet density, is a negative number (that is, $z = -2.0 \%$).

11.11.4.5 If the converted wet density of the third specimen is less than or equal to the wet density of the first specimen, proceed to 11.12. If the converted wet density of the third specimen is greater than the wet density of the first specimen, an additional specimen must be compacted in accordance with 11.11.5.

11.11.5 Prepare additional specimen(s) by subtracting water.

11.11.5.1 Repeat 11.11.4.1 – 11.11.4.4 except decrease the amount of “added water” by 2 % more than that of the previous specimen (that is, −4.0 %). Before compacting material from which 4 % or more water was subtracted, the material should be thoroughly mixed and rescreened through the 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve, if necessary, to break down any balls of soil and to assist in even moisture distribution.

11.11.5.2 Repeat 11.11.5.1 until the converted wet density of the current specimen is less than or equal to the converted wet density of the previous specimen. Proceed to 11.12.

DETERMINE MAXIMUM POINT FROM COMPACTION CURVE, DVALUE, AND $w_f - w_o$

11.12 Label the points from the three compaction specimens Point A, B, and C, in order, starting with the specimen with the least amount of “added water” (driest). If more than three specimens were compacted, select the specimen with the highest converted wet density and label as Point B; select the specimen which has 2 % less “added water” than Point B, and label as Point A; select the specimen which has 2 % more “added water” than Point B, and label as Point C. See Fig. 1.

NOTE 6—For simplicity, all the compaction specimens will be referred to as converted (converted to density at field water content) even though the first compaction specimen was compacted at field water content and therefore was not converted.

NOTE 7—In those few cases where the density of Point A and the density of Point B are equal, then the points must be labeled A, C, B, rather than A, B, C. Note 8 will not be valid for this case.

11.13 Assign the following notation to the values from the three compaction points:




FIG. 1 Determining Peak Point of Compaction Curve

$$x_A = \text{added water at Point A, \%} \tag{3}$$

$$x_B = \text{added water at Point B, \%}$$

$$x_C = \text{added water at Point C, \%}$$

These values may be negative or positive numbers or zero, for example, −2.0, +2.0, 0.0.

$$y_A = \text{converted density of Point A, Mg/m}^3 \tag{4}$$

$$y_B = \text{converted density of Point B, Mg/m}^3$$

$$y_C = \text{converted density of Point C, Mg/m}^3$$

11.14 Calculate the value x_1 to the nearest 0.1 % as follows:

$$x_1 = x_B - x_A \tag{5}$$

11.15 Calculate the value x_2 to the nearest 0.1 % as follows:

$$x_2 = x_C - x_A \tag{6}$$

NOTE 8—If 2 % increments are used, x_1 always equals 2.0 and x_2 equals 4.0.

11.16 Calculate the value y_1 to three significant figures as follows:

$$y_1 = y_B - y_A \tag{7}$$

11.17 Calculate the value y_2 to three significant figures as follows:

$$y_2 = y_C - y_A \tag{8}$$

11.18 Calculate the value x_m to the nearest 0.1 % as follows:

$$x_m = \frac{1}{2} \left[x_1 + \frac{(x_2 - x_1) \left(\frac{y_1}{x_1} \right)}{\left(\frac{y_1}{x_1} \right) - \left(\frac{y_2}{x_2} \right)} \right] \tag{9}$$

11.19 Calculate the value z_m to the nearest 0.1 % as follows:

$$z_m = x_A + x_m \quad (10)$$

11.20 Calculate the value y_m to three significant figures as follows:

$$y_m = \frac{-(x_m^2 y_1)}{x_1(x_1 - 2x_m)} \quad (11)$$

11.21 Calculate the maximum wet density at field water content as follows:

$$\rho_m = y_A + y_m \quad (12)$$

where:

ρ_m = maximum wet density at field water content, three significant figures, Mg/m^3 .

=

11.22 Calculate the value $w_f - w_o$ as follows:

$$w_f - w_o = -(z_m + MA) \quad (13)$$

where:

$w_f - w_o$ = difference in water content between the field and optimum water content, nearest 0.1 %, and

MA = water content adjustment, nearest 0.1 %.

Obtain MA from Fig. 2 by plotting ρ_m and z_m and selecting the curved line closest to the plotted point. The number corresponding to this line is MA , for example, -0.2 .

NOTE 9—A negative value of $w_f - w_o$ indicates the in-place soil is drier

than the optimum water content, a positive value indicates the in-place soil is wetter than the optimum water content.

NOTE 10—The water adjustment is necessary because when water is added to the soil before compacting the specimen, the percentage of “added water” is calculated based on the mass of *wet* soil rather than the mass of the *dry* soil (the actual water content is not known at this time). So 2 % “added water” is only an approximation and an adjustment must be made to z_m to get the value $w_f - w_o$. The values for this adjustment have been calculated and then plotted on Fig. 2 (see 6.1).

11.23 Calculate and record the D value in percent as follows:

$$D \text{ value} = \frac{\rho_{wet_f}}{\rho_m} \times 100 \quad (14)$$

where:

D value = rapid method equivalent of percent compaction; comparison of in-place density to laboratory maximum density, nearest 1 %,

ρ_{wet_f} = field wet density, three significant figures, Mg/m^3 (from 11.2 through 11.7),

ρ_m = maximum wet density at field water content, three significant figures, Mg/m^3 (from 11.12 through 11.23), and

100 = constant to convert to percent.

COMPLETE TEST FOR RECORD

11.24 The following calculations are made after the field water content has been determined.

11.24.1 Calculate the dry density for the in-place density test, laboratory maximum density, and first compaction specimen as follows:

$$\rho d = \frac{\rho_{wet}}{1 + (w_f/100)} \quad (15)$$

where:

ρd = dry density, three significant figures, Mg/m^3 ,
 ρ_{wet} = wet density, three significant figures, Mg/m^3 , and
 w_f = field water content, nearest 0.1 %.

NOTE 11— D and C values on the basis of dry density after determining the field water content may differ (± 0.1 percentage point) from the D and C values reported on a wet basis on the day of the test. This difference is due to the way the numbers are rounded. The D and C values reported on the day of the test are the basis for acceptance or rejection even though values on computer-generated reports may be slightly different.

11.24.2 Calculate the dry unit weight for the in-place density test, laboratory maximum unit weight, and first compaction specimen as follows:

$$\gamma d = 9.807 \times \rho d \quad (16)$$

where:

γd = dry unit weight, three significant figures, kN/m^3 ,
 ρd = dry density, three significant figures, Mg/m^3 , and
 9.807 = conversion factor, Mg/m^3 to kN/m^3 .

11.24.3 Calculate the optimum water content as follows:

$$w_o = w_f + [(1 + w_f/100) \times (z_m)] \quad (17)$$

where:

w_o = optimum water content, nearest 0.1 %,
 w_f = field water content, nearest 0.1 %, and
 z_m = from 11.19, nearest 0.1 %.




FIG. 2 Water Content Adjustment Values

12. Report: Test Data Sheet(s)/Form(s)

12.1 The methodology used to specify how data are recorded on the test data sheet(s)/form(s), as given below, is covered in 1.5 and in Practice D6026.

12.2 Record as a minimum, the following general information:

- 12.2.1 Name of individual performing in-place density test,
- 12.2.2 Date of in-place density test,
- 12.2.3 Name of individual performing rapid determination of percent compaction test,
- 12.2.4 Date of rapid determination of percent compaction test, and
- 12.2.5 Test location, elevation, and identifying number.

12.3 Record as a minimum, the following data and results of the in-place density test:

- 12.3.1 Method of determining in-place density,
- 12.3.2 Test hole volume,
- 12.3.3 In-place wet density, total or minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve size fraction, or both,
- 12.3.4 In-place dry density, total or minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve size fraction, or both,
- 12.3.5 In-place dry unit weight, total or minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve size fraction, or both, and
- 12.3.6 In-place water content(s), total or minus 4.75-mm (No. 4) sieve size fraction, or both, and test method(s) used.

12.4 Record as a minimum, the following results of the rapid determination of percent compaction:

12.4.1 Maximum dry density and dry unit weight, and optimum water content,

12.4.2 D value, C value, and $w_f - w_o$,

12.4.3 Test apparatus description,

12.4.4 Comments on test, as applicable, and

12.4.5 Visual description of the material.

13. Precision and Bias

13.1 *Precision*—Test data on precision is not presented due to the nature of the soil and rock materials tested by this test method. It is not feasible and too costly at this time to have ten or more agencies participate in an in situ testing program at a given site. Also, it is not feasible to produce multiple test locations having uniform properties. Any variation observed in the data is just as likely to be due to specimen variation as operator laboratory testing variation.

13.1.1 Subcommittee D18.08 is seeking any data from the users of this test method that might be used to make a limited statement on precision.

13.2 *Bias*—There is no accepted reference value for this test method, therefore, bias cannot be determined.

14. Keywords

14.1 compaction control; density; field density; field test; moisture control; moisture-density; rapid compaction control; unit weight; water content

ANNEX

(Mandatory Information)

A1. “ONE PERCENT” METHOD TO DETERMINE PEAK POINT OF COMPACTION CURVE

A1.1 Scope

A1.1.1 This annex outlines an alternate procedure for preparing the third compaction specimen and determining the maximum point of the compaction curve at field water content.

A1.1.2 This procedure eliminates the requirement for drying soils when the field water content is near optimum water content.

A1.1.3 This procedure can only be used when the second compaction specimen has a converted wet density lower than the wet density of the first compaction specimen and the difference is 0.05 Mg/m^3 or less.

NOTE A1.1—This method assumes that the two wet densities plot near the peak point of the parabola.

A1.2 Procedure—Obtain Compaction Curve

A1.2.1 Prepare the third specimen by adding 1 % water as follows:

A1.2.1.1 Place 2.50 kg of soil from the original sample (see 11.5) into a mixing pan.

A1.2.1.2 Measure 25 mL of water. This amount of water will increase the water content of the soil by approximately 1 %. This is referred to as “1 % added water,” (+1.0).

A1.2.1.3 Thoroughly mix the soil while sprinkling or spraying the water onto the soil. The mixing should be performed as quickly as possible to prevent moisture loss.

A1.2.1.4 Compact the specimen, and calculate the wet density of the specimen in accordance with Method A of Test Methods D698.

A1.2.1.5 Calculate and record the converted wet density in accordance with 11.10.5.

A1.3 Determine Maximum Point from Compaction Curve and $w_f - w_o$

A1.3.1 Label the values from the three compaction specimens as A, B, and C, in order of increasing water content, starting with the specimen compacted at field water content. Follow the directions in 11.13 through 11.22 to calculate the maximum density of the compaction curve and $w_f - w_o$.

APPENDIXES

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. RATIONALE

X1.1 History of Standard

X1.1.1 The rapid method has been used by the Bureau of Reclamation since the mid 1950s. Since that time, it has also been used by several other organizations. It was first proposed as an ASTM standard in 1970.³

X1.1.2 The theory and development of the rapid method is presented in Engineering Monograph No. 26.⁴

³ *Special Procedures for Testing Soil and Rock for Engineering Purposes*, STP 479, ASTM, 1970.

⁴ Available from the Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 25007, Denver, CO 80225.

X1.2 Applicability

X1.2.1 Use of this test method for construction control should be agreed upon by all parties involved since the results may not exactly duplicate the results if the compaction curve were obtained in accordance with Test Methods D698. The use of three compaction points, mathematically determining the peak of the compaction curve, and the lack of standing time for the prepared specimens may affect the value obtained for the maximum density. However, the results are similar enough that expediency can justify the use of this test method as an acceptable construction control technique.

X2. APPLICABILITY OF WATER CONTENT ADJUSTMENT VALUES

X2.1 During the procedure, water is added to wet soil. The percentage of water added is based on the wet mass of the soil since the actual water content is not known. An adjustment can be made to obtain a more accurate approximation of the $w_f - w_o$ value by using Fig. 2 as discussed in 11.22.

X2.2 The water content adjustment values are based on a best fit curve of over 1300 points of wet density at optimum water content versus optimum water content as shown on Fig. X2.1.⁵

X2.3 The properties of the soil being tested should be checked with Fig. X2.1 to see if the soil values plot within the limits of ± 2 standard deviations. For data within these limits, the value of $w_f - w_o$ determined by the rapid method will be within ± 0.1 to 0.2 percentage point of the difference between the in-place water content and the optimum water content when these two values are determined by oven drying.

X2.4 If the soils fall outside the acceptable limits, special water content adjustment values must be developed for that soil. Instructions can be found in Appendix B of Engineering Monograph No. 26.⁴

X2.5 The data used to develop Fig. X2.1 and Fig. 2 came from the Bureau of Reclamation compaction test which uses a $\frac{1}{20}$ -ft³ mold, 3 layers, 25 blows per layer of a 5.5-lbm rammer dropped 18 in. Only minus No. 4 soil particles are compacted. The compactive effort is 12 375 ft-lb/ft³ which is comparable

⁵ Hilf, Jack W., "A Rapid Method of Construction Control for Embankments of Cohesive Soil," *Engineering Monograph No. 26*, Bureau of Reclamation, 5th Printing, August 1981.




FIG. X2.1 Wet Density Versus Optimum Water Content

to Method A of Test Methods D698. Water content adjustment values will probably have to be separately developed for soils with very low or high specific gravities or compacted with a different compactive effort.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Committee D18 has identified the location of selected changes to this standard since the last issue (D5080 – 08) that may impact the use of this standard. (February 1, 2017)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>(1) Standard sieve size notation has been used throughout the standard.</p> <p>(2) Updated the term moisture content to the accepted term water content throughout the standard.</p> <p>(3) Added equipment for determining water content to the Apparatus section, and removed the mixer as it does not appear elsewhere in the standard.</p> | <p>(4) Clarified the definition for water added.</p> <p>(5) Made several editorial revisions to bring standard into D18 compliance and improved the Report section.</p> <p>(6) Remove parenthetical references to inch-pound units.</p> <p>(7) Added guidance on required significant figures for calculating and recording data.</p> |
|---|---|

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; <http://www.copyright.com/>