



Standard Test Methods for Carbon Black—Sulfur Content¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D1619; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

This standard has been approved for use by agencies of the U.S. Department of Defense.

1. Scope

1.1 These test methods cover the determination of the sulfur content of carbon black. The following test methods are included:

Test Method	Description	Sections
Test Method A	High-Temperature Combustion With Infrared Absorption Detection Procedures	6 to 13
Test Method B	X-Ray Fluorescence	14 to 19

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

- D1509 Test Methods for Carbon Black—Heating Loss
- D1799 Practice for Carbon Black—Sampling Packaged Shipments
- D1900 Practice for Carbon Black—Sampling Bulk Shipments
- D4483 Practice for Evaluating Precision for Test Method Standards in the Rubber and Carbon Black Manufacturing Industries

3. Significance and Use

3.1 The total sulfur content of a carbon black is useful in determining whether a material meets a customer's specifications, providing data for performing a sulfur material balance around a process for environmental monitoring and

¹ These test methods are under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D24 on Carbon Black and are the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D24.66 on Environment, Health, and Safety.

Current edition approved Sept. 1, 2016. Published October 2016. Originally approved in 1958. Last previous edition approved in 2016 as D1619 – 16. DOI: 10.1520/D1619-16A.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

reporting, and in calculations for reconstructing a rubber composition from analytical data.

4. Reagents

4.1 *Purity of Reagents*—Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available.³ Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

5. Sampling

5.1 Samples of packaged materials shall be taken in accordance with Practice D1799 or Practice D1900.

TEST METHOD A HIGH-TEMPERATURE COMBUSTION WITH INFRARED ABSORPTION DETECTION PROCEDURES

6. Summary of Test Method

6.1 The specimen is burned in a tube furnace at a minimum operating temperature of 1350°C in a stream of oxygen to oxidize the sulfur. Moisture and particulates are removed from the gas by traps filled with anhydrous magnesium perchlorate. The gas stream is passed through a cell in which sulfur dioxide is measured by an infrared (IR) absorption detector. Sulfur dioxide absorbs IR energy at a precise wavelength within the IR spectrum. Energy is absorbed as the gas passes through the cell body in which the IR energy is being transmitted. Thus, at the detector, less energy is received. All other IR energy is eliminated from reaching the detector by a precise wavelength filter. Thus, the absorption of IR energy can be attributed only to sulfur dioxide whose concentration is proportional to the change in energy at the detector. One cell is used as both a

³ *Reagent Chemicals, American Chemical Society Specifications*, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. For suggestions on the testing of reagents not listed by the American Chemical Society, see *Analar Standards for Laboratory Chemicals*, BDH Ltd., Poole, Dorset, U.K., and the *United States Pharmacopeia and National Formulary*, U.S. Pharmaceutical Convention, Inc. (USPC), Rockville, MD.

reference and a measurement chamber. Total sulfur as sulfur dioxide is detected on a continuous basis. This test method is empirical. Therefore, the apparatus must be calibrated by the use of standard reference materials (SRM).

6.2 This test method is for use with commercially available sulfur analyzers equipped to carry out the preceding operations automatically and must be calibrated using standard reference material (carbon black) of known sulfur content based on the range of sulfur in each carbon black specimen analyzed.

7. Apparatus

7.1 *Measurement Apparatus*, equipped to automatically combust the specimen.

7.2 *Combustion Tube*, made of mullite, porcelain, or zircon, approximately 40- to 45-mm inside diameter with a 3-mm thick wall, at least 450-mm long with provisions for routing the gasses produced by combustion through the infrared cell.

7.3 *Boat Puller*—Rod of a heat-resistant material with a bent or disk end to insert and remove boats from the combustion tube.

7.4 *Gravity Convection Drying Oven*, capable of maintaining $125 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$.

8. Reagents

8.1 *Purity of Reagents*—See 4.1.

8.2 *Magnesium Perchlorate*.

9. Preparation of Apparatus

9.1 Assemble the apparatus according to the manufacturer's instructions. Make a minimum of two determinations (see 10.3) to condition the equipment prior to calibrating the system.

10. Calibration

10.1 Select standards having sulfur values of approximately 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 % sulfur⁴.

10.2 *Adjustment of Response of Measurement System*—Weigh out approximately 0.5 g of the 1.0 % sulfur standard. Analyze the specimen (see Section 11). Repeat this procedure. Adjust instrument as recommended by the manufacturer until the absence of drift is indicated.

10.3 *Calibration Procedure*—Weigh out four specimens of the 1.0 % sulfur standard. Follow the calibration procedure recommended by the manufacturer. Confirm the calibration by analyzing the 1.0 % sulfur standard. The value should be within the allowable limits of the known value. If not, repeat the procedure. Then weigh out and analyze two specimens, each of the other calibration standards. Record the results after each analysis. Compare the results obtained to the known sulfur values of the specimens. They should be within the allowable limits of the known value of the respective speci-

men. If not, refer to the manufacturer's instructions for checking linearity of the analyzer.

11. Procedure

11.1 *Sample Preparation*—Dry an adequate sample of the carbon black for at least 1 h in a gravity-convection oven set at $125 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$, in an open container of suitable dimensions, so that the depth of black is no more than 10 mm. Cool to room temperature in a desiccator before use.

11.2 Stabilize and calibrate the analyzer (see 10.1 through 10.3).

11.3 Raise the furnace temperature as recommended by the manufacturer to at least 1350°C . Weigh the specimen not to exceed more than 0.5 g of carbon black. Spread the specimen evenly in a combustion boat and use a boat puller to position the specimen in the hot zone of the furnace for at least 2 min, or until completely combusted.

NOTE 1—The analytical cycle should begin automatically as soon as sulfur is detected.

11.4 When the analysis is complete, the instrument should indicate the sulfur value. Refer to the manufacturer's recommended procedure.

12. Report

12.1 The percent sulfur value is obtained directly from the apparatus.

12.2 Report results to the nearest 0.01 %.

13. Precision and Bias

13.1 These precision statements have been prepared in accordance with Practice D4483-99. Refer to this practice for terminology and other statistical details.

13.2 The precision results in this precision and bias section give an estimate of the precision of this test method with the materials used in the particular interlaboratory program described in Table 1. The precision parameters should not be used for acceptance or rejection testing of any group of materials without documentation that they are applicable to those particular materials and the specific testing protocols of the test method. Any appropriate value may be used from Table 1.

13.3 A type 1 inter-laboratory precision program was conducted as detailed in Table 1. Both repeatability and reproducibility represent short term (daily) testing conditions. The testing was performed using two operators in each laboratory performing the test once on each of two days (total of four tests). A test result is the value obtained from a single determination. Acceptable difference values were not measured. The between operator component of variation is included in the calculated values for r and R .

13.4 The results of the precision calculations for this test are given in Table 1. The materials are arranged in ascending "mean level" order. The absolute reproducibility is more independent of the mean level so the absolute repeatability, r , and reproducibility, R , are the preferred parameters.

13.5 *Repeatability*—The pooled absolute repeatability, r , of this test has been established as 0.0456 %. Any other value in

⁴ Coal standards have been found to be suitable standards and are usually available from the instrument manufacturer or may be obtained from Alpha Products for Analysis, 3090 Johnson Road, Stevensville, MI 49127, www.alpha-resources.com.

TABLE 1 Precision Parameters for Test Methods D1619, Method A, (Type 1 Precision)

Units				Percent					
Material	Period	Number of Laboratories	Mean Level	Sr	r	(r)	SR	R	(R)
SRB C6 (N326)	March 2003	4	0.8056	0.0150	0.0426	5.28	0.0966	0.2734	33.94
HS Tread	September 2003	7	1.0779	0.0123	0.0349	3.24	0.0174	0.0494	4.58
SRB8E (N660)	September 2008	9	1.1085	0.0067	0.0188	1.70	0.1182	0.3344	30.17
SRB8B (N134)	June 2009	13	1.1738	0.0117	0.0330	2.81	0.0600	0.1698	14.46
SRB8A (N326)	March 2008	12	1.1945	0.0179	0.0507	4.25	0.0409	0.1157	9.69
SRB A6 (N134)	September 2004	9	1.2556	0.0103	0.0291	2.32	0.0400	0.1133	9.03
N234	September 2007	10	1.3094	0.0210	0.0595	4.54	0.0616	0.1743	13.31
N299	March 2006	10	1.5716	0.0113	0.0320	2.04	0.0414	0.1173	7.46
N772	March 2005	9	1.8256	0.0176	0.0499	2.74	0.1026	0.2903	15.90
LS Carcass	March 2004	6	1.8565	0.0202	0.0573	3.09	0.0265	0.0751	4.04
SRB8D (LS Carcass)	March 2009	12	1.8988	0.0254	0.0718	3.78	0.0862	0.2439	12.84
SRB D7 (LS Carcass)	September 2006	12	1.9172	0.0142	0.0401	2.09	0.0348	0.0986	5.14
Average			1.4163						
Pooled Values				0.0161	0.0456	3.22	0.0682	0.1931	13.63

Table 1 may be used as an estimate of repeatability, as appropriate. The difference between two single test results (or determinations) found on identical test material under the repeatability conditions prescribed for this test will exceed the repeatability on an average of not more than once in 20 cases in the normal and correct operation of the method. Two single test results that differ by more than the appropriate value from **Table 1** must be suspected of being from different populations and some appropriate action taken.

NOTE 2—Appropriate action may be an investigation of the test method procedure or apparatus for faulty operation or the declaration of a significant difference in the two materials, samples, etc., which generated the two test results.

13.6 Reproducibility—The pooled absolute reproducibility, R, of this test has been established as 0.1931 %. Any other value in **Table 1** may be used as an estimate of reproducibility, as appropriate. The difference between two single and independent test results found by two operators working under the prescribed reproducibility conditions in different laboratories on identical test material will exceed the reproducibility on an average of not more than once in 20 cases in the normal and correct operation of the method. Two single test results produced in different laboratories that differ by more than the appropriate value from **Table 1** must be suspected of being from different populations and some appropriate investigative or technical/commercial action taken.

13.7 Bias—In test method terminology, bias is the difference between an average test value and the reference (true) test property value. Reference values do not exist for this test method since the value or level of the test property is exclusively defined by the test method. Bias, therefore, cannot be determined.

TEST METHOD B X-RAY FLUORESCENCE

14. Summary of Test Method

14.1 X-ray fluorescence may be used to determine sulfur in carbon black. Each element has a unique energy response (fluorescence) when exposed to x-ray energy that can be used to identify the element. An x-ray source excites the sulfur atoms in the sample material. The instrument detects the excited sulfur atoms and produces a numeric value.

15. Apparatus

15.1 X-ray Fluorescence Instrument, designed specifically for the test of trace amounts of sulfur, with x-ray source (radioisotope or x-ray tube), detection, and numeric display. X-ray fluorescence instruments are either wavelength dispersive or energy dispersive. Energy dispersive instruments have a lower detectable limit of 15 ppm while wavelength dispersive instruments have a lower detectable limit of less than 1 ppm. Since the sulfur in oil furnace type carbon blacks is usually present in percent levels, either instrument type is suitable.

15.2 Gravity Convection Drying Oven, capable of maintaining $125 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$.

15.3 Desiccator.

16. Calibration

16.1 The ability of the instrument to detect an element's energy signature is strongly influenced by the sample matrix. Therefore, the materials used to calibrate the instrument need to be as similar as possible to the unknown sample to be tested. If the unknown sample is a liquid, slurry, powder, or solid, the calibration materials need to be a similar liquid, slurry, powder, or solid. The preferred calibration materials will be made by (or come from) the same process as the unknown sample.

16.2 X-ray fluorescence is not a primary test, but work by ASTM International Committee D24 on Carbon Black has shown that only carbon black with suitable levels of sulfur naturally occurring (that is, coming from the carbon black manufacturing process.) can be used to properly calibrate the technique. It has been determined that a standard material cannot be made by adding elemental sulfur to carbon black.

16.3 Calibrate the instrument according to the manufacturer's instructions.

16.4 Four carbon black standards have been identified⁵ and their respective sulfur level determined by combustion methods following Test Methods D1619 Method A calibration procedures. They are:

⁵ Sulfur-in-carbon black standards A-D are available from Laboratory Standards and Technologies, Inc., 227 Somerset Street, Borger, TX, 79007, www.carbonstandard.com.

TABLE 2 Precision Parameters for Test Methods D1619, Method B, (Type 1 Precision)

Units				Percent					
Material	Period	Number of Laboratories	Mean Level	Sr	r	(r)	SR	R	(R)
SRB C6 (N326)	March 2003	14	0.8720	0.0236	0.0669	7.67	0.0675	0.1909	21.90
SRB8E (N660)	September 2008	15	1.0481	0.0237	0.0671	6.40	0.0846	0.2394	22.84
HS Tread	September 2003	15	1.0723	0.0214	0.0604	5.64	0.1135	0.3211	29.95
SRB8B (N134)	June 2009	18	1.1552	0.0230	0.0652	5.65	0.0854	0.2418	20.93
SRB A6 (N134)	September 2004	14	1.2202	0.0260	0.0736	6.03	0.0801	0.2268	18.59
SRB8A (N326)	March 2008	17	1.2249	0.0278	0.0785	6.41	0.1091	0.3088	25.21
N234	September 2007	15	1.3285	0.0372	0.1053	7.93	0.1525	0.4317	32.49
N299	March 2006	14	1.5411	0.0350	0.0990	6.43	0.0775	0.2193	14.23
N772	March 2005	16	1.8007	0.0291	0.0825	4.58	0.1894	0.5359	29.76
LS Carcass	March 2004	12	1.8042	0.0301	0.0852	4.72	0.1021	0.2891	16.02
SRB8D (LS Carcass)	March 2009	19	1.8328	0.0242	0.0686	3.74	0.1410	0.3992	21.78
SRB D7 (LS Carcass)	September 2006	14	1.8930	0.0344	0.0975	5.15	0.1307	0.3700	19.55
Average			1.3994						
Pooled Values				0.0284	0.0804	5.75	0.1165	0.3296	23.55

Material	% Sulfur	Standard Deviation
Standard A	0.00	0.00
Standard B	1.54	0.05
Standard C	1.93	0.06
Standard D	0.82	0.03

17. Procedure

17.1 Dry an adequate amount of the standard(s) and sample(s) of carbon black for 1 h, in a gravity-convection oven set at 125°C. Remove to a desiccator and allow to cool to room temperature before testing.

NOTE 3—Water can interfere with the x-ray signal and decrease the intensity reading of the sulfur.

17.2 Follow manufacturer’s instructions for operation of the test equipment.

NOTE 4—Using a suitable sample container, this equipment can also be used to determine the sulfur content of carbon black feedstock oil. However, the calibration material must be a sulfur containing oil. Carbon black containing sulfur is not a suitable calibration material.

18. Report

18.1 The percent sulfur value is obtained directly from the apparatus.

18.2 Report results to the nearest 0.01 %.

19. Precision and Bias

19.1 These precision statements have been prepared in accordance with Practice D4483-99. Refer to this practice for terminology and other statistical details.

19.2 The precision results in this precision and bias section give an estimate of the precision of this test method with the materials used in the particular interlaboratory program described below. The precision parameters should not be used for acceptance or rejection testing of any group of materials without documentation that they are applicable to those particular materials and the specific testing protocols of the test method. Any appropriate value may be used from Table 2.

19.3 A type 1 interlaboratory precision program was conducted as described in Table 2. Both repeatability and reproducibility represent short term (daily) testing conditions. The testing was performed using two operators in each laboratory

performing the test once on each material on each of two days (total of four tests). A test result is the value obtained from a single determination. Acceptable difference values were not measured. The between operator component of variation is included in the calculated values for (r) and (R).

19.4 The results of the precision calculations for this test are given in Table 2. The materials are arranged in ascending “mean level” order. The relative reproducibility is more independent of the mean level so the relative repeatability, (r), and reproducibility, (R), are the preferred parameters.

19.5 *Repeatability*—The pooled relative repeatability, (r), of this test has been established as 5.75 %. Any other value in Table 2 may be used as an estimate of repeatability, as appropriate. The difference between two single test results (or determinations) found on identical test material under the repeatability conditions prescribed for this test will exceed the repeatability on an average of not more than once in 20 cases in the normal and correct operation of the method. Two single test results that differ by more than the appropriate value from Table 2 must be suspected of being from different populations and some appropriate action taken.

NOTE 5—Appropriate action may be an investigation of the test method procedure or apparatus for faulty operation or the declaration of a significant difference in the two materials, samples, and so forth, which generated the two test results.

19.6 *Reproducibility*—The pooled relative reproducibility, (R), of this test has been established as 23.55 %. Any other value in Table 2 may be used as an estimate of reproducibility, as appropriate. The difference between two single and independent test results found by two operators working under the prescribed reproducibility conditions in different laboratories on identical test material will exceed the reproducibility on an average of not more than once in 20 cases in the normal and correct operation of the method. Two single test results produced in different laboratories that differ by more than the appropriate value from Table 2 must be suspected of being from different populations and some appropriate investigative or technical/commercial action taken.

19.7 *Bias*—In test method terminology, bias is the difference between an average test value and the reference (true) test property value. Reference values do not exist for this test

method since the value or level of the test property is exclusively defined by the test method. Bias, therefore, cannot be determined.

20. Keywords

20.1 carbon black; high temperature combustion; infrared detection; sulfur content; sulfur dioxide

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org). Permission rights to photocopy the standard may also be secured from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, Tel: (978) 646-2600; <http://www.copyright.com/>